

RENDICONTI  
*del*  
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO  
*della*  
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

ANNALISA MENEGUS

GIUSEPPE OLIVI

**Partially elliptic pseudodifferential operators  
and the  $WF_x$  of distributions**

*Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,*  
tome 56 (1976), p. 245-255

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP\\_1976\\_\\_56\\_\\_245\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1976__56__245_0)

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1976, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

## Partially Elliptic Pseudodifferential Operators and the $WF_x$ of Distributions.

ANNALISA MENEGUS - GIUSEPPE OLIVI (\*)

### Introduction.

The aims of this article are the following:

1) the generalization of the notion of differential operator  $P(D_x, D_y)$  elliptic in the  $x$ -variable to the case of pseudodifferential operators;

2) the definition of  $WF_x(u)$  ( $u \in \mathcal{D}'(A_x \times A_y)$ ).

Such problems are pointed out at the end of the introduction in [4].

Our results are the following:

a) a characterization of  $x$ -partially elliptic pseudodifferential operators by the construction of a partial (left or right) parametrix, modulo regularizing pseudodifferential operators

b) a characterization of  $WF_x(u)$ .

With notations and symbols of § 1:

$\alpha')$  if  $A \in \Psi^\alpha(A_x \times A_y)$  is  $x$ -partially elliptic in  $A_x$  there exist  $B$  and  $B_1 \in \Psi^{-\alpha}(A_x \times A_y)$  such that:

$$A \circ B \equiv B_1 \circ A \equiv I(x) \otimes G(y, D_y) \text{ mod } \Psi^{-\infty}(A_x \times A_y)$$

---

(\*) Indirizzo degli AA.: Seminario Matematico, via Belzoni, 7 - I - 35100 Padova.

Lavoro eseguito mentre uno degli Autori godeva di una borsa di studio del C.N.R. per laureandi.

where  $I(x)$  is the identity operator on  $\mathcal{D}'(A_x)$  and  $G(y, D_y)$  is in  $\Psi^{-\infty}(A_y)$ ;

$b'$ ) if  $A = A(x, y, D_x, D_y) \in \Psi_0^\infty(A_x \times A_y)$  and it is  $x$ -partially elliptic in  $A_x$  then:

i)  $\forall u = u(x, y) \in \mathcal{D}'(A_x \times A_y)$ ,  $WF_x(u) = WF_x(Au)$ ;

ii)  $WF_x(u) = \bigcap C_{A,x} \forall A \in \Psi_0^\infty$  such that  $Au$  is regular in the  $x$ -variable in  $A_x$ .

§ 1. - We shall write  $(x, y) = (x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  for the coordinate in  $R^{m+n}$  and  $(\xi, \eta) = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$  for the dual coordinate. Let  $A_x$  and  $A_y$  be opens in  $R^m$  and in  $R^n$  respectively and let  $S^*(A_x \times A_y)$  be the cosphere bundle over  $A_x \times A_y$  (this is the quotient of  $T^*(A_x \times A_y)$  modulo the equivalence relation  $(x, y, \xi, \eta) \sim (x', y', \xi', \eta') \Leftrightarrow (x, y) = (x', y')$  and there is  $t > 0$  such that  $(\xi', \eta') = t(\xi, \eta)$ ).  $S^*(A_x \times A_y) \cong \cong A_x \times A_y \times S_{m+n-1}$ , where  $S_{m+n-1}$  denotes the unit sphere in  $R_{m+n}$ .

We denote by  $\Psi^\alpha(A_x \times A_y)$  the space of the pseudodifferential operators of order  $\leq \alpha$  and by  $S^\alpha(A_x \times A_y)$  the space of their symbols. The union and the intersection of  $\Psi^\alpha(A_x \times A_y)$  and  $S^\alpha(A_x \times A_y)$  for all  $\alpha$ , will be denoted by  $\Psi^\infty(A_x \times A_y)$ ,  $\Psi^{-\infty}(A_x \times A_y)$  and  $S^\infty(A_x \times A_y)$ ,  $S^{-\infty}(A_x \times A_y)$  respectively.

From [4] we have the following:

DEFINITION 1. A differential operator  $P = P(D_x, D_y) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} a^{\alpha, \beta} D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta$ ,  $a^{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathbf{C}$  ( $\mathbf{C}$  denotes the complex field),  $|\alpha| \leq m_x$ ,  $|\beta| \leq m_y$ ,  $(\alpha, \beta) \in N^m \times N^n$ ,  $(m_x, m_y) \in N \times N$   $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i$   $|\beta| = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i$  is partially elliptic in the  $x$ -variable, if  $\forall f \in \mathcal{D}'(R^{m+n})$  which is solution of  $Pf = 0$  in  $A$ ,  $A$  open in  $R^{m+n}$ , we have:  $f(x, y)$  is analytic in  $x$  on  $A$  <sup>(1)</sup>.

From the proof of the second characterization <sup>(2)</sup> of the operators  $P(D_x, D_y)$  which are partially elliptic in the  $x$ -variable we have that:

<sup>(1)</sup> We say that  $f(x, y)$  is analytic in  $A \subseteq R^{m+n}$  in the  $x$ -variable if:  $\forall A_1, A_2$  open in  $R^m$  and  $R^n$  respectively and such that  $A_1 \times A_2 \subseteq A$  we have:  $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A_2)$  the distribution  $\int f(x, y) \varphi(y) dy$  is analytic in  $A_1$ .

<sup>(2)</sup> The characterization is the following:  $P(D_x, D_y)$  is partially elliptic in the  $x$ -variable if and only if  $\sum |P^\alpha(\xi, \eta)|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^{|\alpha|} \sim \sum |P^\alpha(\xi, \eta)|^2$  where  $P^\alpha(\xi, \eta) = (\partial/\partial \xi, \partial/\partial \eta)_\alpha P$  and  $A \sim B$  means:  $A/B$  and  $B/A$  are bounded quotients in  $R^{m+n}$  (theorem 2 of [4]).

if  $P(\xi, \eta) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} a^{\alpha, \beta} \xi^\alpha \eta^\beta$  and  $m = \deg P(\xi, \eta)$  there exists  $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that:

$$(1) \quad c \leq |\xi| \Rightarrow |\xi|^m \leq c |P(\xi, \eta)|.$$

This property suggests the idea for the extension of the notion of partial ellipticity to the pseudodifferential operators.

Let  $a = a(x, y, \xi, \eta) \in S^\alpha(A_x \times A_y)$ . Let  $P_0 \equiv (x_0, y_0, \xi^0, \eta^0)$ ,  $\xi^0 \neq 0$  be a point of  $S^*(A_x \times A_y)$

**DEFINITION 2.** We say that  $a$  is partially elliptic in the  $x$ -variable in  $P_0$  or equivalently that  $a$  is  $x$ -partially elliptic in  $P_0$  if:

i) there exists an open relatively compact neighbourhood  $\Omega$  of  $(x_0, y_0)$  in  $A_x \times A_y$

ii) there exists a neighbourhood  $\Gamma$  of  $(\xi^0, 0)$  ( $\xi^0 = \xi_0/|\xi_0|$ ) in  $\mathbb{R}_m \times \mathbb{R}_n$  such that  $\Gamma \cap \mathbb{R}_m$  is a conic neighbourhood of  $\xi^0$  and  $\pi_\eta(\Gamma)$  is a compact in  $\mathbb{R}_n$ ;

iii) there exist  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that:

$$(2) \quad |\xi|^\alpha \leq c_1 |a(x, y, \xi, \eta)|$$

if  $|\xi| \geq c_2$   $(x, y) \in \Omega$   $(\xi, \eta) \in \Gamma$ .

**REMARK 1.** If  $a \in S^\alpha(A_x \times A_y)$  is  $x$ -partially elliptic in  $P_0$  and if  $r = r(x, y, \xi, \eta) \in S^{-\infty}(A_x \times A_y)$  then  $a + r$  is  $x$ -partially elliptic in  $P_0$ .

We can now give the following:

**DEFINITION 3.** If  $A \in \Psi^\infty(A_x \times A_y)$ , then  $A$  is  $x$ -partially elliptic in  $P_0$  if its symbol  $a$  is  $x$ -partially elliptic in  $P_0$ .

**REMARK 2.** If  $a \in S^\alpha(A_x \times A_y)$  and  $b \in S^\beta$  are the symbols of the pseudodifferential operators  $A$  and  $B$  respectively, then also  $p \sim \sum_p (1/p!) \cdot \partial_{(\xi, \eta)}^p a D_{(x, y)}^p b$  which is the symbol of  $A \circ B$ , is  $x$ -partially elliptic in  $P_0$ .

**PROOF.** Because of the  $x$ -partial ellipticity of  $a$  and  $b$ , we have that:

a) there exists an open relatively compact neighbourhood  $\Omega$  of  $(x_0, y_0)$ ;

b) there exists a neighbourhood  $\Gamma$  of  $(\xi^0, 0)$  in  $\mathbb{R}_{m+n}$  such that  $\Gamma \cap \mathbb{R}_m$  is a conic neighbourhood of  $\xi^0$  and  $\pi_\eta(\Gamma)$  is a compact in  $\mathbb{R}_n$ ;

c) there exist  $c_1, c_2 \in R^+$  such that:

$$(3) \quad |ab| \geq c_1 |\xi|^{\alpha+\beta}$$

if  $|\xi| \geq c_2$   $(x, y) \in \Omega$   $(\xi, \eta) \in \Gamma$ .

If  $p(x, y, \xi, \eta)$  is the symbol of  $A \circ B$ , which is in  $\Psi^{\alpha+\beta}(A_x \times A_y)$ , then  $ab - p$  is in  $S^{\alpha+\beta-1}(A_x \times A_y)$ , therefore:

$$(4) \quad c(1 + |\xi|^{\alpha+\beta-1}) \geq |ab - p|$$

if  $(x, y) \in \Omega$   $(\xi, \eta) \in \Gamma$ .

If  $p$  is not  $x$ -partially elliptic  $\forall 1/n \exists (x_n, y_n, \xi_n, \eta_n), |\xi_n| \rightarrow +\infty$ , such that:

$$(5) \quad |p| < 1/n |\xi_n|^{\alpha+\beta} \quad \text{if } (x, y) \in \Omega \quad (\xi, \eta) \in \Gamma.$$

From (4) and (5) we have then:

$$(6) \quad c(1 + |\xi_n|^{\alpha+\beta}) \geq |ab - p| \geq |ab| - |p| \geq c_1 |\xi_n|^{\alpha+\beta} - 1/n |\xi_n|^{\alpha+\beta}$$

and this is impossible.

With the same argument, we can prove that if  $A$  is  $x$ -partially elliptic in  $P_0(x_0, y_0, \xi^0, \eta^0)$  so is  $A^*$  (the adjoint of  $A$ ) and that  ${}^tA$  (the transpose of  $A$ ) is  $x$ -partially elliptic in  $P'_0(x_0, y_0, -\xi^0, -\eta^0)$ .

§ 2. - Let  $a$  be  $x$ -partially elliptic in  $P_0 \equiv (x_0, y_0, \xi^0, \eta^0)$  according to definition 2. Let  $\Omega' = \pi_x(\Omega)$  and  $\Gamma' = \Gamma \cap S_{m-1}$ ; let  $g(x, \xi)$  be in  $C_c^\infty(\Omega' \times \Gamma')$  and  $\varphi(\xi)$  in  $C^\infty(R_m)$  such that  $0 \leq \varphi(\xi) \leq 1$ ,  $\varphi(\xi) = 0$  if  $|\xi| \leq c_2$  and  $\varphi(\xi) = 1$  if  $|\xi| \geq 2c_2$ . Lastly let  $\chi(y, \eta)$  be in  $C_c^\infty(A_y \times R_n)$  such that  $\pi_\eta(\text{supp } \chi) \subseteq \pi_\eta(\Gamma)$ ,  $\pi_y(\text{supp } \chi) \subseteq \pi_y(\Omega)$  and the origin of  $R_n$  is in  $\pi_\eta(\text{supp } \chi)$ . It is easy to show that  $g(x, \xi)\varphi(\xi)\chi(y, \eta)/a$  is in  $S^{-\alpha}(A_x \times A_y)$ . Using now the method for construction of a parametrrix of an elliptic differential operator, we can choose the terms of the formal series

$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j(x, y, \xi, \eta)$  in such a way that:

$$i) \quad b_j \in S^{(-\alpha+j)}(A_x \times A_y)$$

ii) we can choose the  $\chi_j(\xi, \eta)$  functions in  $C^\infty(R_{m+n})$  such that the series  $\sum_j b_j \chi_j = b$  is convergent in  $S^{-\alpha}(A_x \times A_y)$ ;

$$\text{iii) } \sum_p (1/p!) \partial_{(\xi, \eta)}^p a D_{(x, y)}^p b \sim g(x, \xi) \varphi(\xi) \chi(y, \eta).$$

To get this result it is enough to put:

$$b_0 = g(x, \xi) \varphi(\xi) \chi(y, \eta) / a(x, y, \xi, \eta)$$

$$a b_1 + \sum_{|p|=1} \partial_{(\xi, \eta)}^p a D_{(x, y)}^p b_0 = 0$$

$$a b_2 + \sum_{|p|=2} \partial_{(\xi, \eta)}^p a D_{(x, y)}^p b_0 + \sum_{|p|=2} \partial_{(\xi, \eta)}^p a D_{(x, y)}^p b_1 + \sum_{|p|=1} \partial_{(\xi, \eta)}^p a D_{(x, y)}^p b_1 = 0 \quad \text{etc.}$$

We have then that  $b_j \in S^{-(\alpha+j)}(A_x \times A_y)$ . We choose  $\chi_j(\xi, \eta) \in C^\infty(R_{m+n})$  as in [2], that is to say let  $\chi(\xi, \eta)$  be in  $C^\infty(R_{m+n})$ ,  $\chi(\xi, \eta) = 0$  if  $\frac{1}{2}|\xi| \leq c/2$  and  $|\chi(\xi, \eta)| = 1$  if  $|(\xi, \eta)| \geq c$ ; then we can select a sequence  $t_j \rightarrow \infty$  increasing so rapidly that, if we put  $\chi_j(\xi, \eta) = \chi(\xi, \eta/t_j)$ , the series  $\sum_j b_j \chi_j$  is convergent in  $S^{-\alpha}(A_x \times A_y)$ .

If we consider the properly supported <sup>(3)</sup> pseudodifferential operator  $B$  whose symbol is  $b(x, y, \xi, \eta) \pmod{S^{-\infty}(A_x \times A_y)}$  and if we form the right compose  $A \circ B$ , it is easy to see, denoting by  $\sigma(A \circ B)$  the symbol of  $A \circ B$ , that:

$$(6) \quad \sigma(A \circ B) = g(x, \xi) \varphi(\xi) \chi(y, \eta) + s \quad s \in S^{-\infty}(A_x \times A_y).$$

If we put then  $\varphi(\xi) = 1 + \varphi_1(\xi)$  (so that  $\text{supp } \varphi_1$  is a compact in  $R_m$ ) we obtain:

$$(7) \quad \sigma(A \circ B) = (g(x, \xi) \otimes 1(y, \eta))(1(x, \xi) \otimes \chi(y, \eta)) + s_1$$

where  $1(x, \xi)(1(y, \eta))$  is the identity function on  $A_x \times R_m$  (on  $A_y \times R_n$ ) and  $s_1$  is in  $S^{-\infty}(A_x \times A_y)$ .

We can now state the following:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $A = A(x, y, D_x, D_y) \in \Psi^\alpha(A_x \times A_y)$  be  $x$ -partially elliptic in  $P_0 \equiv (x_0, y_0, \xi^0, \eta^0) \in S^*(A_x \times A_y)$ ,  $\xi^0 \neq 0$ . Let us denote by  $\Omega$  the open set and by  $\Gamma$  the neighbourhood of definition 1. Let  $O = \Omega \times (\Gamma \cap S_{m+n-1})$ . Then given any relatively compact open subset  $O'$  of  $O$ ,*

---

<sup>(3)</sup> The definition of properly supported pseudodifferential operator is in [1].

there exists  $B = B(x, y, D_x, D_y)$  in  $\Psi^{-\alpha}(A_x \times A_y)$ , such that:

$$(8) \quad A \circ B \equiv I(x) \otimes G(y, Dy) \bmod \Psi^{-\infty}(O'^*)$$

where  $I(x)$  is the identity operator on  $\mathcal{D}'(A_x)$ ,  $G(y, Dy)$  is in  $\Psi^{-\infty}(A_y)$  and the support of  $G(y, Dy)$ 's symbol is compact.

PROOF. We must show that if  $k(x, y, \xi, \eta) \in C_c^\infty(O')$  then:

$$k(x, y, \xi, \eta)[\sigma(A \circ B) - 1(x, \xi) \otimes \chi(y, \eta)] \quad \text{is in } S^{-\infty}(A_x \times A_y).$$

Therefore substituting in the formula  $\sigma(A \circ B)$  by its expression, we must have that:

$$k(x, y, \xi, \eta)[(g(x, \xi) \otimes 1(y, \eta))(1(x, \xi) \otimes \chi(y, \eta)) + s_1 - 1(x, \xi) \otimes \chi(y, \eta)]$$

is in  $S^{-\infty}(A_x \times A_y)$ . We choose  $g(x, \xi) = 1$  in the set of the  $(x, \xi)$  such that it exists  $(x, \xi)$  in  $\pi_{x, \xi}(O')$ . We then get:

$$k(x, y, \xi, \eta)[(g(x, \xi) \otimes 1(y, \eta) - 1(x, y, \xi, \eta))(1(x, \xi) \otimes \chi(y, \eta))] + \\ + k(x, y, \xi, \eta)s_1(x, y, \xi, \eta).$$

As  $k(x, y, \xi, \eta)$  is in  $C_c^\infty(O')$  outside  $O'$  the first addendum is null; furthermore, because of the choice of  $g(x, \xi)$  it is null in  $O'$  too and so the first term is always null and the second term is obviously in  $S^{-\infty}(A_x \times A_y)$ . Q.E.D.

Observe that the construction of an operator  $B_1$ , with the same properties of  $B$  and such that  $B_1 \circ A \equiv I(x) \otimes G(y, D_y) \bmod \Psi^{-\infty}(O'^*)$  can be done simply repeating, step by step, the above construction, obviously substituting  $a(x, y, \xi, \eta)$  for  $b_J(x, y, \xi, \eta)$  ( $J = 0, 1, \dots$ ) and vice-versa. Observe moreover that the  $B$ 's properties stated in the above theorem depend only formally on choice of the  $\chi(y, \eta)$  and  $\varphi(\xi)$  functions.

§ 3. - THEOREM 2. Let  $A = A(x, y, D_x, D_y) \in \Psi^\alpha(A_x \times A_y)$ . Let  $O$  an open set in  $S^*(A_x \times A_y)$ ; let  $O$  be equal to  $\Omega \times \Gamma$  where  $\Omega$  is an open relatively compact set in  $A_x \times A_y$  and  $\Gamma$  is the product of a cone in  $R_m$ , whose vertex is the origin, with a relatively compact neighbourhood of the origin in  $R_n$ . Let us assume that (8) is true for  $A$  on  $O$  for every  $G(y, D_y)$  whose symbol  $\chi(y, \eta) \in C_c^\infty(A_y \times R_n)$  and is such that  $\pi_y(\text{supp } \chi) \subseteq \pi_y(\Omega)$ ,

$\pi_\eta(\text{supp } \chi) \subseteq \pi_\eta(\Gamma)$  and  $\pi_\eta(\text{supp } \chi)$  contains the origin in  $R_n$ . We have then that  $A$  is  $x$ -partially elliptic in every point  $P_0(x_0, y_0, \xi^0, \eta^0)$ ,  $\xi^0 \neq 0$ , such that  $P'_0(x_0, y_0, \xi^0, 0)$  is in  $O$ .

PROOF. From (8) we have:

$$(9) \quad k(x, y, \xi, \eta)[\sigma(A \circ B) - 1(x, \xi) \otimes \chi(y, \eta)] \quad \text{is in } S^{-\infty}(A_x \times A_y)$$

if  $k(x, y, \xi, \eta)$  is in  $C_c^\infty(O')$ , where  $O'$  is an open relatively compact subset of  $O$ . As  $A \circ B$  is in  $\Psi^0(A_x \times A_y)$  and as  $ab(x, y, \xi, \eta)$  is the first term of the series which formally determines the symbol of  $A \circ B \pmod{S^{-\infty}(A_x \times A_y)}$  we get:

$$(10) \quad k(x, y, \xi, \eta)[\sigma(A \circ B) - ab(x, y, \xi, \eta)] \quad \text{is in } S^{-1}(A_x \times A_y)$$

From (9) and (10) we obtain:  $k(x, y, \xi, \eta)[ab(x, y, \xi, \eta) - 1(x, \xi) \otimes \chi(y, \eta)]$  is in  $S^{-1}(A_x \times A_y)$  that is:

$$(11) \quad |k(x, y, \xi, \eta)| |ab(x, y, \xi, \eta) - 1(x, \xi) \otimes \chi(y, \eta)| \leq c/|\xi|$$

if  $(x, y) \in K \subset A_x \times A_y$  and  $\forall (\xi, \eta) \in R_m \times R_n$ .

We now choose  $O'' \subset O' \subset O$ ,  $O''$  relatively compact in  $O'$ , such that  $|k(x, y, \xi, \eta)| \geq \gamma$  in  $O''$ . Let  $\chi(y, \eta)$  be equal to 1 in  $\pi_{y,\eta}(O'')$ . Let  $\Omega' = \pi_x(O'') \times \pi_y(O'')$ . We get:  $|ab(x, y, \xi, \eta) - 1| \leq c'/|\xi|$  if

$$|k(x, y, \xi, \eta)| \geq \gamma, \quad (x, y) \in \Omega' \quad (\xi, \eta) \in R_m \times \pi_\eta(O'') \quad \text{and} \quad (x, y, \xi, \eta) \in O''.$$

As  $b(x, y, \xi, \eta)$  is in  $S^{-\alpha}(A_x \times A_y)$  we have:  $|b(x, y, \xi, \eta)| \leq c_\Omega' |\xi|^{-\alpha}$  if  $(x, y) \in \Omega'$  and  $\forall |\xi| \geq c$ ,  $\eta \in \pi_\eta(O'')$ . So for large  $|\xi|$  we must have:

$$(12) \quad |a(x, y, \xi, \eta)| \geq c_1 |\xi|^\alpha$$

if  $(x, y) \in \Omega'$   $(\xi, \eta) \in \pi_\xi(O'') \times \pi_\eta(O'')$  and this is to say that  $A$  is  $x$ -partially elliptic in every point  $P_0(x_0, y_0, \xi^0, \eta^0)$  such that  $P'_0 \equiv (x_0, y_0, \xi^0, 0)$  is in  $O$ . Q.E.D.

§ 4. Let us study the connections between the  $x$ -partially elliptic pseudodifferential operators and the singularities of distributions.

DEFINITION 4. Let  $u = u(x, y)$  be in  $\mathcal{D}'(A_x \times A_y)$  and  $x_0$  a point in  $A_x$ . We say that  $u(x, y)$  is regular in  $x_0$  if  $\exists$  a neighbourhood  $V$  of

$x_0$  in  $A_x$  such that:  $\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(A_y)$  the distribution  $v(x) = \int u(x, y)\varphi(y) dy$  is in  $C^\infty(V)$ .

It is easy to see that if  $u(x, y)$  is regular in  $x_0$  for every  $x_0$  in  $A_x$ , then  $u(x, y)$  is regular in the  $x$ -variable according to the characterization in [4].

DEFINITION 5. Let  $u(x, y)$  be in  $\mathcal{D}'(A_x \times A_y)$ . The set  $WF_x(u)$  is the following:  $P_0 \equiv (x_0, y_0, \xi^0, \eta^0), \xi^0 \neq 0, P_0 \in S^*(A_x \times A_y)$  is not in  $WF_x(u)$  if:

i) there exists an open relatively compact neighbourhood  $\Omega$  of  $(x_0, y_0)$  in  $A_x \times A_y$ ;

ii) there exists a neighbourhood  $O$  of  $\xi_0$  in  $S_{m-1}$ ;

iii) there exists a relatively compact neighbourhood  $A$  of the origin in  $R_n$  such that:  $\forall \varphi(x, y) \in C_c^\infty(\Omega), \forall g(x, \xi) \in C_c^\infty(\pi_x(\Omega) \times O)$  and  $\forall \chi(y, \eta) \in C_c^\infty(\pi_y(\Omega) \times A)$  we have:  $\forall s \in R \exists t = t(s) \in R$ :

$$(13) \quad (1 + |\xi|^2)^s (1 + |\eta|^2)^{t(s)} |G(x, D_x) \otimes X(y, D_y)(\varphi u)^\wedge(\xi, \eta)|^2$$

is in  $L^1(R_{m+n})$

if  $G(x, D_x)$  and  $X(y, D_y)$  are the pseudodifferential operators whose symbols are  $g(x, \xi)$  and  $\chi(y, \eta)$  respectively.

DEFINITION 6. If  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(A_x, A_y)$ ,  $WF_x(u)$  is called the  $x$ -wavefront set of  $u$ .

From now on we shall suppose  $u(x, y)$  in  $\mathcal{S}'(A_x \times A_y)$ , without loss of generality, as it can be easily proved.

THEOREM 3. Let  $A = A(x, y, D_x, D_y) \in \Psi_0^\infty(A_x \times A_y)$  <sup>(4)</sup>. Let  $u(x, y)$  be in  $\mathcal{S}'(A_x \times A_y)$ . Then:

$$WF_x(Au) \subseteq WF_x(u).$$

In the proof of the theorem we shall use the following:

LEMMA. Let  $A = A(x, y, D_x, D_y) \in \Psi_0^\alpha(A_x \times A_y)$ .  $A$  maps continuously  $H_c^{s,t}(A_x \times A_y)$  into  $H^{s',t'}(R^{m+n})$ , where if  $\alpha \geq 0, s' = s - \alpha, t' = t - \alpha$  and if  $\alpha < 0$  we have at least  $s' = s, t' = t$  (without proof).

---

(4) By  $\Psi_0^\infty(A_x \times A_y)$  we denote the set of properly supported pseudodifferential operators.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Let  $u(x, y)$  be in  $\mathcal{E}'(A_x \times A_y)$ . Let  $P_0 \equiv \equiv (x_0, y_0, \xi^0, \eta^0) \notin WF_x(u)$ . From the definition we have that there exists a neighbourhood  $\Omega$  of  $(x_0, y_0)$ , a neighbourhood  $O$  of  $\xi_0$  in  $S_{m-1}$  and a neighbourhood  $A$  of the origin in  $\mathbf{R}_n$  such that:  $\forall g(x, \xi)$  in  $C_c^\infty(\pi_x(\Omega) \times O)$  and  $\forall \chi(y, \eta) \in C_c^\infty(\pi_y(\Omega) \times A)$  we have:  $\forall s \exists t(s) \in R$ :  $(G(x, D_x) \otimes X(y, D_y))u$  is in  $H^{s, t(s)}(R^{m+n})$ , if  $G(x, D_x)$  and  $X(y, D_y)$  are the pseudodifferential operators whose symbols are  $g(x, \xi)$  and  $\chi(y, \eta)$  respectively. Let us suppose  $g(x, \xi) \otimes \chi(y, \eta)$  be equal to 1 in a neighbourhood of  $(x_0, y_0, \xi^0, \eta^0)$ . By the above lemma we get:

$$A(G(x, D_x) \otimes X(y, D_y)u) \in H^{s', t'}(A_x \times A_y).$$

Let us choose  $g'(x, \xi) \otimes \chi'(y, \eta)$  such that its support is contained in the set of the points where  $g(x, \xi) \otimes \chi(y, \eta)$  is equal to 1. Then  $G'(x, D_x) \otimes X'(y, D_y)A(G(x, D_x) \otimes X(y, D_y))u$  is in  $H^{s', t'}(R^{m+n})$  as  $G'(x, D_x) \otimes X'(y, D_y)$  is in  $\mathcal{P}_0^0(A_x \times A_y)$ ; moreover because of the choice of  $g'(x, \xi) \otimes \chi'(y, \eta)$  it is exactly  $G'(x, D_x) \otimes X'(y, D_y)Au$ . If we now choose the neighbourhoods of definition 5 in such a way that our request about the support of  $g'(x, \xi) \otimes \chi'(y, \eta)$  is satisfied, we get at once that  $P_0 \notin WF_x(Au)$ . Q.E.D.

THEOREM 4. Let  $P_0 \equiv (x_0, y_0, \xi^0, \eta^0)$ ,  $\xi^0 \neq 0$  be a point in  $S^*(A_x \times A_y)$ , let  $A = I(x) \otimes G(y, D_y)$  where  $I(x)$  is the identity operator on  $\mathcal{D}'(A_x)$  and  $G(y, D_y)$  has symbol  $\chi(y, \eta)$  in  $C_c^\infty(A_y \times R_n)$  and  $\chi(y, \eta)$  is equal to 1 in a neighbourhood of  $(y_0, 0) \in A_y \times R_n$ .

Let us suppose  $P_0 \notin WF_x(I(x) \otimes G(y, D_y)u)$ , then  $P_0 \notin WF_x(u)$ .

PROOF. By the hypothesis there exists a neighbourhood  $\Omega$  of  $(x_0, y_0)$ , a neighbourhood  $O$  of  $\xi_0$  in  $S_{m-1}$  and a neighbourhood  $A$  of the origin in  $R_n$  such that:  $\forall g(x, \xi) \in C_c^\infty(\pi_x(\Omega) \times O)$  and  $\forall \chi'(y, \eta) \in C_c^\infty(\pi_y(\Omega) \times A)$  we have that  $\forall s \in R, \exists t(s) \in R$  such that:

$$(G(x, D_x) \otimes X'(y, D_y))(I(x) \otimes G(y, D_y))u \in H^{s, t(s)}(R^m \times R^n).$$

If we choose now the neighbourhoods of the definition in such a way that the symbol  $g(x, \xi) \otimes \chi'(y, \eta)$  have its support contained in the set of points where  $1(x, \xi) \otimes \chi(y, \eta)$  is equal to 1, we get that  $P_0 \notin WF_x(u)$ . Q.E.D.

§ 5. - From theorem 4 we have the following:

COROLLARY. Let  $A = A(x, y, D_x, D_y) \in \mathcal{P}^\alpha(A_x \times A_y)$  be  $x$ -partially elliptic in  $P_0 \equiv (x_0, y_0, \xi^0, \eta^0)$ . Then if  $P_0 \notin WF_x(Au)$  it follows that  $P_0 \notin WF_x(u)$ .

PROOF. As  $A$  is  $x$ -partially elliptic there exists a neighbourhood  $O$  of  $P'_0 \equiv (x_0, y_0, \xi^0, 0)$  such that:  $\exists B \in \Psi^{-\alpha}(A_x \times A_y)$  such that:

$$B \circ A \equiv I(x) \otimes G(y, D_y) \text{ mod } \Psi^{-\infty}(O^*)$$

provided that the operator  $G(y, D_y)$  has its symbol  $\chi(y, \eta)$  in  $C_c^\infty(A_y \times R_n)$ . As  $P_0 \notin WF_x(Au)$  from theorem 3 we get that  $P_0 \notin WF_x(B(Au))$  and this is to say that  $P_0 \notin WF_x(I(x) \otimes G(y, D_y)u)$ . From theorem 4 we obtain that  $P_0 \notin WF_x(u)$ . Q.E.D.

Assuming now  $A$   $x$ -partially elliptic on  $O$ , open set in  $S^*(A_x \times A_y)$ , we have:  $WF_x(Au) \cap O = WF_x(u) \cap O$ . If  $O = S^*(A_x \times A_y)$  we get:

$$WF_x(u) = WF_x(Au)$$

DEFINITION 7. Let  $A \in \Psi_0^\infty(A_x \times A_y)$ . We call characteristic set of  $A$  in the  $x$ -variable the following set:  $C_{A,x} = S^*(A_x \times A_y) \setminus \bigcup \{O: O \text{ is an open set in } S^*(A_x \times A_y) \text{ and } A \text{ is } x\text{-partially elliptic on } O\}$ .

THEOREM 5. Let  $u(x, y) \in \mathcal{E}'(A_x \times A_y)$ . Then:

$$WF_x(u) = \bigcap_A C_{A,x}$$

for every  $A \in \Psi_0^\infty(A_x \times A_y)$  such that  $Au$  is regular in the  $x$ -variable on  $A_x$

PROOF. If  $Au$  is  $x$ -regular on  $A_x$  we get that  $WF_x(Au) = \emptyset$ .

Then from the corollary we have:  $WF_x(u) \cap O = \emptyset$ , for every  $O$  where  $A$  is  $x$ -partially elliptic; so  $WF_x(u) \subseteq \bigcap C_{A,x}$ . To complete the proof it is enough to show that given  $P_0 \equiv (x_0, y_0, \xi^0, \eta^0)$ ,  $P_0 \notin WF_x(u)$ , it exists a pseudodifferential operator  $A$  such that:

- a)  $Au$  is regular in the  $x$ -variable on  $A_x$ ;
- b)  $A$  is  $x$ -partially elliptic in  $P_0$  (that is to say  $P_0 \notin C_{A,x}$ ).

As  $P_0 \notin WF_x(u)$  from the definition there exist a neighbourhood  $\Omega$  of  $(x_0, y_0)$ , a neighbourhood  $O$  of  $\xi_0$  in  $S_{m-1}$ , a neighbourhood  $A$  of the origin in  $R_n$  such that:  $\forall g(x, \xi) \in C_c^\infty(\pi_x(\Omega) \times O)$ ,  $\forall \chi(y, \eta)$  in  $C_c^\infty(\pi_y(\Omega) \times A)$  the distribution  $G(x, D_x) \otimes X(y, D_y)u$  (if  $G(x, D_x)$  and  $X(y, D_y)$  are the operators whose symbols are  $g(x, \xi)$  and  $\chi(y, \eta)$  respectively) is regular in the  $x$ -variable on  $A_x$  (according to the characterization in [4]). Let us prove that the operator  $G(x, D_x) \otimes$

$\otimes X(y, D_y)$  is  $x$ -partially elliptic in  $P_0$ , and this will be the last step. As  $G(x, D_x) \otimes X(y, D_y)$  is in  $\Psi^0(A_x \times A_y)$  we must prove that there exist a neighbourhood  $\Omega'$  of  $(x_0, y_0)$  and a neighbourhood  $\Gamma'$  of  $(\xi_0, 0)$  such that

$$|g(x, \xi) \otimes \chi(y, \eta)| \geq c$$

if  $(x, y) \in \Omega$   $(\xi, \eta) \in \Gamma$  and  $|\xi| \geq c_1$ .

To do that we can choose  $\Omega' \subseteq \Omega$  and  $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$  such that  $g(x, \xi) \otimes \chi(y, \eta) \neq 0$  in  $\Omega' \times \Gamma'$ . Q.E.D.

REMARK 3. If  $P(D_x, D_y)$  is a differential operator with constant coefficients and if it is partially elliptic in the  $x$ -variable according to definition 1, then  $P(D_x, D_y)$  is  $x$ -partially elliptic according to definition 2 in every point  $(\xi_0, \eta_0)$ ,  $\xi_0 \neq 0$ . Definition 2 is however more extensive:

The operator  $P(D_x, D_y)$  such that  $P(\xi, \eta) = \xi^2 + \eta$  which is not partially elliptic according to definition 1 (see [4]), is  $x$ -partially elliptic according to definition 2. As a matter of fact suppose  $\xi^0 \neq 0$ , say  $\xi^0 > 0$ , and consider the neighbourhood  $V$  of  $(1, 0)$ , defined as follows:

$$V = \{(\xi, \eta) : \xi > 0, |\eta| < \frac{1}{2}\}.$$

We now get at once

$$|\xi|^2 \leq 2|\xi^2 + \eta|$$

if  $|\xi| \geq 1$  and  $(\xi, \eta) \in V$ .

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] L. HORMANDER, *Fourier Integral Operators*, Acta Math., **127** (1971).
- [2] L. HORMANDER, *Pseudodifferential Operators and Hypocoelliptic Equations*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., **10** (1967).
- [3] L. HORMANDER, *Linear Partial Differential Operators*, Springer-Verlag, 1969.
- [4] L. GARDING - B. MALGRANGE, *Operateurs Differentiels Partialement Hypo-elliptiques et Partialement Elliptiques*, Mat. Scand., **9** (1961).
- [5] L. SCHWARTZ, *Théorie des distributions*, Hermann, 1967.

Manoscritto pervenuto in Redazione il 15 Novembre 1976.