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# Structure Theorems for Modifications of Complex Spaces. 

G. Tomassini (*)

In this paper we are concerned with the modification of complex spaces. Given such a modification $f:\left(Y^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(Y, X), Y^{\prime} \subset X^{\prime}, Y \subset X$, we consider the problem of a «description» of $f$. In this direction the main problem is the following: under what hypothesis is the given modification isomorphic to the monoidal transformation of $X$ along $Y$ ? The main results of the paper are that this is the case when:
a) $X^{\prime}$ is normal, $Y^{\prime}$ is an irreducible projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L})$ on $Y$ and the ideal $I_{Y^{\prime}}$ of $Y^{\prime}$ is invertible (Theorem 3.2), or
b) $Y^{\prime}$ is irreducible, $I_{Y^{\prime}}$ is invertible, $Y$ and $X$ are smooth (Theorem 3.7).

When $X^{\prime}$ is smooth Theorem 3.7 was proved by Moǐšezon ([6]). An algebraic analogue of the theorem was proved by A. Lascu ([5]).

In § 1,2 we prove some results on meromorphic maps between complex spaces and on the dimension of the exceptional set $Y^{\prime}$ of a modification.

## 1. Preliminaries.

1) Let $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ be a (reduced and connected) complex space. Let $\mathcal{N}_{X}$ be the sheaf of the germs of meromorphic functions on $X$.

We say that a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of complex spaces is bimero-
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morphic if the homomorphism $M_{Y} \rightarrow f_{*} \mathcal{M}_{X}$ is an isomorphism. It can be proved that if $Y$ is normal and $f^{-1}(y)$ is finite for every $y \in Y$, then $f$ is an open embedding. Moreover the fibres of a bimeromorphic morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ (where $Y$ is normal) are connected.

Let $X, Y$ be irreducible. A meromorphic map $F: X \rightarrow Y$ is an irreducible analytic subset $F$ of $X \times Y$ such that: there are an analytic subset $A \subsetneq X$ and an analytic subset $F_{1} \subset F$ such that $F \backslash F_{1}$ is the graph of a morphism $X \backslash A \rightarrow Y$.

In particular one has $F_{1}=\operatorname{pr}_{x}^{-1}(A) \cap F$ ( $F$ being irreducible). For every subset $Z \subset X$ we put $F(Z)=\operatorname{pr}_{Y}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{X}^{-1}(Z) \cap F\right)$ and we call $F(Z)$ the image of $Z$ by $F$. A point $x \in A$ is said to be regular for $F$ if there is a neighborhood $U$ of $x$ and a morphism $f: U \rightarrow Y$ such that $\left.f\right|_{J \backslash \Lambda}=F_{J \backslash A}$.

Let $\Omega=\Omega(F)$ be the subset of regular points of $F: \Omega$ is open and Sing $(F)=X \backslash \Omega$ is called the singular locus of $F$. Let $X$ be normal. Then it can be proved ([11]) that:
(i) if $F(x)$ is compact and $\neq \emptyset$ for every $x$, then $\operatorname{Sing}(F)$ is an analytic subset of codimension $\geqslant 2$;
(ii) a point $x$ is regular for $F$ iff $F(x)$ has a connected component of dimension 0 .
2) Let $X$ be a complex space. We shall say that $X$ is meromorphically separated if for $x, y \in X, x \neq y$, there is a meromorphic function $f$ on $X$, regular at $x, y$, such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$.

Let $\mathfrak{L}$ be an invertible sheaf on $X$ and denote by $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{L})$ the graded algebra $\underset{n=0}{+\infty} \Gamma\left(X, \mathfrak{L}^{\otimes n}\right)$ and by $Q(\mathfrak{L})$ the quotient field of $\mathbf{A}(\mathfrak{L}) . Q(\mathcal{L})$ is a field of meromorphic functions.

Proposition 1.1. Let $X$ be compact and normal and $Q(\mathcal{L})$ separates the points of $X$. Then $X$ is projective.

Proof. Let $s_{0}, \ldots, s_{k} \in \Gamma\left(X, \mathfrak{L}^{\otimes r}\right)$ be such that:

$$
\bigcup_{i=0}^{k}\left\{x \in X: s_{i}(x)=0\right\}=\emptyset \quad \text { and } \quad f_{i j}=s_{i} / s_{j}, i, j=0, \ldots, k
$$

separate points of $X$. Let $\mathbb{P}^{k}=\mathbb{P}^{k}(\mathbf{C})$ and $f$ be the morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{k}$ defined by $x \mapsto\left(s_{0}(x), \ldots, s_{k}(x)\right)$. $f$ is a one-to-one, proper map and $f^{-1}$ is continuous from $f(X)$ to $X$. Let $N=N(X)$ be the open subset
of the normal points of $f(X) ; g=f^{-1}$ is holomorphic on $N$. Let $\nu: f(X)^{*} \rightarrow f(X)$ be the normalization of $f(X) ; f(X)^{*}$ is a projective variety and $\varphi=\nu^{-1} \circ f$ is a memomorphic map $X \rightarrow f(X)^{*}$ which is a morphism on $X \backslash f^{-1}(f(X) \backslash N)$. We have $\mu(x) \subset \nu^{-1}(f(x))$ for every $x \in X$ and furthermore Sing $(\varphi)$ is an analytic subset of codimension $\geqslant 2$. Let $x \in \operatorname{Sing}(\varphi)$ and $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\imath} \in \nu^{-1}(f(x))$. Let $H$ be a hyperplane section of $f(X)^{*}$ such that $y_{i} \notin H, i=1, \ldots, l$. Then $V=f(X)^{*} \backslash H$ is an affine variety, $x \in f^{-1}(v(\boldsymbol{H}))$ and $\left.\left.\varphi\left(X \backslash f^{-1}\right) v(H)\right)\right) \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(\varphi) \subset f(X)^{*} \backslash H$ for every $x \in X$. It follows that $p$ extends to a morphism $\tilde{\varphi}: X \backslash f^{-1}$. $\cdot(\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{H})) \rightarrow V$. This proves that $\varphi$ extends on $X$ and $\varphi(x) \in \boldsymbol{v}^{-1}(f(x))$. Hence $\varphi$ is one-to-one and so is an isomorphism between $X$ and $f(X)^{*}$.

## 2. Modifications.

1) Let $X$ be a (connected) complex space, $Y$ a complex subspace, $I_{Y}$ the ideal of $Y$ and $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ the monoidal trasformation of $X$ with center $Y([6])$. The universal property of $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is the following: for every complex space $Z$ and for every morphism $f: Z \rightarrow X$ such that $f^{*} I_{Y}$ is an invertible ideal there is a morphism $g: Z \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ (unique up to isomorphisms) such that $\pi \circ g=f$. In particular if $\tilde{X}=\pi^{-1}(Y)$ one has $I_{\tilde{Y}}=\pi^{*} I_{\boldsymbol{r}}$.

Remark. If $f^{*} I_{Y}$ is invertible on the complement of a proper analytic subset $A$ of $Z$, then $g$ is a meromorphic map $Z \rightarrow \tilde{X}$.

We denote by $f:\left(Y^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(Y, X)$ a modification of irreducible complex spaces and we will refer to $Y^{\prime}$ as to the exceptional subset of the given modification ([6], [9]).

We say that the modification is
a) regular if $Y$ and $X$ are both smooth,
b) a point-modification if $Y$ is zero-dimensional.

In the sequel we shall be concerned with the following problem: under what hypothesis is the modification $f:\left(Y^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(Y, X)$ isomorphic to the monoidal transformation of $X$ with center $Y$ ? As we shall see later, conditions may be placed on properties of the embedding $Y^{\prime} \hookrightarrow X^{\prime}$ or on properties of the embedding $Y \hookrightarrow X$.
2) Now let us establish some geometrical properties of regular modifications.

THEOREM 2.1. Let $f:\left(Y^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(Y, X)$ be a regular modification of $n$-dimensional complex spaces. Then
(i) if $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{C}} Y=0, Y^{\prime}$ is of pure dimension $n-1$,
(ii) $Y^{\prime}$ is of dimension $n-1$ and it is of dimension $\geqslant n-2$ at every point $x \in Y^{\prime}$,
(iii) the connected components of $Y^{\prime}$ of dimension $n-2$ are fibres.

In particular if $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{C}} \operatorname{Sing}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \leqslant n-3$ then $Y^{\prime}$ is of pure dimension $n-1$.

Proof. We first remark that for algebraic varieties (or for algebraic spaces as well) it can be proved that $Y^{\prime}$ is actually of pure dimension $n-1$ ([5]). From this remark the affirmation (i) follows immediately.

We shall prove (ii) by induction on $n$. Let $d=\operatorname{dim}_{C} Y, a \in Y$ and $p=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{C}} f^{-1}(a)$. Let $U$ be a neighborhood of $a$ in $X$ such that: $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{C}} f^{-1}(y) \leqslant p$ for every $y \in U, U$ is a fibration $\varphi: U \rightarrow \gamma$, where $\gamma$ is an analytic curve, and $Y_{\lambda}=U_{\lambda} \cap Y, U_{\lambda}=\varphi^{-1}(\lambda)$, is a submanifold of dimension $d-1$. Let us assume $U_{\lambda}$ is defined by $h_{\lambda}=0$, - $h_{\lambda}$ holomorphic, and let $V_{\lambda}=\overline{f^{-1}\left(U_{\lambda} \backslash Y\right)} . \quad V_{\lambda}$ is an irreducible analytic subset of $f^{-1}(U)$ and $f_{\lambda}=\left.f\right|_{V_{\lambda}}$ gives a modification $V_{\lambda} \rightarrow U$ with exceptional subset $E_{\lambda}=V_{\lambda} \cap f^{-1}(Y)$.

Let $\lambda_{0} \in \gamma$; by the induction hypothesis one has two possibilities: a) $E_{\lambda_{0}}$ is of pure dimension $\left.n-2 ; b\right) E_{\lambda_{0}}$ is reduced to a point and $f_{\lambda_{0}}$ is an isomorphism.

In the case $b$ ), for every point 0 of $Y_{k_{0}}$ the corresponding fibre of $f$ is either of dimension 0 or it has an irreducible component of dimension 1 (actually $\operatorname{dim}_{C} V_{\lambda} \cap f^{-1}(y)=0$ ). In the first case we have that $\operatorname{dim}_{C} f^{-1}\left(y_{0}\right)=0$ for an $y_{0} \in Y_{\lambda_{0}}$ and therefore for all $y$ in a neighborhood. It follows that $f$ is a local isomorphism. In the second one $f^{-1}\left(Y_{\lambda_{0}}\right)$ has an irreducible component of dimension $d \leqslant n-2$. This is impossible because then the analytic subset defined by $h_{\lambda_{0}} \circ f=0$ would have an irreducible component of codimension $>1$.

Let us suppose that case a) holds so that $E_{\lambda_{0}}$ is of pure dimension $n-2$. From the above discussion it follows that $E_{\lambda}$ is of pure dimension $n-2$ for every $\lambda \in \gamma$, thus $\operatorname{dim}_{C} Y^{\prime}=n-1$. Now assume

$$
Y^{\prime}=Y_{1}^{\prime} \cup \ldots \cup Y_{\imath}^{\prime} \cup Z_{1} \cup \ldots \cup Z_{k}
$$

where $\bar{Y}_{j}^{\prime}$ is irreducible and $(n-1)$-dimensional for $j=1, \ldots, l$ and
$Z_{i}$ is irreducible of dimension $\leqslant n-2$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$. We have $f\left(Y_{i}^{\prime}\right)=Y$ for at least one $i$ (and suppose $i=1$ ) and $Y_{i}^{\prime} \cap Y_{l}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$, $Z_{j} \cap Y_{l}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ for every $i, j$ (the fibres being connected). Let $y_{1}=f\left(x_{1}\right)$ where $x_{1} \in Z_{1} \backslash Y_{1}^{\prime}$ and let $V_{0}$ be a submanifold of $U$ through $y_{0}$ defined by $h=0$. The analytic subset $Y$, defined by $h \circ f=0$, is of pure dimension $n-1$ and $\overline{f^{-1}\left(V_{0} \backslash Y\right)}$ is an irreducible component of $W$. Let $W_{0}$ be an irreducible component of $W$ containing $x_{0}$; then: $W_{0} \subset Z_{1}$ and $\left.f\right|_{W_{0}}$ gives a modification $W_{0} \rightarrow V_{0}$. It follows that $W_{0} \cap Z_{1}$ is of pure dimension $n-2$ or that $\left.f\right|_{W_{0}}$ is an isomorphism. In view of the fact that $W_{0} \cap Z_{1}$ is the zero-set of $\left.h \circ f\right|_{Z_{1}}$ and that $Z_{1}$ is irreducible, we have $Z_{1} \subset W_{0}$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{C} Z_{1}=n-2$. This proves part (ii) of the statement.

If $x_{1}^{\prime} \in Z_{1} \backslash Y_{1}^{\prime}$ is another point such that $f\left(x_{1}^{\prime}\right)=y_{1}^{\prime} \neq y_{1}$ then, by repeating the above argument with respect to a variety $V_{1}$ through $x_{1}^{\prime}$ parallel to $V_{0}$, we get a contradiction. Therefore we have $f\left(Z_{j}\right)=y_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, k$. In particular every $Z_{j}$ is compact and the connected components of $\bigcup_{j=1}^{k} Z_{j}$ are fibres. This proves part (iii) of the statement. Finally, if $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{C}} \operatorname{Sing}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \leqslant n-3$, then $Z_{j} \notin \operatorname{Sing}\left(X^{\prime}\right), j=1, \ldots, k$; in view of the jacobian criterium $f$ is an isomorphism at every point of $Z_{j} \backslash \operatorname{Sing}\left(X^{\prime}\right), j=1, \ldots, k$, therefore $Z_{1}=\ldots=Z_{k}=\emptyset$ and $Y^{\prime}$ is of pure dimension $n-1$.

Remark. It was proved in [10] that if $X^{\prime}$ is meromorphically separated and $X$ is locally factorial (i.e., the local rings $\mathcal{O}_{x, x}$ are U.F.D.) then $Y^{\prime}$ is of pure codimension 1.

Corollary 2.2. Let $f: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be a proper morphism of irreducible complex spaces and let $Y^{\prime} \subset X^{\prime}, Y \subset X$ be irreducible complex subspaces of codimension 1 such that $f\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=Y$. Assume $X$ smooth and that $\left.f\right|_{X^{\prime} \backslash Y^{\prime}}$ is an isomorphism onto $X \backslash Y$. Then $f$ is an isomorphism.

## 3. Structure theorems.

1) Let us go back to the initial problem, i.e., the description of modification of complex spaces.

If $X$ is a complex space and $Y$ is a complex subspace we shall denote by $\pi:(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X}) \rightarrow(Y, X)$ the monoidal transformation of $X$ with center $Y$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $f:\left(Y^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(Y, X)$ be a modification where $Y^{\prime}$ is irreducible, $I_{\tilde{Y}}$ and $f^{*} I_{F}$ are invertible. Assume $\tilde{X}$ is locally factorial and that $\tilde{Y}$ is irreducible. Then the modifications $f:\left(Y^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow(Y, X)$ and $\pi:(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X}) \rightarrow(Y, X)$ are isomorphic.

Proof. Assume that $X^{\prime}$ is normal and consider the meromorphic $\operatorname{map} g: X^{\prime} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ determined by $f^{*} I_{Y}$. For a generic $x \in \tilde{Y}$, the fibre $g^{-1}(x)$ is discrete and therefore reduced to a single point $x^{\prime}$. Thus $g$ is an isomorphism at $x^{\prime}$. The subset $A$ of the points where $g$ is not a local ismorphism is of codimension $\geqslant 1$ in $Y^{\prime}$ ( $Y^{\prime}$ being irreducible) and of codimension $\geqslant 2$ in $X^{\prime}$. We have $A=\left\{a \in \tilde{Y}: \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{C}} g^{-1}(a) \geqslant 1\right\}$.

Let $b \in B=g^{-1}(A)$ and $a=g(b)$ and let $\xi$ be a generator of $\dot{I}_{\vec{r}, a}$. Let $\eta$ be $\xi \circ g$ and let $h$ be a generator of $I_{Y^{\prime}, b} ; h / \eta=\lambda$ is a holomorphic function on $U \cap\left(X^{\prime} \backslash B\right)$ ( $U$ being a neighborhood of $b$ in $X^{\prime}$ ) therefore $\lambda$ is holomorphic on $U$. It follows that the pull-back $g_{a}^{*}: \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\tilde{x}}, \boldsymbol{g}(a)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{X}^{\prime}, a}$ induces an isomorphism $I_{\tilde{Y}, g(a)} \approx I_{\boldsymbol{Y}^{\prime}, a}$. This implies that $g_{a}^{*}$ is an isomorphism ( $I_{\tilde{r}, g(a)}$ and $I_{r^{\prime}, a}$ are invertible!). Thus $A=\emptyset$ and $g$ is an isomorphism.

In the general case let $\nu: X^{\prime *} \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be the normalization of $X^{\prime}$, $W=\nu^{-1}(Y)$ and $z \in W \cap \operatorname{Sing}\left(X^{\prime *}\right)$. Let $h \in I_{W, z}$ be holomorphic on $U$, $x=\nu(z)$ and ' $h$ be a generator of $I_{r^{\prime}, x}$. The function $\mu=h / ' h \circ v$ is holomorphic on $U \backslash \operatorname{Sing}\left(X^{* *}\right)$ and, therefore, on $U$. This proves that $I_{W}$ is an invertible ideal.

From the first part of the proof it follows that there is an isomorphism $\theta: X^{\prime *} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ such that $\nu \circ \theta^{-1} \circ g=\mathrm{id}_{X^{\prime}}, \theta^{-1} \circ g \circ v=\mathrm{id}_{\boldsymbol{z}}$. Thus $\nu$ and $g$ are isomorphisms and this concludes the proof.

Now let $X^{\prime}$ be normal, $Y^{\prime}$ be an irreducible complex projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L})$ on $Y$ where $\mathcal{L}$ is a locally free sheaf on $Y$ of rank $r+1$ and $r+\operatorname{dim}_{C} Y=n-1\left(n=\operatorname{dim}_{C} X\right)$. Let $f:\left(Y^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(Y, X)$ be a modification such that $\left.f\right|_{Y^{\prime}}$ is the natural projection $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow Y$. Let $\boldsymbol{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathfrak{J})}(1)$ be the fundamental sheaf on $\mathbf{P}(\mathfrak{L})$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $I_{r^{\prime}}$ be invertible. Then
(i) $I_{Y^{\prime}} / I_{Y^{\prime}}^{2}$ is locally isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_{(J)}}(m)$ where $m>0$.
(ii) $I_{Y^{\prime}}$ is an ample sheaf with respect to $f$ and the modification is isomorphic to the monoidal transformation $\pi:(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X}) \rightarrow(Y, X)$.

Proof. (i) Since the problem is local with respect to $Y$ we can assume that $Y^{\prime}=Y \times \mathbb{P}^{r}$. Let $y \in Y$. Then there are two invertible
sheaves $\mathscr{L}_{1}$ on $Y$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ on $\mathbf{P}^{r}$ such that

$$
I_{Y^{\prime}} / I_{Y^{\prime}}^{2} \approx p_{1}^{*} \mathfrak{L}_{1} \otimes_{0_{Y}} p_{2}^{*} \mathfrak{L}_{2}
$$

( $p_{1}, p_{2}$ natural projections) ([7]) so that we can assume $\mathcal{L}_{2} \approx \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P r}}(m)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{1} \approx \mathcal{O}_{Y}$ : It follows that $I_{Y^{\prime}} / I_{Y^{\prime}}^{2} \approx \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_{(J)}}(m)$. One has $m \geqslant 0$. If not, as $\Gamma\left(\mathbb{P}^{r}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{r}}(m)\right)=0$ for $m<0$, we have $\Gamma\left(Y^{\prime}, I_{Y^{\prime}} / I_{Y^{\prime}}^{2 \otimes k}\right)=0$ for every $k \geqslant 1$. Then, from the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow I_{Y^{\prime}}^{k} / I_{Y^{\prime}}^{k+1} \rightarrow I_{Y^{\prime}} / I_{Y^{\prime}}^{k+1} \rightarrow I_{Y^{\prime}} / I_{Y^{\prime}}^{k} \rightarrow 0
$$

it follows that $\Gamma\left(Y^{\prime}, I_{Y^{\prime}} / I_{Y^{\prime}}^{k}\right)=0$ for every $k=1$.
Let $u \not \equiv 0$ be an element of $\Gamma\left(X, I_{r^{\prime}}\right)$ and $y^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}$ : there is $k \geqslant 2$ such that $v=u \circ f \notin I_{Y^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}^{k}$. Thus $v$ gives a non zero element in $\Gamma\left(X^{\prime}\right.$, $\left.I_{Y^{\prime}} / I_{Y^{\prime}}^{k}\right)$ : contradiction.

Now assume $m=0$. Then $Y_{Y^{\prime}} / I_{Y^{\prime}}^{2}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{Y^{\prime}}$. Let $Y=\bigcup_{i \in I} U_{i}$, where $U_{i}$ is open in $X^{\prime}$ and such that $I_{Y^{\prime} \mid U_{i}}$ is generated by $h_{i}$.

We can assume that $h_{i} / h_{j v_{i} \mid \cap U_{j}}=1$. Let $h$ be a holomorphic function on a neighborhood of $Y^{\prime}$ vanishing on $Y^{\prime}$ and let $\beta_{i}=h / h_{i}$. We have $\lambda_{i} \in \mathcal{O}\left(U_{i}\right)$ and $\lambda_{i}=\lambda_{j}$ on $U_{i} \cap U_{j} \cap Y^{\prime}$. Thus $h$ determines a holomorphic function $\lambda$ on $Y^{\prime}$ (which is constant on each fibre). The zero-set $Z$ of $h$ has $Y^{\prime}$ as an irreducible component; let $Z$ be $\boldsymbol{Y}^{\prime} \cup Z^{\prime}: Z^{\prime}$ is of pure codimension 1 and $\operatorname{dim}_{C} Z^{\prime} \cap \bar{Y}^{\prime}=n-2$. Take $h=g \circ f$ where $g$ is a holomorphic function on $X$ vanishing on $Y$. Then $Z^{\prime} \cap Y^{\prime}$ intersects each fibre of $f$ but it does not contain all fibres. This is a contradiction because then $\lambda$ would have different values on a fibre. Thus $I_{Y^{\prime}} / I_{Y^{\prime}}^{2}$ is locally isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{\prime}(\mathcal{J})}(m)$ where $m>0$.
(ii) Let us denote by $I_{(y)}$ the algebraic restriction of $I_{\boldsymbol{F}^{\prime}}$ to $f^{-1}(y)_{0}$. Part (i) implies that the reduced sheaf $I_{(y)}^{\text {red }}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P r}}(m)$. Therefore $I_{(y)}$ is ample on $f^{-1}(y)_{0}$.

In view of a result of Schneider ([9]) $I_{Y^{\prime}}$ is ample with respect to $f$, hence we can assume that there exists a closed embedding $\varphi: X^{\prime} \hookrightarrow X \times \mathbf{P}^{N}$ (for a suitable $N$ ) such that $\varphi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{N}}(1) \approx I_{P^{\prime}}^{l}$.

In view of the theorem of Grauert and Remmert on projective morphisms (cf. [4]), for every coherent sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ on $X^{\prime}$ and for every compact $K \subset X$ there is an integer $n_{0}$ such that $\left.R^{1} f_{*}\left(\mathcal{F} \otimes I_{r^{\prime}}^{n l}\right)\right|_{\mathbb{K}}=0$
for every $n=n_{0}$. From the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow I_{Y^{\prime}}^{k+1} \rightarrow I_{Y^{\prime}}^{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathfrak{J})}(k m) \rightarrow 0
$$

decreasing induction on $k$ implies that $\left.R^{1} f_{*}\left(I_{r^{\prime}}^{l}\right)\right|_{R}=0$ for every $l=0$. Arguing as in [10] (Théorème 2.2.3) we get part (ii) of the statement.

Remark. The above theorem tells us that a modification which «blows-down a projective bundle» $Y^{\prime}$ is always isomorphic to a monoidal transformation (provided $I_{r^{\prime}}$ is invertible).
2) In this final part we shall prove that, under natural hypothesis, every regular modification $f:\left(Y^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(Y, X)$ is isomorphic to the monoidal transformation $\pi:(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X}) \rightarrow(Y, X)$.

This was proved in [5] for algebraic normal varietis and that proof extends to normal algebraic spaces as well, by passing to an «étale» covering and applying the «descent property » ([3]).

For complex manifolds the theorem was proved in [6].
We proceed in several steps.
Lemma 3.3. A regular point-modification $f:\left(Y^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(y_{0}, X\right)$ of irreducible complex spaces such that $I_{Y^{\prime}}$ is invertible, is isomorphic to the monoidal trasformation $\pi:(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X}) \rightarrow\left(y_{0}, X\right)$.

Proof. We can assume $X$ is $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ and that $X^{\prime}$ is a compact Moišezon space therefore a complete $\mathbb{C}$-algebraic space ([2]). We have $\operatorname{dim}_{C} \operatorname{Sing}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \leqslant n-2$ because $I_{Y^{\prime}}$ is invertible. Let $v: X^{* *} \rightarrow X$ be the normalizati on of $X^{\prime}$ and put $W=\nu^{-1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right): W$ is irreducible. Let $z \in W \cap \operatorname{Sing}\left(X^{\prime *}\right), x=v(z)$ and let $h \in I_{W, z}$ be holomorphic on a neighborhood $U$ of $z$ and ' $g$ a generator of $I_{r^{\prime}, x}$. The function $h /^{\prime} g \circ v$ is holomorphic on $U \backslash \operatorname{Sing}\left(X^{\prime *}\right)$ and therefore on $U$. It follows that ' $g \circ v$ generates locally $I_{W}$. Then, by the previous remark, the modification $g:\left(W, X^{\prime *}\right) \rightarrow\left(y_{0}, X\right)$ is isomorphic to the monoidal transformation. Let $x \in W \approx \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and let $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$ be local coordinates at $y_{0}$ such that $z_{1}\left(y_{0}\right)=\ldots=z_{n}\left(y_{0}\right)=0$. Let $x_{\alpha}=z_{\alpha} \circ g, \alpha=1, \ldots, n$, and let us assume that $x_{1}$ generates $I_{W, x}$. Let $y=\boldsymbol{v}(x)$ and let $\xi$ be a generator of $I_{Y^{\prime}, y}$. On a neighborhood of $y$ the zero-sets of $\xi, z_{1} \circ f$ coincide, so that $\xi^{s}=\left(\lambda\left(t_{1} \circ f\right)\right.$, where $\lambda$ is invertible and $s \in \mathbb{N}$, and therefore $(\xi \circ v)^{s}=(\lambda \circ v) x_{1}$. On the other hand, as $\xi \circ v$ generates $I_{W, x}$, we have also $x_{1}=\mu(\xi \circ v)$ where $\mu$ is invertible. Thus $s=1$ and $z_{1} \circ f$ generates $I_{Y^{\prime}, v}$. In particular if $I_{0}$ denotes the ideal sheaf of $\left\{y_{0}\right\}, f^{*} I_{0}=I_{Y^{\prime}}$ is
invertible and, in view of the Proposition 2.1, $\left(Y^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(y_{0}, X\right)$ is isomorphic to the monoidal transformation.

Remarks. In the previous statement, the hypothesis that $I_{Y^{\prime}}$ is invertible can be replaced by the following ones: $\mathbf{Y}^{\prime}$ is geometrical principal (i.e., $Y^{\prime}$ is locally a zero-set of a holomorphic function) and $X^{\prime}$ is a regular in codimension 1. Namely we have the

Lemma 3.4. Let $\left(Y^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(y_{0}, X\right)$ be a regular point-modification of irreducible algebraic varieties. Assume that $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ is geometrically principal and that $X^{\prime}$ is regular in codimension 1. Then the sheaf $f^{*} I_{0}$ is invertible.

Proof. We can assume that $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are complete. Let $y \in Y^{\prime}$ and $h$ be a local equation for $Y^{\prime}$ on a neighborhood $U$ of $y$. Let $u$ be a rational function on $X$ such that $h=u$ of and put $u=q / r$ where $q, r$ are rational functions on $X$ without common factors in $\mathcal{O}_{x, v_{0}}$. We observe that $q\left(y_{0}\right)=0$. Let ( $h$ ) denote the divisor of $h$. On $U$ we have $(h)=l Y^{\prime}, l>0$, and therefore $(h)=(f \circ q)-(f \circ r)>0$. As $q$ and $r$ have no common factor in $\mathcal{O}_{x, v_{0}}$, $f \circ q$ is a positive divisor on a neighborhood $V$ of $y$ and on $V$ one has: $(f \circ q)=m Y^{\prime}, m>0$. Let $\psi$ be in $f^{*} I_{0}^{m}\left(\right.$ or in $\left.I_{r^{\prime}, v}^{m}\right)$ : we have $(f \circ \psi)-(f \circ q) \geqslant 0$ on $V$, so that $f \circ \psi=$ $=\beta f \circ q, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}, y}$. This proves that $f^{*} I_{0}^{m}$ (and $I_{X^{\prime}}^{m}$ ) are invertible and therefore that $f^{*} I_{0}^{m}$ (and $I_{Y^{\prime}}$ ) are invertible ( $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}, v}$ being local).

Lemma 3.5. Let $\left(Y^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\xrightarrow{\rightarrow}}\left(y_{0}, X\right)$ be a regular point-modification of complex compact surfaces. Assume that $X^{\prime}$ is normal. Then the modification is isomorphic to a product of monoidal transformations.

Proof. Let $Y^{\prime}=C_{1} \cup \ldots \cup C_{k}$ be the irreducible decomposition of $Y^{\prime}$ and let $\hat{X}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\pi} X^{\prime}$ be a desingularization of $X^{\prime}:$ in view of the fundamental theorem of surface theory ([8]), $F=f \circ \pi: \hat{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ is a product of monoidal trasformations. Furthermore the exceptionl set $E$ of $F$ is

$$
C_{1}^{*} \cup \ldots \cup C_{k}^{*} \cup D_{1} \cup \ldots \cup D_{l}
$$

where $C_{j}^{*}, D_{i}^{*}$ are projective lines and

$$
\left(O_{j}^{* 2}\right)=-1, \quad\left(D_{i}^{2}\right)=-1, \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant l .
$$

We may blow-down the curves $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{l}$ in such a way as to get a regular surface $X_{0}^{\prime}$ with a morphism $\pi_{0}: X_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ which is actually an isomorphism ( $X^{\prime}$ being normal).

Lemma 3.6. Let $f:\left(Y^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(y_{0}, X\right)$ be a regular point-modification of complex compact surfaces. Assume $Y^{\prime}$ is geometrically principal. Then the modification is a product of monoidal transformations.

Proof. Let us assume for simplicity that $Y^{\prime}$ is irreducible. We may restrict ourselves to the following case: $X$ is $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and $X^{\prime}$ is algebraic. By passing to a non-singular model of $X^{\prime}$ and arguing as in the previous lemma we find a modification $\pi:\left(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\bar{Y}^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right)$ (where $g:\left(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(y_{0}, X\right)$ is a product of monoidal transformations and $f \circ \pi=g$ ). Let $I_{0}$ be the ideal sheaf of $\left\{y_{0}\right\}$ and let $z_{1}, z_{2}$ be rational functions on $X$ giving local coordinates at $y_{0}$ (and $z_{1}\left(y_{0}\right)=z_{2}\left(y_{0}\right)=0$ ). Let $y_{1}=z_{1} \circ f, y_{2}=z_{2} \circ f, x_{1}=z_{1} \circ g$ and $x_{2}=z_{2} \circ g$. The invertible ideal $I_{\tilde{Y}}$ is generated by $x_{1}$ or $x_{2}$ and there are two points $b_{1}, b_{2} \in \tilde{Y}$, such that $I_{\tilde{Y}, x}=x_{1} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}, x}=x_{2} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}, x}$ for $x \neq b_{1}, b_{2}$. Let $c=\pi(x) \neq \pi\left(b_{1}\right), \pi\left(b_{2}\right)$ : $y_{2}=0$ is a local equation for $Y^{\prime}$ at $c$. We have $y_{2} \circ \pi=u x_{1}$ where $u=(p / q) \circ g$ is invertible in $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}, y}$ and $p, q$ are polynomials in $z_{1}, z_{2}$ without common factors. Further

$$
p / q=\frac{\alpha_{0} z_{1}+\beta_{0} z_{2}+p_{1}}{\alpha_{1} z_{1}+\beta_{1} z_{2}+q_{1}}
$$

where $p_{1}, q_{1}$ are polynomials of degree $\geqslant 2$ and $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \beta_{1} \in \mathbf{C}, \alpha_{0} \neq 0$, $\beta_{0} \neq 0$. It follows that

$$
(p / q) \circ f=\frac{\alpha_{0} y_{1}+\beta_{0} y_{2}+p_{1} \circ f}{\alpha_{1} y_{1}+\beta_{2} y_{2}+p_{2} \circ f} ;
$$

$p$ and $q$ are coprime therefore $p \circ f, q \circ f$ can vanish only on $Y^{\prime}$ (locally at $x$ ). It follows that either $p \circ g$ and $q \circ g$ vanish on $Y^{\prime}$ or are invertible at $x$ (because ( $p / q) \circ g$ is invertible). In the first case $p=z_{1} P_{1}, q=z_{1} Q_{1}$ which implies $\beta_{0}=\beta_{1}=0$ and $p_{1}=z_{1} P_{2}, q_{1}=z_{1} Q_{2}$ where $P_{2}(0) \neq 0$, $Q_{2}(0) \neq 0$. Thus $y_{2}=v y_{1}$ where $v$ is a unit of $\mathcal{O}_{x^{\prime}, c}$. It follows that the ideal $f^{*} I_{0}$ is invertible on $X_{0}^{\prime}=X^{\prime} \backslash\left\{\pi\left(b_{1}\right)\right\} \cup\left\{\pi\left(b_{2}\right)\right\}$. The morphism $X_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{2}$ determinated by $f^{*} I_{0}$ is an inverse of $\left.\pi\right|_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ and this proves that $X^{\prime}$ is non singular in codimension 1. Now the result follows from Lemma 3.4.

Remarks. 1) Let $A_{j}$ be the analytic set defined by $z_{j}=0$ and let $W_{j}=\overline{f^{-1}\left(A_{j}\right) \backslash \bar{Y}^{\prime}}, j=1,2$. As a consequence of the above lemma we have $W_{1} \cap W_{2}=\emptyset$.
2) The assumption that $\boldsymbol{Y}^{\prime}$ is geometrically principal cannot be dropped.

Now we are in position to prove the
THEOREM 3.7. Let $f:\left(Y^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(Y, X)$ be a regular modification of irreducible complex spaces. Assume that $Y^{\prime}$ is irreducible and that $I_{Y^{\prime}}$ is invertible. Then the modification is isomorphic to the monoidal transformation of $X$ wich center $Y$.

Proof. From the hypothesis it follows that $X^{\prime}$ is nonsingular in codimension 1. The problem is local with respect to $X$ along $Y$ so we may assume $X$ is a ball in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ centered at 0 and $Y$ is defined by $z_{d+1}=\ldots=z_{n}=0$. Let $\zeta_{j}$ be the function $z_{j} \circ f, j=d+1, \ldots, n$ and let $W_{j}$ be the analytic set $\overline{f^{-1}\left(V_{j} \backslash Y\right)}$ where $V_{j}=\left\{z \in X: z_{j}=0\right\}$, $j=d+1, \ldots, n$. In view of Remark 1 it is easy to prove that $W_{d+1} \cap$ $\cap \ldots \cap W_{n}=\emptyset$. Let $y \in Y^{\prime}$ and let $U$ be a neighborhood of $y$ and $\zeta_{j}$ such that $\zeta_{j \mid J \backslash r} \neq 0$. Let $h$ be a generator of $I_{r, v}$. Then we have $\zeta_{j}=\lambda h^{m}$ where $\lambda$ is a unit of $\mathcal{O}_{x^{\prime}, y}$. Let $y^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime} \cap U$ be a regular point of $X^{\prime}$ and $\Delta$ a one dimensional analytic disk such that $\Delta \cap Y^{\prime}=$ $=\left\{y^{\prime}\right\}$. On $\Delta$ we have $h^{m}=\zeta_{j} / \lambda$ and $\zeta_{j} / \lambda\left(y^{\prime}\right)=0$ i.e., $\zeta_{j} / \lambda \mid \Delta$ is a holomorphic function vanishing at $y^{\prime}$ and admitting a holomorphic root. This implies that $m=1$ and therefore that $\zeta_{j}$ is a generator of $I_{r^{\prime}, v}$. In particular $f^{*} I_{Y}$ is invertible. The statement is now a consequence of the Proposition 3.1.
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