

RENDICONTI  
*del*  
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO  
*della*  
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

M. J. IRANZO

F. PÉREZ MONASOR

**$\mathcal{F}$ -constraint of the automorphism group  
of a finite group**

*Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,*  
tome 73 (1985), p. 23-30

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP\\_1985\\_\\_73\\_\\_23\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1985__73__23_0)

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1985, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

## **$\mathcal{F}$ -Constraint of the Automorphism Group of a Finite Group.**

M. J. IRANZO - F. PÉREZ MONASOR

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a homomorph and we denote  $\mathcal{F}' = \{G \mid S^{\mathcal{F}} = S \forall S \leq G\}$ , we say that a group  $G$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained when there is a maximal normal  $\mathcal{F}$ -subgroup  $\bar{M}$  of  $\tilde{G} = G/G_{\mathcal{F}'}$ , such that  $C_{\tilde{G}}(\bar{M}) \leq \bar{M}$ .

In ([7]), R. Laue proves that if  $G$  has no direct abelian factors and  $C_G(F(G)) \leq F(G)$  (i.e.  $G$  is Nilpotent-constrained) then  $C_{\text{Aut } G}(F(\text{Aut } G)) \leq F(\text{Aut } G)$ .

In ([10]) it is proved for a saturated Fitting formation and a group  $G$  verifying that:

- i)  $G_{\mathcal{F}'} \leq \Phi(G)$ ,
- ii)  $G/G_{\mathcal{F}'}$  has no direct abelian factors,
- iii)  $G$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained,

that  $\text{Aut } G$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained.

The purpose of this paper is mainly to prove the above result when  $\mathcal{F}$  is a homomorph closed for direct products ( $D_0$ -closed) and normal subgroups that is: i) saturated or ii) closed for central extensions.

All group considered are finite.

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a homomorph then the class  $\mathcal{F}'$  is a  $s$ -closed (i.e. closed for subgroups )extensible Fitting formation and a group  $G$  is said  $\mathcal{F}$ -sepa-

(\*) Indirizzo degli AA.: Departamento de Algebra y Fundamentos, Facultad de Ciencias Matemáticas, C/ Doctor Moliner s/n Burjasot (Valencia), Spain.

table if it possesses a normal series :

$$G = G_0 \supseteq G_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq G_r = 1$$

whose factor groups  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  are either  $\mathcal{F}$ -groups or  $\mathcal{F}'$ -groups.

If we do not state the contrary here on we shall suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $n$ -closed (i.e. closed for normal subgroups) homomorph.

The class of  $\mathcal{F}$ -separable groups is an extensible Fitting formation that contains the solvable groups.

The product of all normal semisimple subgroups of a group  $G$  is again a semisimple normal subgroup of  $G$ , and it is denoted by  $L(G)$ . It is called the semisimple radical of  $G$  ([6]).

We use the concepts of semisimple and perfect-quasisimple groups given by Gorenstein and Walter [6].

LEMMA 1 (s. [5] p. 127 or [9] p. III-32). *For every group  $G$ , we have:*

$$C_G(F(G)L(G)) \leq F(G).$$

From the definition and properties of class  $\mathcal{F}'$  it follows that  $G$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained if and only if  $G/N$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained, when  $N \leq G_{\mathcal{F}'}$ .

LEMMA 2. *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a saturated Fitting formation, the following are equivalent. 1)  $G$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained, 2)  $L(\bar{G}) \in \mathcal{F}$  ([8]).*

Our remainder notation is standard and it is based on Huppert's book ([4]).

In the following we shall say that  $\mathcal{F}$  verifies:

- A) If  $\{Q, E_\phi, D_0, S_n\} \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$  ;
- B) If  $\{Q, E_z, D_0, S_n\} \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ , where  $E_z \mathcal{F} = \{G|N; N \leq Z(G), G/N \in \mathcal{F}\}$ .

As a consequence of the following proposition we obtain that the  $\mathcal{F}$ -constraint is equivalent to the constraint with respect to a suitable saturated Fitting formation.

PROPOSITION 3. *If  $\bar{G} = G/G_{\mathcal{F}'}$  and if  $\mathcal{F}$  verifies A or B, the following are equivalent:*

- 1)  $G$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained,
- 2)  $\bar{G}$  is ( $\mathcal{F}$ -separable)-constrained,
- 3)  $L(\bar{G}) \in \mathcal{F}$ .

PROOF. 1)  $\Rightarrow$  2) Obvious.

2)  $\Rightarrow$  3). By lemma 2,  $L(\bar{G})$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -separable, hence  $L(\bar{G})/Z(L(\bar{G}))$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -separable and direct product of non-abelian simple groups ([6]). Moreover, since  $\mathcal{F}'$  is saturated  $(L(\bar{G})/Z(L(\bar{G})))_{\mathcal{F}'} = 1$ , thus  $L(\bar{G})/Z(L(\bar{G})) \in \mathcal{F}$ , but since  $Z(L(\bar{G})) = \Phi(L(\bar{G}))$ ,  $L(\bar{G}) \in \mathcal{F}$ . 3)  $\Rightarrow$  1). Since  $\bar{G}_{\mathcal{F}'} = 1$ , both  $L(\bar{G})$  and  $F(\bar{G})$  are normal  $\mathcal{F}$ -subgroups of  $\bar{G}$  and since  $[L(\bar{G}), F(\bar{G})] = 1$ , then  $L(\bar{G})F(\bar{G})$  is a normal  $\mathcal{F}$ -subgroup of  $\bar{G}$ . Let  $\bar{M}$  be a maximal normal  $\mathcal{F}$ -subgroup of  $\bar{G}$  containing  $L(\bar{G})F(\bar{G})$ , by lemma 1 it follows:

$$C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{M}) < C_{\bar{G}}(L(\bar{G})F(\bar{G})) < F(\bar{G}) < \bar{M}$$

COROLLARY. If  $G$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained group then  $C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{M}) < \bar{M}$  for all maximal normal  $\mathcal{F}$ -subgroups of  $\bar{G}$ .

PROOF. By proposition 3,  $L(\bar{G}) \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $L(C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{M})) \leq L(\bar{G})$  then  $L(C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{M})) \in \mathcal{F}$  hence  $L(C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{M})) < \bar{M}$  by the maximality of  $\bar{M}$  and so  $L(C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{M})) = 1$ , thus  $C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{M})$  is a  $\mathcal{N}$ -constrained group. On the other hand  $F(C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{M})) \in \mathcal{F}$  hence  $F(C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{M})) < \bar{M}$ .

Clearly:

$$C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{M}) < C_{\bar{G}}(F(C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{M}))) \cap C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{M}) = C_{C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{M})}(F(C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{M}))) < F(C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{M})) < \bar{M}$$

REMARKS. The following conditions, are not equivalent: i)  $\bar{G}$  is ( $\mathcal{F}$ -separable)-constrained and ii)  $G$  is ( $\mathcal{F}$ -separable)-constrained. In fact, in [6] it is proved that  $G = C_X(\tau)$ , where  $X = SL(4, 2^a)$ ,  $a > 1$ , and  $\tau$  is the central involution  $I_4 + xE_{14}$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , is 2-constrained, hence  $G$  is (2-separable)-constrained or equivalently (2'-separable)-constrained, however  $G$  is not 2'-constrained. Thus  $G$  is (2'-separable)-constrained but  $G/O_2(G)$  is not (2'-separable)-constrained.

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a saturated Fitting formation it is known that:

i) The class of the  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained groups is a Fitting class that contains the solvable groups ([8])

ii)  $G$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained if and only if  $\text{Inn } G$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained ([10]),

iii) The class of the  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained groups is extensible ([10]). As a consequence of the above proposition, the properties i), ii), iii) are still valid when  $\mathcal{F}$  verifies  $A$  or  $B$ .

LEMMA 4. *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a homomorph that verifies A or B, then if  $G$  is a group without direct abelian factors and  $G_{\mathcal{F}'} = 1$ , it follows  $(\text{Aut } G)_{\mathcal{F}'} = 1$ .*

PROOF. Let  $H$  be a normal  $\mathcal{F}'$ -subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$ , then  $H \cap \text{Inn}(G)$  is a normal  $\mathcal{F}'$ -subgroup of  $\text{Inn}(G)$ ; as  $(G/Z(G))_{\mathcal{F}'} = 1$ , consequently  $H \cap \text{Inn } G = 1$ , thus  $[H, \text{Inn } G] = 1$  and so  $H \leq C_{\text{Aut } G}(\text{Inn } G) \leq F(\text{Aut } G)$  because  $G$  has no direct abelian factors ([7]). Set  $\pi = \text{car } \mathcal{F} = \{p | C_p \in \mathcal{F}\}$ , since  $F(G)$  is nilpotent and  $G_{\mathcal{F}'} = 1$  it follows that  $F(G)$  is a  $\pi$ -group and thus  $F(\text{Aut } G)$  is a  $\pi$ -group ([7]). Since  $H \in \mathcal{F}'$ ,  $H$  is a  $\pi'$ -group and so  $H = 1$ .

COROLLARY 1. *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a homomorph that verifies A or B, and  $G$  a group such that  $G/G_{\mathcal{F}'}$  has no direct abelian factors, then if  $G$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained,  $\text{Aut}(G/G_{\mathcal{F}'})$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained.*

PROOF. As  $G$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained group, so  $G/G_{\mathcal{F}'}$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained. By proposition 3,  $G/G_{\mathcal{F}'}$  is  $(\mathcal{F}$ -separable)-constrained. We can apply (2.6) of [10] to obtain that  $\text{Aut}(G/G_{\mathcal{F}'})$  is  $(\mathcal{F}$ -separable)-constrained. By lemma 4  $(\text{Aut}(G/G_{\mathcal{F}'}))_{\mathcal{F}'} = 1$ , hence by proposition 3,  $\text{Aut}(G/G_{\mathcal{F}'})$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained.

COROLLARY 2. *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a homomorph verifying B, and  $G$  a group without direct abelian factors. If  $G$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained, then  $\text{Aut } G$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained.*

PROOF. If  $\mathcal{F}$  verifies B), then  $\mathcal{F}' = 1$  now we can apply corollary 1, to obtain the result.

However, in the case of saturated homomorph, it does not appear that the constraint of  $\text{Aut } G$  can be obtained as an easy consequence of the constraint of  $\text{Aut}(G/G_{\mathcal{F}'})$ .

THEOREM 5. *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a homomorph verifying A, and  $G$  a group that verifies:*

- i)  $G_{\mathcal{F}'} \leq \Phi(G)$
- ii)  $G/G_{\mathcal{F}'}$  has no direct abelian factors
- iii)  $G$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained.

*Then  $\text{Aut } G$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained.*

PROOF. We denote  $\overline{\text{Aut } G} = \text{Aut } G/(\text{Aut } G)_{\mathcal{F}'}$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{Inn } G} &= \text{Inn } G/(\text{Aut } G)_{\mathcal{F}'}/(\text{Aut } G)_{\mathcal{F}'} \\ &\simeq \text{Inn } G/\text{Inn } G \cap (\text{Aut } G)_{\mathcal{F}'} = \text{Inn } G/(\text{Inn } G)_{\mathcal{F}'} \simeq \\ &\simeq (G/Z(G))/(G/Z(G))_{\mathcal{F}'} = (G/Z(G))/(G_{\mathcal{F}'}Z(G))/Z(G) \simeq \\ &\simeq G/G_{\mathcal{F}'}Z(G). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $G$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained we know that  $\text{Inn}(G)$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained and so  $\overline{\text{Inn } G}$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrained too. By proposition 3,  $L(\overline{\text{Inn } G})$  is a characteristic  $\mathcal{F}$ -subgroup of  $\overline{\text{Inn } G}$ . Since  $(\overline{\text{Inn } G})_{\mathcal{F}'} = 1$ , consequently  $F(\overline{\text{Inn } G})$  is also a characteristic  $\mathcal{F}$ -subgroup of  $\overline{\text{Inn } G}$ . Since  $[L(\overline{\text{Inn } G}), F(\overline{\text{Inn } G})] = 1$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $D_0$ -closed homomorph, hence  $L(\overline{\text{Inn } G})F(\overline{\text{Inn } G})$  is a normal  $\mathcal{F}$ -subgroup of  $\overline{\text{Aut } G}$ . Let  $\overline{M}$  be a maximal normal  $\mathcal{F}$ -subgroup of  $\overline{\text{Aut } G}$  that contains  $L(\overline{\text{Inn } G})F(\overline{\text{Inn } G})$ . Set  $\overline{L} = C_{\overline{\text{Aut } G}}(\overline{M})$ , since  $\overline{L}$  centralizes  $\overline{M}$ ,  $\overline{L}$  will centralize  $L(\overline{\text{Inn } G})F(\overline{\text{Inn } G})$ . Let  $L$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut } G$  such that  $\overline{L} = L/(\text{Aut } G)_{\mathcal{F}'}$ , and let  $H$  be the normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $L(\overline{\text{Inn } G})F(\overline{\text{Inn } G}) \simeq \simeq H/G_{\mathcal{F}'}Z(G)$ . Then  $L$  centralizes  $H/G_{\mathcal{F}'}Z(G)$  and hence  $L$  centralizes  $G/C_G(H/G_{\mathcal{F}'}Z(G))$ , too. Now since  $H/G_{\mathcal{F}'}Z(G) = L(G/G_{\mathcal{F}'}Z(G))F(G/G_{\mathcal{F}'}Z(G))$ , by lemma 1 consequently:

$$C_{G/G_{\mathcal{F}'}Z(G)}(H/G_{\mathcal{F}'}Z(G)) \leq H/G_{\mathcal{F}'}Z(G),$$

hence  $C_G(H/G_{\mathcal{F}'}Z(G)) \leq H$ , and so  $L$  centralizes  $G/H$ , therefore  $L$  stabilizes the series:

$$G/G_{\mathcal{F}'}Z(G) \geq H/G_{\mathcal{F}'}Z(G) \geq 1,$$

thus  $L/C_L(G/G_{\mathcal{F}'}Z(G))$  is nilpotent by a well-known  $P.$  Hall's result. We consider now  $C_{\overline{\text{Aut } G}}(G/G_{\mathcal{F}'}Z(G))$ . This group induces an automorphism group of  $G/G_{\mathcal{F}'}$ , which is isomorphic to:

$$(1) \quad C_{\overline{\text{Aut } G}}(G/G_{\mathcal{F}'}Z(G))/C_{\overline{\text{Aut } G}}(G/G_{\mathcal{F}'})$$

By ii)  $G/G_{\mathcal{F}'}$  has no direct abelian factors, hence we know ([7]) that:

$$C_{\overline{\text{Aut } (G/G_{\mathcal{F}'})}}(G/G_{\mathcal{F}'}Z(G)/G_{\mathcal{F}'})$$

is nilpotent and so:

$$(2) \quad C_{\text{Aut}(G/G_{\mathcal{F}'})}(G/G_{\mathcal{F}'}/Z(G)G_{\mathcal{F}'}/G_{\mathcal{F}'})$$

is nilpotent, too.

Since the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G/G_{\mathcal{F}'})$  isomorphic to (1) is contained in (2), we deduce that (1) is nilpotent. Now, since  $C_{\text{Aut } G}(G/\Phi(G))$  is nilpotent ([11]) and by i)  $C_{\text{Aut } G}(G/G_{\mathcal{F}'})$  is nilpotent too. Consequently  $C_{\text{Aut } G}(G/G_{\mathcal{F}'}/Z(G))$  is solvable and so  $L$  and hence  $\bar{L}$  are solvable. Now  $F(\bar{L}) \in \mathcal{F}$ , hence  $F(\bar{L})\bar{M} \in \mathcal{F}$ , as  $\bar{M}$  is a maximal normal  $\mathcal{F}$ -subgroup of  $\bar{\text{Aut}} G$ , then  $F(\bar{L}) \leq \bar{M}$ , therefore:

$$\bar{L} = C_{\text{Aut } G}(\bar{M}) \leq C_{\text{Aut } G}(F(\bar{L})) \cap \bar{L} = C_{\bar{L}}(F(\bar{L})) \leq F(\bar{L}) \leq \bar{M}.$$

Next, we give some counterexamples which prove that the conditions imposed in theorem 5, are not superfluous.

1) The assumption  $G_{\mathcal{F}'} \leq \Phi(G)$  is necessary.

It is enough to take  $G = C_3 \times C_3 \times C_3 \times Q$  where  $Q$  is the quaternion group of order 8. The  $\text{Aut } G = GL(3, 3) \times S_4$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is the class of 2-groups, then  $G_{\mathcal{F}'} = C_3 \times C_3 \times C_3$  is not a subgroup of  $\Phi(G) = Z(Q)$ . Make note that  $G$  is 2-constrained because it is solvable, now, if  $\text{Aut } G$  is 2-constrained,  $GL(3, 3)$  would be also 2-constrained and hence his normal subgroup  $SL(3, 3)$  would be also 2-constrained, but  $SL(3, 3)$  is a simple group that is neither a 2-group nor a 2'-group and therefore it is not 2-constrained group.

2) The condition that  $G/G_{\mathcal{F}'}$  has no direct abelian factors is equally necessary. We can consider  $G = C_3 \times C_3 \times C_3$  and as  $\mathcal{F}$  the class of nilpotent groups, then  $G$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -constrained but  $\text{Aut } G$  is not  $\mathcal{N}$ -constrained, because  $GL(3, 3)$  is not  $\mathcal{N}$ -constrained by a similar argument, to the above one.

Finally, we give some examples of classes verifying  $A$  or  $B$  that are not saturated Fitting formations

a) The class of the supersolvable groups is a formation that verifies  $A$  and  $B$  but it is not a Fitting class.

b) Let  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{N}\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{S}_\pi$  be, where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the class of abelian groups and  $\mathcal{S}_\pi$  is the class of  $\pi$ -groups,  $\pi$  is a set of prime numbers. This class is a  $n$ -closed saturated formation but it is neither a Fitting class nor

$E_2$ -closed. Of course if we take  $\pi = \{2\}$  and  $G = C_5 \times Q$  where  $Q$  is the quaternion group of order 8, then  $G/Z(G) \simeq C_2 \times C_2 \in \mathcal{F}$  but  $G \notin \mathcal{F}$ . On the other hand if we consider:

$$\pi = \{2, 5\}, \quad G = [C_5 \times C_5] \cdot Q_8,$$

$$C = [C_5 \times C_5] \langle a \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad D = [C_5 \times C_5] \langle b \rangle$$

where  $Q = \langle a, b \mid a^4 = 1, a^2 = b^2, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle$  then  $C, D \in \mathcal{F}$  but  $G \notin \mathcal{F}$  because  $G'$  is not nilpotent.

c) The class of solvable groups with absolute arithmetic 3-rank a 3'-number is a not saturated Fitting formation [3]; but it is an  $E_2$ -closed class, because the 3-chief factors under  $Z(G)$  have order 3.

d) Following Cossey, we consider inside solvable groups, the class  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathcal{S}_p \mathcal{S}_{p'} \mathcal{S}_p$  where  $\mathcal{S}_{p'}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_p$  are the class of  $p'$ -groups and  $p$ -groups respectively. We denote by  $c_p(G)$  the least common multiple of the absolute degrees of the complemented  $p$ -chief factors. Thus the class:

$$\mathfrak{Y} = \{G \in \mathfrak{X} \mid c_p(G) \text{ is coprime to } p\}$$

is both a Fitting class and a Schunck class but it is not a formation [1]. This class verifies  $A$  and also satisfies  $B$  by a similar argument to that of c).

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] J. COSSEY, *On Fitting classes that are Schunck classes*, J. Aust. Math. Soc., (A), **30** (1981), pp. 381-384.
- [2] P. HALL, *Some sufficient conditions for a group to be nilpotent*, Illinois J. Math., **2** (1958), pp. 787-801.
- [3] T. HAWKES, *On Fitting formations*, Math. Z., **117** (1970), pp. 177-182.
- [4] B. HUPPERT, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer-Verlag, 1967.
- [5] HUPPERT - BLACKBURN, *Finite Groups III*, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [6] GORENSTEIN - WALTER, *The  $\pi$ -layer of a finite group*, Illinois J. Math., **15** (1972), pp. 555-564.
- [7] R. LAUE, *Zur Charakterisierung der Fitting-gruppe der Automorphismen-gruppe einer endliche Gruppe*, J. of Algebra, **40** (1976), pp. 618-626.



- [8] F. PÉREZ MONASOR, *Grupos finitos separados respecto de una formación de Fitting*, Rev. Acad. Ciencias de Zaragoza, Serie 2<sup>a</sup>, XXVIII, 3 (1973), pp. 253-301.
- [9] F. PÉREZ MONASOR, *Extensión de un teorema de Gorenstein-Walter. Grupos  $\mathcal{F}$ -constrictos*, III Jornadas Mat. Hispano-Lusas, III-28-35 (1974), Sevilla.
- [10] F. PÉREZ MONASOR,  *$\mathcal{F}$ -constrained Groups*, Conference in the University of Mainz, Mainz (1983).
- [11] P. SCHMID, *Über die Automorphismengruppen endlicher Gruppen*, Arch. Math., **23** (1972), pp. 236-242.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 23 settembre 1983 ed in forma riveduta il 27 marzo 1984.