Rendiconti *del* Seminario Matematico *della* Università di Padova

Maria Contessa

Fabio Zanolin

On a question of Josef Novák about convergence spaces

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, tome 58 (1977), p. 155-161

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1977__58__155_0>

REND. SEM. MAT. UNIV. PADOVA, Vol. 58 (1977)

On a question of Josef Novák about convergence spaces.

MARIA CONTESSA and FABIO ZANOLIN (*)

SUMMARY : In this paper we construct an example which answers to a question posed by Josef Novák about the validity of a statement in a convergence space.

SOMMARIO : Viene costruito un esempio che risponde ad una domanda di Josef Novák relativamente alla validità di una proposizione per spazi di convergenza.

1. Introduction.

In a convergence (sequential) space (L, λ), Novák (see [5]) considered the following statement:

(+) If $A_n \subseteq L$ and $z \in L - \bigcup \lambda A_n$ is a point each neighbourhood of which contains points of A_n for nearly all n, then there is a sequence of $x_n \in A_n$ converging to z.

He asked if there exists a convergence space such that its convergence is the star convergence and that (+) is not true. (Problem 1.b).

In this paper we give an example which solves the above question in the affirmative and we add some considerations about cross properties in convergence spaces.

(*) Authors' address : Maria Contessa, Istituto Matematico della Università di Roma ; Fabio Zanolin, Istituto Matematico dell'Università di Trieste.

Part of this work was supported by C.N.R.

This work is selfcontained. The notations and terms are summarized in § 2, there we give the tools necessary to the understanding of the text.

2. Preliminary.

Convergence structure, according Mario Dolcher, (see [2]), is a pair (L, λ), where L is a non void set and λ is a law which associates to each point x of L, a set $\mathfrak{J}_x$ of sequences of points of L, λ satisfying to suitable axioms. If $S = (s_n)_n \in \mathfrak{J}_x$, we will write $S \to x$ and read : « S converges to x ».

The axioms required by Dolcher for λ are the following:

1) $(x) \to x$, for every x in L (where (x) is the constant sequence $x, x, \ldots, x, \ldots$).
2) If a sequence S converges to x, then every subsequence S' of S, converges to x.
3) If a sequence S does not converge to x, then there exists a subsequence S' of S, no subsequences of which converge to x.

(Novák call such a structure, a multivalued convergence space, in [4]). Moreover, if the convergence is onevalued, (that is with uniqueness of limit) so that axiom

0) $$S \to x\,,\ S \to y \Rightarrow x = y\,,$$

holds, λ turns be a *star convergence* on L, in the sense of Novák.

If λ is a convergence in a some sense and A is a subset of L, by λA (or $\hat{A}$, according to Dolcher [2]), we will denote the set of all the limit points of sequences in A. If λ satisfies axioms 1) and 2), then λ can be thought like a closure operator (in the sense of Čech [1]), then a subset U of L is said to be a neighbourhood (*λ-neighbourhood*) of a point x, if $x \in L - \lambda(L - U)$. In other words, ([5]) U is a λ-neighbourhood of x if and only if $(x_n)_n \to x$ implies that $x_n \in U$ for nearly all n. The pair (L, λ) is also said to be a *convergence space* (see [4], [5]).

We remark that a convergence λ on L, given by the system $(\mathfrak{J}_x)_{x \in L}$ satisfying the axioms from 1) to 3), can be determinated by a smaller system $(\mathfrak{B}_x)_{x \in L}$, where $\mathfrak{B}_x \subseteq \mathfrak{I}_x \ \forall_x$; $(\mathfrak{B}_x)_x$ is called by Dolcher *convergence base for* λ.

Precisely $(\mathfrak{B}_x)_x$ must be a system such that $(\mathfrak{I}_x)_x$ is the smallest system which contains $(\mathfrak{B}_x)_x$ and which satisfies the prescribed axioms. If we introduce the following operations δ and ξ acting on sets of sequences:

(δ) $S \in \delta\mathfrak{C}$ iff $\exists\ R \in \mathfrak{C}$ and S is subsequence of R.

(ξ) $S \in \xi\mathfrak{C}$ iff for every subsequence S' of S, exists a subsequence S'' of S' such that $S'' \in \mathfrak{C}$,

then we immediately observe that δ and ξ are idempotent and $\xi(\delta\mathfrak{C})$ is the smallest set of sequences which contains $\mathfrak{C}$ and which is closed with respect to δ and ξ. Since δ and ξ replace axioms 2) and 3), we have that $\mathfrak{I}_x = \xi(\delta\mathfrak{B}_x)$, provided that $(x) \in \mathfrak{B}_x$ for every x. So, when we will give a convergence (in the sense of Dolcher) structure on a set L, it will suffice to assign to each point x, a set $\mathfrak{B}_x$ to which belong (x) and the other sequences that we would like to converge to x, and then will consider the convergence that is generated (through δ and ξ) by the system $(\mathfrak{B}_x)_x$. Notice that convergence is onevalued iff for $x \neq y$, is $\delta\,\mathfrak{B}_x \cap \delta\,\mathfrak{B}_y = \varnothing$.

3. Exibition of the example.

The aim of this chapter is to give an example of a convergence space with star convergence where statement (+) does not hold. For this purpose, it is necessary a previous lemma.

LEMMA. Let N be a countable set, then there exists a set $\mathfrak{F}^*$ of countable [1] subsets of N, such that:

i) *$\mathfrak{F}^*$ has the power of the continuum.*

ii) *$F_1, F_2 \in \mathfrak{F}^* \Rightarrow F_1 \cap F_2$ is a finite set* [2].

iii) *For each countable subset G of N, there exists an $F \in \mathfrak{F}^*$, such that $F \cap G$ is countable.*

---

[1] In this lemma, a set is said to be countable if it is infinite and countable.

[2] For conditions i) and ii), cfr. [3] (Ex. 6Q pag. 97).

PROOF. Let $\Psi = \{ \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{F}$ satisfies property i) and ii) of the Lemma$\}$. $\Psi \neq \varnothing$, in fact (see Gillmann-Jerison [3], Ex. 6Q p. 97) exists a set ξ which satisfies i) and ii). (ξ is obtained by one to one correspondence with a set of sequences of rational numbers such that each irrational number is the limit of exactly one of these sequences). Now, is easy to prove, using Zorn's Lemma, that Ψ possesses an element $\mathfrak{F}^*$, which is maximal in Ψ with respect to the inclusion order.

We have only to show that $\mathfrak{F}^*$ satisfies iii). If this does not happen, then there exists a countable subset H of N such that $H \cap F$ is finite for every F which belongs to $\mathfrak{F}^*$; so, it is $\mathfrak{F}^* \cup \{H\} \in \Psi$.

— A contradiction with the maximality of $\mathfrak{F}^*$ in Ψ.

q.e.d.

Now we can present the preannounced example:

EXAMPLE: *of a convergence space with star convergence where statement* $(+)$ *does not hold.*

Let L be the set $\{a_{r,s} : r, s = 1, 2, \ldots\} \cup \{z\}$.

It can be thought like an infinite matrix whose horizontal rows are sequences $A_r = (a_{r,s})_s$, together a point z.

Let N be the set of natural numbers, $\mathfrak{R}$ a set of subsets of N which, according to the Lemma, fulfils the conditions from i) to iii), $\mathfrak{S}$ the set of all sequences of natural numbers.

Let f be a one to one correspondence from $\mathfrak{S}$ onto $\mathfrak{R}$ and we define a map g from $\mathfrak{R}$ into $\mathfrak{S}$ which associates to $R = \{r_n\}_n$, the sequence $g(R) = (s_{r_n} + 1)_n$, where $(s_n)_n = f^{-1}(R)$.

Now we can assign (by a convergence base) a convergence on the set L.

Let λ be the following convergence:

') The points $a_{r,s}$ are all isolated points (i. e. converge to $a_{r,s}$ only the sequences whose terms are nearly all equal to $a_{r,s}$).

'') Converge to z, the constant sequence (z) and the sequences $(a_{r_i,s_i})_i$ where $(r_i)_i$ is an increasing sequence of natural numbers (indices

of row) such that $\{r_i\}_i = R \in \mathfrak{R}$ and $(s_i)_i = S$ is a sequence greater or equal than $g(R)$ in the lexicographic order ([3]).
Converge to z exactly those sequences which can be deduced by the precedings (by δ and ξ).

It is immediate to verify that convergence λ above defined is onevalued ; so

(L, λ) is a convergence space where λ is a star convergence.

Observe that for each horizontal row A_r, is $\lambda A_r = A_r$ (in fact, no row converges to z and the points of the matrix are all isolated). So, we have that $z \in L - \cup \lambda A_r$.

We prove now that *each neighbourhood of z contains points of A_r for nearly all indices r.*

PROOF. Let $U(z)$ be a λ-neighbourhood of z such that there is an increasing sequence $(r_i)_i$ of indices of row such that in $U(z)$ there are not points of the row A_{r_i}. From the property iii) of the set $\mathfrak{R}$ (see the Lemma) we know that there exists a sequence of row indices $(\tilde{r}_i)_i = \tilde{R} \in \mathfrak{R}$ which has a subsequence in common with the sequence $(r_i)_i$. From $''$) in the definition of λ, we can choose a suitable element $a_{\tilde{r}_i,\tilde{s}_i}$ in the row $A_{\tilde{r}_i}$, in such a way to obtain a sequence $(a_{\tilde{r}_i,\tilde{s}_i})_i$ which converges to z. Since every subsequence of the preceding one must converges to z, we conclude that there is a sequence of elements belonging to the rows A_{r_i}, for infinitely many i, which converges to z. Elements of this sequence are nearly all in $U(z)$ and so we contradict the initial assumption.

q.e.d.

At last, we prove that *there is no sequence of $x_r \in A_r$ which converges to z.*

PROOF. If a sequence $(a_{n,s_n})_n$ converges to z, it must converge to z together with every its subsequence $(a_{r_i,s_{r_i}})_i$, while the sequence

---

([3]) If $S = (s_n)_n$ and $S' = (s'_n)_n$ are sequences of natural numbers, we pose $S \leq S'$ if and only if for each index n, it is $s_n \leq s'_n$. The order so obtained is called *lexicographic*.

$(a_{\bar{r}_i,s_{\bar{r}_i}})_i$ where $(\bar{r}_i)_i = \bar{R} = f(S)$ and $S = (s_n)_n$, does not converge to z, thanks to the definition of λ. In fact, the sequence of column indices $(s_{\bar{r}_i})_i = S \circ f(S)$ is less (in the lexicographic order) than the sequence $(s_{\bar{r}_i} + l)_i$ which is the least sequence of indices of column such that $(a_{\bar{r}_i,o})_i$ converges to z. Neither the sequence $(a_{\bar{r}_i,\bar{s}_i})_i$ converges to z as a sequence deduced by δ and ξ from suitable other sequence of the base, converging to z. In fact, the assumption ii) on $\mathfrak{R}$ and the definition of the convergence λ exclude this eventuality.

q.e.d.

Our aim is so attained.

4. – Cross sequences in convergence spaces.

In a convergence space (L, λ), we say that *a matrix* $\big((x_{r,s})\big)_{r,s}$ *converges to a sequence* $(y_n)_n$ iff the r-th row $(x_{r,s})_s$ of the matrix converges to the r-th term y_r of the sequence.

We say that *cross property* (respectively *subcross property*) holds in (L, λ) iff for each matrix $\big((x_{r,s})\big)_{r,s}$, for each sequence $(y_r)_r$ and for each point z, such that the matrix converges to the sequence and this converges to the point, there exists a cross sequence $(x_{r,s_r})_r$ (respectively a cross subsequence $(x_{r_i,s_i})_i$) of the matrix, which converges to z.

Weak cross property (resp. *weak subcross property*) are defined in the same way only with the weaker assumption that $(y_r)_r$ is the constant sequence (z).

If we indicate with C and C' cross and subross property, and with C_0 and C'_0 the corresponding weaker conditions, we have immediately the following inferences :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \Rightarrow & C_0 \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ C' & \Rightarrow & C'_0 . \end{array}$$

By the comparision of the above four conditions, we notice that there exists an example (see [2], p. 87) of convergence space where C_0 holds and C' does not hold, while at the present status of our knowledges, we do not know whether $C_0' \Rightarrow C_0$ (respl. $C' \Rightarrow C$) is true or false. As a partial result, by a light modification of structure of the main example in the section 3, (modification only consists in imposing to each row of the matrix, to converge to z), we can present a structure where a matrix exists such that each its row converges to a point z, but no cross sequence converges to z, while every submatrix (that is a matrix obtained by the preceding one, catching infinitely many points from infinitely many rows) possesses a cross subsequence converging to z.

A property related with the preceding is the idempotency of the closure operator λ (see section 2), called by Dolcher in [2], Hedrick's condition. It is easy to prove that a sufficient condition for $\lambda\lambda = \lambda$, is the validity of C' (see [2]), moreover it can be proved (see [6], theorem 2, pag. 74) that C' holds if and only if C_0' and Hedrick's condition are both satisfied (this result can be proved also if λ is not onevalued). We conclude remarking that in a topological space first countable, with the common notion of convergence of sequences, all four cross properties always are satisfied.

Acknowledgement.

We are grateful to Professor Mario Dolcher of the University of Trieste for his helpful suggestions and criticisms.

REFERENCES

[1] E. Cech, *Topological spaces*, Prague, Academia 1966.
[2] M. Dolcher, *Topologie e strutture di convergenza*, Ann. della Scuola Norm. Sup. di Pisa, III vol. XIV, Fasc. I (1960) 63-92.
[3] L. Gillmann & M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous function*, New York 1976.
[4] J. Novák, *On some problems concerning multivalued convergences*, Czech. Math. Journal 14 (89), pp. 548-560.
[5] J. Novák, *On some problems concerning convergence spaces and groups*, Proc. Kampur Topology Conference 1968, (Prague 1971).
[6] F. Zanolin, *Alcuni problemi riguardanti le strutture di convergenza ed i c-spazi*, Unpublished manuscript (tesi di laurea), Trieste 1976.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 4 luglio 1977.