

RENDICONTI *del* SEMINARIO MATEMATICO *della* UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

HELMUT VÖLKLEIN

On the lattice automorphisms of $SL(n, q)$ and $PSL(n, q)$

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 76 (1986), p. 207-217

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1986__76__207_0

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1986, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

On the Lattice Automorphisms of $SL(n, q)$ and $PSL(n, q)$.

HELMUT VÖLKLEIN (*)

Introduction.

In a previous paper [5], a study of the lattice automorphisms (= automorphisms of the lattice of subgroups) of the finite Chevalley groups G has been begun. Starting-point was the fact that if $\text{rank}(G) \geq 2$ (and if some exceptional cases are excluded), then for the group $A(G)$ of lattice automorphisms of G we have

$$(+)\quad A(G) \cong \text{Aut}(G) \rtimes \Phi$$

where Φ is the kernel of the action of $A(G)$ on the Tits building of G . For a large class of simple Chevalley groups G (essentially those whose Weyl group has a non-trivial center), it was shown that Φ is trivial, i.e. every lattice automorphism is induced by a group automorphism. However, the groups $PSL(n, q)$ do not belong to this class, and in fact it was shown that Φ is not even solvable for many of the groups $PSL(3, q)$. This phenomenon motivated the present paper, where we take a closer look at the groups $G = PSL(n, q)$, $n \geq 3$. (In the case $n = 2$ and $q > 3$, we have $A(G) \cong \text{Aut}(G)$ by Metelli [2]).

We show that if we exclude the case that q is a power of 3 and $n = 2m$ with m odd, then Φ commutes with the inner automorphisms of G and fixes every unipotent subgroup of G . This allows one to

(*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Dept. of Mathematics, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611, U.S.A.

determine the exact structure of Φ for certain of the groups $PSL(3, q)$. It remains an open problem whether Φ can be non-trivial for any of the groups $PSL(n, q)$, $n > 3$.

Notations.

For elements x, y of a group G we set $y^x := x^{-1}yx$; the same if y is a subgroup of G . If A, B, C, \dots are elements or subgroups of G , we let $\langle A, B, C, \dots \rangle$ denote the subgroup of G generated by them. The following notations will be fixed throughout the paper: q is a power of the prime p , $k = GF(q)$ is the field with q elements, D is a central subgroup of $SL(n, q)$, $n \geq 3$, and $G = SL(n, q)/D$.

We regard $SL(n, q)$ as a matrix group which acts on the k -vector space k^n in the canonical way; then G acts naturally on the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional projective space \mathbb{P}^{n-1} over k .

Preliminaries.

Since G is perfect, every lattice automorphism of G preserves the orders of the subgroups of G (see [4, Ch. II, Th. 8]); this will be used constantly in the following (without further reference). In particular, it implies that the group $A(G)$ of lattice automorphisms of G acts on the set of p -Sylow subgroups of G and on the Tits building of G (see [5, sect. 1]); it is easy to see that the kernels of these two actions coincide, and this common kernel will be denoted by Φ . To state it explicitly, Φ is the (normal) subgroup of $A(G)$ consisting of those lattice automorphisms of G that fix every p -Sylow subgroup of G ; the elements of Φ will be called *exceptional lattice automorphisms* of G .

In our situation, the Tits building of G is isomorphic to the flag complex of \mathbb{P}^{n-1} and thus (+) follows from classical projective geometry. But nothing of this will be needed in the following, since we exclusively study the group Φ . This is done in a completely elementary fashion; therefore we avoid using the language of algebraic groups, although it would be helpful at some points.

LEMMA 1. (i) If $n \geq 5$, then Φ fixes all subgroups of G that have n linearly independent fixed points in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} .

(ii) If $p \neq 2$, then Φ fixes every subgroup J of G which is the image of a subgroup of order 2 of $SL(n, q)$.

PROOF. Let P_1, \dots, P_n be linearly independent points of \mathbb{P}^{n-1} , let r_i be an element of G interchanging P_i, P_{i+1} and fixing the other P_j 's (for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$), and let U (resp. U^-) be the group of those p -elements of G that fix all the spaces $P_1 + \dots + P_i$ (resp. $P_{i+1} + \dots + P_n$) for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$. Since U and U^- are p -Sylow subgroups of G , Φ fixes the groups $U_i := U \cap (U^-)^{r_i}$ and $U_i^- := U^- \cap U^i$, hence also $S_i := \langle U_i, U_i^- \rangle (\cong SL(2, q))$.

(i) The normalizer $N_G(U)$ of U in G is the largest subgroup of G containing U but no other p -Sylow subgroup of G . Hence Φ fixes $N_G(U)$, and analogously $N_G(U^-)$, thus also $T := N_G(U) \cap N_G(U^-)$. It is well-known that T is the group of all elements of G that fix P_1, \dots, P_n . Hence it suffices to show that Φ fixes every subgroup of T .

Now T is generated by the groups $T_i := T \cap S_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n - 1$), which are fixed by Φ and are all isomorphic to the multiplicative group of k . Furthermore we have $\langle T_1, \dots, T_{n-2} \rangle \cong T_1 \times \dots \times T_{n-2}$, hence if $n \geq 5$ then the (abelian) group T contains at least three independent elements of each occurring order; from this it follows by a theorem of Baer (see [4, Ch. II, Th. 2]) that if $T(l)$ denotes the l -torsion subgroup of T for a prime l , then every lattice automorphism of $T(l)$ is induced by a group automorphism. This shows that for every $\varphi \in \Phi$ there is an automorphism f of T with $X^\varphi = X^f$ for all subgroups X of T .

Now consider the restriction of f to $Y := \langle T_i, T_{i+1} \rangle$, where $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$. The three cyclic subgroups T_i, T_{i+1} and $(T_{i+1})^{r_i}$ of Y are fixed by f (Note that $(T_{i+1})^{r_i}$ arises in the same way as the T_j 's when we renumber the P_j 's appropriately) and Y is the direct product of any two of them; hence f acts equivalently in all three. Thus f acts equivalently in all the T_j 's, which means that there is some integer m with $f(t) = t^m$ for all t in T . Hence f , and thus also φ , fixes every subgroup of T . This proves (i).

(ii) By the above, we can assume $n \leq 4$. Then J is either the center of some S_i (for a suitable choice of P_1, \dots, P_n), or the unique central subgroup of order 2 of G . Hence J is fixed by Φ (see e.g. statement (+) in the proof of Lemma 1 in [5]).

REMARK. Lemma 1 (i) fails drastically in the case $n = 3$, see [5, sect. 3].

1. Unipotent subgroups.

A unipotent transformation u of a finite-dimensional vector space V is called *regular* if the fixed space of u in V is 1-dimensional (equivalently, if the Jordan normal form of u consists of only one block).

LEMMA 2. Let K be a field of characteristic $p > 2$. Then for every regular unipotent element u of $SL(m, K)$, $m \geq 1$, there exists an involution h in $GL(m, K)$ with $u^h = u^{-1}$; if m is even then $\det(h) = (-1)^{m/2}$.

PROOF. With u also u^{-1} is regular, hence $u^h = u^{-1}$ for some h in $GL(m, K)$. Replacing h by its p^m -th power, we may assume that h is semisimple. Then h^2 is a semisimple element commuting with u , hence is a scalar transformation, *i.e.* there is some $t \in K$ with $h^2(x) = tx$ for all x in K^m . Since h fixes the 1-dimensional fixed space of u , h has an eigenvalue s in K . Then $s^2 = t$, and by replacing h by $s^{-1}h$, we get h to be an involution.

For every $i = 0, \dots, m$ there is exactly one i -dimensional u -invariant subspace W_i of K^m . Hence these W_i must be fixed by h . For every $i = 2, \dots, m$, the involution h induces in the 2-dimensional space W_i/W_{i-2} an involution h_i which inverts the (non-trivial) transformation induced by u ; since $p \neq 2$, it follows that h_i has both 1 and -1 as eigenvalues. This proves the assertion on $\det(h)$. (Note that $W_0 \subset W_1 \subset \dots \subset W_m$).

LEMMA 3. Let K be a field of characteristic $p > 2$ and suppose that n is either odd or divisible by 4. Then for every unipotent element u of $SL(n, K)$ there exists an involution h in $SL(n, K)$ with $u^h = u^{-1}$.

PROOF. By the Jordan normal form, K^n is the direct sum of a family (E_μ) of u -invariant subspaces, such that the restriction u_μ of u to E_μ is regular for every μ . By Lemma 2 there exist involutions $h_\mu \in GL(E_\mu)$ inverting u_μ . These h_μ combine to yield an involution $h \in GL(n, K)$ inverting u . If some E_μ has odd dimension, then we can force $\det(h) = 1$ by replacing h_μ by $-h_\mu$ (if necessary). If all of the spaces E_μ have even dimension, then n is even, hence divisible by 4 (by assumption) and thus $\det(h) = \prod_{\mu} \det(h_\mu) = \prod_{\mu} (-1)^{\dim(E_\mu)/2} = (-1)^{n/2} = 1$ (by Lemma 2).

LEMMA 4. Let K be a field of characteristic 2. Then for every unipotent element u of $SL(m, K)$, $m \geq 1$, there exists an involution h in $SL(m, K)$ with $u^h = u^{-1}$.

PROOF. By the Jordan normal form we may assume that u is regular. Then u is conjugate to the matrix (u_{ij}) with $u_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$ or $i = j - 1$ or $i = j - 2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, and all other $u_{ij} = 0$. We may assume $u = (u_{ij})$. Setting $h_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$ or $i = j - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, and all other $h_{ij} = 0$, the matrix $h = (h_{ij})$ does the job.

LEMMA 5. Let K be a field of characteristic $p > 3$. Then for every unipotent element u of $SL(m, K)$, $m \geq 1$, there exists a diagonalizable element h of $SL(m, K)$ normalizing $\langle u \rangle$, such that

$$\langle h, h^u \rangle = \langle h, u \rangle.$$

PROOF. First assume that we have already found a $g \in SL(m, K)$ with all eigenvalues in K such that $u^g = u^4$. Then $h := g^{(p^m)}$ is diagonalizable and $u^{4^{(p^m)}-1} = u^{-1}u^h = (h^{-1})^u h \in \langle h, h^u \rangle$. Since $4^{(p^m)} - 1 \equiv 3^{(p^m)} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and u is of p -power order, it follows that $u \in \langle h, h^u \rangle$, hence the claim.

It remains to prove the existence of g . For this we may assume that u is regular. Then u^4 is also regular (since $p \neq 2$), hence there is some f in $GL(m, K)$ with $u^f = u^4$. Then f fixes the spaces W_i (defined as in the proof of Lemma 2) and we can again consider the action of f and u in W_i/W_{i-2} ($i = 2, \dots, m$). Using the matrix identities

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_{i-1} & t \\ 0 & c_i \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{i-1} & t \\ 0 & c_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c_i c_{i-1}^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we conclude that if c_i denotes the eigenvalue of f belonging to the action of f in W_i/W_{i-1} ($i = 1, \dots, m$) then we have $c_i = 4c_{i-1}$ (for $i = 2, \dots, m$). Hence $\det(f) = c_1 \dots c_m = 4^{m(m-1)/2} c_1^m = (2^{m-1} c_1)^m$, and thus $g := 2^{-m+1} c_1^{-1} f$ does the job. q.e.d.

A finite group is called *dihedral* if it is generated by two elements a, b subject to the relations $a^r = b^2 = 1, a^b = a^{-1}$, for some $r \geq 2$.

LEMMA 6. Let φ be a lattice automorphism of G fixing all subgroups of order 2 of a dihedral subgroup S of G . Then φ fixes every subgroup of S .

PROOF. Easy (see e.g. part (3) in the proof of Lemma 2 in [3]).

PROPOSITION 1. Suppose that if $p = 3$ then n is either odd or divisible by 4. Then Φ fixes every unipotent subgroup (i.e. p -subgroup) of G .

PROOF. It suffices to show that Φ fixes every cyclic unipotent subgroup $\langle u \rangle$ of G . We first consider the case $p \neq 2$. If furthermore n is either odd or divisible by 4, then the claim follows from Lemma 3, Lemma 1 (ii) and Lemma 6. Now suppose that n is not of this form; then $p \neq 3$ (by assumption) and $n \geq 6$. Hence it follows from Lemma 5 that there exists some h in G having n linearly independent fixed points in \mathbf{P}^{n-1} and normalizing $\langle u \rangle$, such that $\langle h, u \rangle = \langle h, h^u \rangle$; then Φ fixes $\langle h, u \rangle$ (by Lemma 1 (i)), hence also $\langle u \rangle$, the only p -Sylow subgroup of $\langle h, u \rangle$.

It remains to consider the case $p = 2$. By Lemma 4 and Lemma 6, it suffices to show that Φ fixes $\langle v \rangle$ for every involution v in G . As follows from the Jordan normal form, v can be written as the product of commuting elations $v_1, \dots, v_s \in G$ (i.e. each v_i is an involution that fixes a hyperplane of \mathbf{P}^{n-1} pointwise). Then $V := \langle v_1, \dots, v_s \rangle$ carries the structure of a $GF(2)$ -vector space, which is generated by its 1-dimensional subspaces $\langle v_i \rangle$; hence if Φ fixes all the $\langle v_i \rangle$, then Φ must act trivially on the lattice of subgroups of V (by the fundamental theorem of projective geometry) and will therefore fix $\langle v \rangle$.

It remains to show that Φ fixes $\langle e \rangle$ for every elation e in G . Now e lies in a subgroup $S \cong SL(2, q)$ of G which can be constructed as the groups S_i in the proof of Lemma 1; hence Φ fixes S and every 2-Sylow subgroup of S (since the 2-Sylow subgroups of S can be constructed as the groups U_i in the proof of Lemma 1). But then Φ fixes every subgroup of S : This is clear if $q = 2$, and if $q > 2$ it follows from Metelli's result [2] that every lattice automorphism of $PSL(2, q)$ ($= SL(2, q)$ in our case) is induced by a group automorphism. In particular, Φ fixes $\langle e \rangle$. q.e.d.

2. The main result.

LEMMA 7. Let μ be a lattice automorphism of G fixing every cyclic subgroup of G that acts reducibly in \mathbf{P}^{n-1} . Then $\mu = id$.

PROOF. It suffices to show that μ fixes every maximal cyclic subgroup T of G that acts irreducibly in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} . Clearly, T^μ is also maximal cyclic in G and acts irreducibly in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} (Note that μ maps cyclic groups to cyclic groups, see e.g. [4, Ch. I, Th. 2]). Let S_1 (resp. S_2) denote the inverse image of T (resp. T^μ) in $SL(n, q)$, and let $M(n, q)$ denote the ring of $n \times n$ -matrices over $k = GF(q)$. It is well-known that the centralizer K_i of S_i in $M(n, q)$ is a subfield of $M(n, q)$ with q^n elements, and $S_i = K_i \cap SL(n, q)$ ($i = 1, 2$). Choosing $x \neq 0$ in k^n , the bijection $\beta: K_1 \rightarrow k^n$ sending h to $h(x)$ endows k^n with the structure of a field F such that β becomes a field isomorphism. Let A_0 be the subgroup of $GL(n, q)$ that acts on F as the Galois group of F over k . By the normal base theorem (see [1, p. 283]) A_0 permutes the elements of a base of k^n (as k -vector space), hence the group $A := \{a^2: a \in A_0\}$ lies in $SL(n, q)$.

Let s be a generator of S_1 and set $d := [S_1: D]$, $m := \text{g.c.d.}(d, q^2 - 1)$ (Remember that $G = SL(n, q)/D$). Then the group $H := \langle A, A^s, D \rangle$ contains $S_1^m := \{x^m: x \in S_1\}$ as a normal subgroup and is the semi-direct product of S_1^m and A (namely, let α be the generator of A with $z^\alpha = z^{q^2}$ for all $z \in K_1$ and note that $(\alpha^{-1})^s \alpha = s^{-1} s^\alpha = s^{q^2-1}$).

Since A and A^s act reducibly in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} , the image \bar{H} of H in G is fixed by μ . Therefore with $T^m \leq \bar{H}$ we also have $(T^\mu)^m = (T^m)^\mu \leq \bar{H}$ implying that $S_2^m \leq H$. Set $i := [S_1^m S_2^m: S_1^m] = |S_1^m S_2^m \cap A|$. Since $S_1^m \cap S_2^m$ is a subset of K_1 that is centralized by the group $S_1^m S_2^m \cap A$, it follows that $S_1^m \cap S_2^m$ lies in the subfield I of K_1 with $[K_1: I] = i$. But $S_1^m \cap S_2^m$ also lies in the field K_2 , hence in $K_2 \cap I$. Thus for $j := [K_1: K_2 \cap I]$ we get that $|S_1^m \cap S_2^m|$ divides $q^{nj} - 1$. But $|S_1^m \cap S_2^m| = i^{-1} |S_2^m|$, hence $|S_2^m|$ divides $i(q^{nj} - 1)$, thus also $j(q^{nj} - 1)$. Computing $|S_2^m| = |S_2|/\text{g.c.d.}(m, |S_2|)$ and $|S_2| = (q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$, we finally get

$$(+)\quad q^n - 1 \text{ divides } j(q^{nj} - 1)(q - 1) \text{ g.c.d.} \left(q^2 - 1, \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} \right).$$

Below we are going to show that (+) implies $j = 1$, hence $K_1 = K_2$ and $S_1 = S_2$, which finally means $T = T^\mu$. Then the Lemma is proved.

From (+) we deduce $q^{n(j-1)j} \leq \sum_{\lambda=0}^{j-1} q^{n\lambda/j} = (q^n - 1)/(q^{n/j} - 1) < jq^3$, hence

$$(++)\quad q^{-3+n(j-1)j} < j.$$

First we exclude the case that $j = n > 6$: In this case (++)

gives $2^{n-4} \leq q^{n-4} < n$, a contradiction. If $j \neq n$, then $j \leq n/2$ and $(++)$ gives $2^{-3+n(j-1)/j} < n/2$, hence $2^{-2+n(j-1)/j} < n$; if in addition $n/2 \leq -2 + n(j-1)/j$, then $2^{n/2} < n$ implying that $n < 4$; if $n/2 > -2 + n(j-1)/j$, then $j \leq 2$ or $n \leq 9$.

Now we know that either $j \leq 2$ or $n \leq 9$. But if $j = 2$ then $(++)$ gives $2^{-3+n/2} < 2$, hence $n \leq 6$. Thus we have either $j = 1$ or $n \leq 9$. From now on we assume $j \neq 1$ and reach a contradiction by considering each $n \leq 9$ separately.

If $n = 9$, then $j = 3$ or $j = 9$, both of which contradicts $(++)$. Similarly for $n = 8$ and $n = 7$. If $n = 6 = j$ or $n = 6 = 2j$, then $(++)$ gives $q = 2$, contradicting $(+)$. If $n = 6 = 3j$, then $(+)$ gives that $q^6 - 1$ divides

$$2(q^3 - 1)(q - 1)3(q + 1) = 6(q^3 - 1)(q^2 - 1) < 6q^5,$$

hence $q \leq 5$; checking all $q \leq 5$, one sees that $(+)$ cannot be fulfilled. If $n = 5$, then $j = 5$ and $(++)$ gives $q \leq 4$, which again contradicts $(+)$. If $n = 4 = j$, then $(+)$ gives that $q^4 - 1$ divides $4(q - 1) \cdot (q - 1)2(q + 1)$, hence $q^2 + 1$ divides $8(q - 1)$, which is impossible. Similarly for $n = 4 = 2j$. Finally if $n = 3$, then $j = 3$ and $(+)$ gives that $q^3 - 1$ divides $3(q - 1)(q - 1)3 = 9(q - 1)^2$, which again is easily seen to be impossible.

THEOREM. *Suppose that if q is a power of 3 then n is either odd or divisible by 4. Then the exceptional lattice automorphisms of $G = SL(n, q)/D$ ($n \geq 3$) commute with the inner automorphisms of G and fix every unipotent subgroup of G .*

REMARK. The exceptional lattice automorphisms also fix every « diagonalizable » subgroup of G , if $n \geq 5$ (see Lemma 1). Furthermore I want to remark that the case $q = 3^r$, $n = 2m$ with odd m , cannot be handled with our methods; I do not know whether the theorem remains valid in this case.

Proof. By Proposition 1 it only remains to show that every $\varphi \in \Phi$ commutes with the inner automorphisms of G . Fix some $g \in G$ and set $X^\mu := (((X^\varphi)^\sigma)^{\varphi^{-1}})^{\sigma^{-1}}$ for every subgroup X of G . Then μ is an exceptional lattice automorphism of G and we have to show that $\mu = id$. By Lemma 7 it suffices to show that $X^\mu = X$ for every cyclic subgroup X of G that acts reducibly in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} . Noting that $X = X_1 X_2$, where X_1 (resp. X_2) denotes the group of semisimple (resp. unipotent)

elements of X , and applying Proposition 1 to X_2 and X_2^σ , we see that we may assume X to consist only of semisimple elements.

By our assumptions on X , there exist non-trivial X -invariant subspaces R and S of k^n with $k^n = R \oplus S$. Let Q (resp. Q^-) be the stabilizer of R (resp. S) in G . Then X lies in $L := Q \cap Q^-$. The (parabolic) subgroups Q and Q^- of G are generated by normalizers of p -Sylow subgroups of G , hence φ fixes Q and Q^- , and thus also L ; the same reasoning shows that φ fixes all conjugates of L . For the maximal normal p -subgroup U (resp. U^-) of Q (resp. Q^-) we have $Q = U \rtimes L$ and $Q^- = U^- \rtimes L$.

Claim 1. $(X^\varphi)^u = (X^u)^\varphi$ for every u in $U \cup U^-$.

By symmetry it suffices to consider the case $u \in U$. From $X = L \cap (XU)$ we get $X^\varphi = L^\varphi \cap (XU)^\varphi = L \cap (X^\varphi U)$, hence $(X^\varphi)^u = L^u \cap (X^\varphi U)$. On the other hand, $X^u = L^u \cap (XU)$, hence $(X^u)^\varphi = (L^u)^\varphi \cap (XU)^\varphi = L^u \cap (X^\varphi U)$. Thus Claim 1 is proved.

CLAIM 2. $(X^\varphi)^u = (X^u)^\varphi$ for every $u \in \langle U, U^- \rangle$.

Writing $u = u_1 u_2 \dots u_r$ with $u_1, \dots, u_r \in U \cup U^-$, we use induction on r . The case $r = 1$ is just Claim 1. Now assume $r > 1$. Then for $v := u_2 \dots u_r$ we have $(X^\varphi)^u = (X^\varphi)^{u_1 v} = ((X^\varphi)^{u_1})^v$; the latter equality follows from the induction hypothesis. Since Claim 1 also holds if X , U and U^- are replaced by their v -conjugates, we can continue as follows: $((X^\varphi)^{u_1})^v = ((X^\varphi)^{u_1})^\varphi = (X^{u_1})^\varphi$. Thus Claim 2 is proved.

It is well-known that $\langle U, U^- \rangle = G$ (namely, it follows from the fact that $\langle U, U^- \rangle$ is normalized by U , U^- and L , hence by G). Thus it follows from Claim 2 that $X^u = X$. This was to be shown.

3. The case $n = 3$.

LEMMA 8. Let h be a semisimple element of $SL(3, q)$ which is not diagonalizable (over k). Then every exceptional lattice automorphism φ of $G = SL(3, q)/D$ fixes $\langle \bar{h} \rangle$, where \bar{h} denotes the image of h in G .

PROOF. The centralizer S of h in $SL(3, q)$ is cyclic, hence it suffices to show that φ fixes the image T of S in G .

CASE 1. S does not act irreducibly in k^3 .

Then S fixes (exactly) one 2-dimensional subspace E of k^3 , and the restriction map $S \rightarrow GL(E)$ is injective. Let S_0 denote the subgroup

of S consisting of those elements that map to $SL(E)$. Then S_0 acts irreducibly in E (Note that $|S_0| = q + 1$ and therefore S_0 cannot embed into $k \setminus \{0\}$). Hence for the image T_0 of S_0 in G we get $C_G(T_0) = T$ (where $C_G(T_0)$ denotes the centralizer of T_0 in G).

There exists an involution f in $SL(3, q)$ with $s^f = s^{-1}$ for all s in S_0 . By Lemma 6 and Lemma 1 (ii) (if $p \neq 2$) resp. Proposition 1 (if $p = 2$), it follows that φ fixes T_0 . Hence $T_0 \leq T^\varphi$. But with T also T^φ is cyclic, hence $T^\varphi \leq C_G(T_0) = T$. Thus $T^\varphi = T$.

CASE 2. S acts irreducibly in k^3 .

In this case the proof of Lemma 7 will show that $T^\varphi = T$, provided we know that φ fixes the image in G of the group A (and A^s) occurring in the proof of Lemma 7. But this follows as above from Lemma 6, since there exists an involution in $SL(3, q)$ acting on A by inversion.

COROLLARY. Let T be the image in $G = SL(3, q)/D$ of the group of diagonal matrices in $SL(3, q)$. Then the group Φ of exceptional lattice automorphisms of G fixes T , and the restriction map from Φ to the group of lattice automorphisms of T is injective.

PROOF. In the proof of Lemma 1 it was shown that Φ fixes T . The rest of the claim follows from Lemma 8 and the Theorem.

In [5, sect. 3] we gave conditions for a lattice automorphism of T to have an extension to an exceptional lattice automorphism of G . Combining this with the above Corollary we can completely determine the structure of Φ in certain cases: (A closer analysis would allow one to determine Φ in many more cases.) Letting S_m denote the symmetric group on m letters, we have

PROPOSITION 2. *Suppose p is a prime $\equiv -1 \pmod{12}$ and $p - 1$ is square-free. Then the group Φ of exceptional lattice automorphisms of $SL(3, p)$ is isomorphic to*

$$\prod_{i=1}^r (S_3)^{n_i} \times S_{n_i},$$

where the n_i are defined from the odd prime divisors p_1, \dots, p_r of $p - 1$ by $n_i := (p_i - 7)/6$ if $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $n_i := (p_i - 5)/6$ if $p_i \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$.

PROOF. In view of the above Corollary and [5, Prop. 3 and the discussion following it], it suffices to verify the conditions (i)-(iv)

from [5, Prop. 3] for every lattice automorphism λ of T which is the restriction of an exceptional lattice automorphism of $SL(3, p)$. Condition (i) holds by the above Theorem, (iii) follows from Lemma 1 (ii) (since $p - 1 \equiv 10 \pmod{12}$), (iv) follows from (ii) (since $q = p$ is prime) and finally (ii) is easily verified using (i) and the standard arguments involving Lemma 6; we omit the details.

REMARK. (a) In the above situation, not only the structure of Φ as abstract group, but also its action on the subgroups of G can be described explicitly, see [5, sect. 3].

(b) There is some evidence that the groups $SL(n, q)$ for $n > 3$ will not have such an abundance of exceptional lattice automorphisms; e.g. in the case $G = SL(4, q)$ it can be shown with the above methods that Φ is an elementary abelian 2-group (and is trivial if q is even or $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$). It remains an open problem whether Φ can be non-trivial for any $n \geq 4$.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. JACOBSON, *Basic Algebra I*, Freeman, San Francisco, 1974.
- [2] C. METELLI, *I gruppi semplici minimali sono individuati reticolarmente in senso stretto*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **45** (1971), pp. 367-378.
- [3] R. SCHMIDT, *Verbandsautomorphismen der alternierenden Gruppen*, Math. Z., **454** (1977), pp. 71-78.
- [4] M. SUZUKI, *Structure of a group and the structure of its lattice of subgroups*, Springer, Berlin - Göttingen - Heidelberg, 1956.
- [5] H. VÖLKLEIN, *On the lattice automorphisms of the finite Chevalley groups*, submitted to: Indagationes Math.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 16 luglio 1985.