RENDICONTI del SEMINARIO MATEMATICO della UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

GIULIANO MAZZANTI MASSIMO MIROLLI

Löb operators and interior operators

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, tome 65 (1981), p. 77-84

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1981__65__77_0

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1981, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

$\mathcal{N}_{\text{UMDAM}}$

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

Löb Operators and Interior Operators.

GIULIANO MAZZANTI - MASSIMO MIROLLI (*)

Summary - In the theory of Boolean algebras, many kinds of operators have been studied: for istance the ones called Löb operators (see [3] and [5]) and interior operators (see [3] and [4]). In this paper we analyze some links between these two types of operators and in particular we give a characterization of the interior operator which may be expressed by $x \cdot \tau x$ where τ is a Löb operator. It can be noticed that, using the language of modal logic, this interior operator is nothing else but Smorynski's modal operator s (see [6]).

Sunto - Sono state ampiamente studiate le algebre di Boole con un operatore di Löb (vedi [3] e [5]) e quelle con un operatore di interno (vedi [3] e [4]). In questo lavoro si analizzano alcuni legami tra questi operatori. In particolare si caratterizzano gli operatori di interno esprimibili mediante $x \cdot \tau x$ (τ è un operatore di Löb). È da rilevare che, tradotto nel linguaggio della logica modale, a questo operatore di interno corrisponde l'operatore modale s di Smorynski (vedi [6]).

Introduction and notations.

We shall indicate by $\mathfrak{B} = \langle B, +, \cdot, \nu, 0, 1 \rangle$ a boolean algebra and by τ and I respectively a Löb operator and an interior operator, i.e.

(*) Indirizzo degli AA.: Istituto di Matematica, Via del Capitano 15, 53100 Siena.

two functions from B to B respectively satisfying the following axioms:

Our purpose is to study some links between these kinds of operators. It is easy to verify that, given a Löb operator τ , if we let $Ix = x \cdot \tau x$, then I is an interior operator, that we will call the interior operator associated to the Löb operator. We can note the fact that the condition τ_3 can be successfully substituted, to this purpose, by the weaker condition $\tau x \leqslant \tau^2 x$, and, on the other hand, that this condition is used only for demostrating I_3 .

As usual we shall denote by $\varphi^n a$ the *n*-th reiterate application of the operator φ . According to the topological language we shall call open, an element of B such that. x = Ix.

1. First results.

The first problem we are concerned with, consists of the question: can every interior operator be obtained in the way we have shown before? i.e. for every boolean algebra \mathfrak{B} and every interior operator I, does there exist a Löb operator τ on \mathfrak{B} such that, for each element x of B, there holds: $Ix = x \cdot \tau x$?

The answer is negative.

Counterexample 1-1. Let \mathfrak{B} be a non-simple boolean algebra (i.e. B consists of more than two elements) and let I be defined in the following way:

$$I(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to see that I is an interior operator on \mathfrak{B} , while I is not associated to any Löb operator.

In fact let, by absurd, τ be a Löb operator such that $Ix = x \cdot \tau x$ for each $x \in B$.

Two cases are possible:

- a) $\tau 0 \neq 1$. Then, since it must be $x \cdot \tau x = 0$ for each $x \neq 1$, it should hold $I(\tau 0) = 0$ that is, $0 = I(\tau 0) = \tau 0(\tau^2 0) = \tau 0$ but this result, together with τ_3), contradicts τ_1).
- b) $\tau 0 = 1$. Then Ix = x but, since \mathfrak{B} is not simple, taking $a \in B$ with $0 \neq a \neq 1$, we obtain Ia = a, which is absurd by the definition of I.

One could set the problem in a weaker way: given a boolean algebra \mathfrak{B} and an interior operator I on \mathfrak{B} , do there exist a boolean algebra \mathfrak{B}^* and a Löb operator τ on \mathfrak{B}^* such that \mathfrak{B} is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{B}^* and, for every $x \in B$, there holds $x \cdot \tau x = Ix$? Unfortunately also this second conjecture is wrong.

Counterexample 1-2. Let \mathfrak{B} be the four elements boolean algebra and I the interior operator as defined in the counterexample 1-1. Let $a \in B$ and $0 \neq a \neq 1$. It should hold $a \cdot \tau a = \nu a \cdot \tau \nu a = 0$; it follows $\tau a = \tau (a \cdot \tau a) = \tau 0 = \tau (\nu a \cdot \tau \nu a) = \tau \nu a$. On the other hand from $a \leqslant \tau a$ and $\nu a \leqslant \nu \tau \nu a$ we can deduce:

$$1 = a + \nu a \leqslant \nu \tau a + \nu \tau \nu a = \nu \tau 0$$
 i.e. $0 = \tau 0$

which is absurd.

Now we give some simple results.

THEOREM 1-3. Let I be the interior operator on \mathfrak{B} associated with the Löb operator τ . The following conditions are sufficient, for an element $a \in B$, to be open for I:

- i) a belongs to the range of τ ;
- ii) a is such that $a \geqslant \tau a$ or $a \leqslant \tau a$.

PROOF. i) is obvious since for every $a \in B$, $\tau a \leqslant \tau^2 a$;

ii) If
$$a \geqslant \tau a$$
, it easily follows that $a = 1$; if $a \leqslant \tau a$ then $Ia = a \cdot \tau a = a$.

As we have just seen, the indiscrete topology (the one that admits just 0 and 1 as open elements) does not derive from any τ .

It is obvious, instead, that the discrete topology comes at least

from the Löb operator defined by: $\tau a = 1$ for each $a \in B$. The following theorem states that this is the only one that gives origin to the discrete topology.

THEOREM 1-4. Let τ be a Löb operator on \mathfrak{B} . Then $a \cdot \tau a = a$ for each $a \in B$ iff $\tau a = 1$ for each $a \in B$.

PROOF. Let $a \cdot \tau a = a$ for each $a \in B$. If we set $a = \nu \tau b$ (with $b \in B$) then we obtain $\tau(\nu \tau b) \cdot \nu \tau b = \nu \tau b$ and since we have $\tau(\nu \tau b) = \tau 0$, there holds $\nu \tau b < \tau 0$; and then $\tau b + \tau 0 = 1$; but, as we know, $\tau 0 < \tau b$ and so there holds $\tau b = \tau 0 + \tau b = 1$.

The other implication is obvious.

The next question may be, if this result can be generally or in other words if it is true that every time that an interior operator come out from a τ then this τ is unique.

We shall see, in the following paragraphs, that the answer is affirmative. However we can give at once, a direct proof of this fact, in the hypothesis that B is finite.

THEOREM 1-5. Let B be a finite boolean algebra.

If τ_1 and τ_2 are two Löb operators over \mathfrak{B} , such that $x \cdot \tau_1 x = x \cdot \tau_2 x$ for each $x \in B$, then $\tau_1 = \tau_2$.

Proof. We shall show that:

- i) If x is a co-atom of \mathfrak{B} , and τ a Löb operator on \mathfrak{B} then $x + \tau x = 1$.
- ii) If x is a co-atom of \mathfrak{B} and $x \cdot \tau_1 x = x \cdot \tau_2 x$ then $\tau_1 x = \tau_2 x$.
- iii) If $x = x_1 \cdot x_2$, where x_1 and x_2 are co-atoms such that $\tau_1 x_1 = \tau_2 x_1$ and $\tau_1 x_2 = \tau_2 x_2$, then $\tau_1 x = \tau_2 x$.

From these statements the theorem follows quite easily.

- i) If x is a co-atom then, being $x + \tau x \ge x$, it may hold $x + \tau x = x$ or $x + \tau x = 1$. If $x + \tau x = x$ then $\tau x \le x$, from which there follows $\tau x = 1$. Therefore $x + \tau x = 1$.
- ii) If x is co-atom then $x + \tau_1 x = x + \tau_2 x$. There holds:

$$au_1 x = au_1 x \cdot (x + au_2 x) = au_1 x \cdot x + au_1 x \cdot au_2 x = au_2 x \cdot x + au_2 x \cdot au_1 x =$$

$$= au_2 x (x + au_1 x) = au_2 x .$$

iii) Quite trivial.

2. Characterization.

In this section we give a theorem which characterizes the interior operators that come out from a Löb operator.

Let an interior operator I on boolean algebra \mathfrak{B} be given and be $P_x(y)$ the following property:

« for each $z \in B$ such that $x \leqslant z \leqslant y$, there holds z = Iz».

Theorem 2-1. Let I be an interior operator on \mathfrak{B} .

I is associated to a Löb operator τ iff

(*) for every x such that $x \neq 1$ and x = Ix, there exists an element of B, y > x such that $P_x(y)$ and for every t with $P_x(t)$ there holds $t \leq y$; i.e. the set of the y such that $P_x(y)$ has a maximum, strictly greater than x.

PROOF. Let I and τ be as before and let $x \neq 1$ with $x = Ix = x \cdot \tau x$. Obviously $x < \tau x$. We shall show that $P_x(\tau x)$ and that for each y such that $P_x(y)$, there holds $y \leqslant \tau x$. Let $x \leqslant z \leqslant \tau x$. Applying τ , there follows $\tau x \leqslant \tau z \leqslant \tau^2 x$ and then $z \leqslant \tau x \leqslant \tau z$, namely $z \cdot \tau z = z$. Hence $P_x(\tau x)$ holds.

Let now y be such that x < y and $P_x(y)$ and let us show that $y < \tau x$.

Obviously $x < x + y \cdot \nu \tau x \le y$ hence $x + y \cdot \nu \tau x$ is open and then

$$x + y \cdot \nu \tau x = (x + y) \cdot (x + \nu \tau x) =$$

$$= (x + y) \cdot (x + \nu \tau x) \cdot \tau ((x + y) \cdot (x + \nu \tau x)) =$$

$$= (x + y) \cdot (x + \nu \tau x) \cdot \tau (x + y) \cdot \tau x = (x + y) \cdot (x + \nu \tau x) \cdot \tau x =$$

$$= (x + y) \cdot x \cdot \tau x = x \cdot \tau x = x.$$

That is to say that $y \cdot \nu \tau x \leqslant x$; and, being $x < \tau x$ we can conclude $y \cdot \nu \tau x = 0$ i.e. $y \leqslant \tau x$.

For the right—to—left direction, let us suppose that I is an interior operator with the property (*) and let u be such that u = Iu; let us set $\mu u = \{\max y : P_u(y)\}$. Let us define τ on $\mathfrak B$ in the following way: $\tau x = \mu(Ix)$.

We want to show that τ is a Löb operator, and that $x \cdot \tau x = Ix$ for every x of B. These facts follow from the following lemmas:

Lemma 2-2. $\tau 1 = 1$.

PROOF. Trivial.

LEMMA 2-3. $\tau(a \cdot b) = \tau a \cdot \tau b$.

PROOF. For our purpose it is enough to show that, if x and y are open, then $\mu(x \cdot y) = \mu x \cdot \mu y$. Let us show first that $\mu x \cdot \mu y \leqslant \mu(x \cdot y)$. Let z be such that $x \cdot y \leqslant z \leqslant \mu x \cdot \mu y$. Then there hold:

$$x \leq \mu x \cdot (x + z) \leq \mu x$$

and

$$y \leqslant \mu y \cdot (y + z) \leqslant \mu y;$$

from which, there follows:

$$I(\mu x \cdot (x+z)) = \mu x \cdot (x+z)$$
 i.e. $\mu x \cdot (x+z)$ is open;
 $I(\mu y \cdot (y+z)) = \mu y \cdot (y+z)$ i.e. $\mu y \cdot (y+z)$ is open;
 $(\mu x \cdot (x+z)) \cdot (\mu y \cdot (y+z)) = \mu x \cdot \mu y \cdot (x+z) \cdot (y+z) =$
 $= \mu x \cdot \mu y \cdot (z+x\cdot y) = \mu x \cdot \mu y \cdot z = z$ i.e. z is open.

Hence there holds $P_{x,y}(\mu x \cdot \mu y)$ and then $\mu x \mu y \leq \mu(x \cdot y)$.

Conversely let now z be such that $x \leqslant z \leqslant \mu(x \cdot y)$; then we have: $x \cdot y \leqslant z \leqslant \mu(x \cdot y)$ i.e. z is open.

Hence there holds $P_x(\mu(x\cdot y))$ and then $\mu(x\cdot y) \leq \mu x$.

Analogously it can be shown that $\mu(x \cdot y) \leq \mu y$.

It follows that $\mu(x \cdot y) \leq \mu x \cdot \mu y$.

LEMMA 2-4. $\tau(\tau x \to x) \leqslant \tau x$.

PROOF. It is well known (see [5]) that it is equivalent to prove that:

- i) $\tau \tau x \gg \tau x$ and
- ii) If $\tau x \leqslant x$, then x = 1.
- i) It follows to $\mu I(\mu(Ix)) > \mu(Ix)$ and this is obvious.
- ii) Let $\tau x \leqslant x$. Then $\mu(Ix) \leqslant x$ therefore $I(\mu(Ix)) \leqslant Ix$ that is $\mu(Ix) = Ix$. Hence x = 1.

LEMMA 2-5. $Ix = x \cdot \tau x$.

Proof. Obviously $Ix \leq x \cdot \mu(Ix)$.

On the other hand, being $Ix \leqslant x \cdot \mu(Ix) \leqslant \mu(Ix)$, $x \cdot \mu(Ix)$ is open, and there holds $Ix \leqslant x \cdot \mu(Ix) \leqslant x$.

Applying I we obtain $Ix \leqslant I(x \cdot \mu(Ix)) \leqslant Ix$ and, since $x \cdot \mu(Ix)$ is open, $Ix = x \cdot \mu(Ix)$.

As a corollary we can easily obtain the generalization of the theorem 1-5.

COROLLARY 2-6. If an interior operator I is associated to a Löb operator τ , this is unique.

PROOF. Let us suppose that the interior operator I comes out from two Löb operators τ_1 , τ_2 ; then $x \cdot \tau_1 x = x \cdot \tau_2 x$ for every x of B.

If x is open, then $x \cdot \tau_1 x = x \cdot \tau_2 x = x$; and, from the previous theorem, $\tau_1 x = \max \{y : P_x(y)\} = \tau_2 x$.

Now, for every x of B, $x \cdot \tau_1 x$ is open and, by hypothesis, equal to $x \cdot \tau_2 x$. Therefore, there holds:

$$\tau_1 x = \tau_1 x \cdot \tau_1^2 x = \tau_1 (x \cdot \tau_2 x) = \tau_2 (x \cdot \tau_2 x) = \tau_2 x \cdot \tau_2^2 x = \tau_2 x.$$

3. Final remarks.

In the previous paragraphs we have provided a characterization of the interior operator that may be associated to a Löb operator; however this characterization has not been given in terms of equations.

A complete discussion of this matter in terms of model logic can be found for istance in [6, p. 96] or in [8, p. 40]. The required equations can be get by the algebraic translation of the axioms of S4Grz, i.e. the logic obtained by adding to S4 the schema

$$\Box(\Box(A \to \Box A) \to A) \to A .$$

REFERENCES

[1] C. Bernardi, On the equational class of diagonalizable algebra (the algebraization of the theory which express theorem), Studia Logica, (4) 34 (1975), pp. 321-331.

- [2] G. Birkhoff, Lattice Theory, 3rd ed., Am. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ., vol. XXV (1967).
- [3] P. R. Halmos, Algebraic logic. I: Monadic Boolean algebras. Compositio Mathematicae, 12 (1955), pp. 217-249 (reprinted in Algebraic logic, Chelsea Publ. comp. N. Y., 1962).
- [4] B. Jonsson A. Tarski, Boolean algebras with operators, Part I, American Mathematical Journal, 13 (1951), pp. 891-936.
- [5] R. Magari, Representation and duality theory for diagonalizable algebras (the algebraization of the theories which express Theor; IV), Studia Logica, (4) 34 (1975), pp. 305-313.
- [6] K. SEGERBERG, An Essay in Classical Model Logic, Vol. 2, Filosofiska Studier, Uppsala 1971.
- [7] C. SMORYNSKI, The derivability condition and Löb's theorem; a short course in modal logic, to appear.
- [8] R. GOLDBLATT, Aritmetical necessity, probability and intuitionistic logic, Theoria, 44 (1978, pp. 38-74.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 19 luglio 1980