

RENDICONTI
del
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO
della
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

RICHARD D. CARMICHAEL

Distributional boundary values in \mathcal{D}'_{L^p} . III

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 48 (1972), p. 137-158

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1972__48__137_0>

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1972, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

DISTRIBUTIONAL BOUNDARY VALUES IN \mathfrak{D}'_L^p . III

RICHARD D. CARMICHAEL *)

1. Introduction.

In Carmichael [5, 6] we have obtained results in which distributions in \mathfrak{D}'_L^p are related to and represented as boundary values of analytic functions. In the present paper we shall continue our investigation of this topic.

All terminology concerning cones $C \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ and compact subcones in this paper will be the same as that in Carmichael [4, p. 845] or [6, p. 252]. In particular we call the readers attention to the function $u_C(t)$, the indicatrix of the cone C , the number ρ_C , which characterizes the nonconvexity of C , and the tubular cone $T^C = \mathbf{R}^n + iC \subset \mathbf{C}^n$, the definition of which can be found in the above references.

Let C be an open cone; and let $f(z)$, $z = x + iy \in \mathbf{C}^n$, satisfy

$$(1) \quad |f(z)| \leq K(C')(1 + |z|)^N \exp [2\pi(A + \sigma) |y|], \quad z \in T^{C'} = \mathbf{R}^n + iC',$$

for all real numbers $\sigma > 0$, where C' is an arbitrary compact subcone of C , A is a nonnegative real number, N is any real number, and $K(C')$ is a constant depending on C' . The functions which we have studied in [5, 6] in relation to the \mathfrak{D}'_L^p distributions have been analytic functions in the octants

$$O_\delta = \{z : \delta_j \operatorname{Im}(z_j) > 0, \delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n), \delta_j = \pm 1, j = 1, \dots, n\}$$

*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Dept. of Mathematics, Wake Forest University, Winston Salem, North Carolina 27109, U.S.A.

or in the general tubular cone $T^C = \mathbf{R}^n + iC$ which satisfy boundedness conditions similar to (1). In [4, 7, 8, 9] we have related analytic functions having a growth condition as in (1) to other spaces of distributions. Letting \mathcal{S}' denote the Schwartz space of tempered distributions, we have obtained the following result which has importance in quantum field theory and which will be useful in this paper.

THEOREM 1. *Let C be an open connected cone. Let $f(z)$ be analytic in $T^C = \mathbf{R}^n + iC$ and satisfy (1). Let $f(z) \rightarrow U$ in the \mathcal{S}' topology as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C' \subset C$. Then $U \in \mathcal{S}'$; there exists an element $V \in \mathcal{S}'$ such that $\text{supp}(V) \subseteq \{t : u_C(t) \leq A\}$ and $U = \tilde{V}$; and $f(z) = \langle V, e^{2\pi i(z, t)} \rangle$, $z \in T^C$, $C' \subset C$.*

PROOF. See [4, Theorem 2]. Here $\text{supp}(V)$ is the support of V , \tilde{V} denotes the Fourier transform of V , and C' is an arbitrary compact subcone of C .

Korányi [14] and Stein, Weiss, and Weiss [16] have defined the classical Hardy $H^p(T^C)$ spaces, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, for functions analytic in tube T^C . We note that $H^p(T^C) \subset \mathcal{D}'_{L^p} \subset \mathcal{S}'$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

In this paper we shall obtain distributional boundary value results concerning the space of functions $H^p(T^C)$, and the boundary values will be seen to be elements of \mathcal{D}'_{L^p} . As in Carmichael [5, 6], the topology which we shall use will be that of \mathcal{S}' . In section 2 we shall obtain results similar to Theorem 1 for functions $f(z) \in H^\infty(T^C)$ and for functions $f(z) \in H^p(T^C)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, which satisfy (1). Under these assumptions more can be said about the function $f(z)$ than in Theorem 1; we shall see that the convergence of $f(z)$ to an element in $\mathcal{D}'_{L^p} \subset \mathcal{S}'$ can be proved and that $f(z)$ can be represented by the Poisson integral of its boundary value as well as the Fourier-Laplace transform $\langle V, e^{2\pi i(z, t)} \rangle$ of $V \in \mathcal{S}'$. Further, for suitable choices of p , $f(z) \in H^p(T^C)$ can also be represented by the Cauchy integral of its boundary value. If $f(z) \in H^2(T^C)$ it is known that $f(z)$ has each of the above representations. In the results of this paper we extend the values of p for which $H^p(T^C)$ functions have each of these representations. Further, we prove a version of Fatou's theorem in which more is concluded about the $H^\infty(T^C)$ function and its boundary value than in the classical setting for tube domains. In section 3 we shall obtain converse results to those of section 2 in which an $H^p(T^C)$ function is manufactured from a distri-

bution. In particular we are interested in obtaining a converse to the classical Fatou theorem. Section 4 will be devoted to obtaining generalizations to disconnected tubular cones.

In the remainder of this introductory section we shall introduce the n dimensional notation and definitions to be used throughout this paper. The n dimensional notation and the definition of the derivative D^α , α being an n -tuple of nonnegative integers, will be the same as in Carmichael [4]. T^C will always represent the subset of \mathbf{C}^n defined by $T^C = \mathbf{R}^n + iC$, where C is a cone. If C is connected, T^C will be called a tubular radial domain; while if C is not connected, we shall refer to T^C as a tubular cone. The function spaces \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{S} and the distribution spaces \mathcal{S}' and \mathcal{D}'_L^p are defined in Schwartz [15]; and all definitions of terms concerning distributions, such as support and convolution, are those of Schwartz. The Fourier and inverse Fourier transforms of L^1 functions and \mathcal{S}' distributions are defined in Carmichael [4]. The Fourier transform of a function $\varphi(t)$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{F}[\varphi(t); x]$ or $\widehat{\varphi}(x)$; similarly we denote the inverse Fourier transform as $\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi(t); x]$. The Fourier and inverse Fourier transforms of $V \in \mathcal{S}'$ are denoted \widehat{V} and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(V)$, respectively.

A sequence $\{\varphi_\lambda\} \in \mathcal{S}$ converges to $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ in \mathcal{S} as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$ if

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \sup_x |x^\beta D^\alpha(\varphi_\lambda(x) - \varphi(x))| = 0,$$

where α and β are arbitrary n -tuples of nonnegative integers. Let $z \in T^C$, C being an open connected cone. By $f(z) \rightarrow V$ in the topology of \mathcal{S}' as $y = \text{Im}(z) \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C$, we mean that $\langle f(z), \varphi(x) \rangle \rightarrow \langle V, \varphi(x) \rangle$ as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C$, where φ is any element of \mathcal{S} . We note that the boundary value V is obtained on the distinguished boundary of T^C , $\{z = x + iy : x \in \mathbf{R}^n, y = 0\}$, which is not necessarily the topological boundary unless $n = 1$.

On several occasions in this paper we shall make use of Theorem 4 in [4]. We note that this result holds for $A = 0$ as well as for $A > 0$; the proof for $A = 0$ is exactly the same. With this in mind, we shall assume henceforth that Theorem 4 in [4] holds for all real numbers $A \geq 0$. Unless otherwise specified, $g(x) \in L^p(f(z) \in H^p(T^C))$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, means throughout this paper $g(x) \in L^p(f(z) \in H^p(T^C))$ for some p ,

$1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The definition of the $H^p(T^C)$ spaces, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, which we shall use in this paper is given in [16].

2. Distributional boundary values of H^p functions.

Let C be an open connected cone, and let $O(C)$ denote the convex envelope (hull) of C . If $f(z)$ is analytic in T^C , then by Bochner's theorem on analytic extension [3, Chapter V], $f(z)$ has an analytic extension to $T^{O(C)}$. Further, if $f(z) \in H^p(T^C)$, then its extension is in $H^p(T^{O(C)})$ and

$$\sup_{y \in C} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x+iy)|^p dx = \sup_{y \in O(C)} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x+iy)|^p dx.$$

(See [16, p. 1036]). Thus it suffices to assume that C is convex.

For $z \in T^C$, we define the Cauchy kernel $K(z-t)$ by

$$K(z-t) = \int_{C^*} e^{2\pi i(z-t, \eta)} d\eta,$$

where $C^* = \{\eta : u_C(\eta) \leq 0\}$ is the dual cone of C . If \bar{C} contains an entire straight line, then by a result of Vladimirov [18, Lemma 1, p. 222] the cone C^* lies in some $(n-1)$ dimensional plane; and $K(z-t) = 0$. To avoid this triviality we assume throughout this section that the cone C is open, convex, and has the property that \bar{C} contains no entire straight line.

From the Cauchy kernel we define the Poisson kernel corresponding to T^C by

$$Q(z; t) = \frac{K(z-t)\overline{K(z-t)}}{K(2iy)}.$$

If T^C is the upper half plane in \mathbf{C}^1 , then $K(z-t)$ and $Q(z; t)$ are $\frac{1}{z-t}$ and $\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{y}{(t-x)^2 + y^2}$, $z = x + iy$, respectively, which are the classical Cauchy and Poisson kernels.

Let $g \in L^p$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} g(t)K(z-t)dt \text{ and } \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} g(t)Q(z; t)dt, z \in T^C,$$

are the Cauchy and Poisson integrals, respectively, of g . We can now prove

THEOREM 2. *Let $f(z) \in H^p(T^C)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$; and let $f(z)$ satisfy (1). There exists a function $g(x) \in L^p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, such that $f(z) \rightarrow g(x)$ in the topology of \mathcal{S}' (as well as in the L^p norm topology) as $y = \text{Im}(z) \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C$; and there exists an element $V \in \mathcal{S}'$ with $\text{supp}(V) \subseteq S_A = \{t : u_C(t) \leq A\}$ such that $g(x) = \widehat{V}$ and*

$$(2) \quad f(z) = \langle V, e^{2\pi i(z, t)} \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} g(t)Q(z; t)dt, z \in T^C.$$

PROOF. Combining Propositions 4 and 3 (c) in Korányi [14], we obtain the existence of a function $g(x) \in L^p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, such that $f(z) \rightarrow g(x)$ in L^p as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$. By Hölder's inequality,

$$(3) \quad |\langle f(z), \varphi(x) \rangle - \langle g(x), \varphi(x) \rangle| \leq \|f(z) - g(x)\|_{L^p} \|\varphi\|_{L^q},$$

$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $1 < p < \infty$. If $p = 1$ we have

$$(4) \quad |\langle f(z), \varphi(x) \rangle - \langle g(x), \varphi(x) \rangle| \leq K \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(z) - g(x)| dx,$$

where $|\varphi(x)| \leq K$. Since $\varphi \in \mathcal{S} \subset L^q$ for all q , $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, then by (3), (4), and the fact that $f(z) \rightarrow g(x)$ in L^p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C$, we have that $f(z) \rightarrow g(x)$ in \mathcal{S}' as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C$. Having obtained this \mathcal{S}' boundary value, we now apply Theorem 1 and obtain an element $V \in \mathcal{S}'$ with $\text{supp}(V) \subseteq S_A$ such that $g(x) = \widehat{V}$ and $f(z) = \langle V, e^{2\pi i(z, t)} \rangle$, $z \in T^{C'}$, $C' \subset C$. But under these conditions on V , we have by [4, Theorem 4] that $\langle V, e^{2\pi i(z, t)} \rangle$ is analytic in T^C . Thus by the identity theorem for analytic

functions, $f(z) = \langle V, e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} \rangle$, $z \in T^c$. Again applying Propositions 4 and 3 (c) of Korányi [14], we have

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(t) Q(z; t) dt, \quad z \in T^c;$$

and (2) is obtained.

We now restrict p to $1 \leq p \leq 2$ in Theorem 2 and obtain an interesting corollary. First, however, we prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *Let $f \in L^p$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Let $g \in L^q$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$; and assume that $\mathcal{F}^{-1}[g(t); x]$ exists classically and belongs to L^p , $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then*

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{F}^{-1}(f * g) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(f) \mathcal{F}^{-1}(g)$$

in \mathcal{S}' .

PROOF. Since $f \in L^p$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, then $\mathcal{F}^{-1}[f(t); x]$ exists classically and is an element of L^q , $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. By hypothesis $\mathcal{F}^{-1}[g(t); x] \in L^p$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Thus $\mathcal{F}^{-1}[f(t); x] \mathcal{F}^{-1}[g(t); x] \in L^1 \subset \mathcal{S}'$. Further, it is known that $f * g$ exists as a classical convolution, is continuous, and is an element of L^r , $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1$, (i.e. L^∞). Thus $f * g \in \mathcal{S}'$, and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f * g) \in \mathcal{S}'$. Since both sides of (5) are well defined as elements of \mathcal{S}' , (5) follows by a result of Schwartz [15, Chapter VII] which states that the inverse Fourier transform converts convolution into multiplication in \mathcal{S}' when the algebraic operations are well defined in \mathcal{S}' .

COROLLARY 1. *Let $f(z) \in H^p(T^c)$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$; and let $f(z)$ satisfy (1) for $A=0$. There exists a function $g(x) \in L^p$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, such that $f(z) \rightarrow g(x)$ in the \mathcal{S}' topology (as well as the L^p norm topology) as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C$; and there exists a function $h(t) \in L^q$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, with $\text{supp}(h) \subseteq C^* = \{t : u_c(t) \leq 0\}$ almost everywhere such that $g = \widehat{h}$ in \mathcal{S}'*

and

$$(6) \quad f(z) = \langle h(t), e^{2\pi i(z, t)} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(t)K(z-t)dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(t)Q(z; t)dt,$$

$z \in T^C$, where the equality (6) is in \mathcal{S}' .

PROOF. From Theorem 2 we obtain the function $g(x) \in L^p$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, and an element $V \in \mathcal{S}'$ with $\text{supp}(V) \subseteq C^*$ and $g = \widehat{V}$. Thus $V = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(g)$ in \mathcal{S}' . Since $g(x) \in L^p$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $h(t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[g(x); t]$ exists classically and is an element of L^q , $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Thus $V = h(t)$ in \mathcal{S}' , and $\text{supp}(h) \subseteq C^*$ almost everywhere. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$. Performing a change of order of integration we obtain

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \langle h(t), e^{2\pi i(z, t)} \rangle, \varphi(x) \rangle &= \langle h(t), e^{-2\pi(y, t)} \widehat{\varphi}(t) \rangle = \\ &= \langle \mathcal{F}[I_{C^*}(t)e^{-2\pi(y, t)}h(t)], \varphi(x) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $I_{C^*}(t)$ is the characteristic function of C^* . Now $I_{C^*}(t)e^{-2\pi(y, t)} \in L^p$ for all p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. In particular if $1 \leq p \leq 2$, then

$$\mathcal{F}[I_{C^*}(t)e^{-2\pi(y, t)}; x] \in L^q \text{ for all } q, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + 1.$$

We now apply Lemma 1 to obtain

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(g * \mathcal{F}[I_{C^*}(t)e^{-2\pi(y, t)}; x]) = h(t)I_{C^*}(t)e^{-2\pi(y, t)}$$

in \mathcal{S}' . Thus

$$\mathcal{F}(I_{C^*}(t)e^{-2\pi(y, t)}h(t)) = g * \mathcal{F}[I_{C^*}(t)e^{-2\pi(y, t)}; x] = g * \int_{C^*} e^{2\pi i(z, t)} dt$$

in \mathcal{S}' . Returning to (7) we have

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \langle h(t), e^{2\pi i(z, t)} \rangle, \varphi(x) \rangle &= \langle g * \int_{C^*} e^{2\pi i(z, t)} d(t), \varphi(x) \rangle \\ &= \langle \langle g(t), K(z-t) \rangle, \varphi(x) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (8) with (2) we thus obtain (6), and the proof is complete.

The results obtained in Theorem 2 and Corollary 1 are reminiscent of classical results for H^p spaces of functions analytic in a half plane in \mathbf{C}^1 . Hille and Tamarkin [11, Theorem 2] have shown that if $f(z)$ is analytic in the half plane $\text{Im}(z) > 0$ and has a limit function $F(x) \in L^p$, and if $f(z)$ is represented by the Cauchy integral of $F(x)$, then it is also represented by the Poisson integral of $F(x)$ and vice versa. Hille and Tamarkin ([11, Theorem 3] and [12, Theorem]) have also obtained results relating analytic functions which have boundary values and which are represented by the Cauchy (Poisson) integral of their boundary values with a Fourier transform which vanishes on a half line. (For related results we also refer to [13]). Of course the Hille and Tamarkin theorems hold for the H^p spaces of functions analytic in a half plane. Stein and Weiss have shown that if $f(z) \in H^2(T^c)$, then equality (6) holds [17; Theorem 3.1, p. 101; Theorem 3.6, p. 103; Theorem 3.9, p. 106]. In Theorem 2 and Corollary 1 we have obtained conditions under which these classical results of Hille and Tamarkin are extended to the $H^p(T^c)$ spaces for other values of p .

We shall now obtain a result similar to Theorem 2 for $H^\infty(T^c)$. In this version of Fatou's theorem we are able to say more about the element of H^∞ and its boundary value than in the classical setting for tubular radial domains.

THEOREM 3. *Let $f(z) \in H^\infty(T^c)$. There exists a function $g(x) \in L^\infty$ such that $f(z) \rightarrow g(x)$ in the \mathcal{S}' topology (as well as in the weak-star topology of L^∞) as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C$; and there exists an element $V \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^2}$ with $g(x) = V$ and $\text{supp}(V) \subseteq C^* = \{t : u_C(t) \leq 0\}$ such that*

$$f(z) = \langle V, e^{2\pi i(z, t)} \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} g(t) Q(z; t) dt, \quad z \in T^c.$$

PROOF. Combining Propositions 4 and 3 (d) in Korányi [14], we obtain the existence of a function $g(x) \in L^\infty$ such that $f(z) \rightarrow g(x)$ in the weak-star topology of L^∞ as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C$. This convergence and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem imply immediately that $f(z) \rightarrow g(x)$ in \mathcal{S}' as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C$. These same results of Korányi also

imply

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} g(t)Q(z; t)dt, \quad z \in T^C.$$

We now put

$$F(z) = \frac{f(z)}{1 + z_1^2 \dots z_n^2}.$$

Since $f(z) \in H^\infty(T^C)$, then $F(z) \in H^2(T^C)$; and by a result of Bochner [2, section 3]. (See also Vladimirov [18, pp. 224-227]), there exists a function $\psi(t) \in L^2$ with $\text{supp}(\psi) \subseteq C^*$ such that

$$F(z) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \psi(t)e^{2\pi i(z, t)}dt, \quad z \in T^C.$$

We now put $V = (1 + D^{(2, \dots, 2)})\psi(t)$. Then $\text{supp}(V) = \text{supp}(\psi) \subseteq C^*$; and by the Schwartz characterization theorem [15, Théorème XXV, p. 201], $V \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^2}$. A straightforward calculation now gives

$$(9) \quad \langle V, e^{2\pi i(z, t)} \rangle = (1 + z_1^2 \dots z_n^2) \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \psi(t)e^{2\pi i(z, t)}dt = f(z).$$

Let $\xi(\eta) \in \mathcal{G}$, the space of infinitely differentiable functions, $\eta \in \mathbf{R}^1$, such that $\xi(\eta) = 1$ for $\eta \geq 0$, $\xi(\eta) = 0$ for $\eta \leq -\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$; and $0 \leq \xi(\eta) \leq 1$. Put $\gamma(t) = \xi(\langle t, y \rangle)$, $y \in C$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$. Using (9) we obtain

$$(10) \quad \langle f(z), \varphi(x) \rangle = \langle V, \gamma(t)e^{-2\pi i(y, t)}\widehat{\varphi}(t) \rangle, \quad z \in T^C.$$

It is straightforward to show that $\gamma(t)e^{-2\pi i(y, t)}\widehat{\varphi}(t) \rightarrow \gamma(t)\widehat{\varphi}(t)$ in \mathcal{S} as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C$. Since $V \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^2} \subset \mathcal{S}'$ (i.e. is continuous), then

$$(11) \quad \langle V, \gamma(t)e^{-2\pi i(y, t)}\widehat{\varphi}(t) \rangle \rightarrow \langle V, \gamma(t)\widehat{\varphi}(t) \rangle = \langle \widehat{V}, \varphi \rangle$$

as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C$. (11) combined with (10) shows that $f(z) \rightarrow \widehat{V}$ in \mathcal{S}' as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C$. Since the limit in \mathcal{S}' of $f(z)$ is unique, we thus have $g(x) = \widehat{V}$; and the proof is complete.

If $f(z) \in H^\infty(T^C)$, then by definition $f(z)$ is bounded for $z \in T^C$ and hence satisfies (1) for $A=0$. Thus once we obtained the boundary value $g(x)$ in Theorem 3, we could have immediately applied Theorem 1 to obtain an element $V \in \mathcal{S}'$ such that $g(x) = \widehat{V}$ and $\text{supp}(V) \subseteq C^*$. We see, however, from the proof of Theorem 3 that we can actually make the stronger statement that $V \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^2}(\mathcal{D}'_{L^2} \subset \mathcal{S}')$.

3. Converse results.

Throughout this section C will denote an open convex cone which has the property that \overline{C} contains no entire straight line.

The following theorem and corollary can be viewed as converses to the combination of Propositions 4 and 3 (c) of Korányi [14] and to Theorem 2 of the present paper for the corresponding values of p .

THEOREM 4. *Let $g(t) \in L^p$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$; and let $\text{supp}(g) \subseteq C^* = \{t : u_C(t) \leq 0\}$. There exists a function $f(z) \in H^q(T^C)$ and a function $h(x) = \mathcal{F}[g(t); x] \in L^q$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, such that $f(z) \rightarrow h(x)$ in the \mathcal{S}' topology (as well as in the L^q norm topology, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $1 < p \leq 2$, or in the weak-star topology of L^∞ if $p=1$) as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C$.*

PROOF. Let $I_{C^*}(t)$ denote the characteristic function of C^* , and let $\gamma(t)$ be defined as in the proof of Theorem 3. Put

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} g(t) e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} dt = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} I_{C^*}(t) g(t) \gamma(t) e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} dt, \quad z \in T^C.$$

Since $g(t) \in L^p \subset \mathcal{S}'$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, and $\text{supp}(g) \subseteq C^*$, then by [4, Theorem 4] $f(z)$ is analytic in T^C . For the present we let $y = \text{Im}(z) \in C$ be fixed. We have for $t \in \mathbf{R}^n$ that

$$(12) \quad |I_{C^*}(t) \gamma(t) e^{-2\pi \langle y, t \rangle}| \leq 1;$$

and $I_{C^*}(t) \gamma(t) e^{-2\pi \langle y, t \rangle} \in L^q$ for all q , $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. By Hölders inequality and (12), we have for $g(t) \in L^p$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, that $I_{C^*}(t) \gamma(t) e^{-2\pi \langle y, t \rangle} g(t) \in$

$\in L^1 \cap L^p$. Now

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} I_{C^*}(t) \gamma(t) e^{-2\pi(y, t)} g(t) e^{2\pi i(x, t)} dt$$

$$= \mathfrak{F}[I_{C^*}(t) \gamma(t) e^{-2\pi(y, t)} g(t); x];$$

and the Fourier transform can be interpreted in the appropriate limit in the mean sense for $1 < p \leq 2$. Thus by the Fourier transform theory,

$f(z) \in L^q$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, as a function of x for any fixed $y \in C$.

If $p = 1$, $q = \infty$; and using (12) we have

$$(13) \quad |f(z)| \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |g(t)| dt < \infty.$$

For $1 < p \leq 2$, we have again by the Fourier transform theory and (12) that

$$(14) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x + iy)|^q dx \leq \|I_{C^*}(t) \gamma(t) e^{-2\pi(y, t)} g(t)\|_{L^p}^q \leq \|g\|_{L^p}^q < \infty,$$

$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. But the right hand sides of (13) and (14) are independent of $y \in C$. Thus the estimates in (13) and (14) hold for all $y \in C$; and it

follows that $f(z) \in H^q(T^C)$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Further, since $g(t) \in L^p$,

$1 \leq p \leq 2$, then $h(x) = \widehat{g}(x) \in L^q$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$; and using a proof similar to that in equations (10) and (11), we have $f(z) \rightarrow \widehat{g}(x) = h(x)$ in \mathfrak{S}' as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C$.

Let $1 < p \leq 2$. As in the proof of Theorem 2, we obtain the existence of a function $\psi(x) \in L^q$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, such that $f(z) \in H^q(T^C)$ converges in the L^q norm topology, and hence in the \mathfrak{S}' topology, to $\psi(x)$ as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C$. Since the \mathfrak{S}' limit of $f(z)$ is unique, then $h(x) = \psi(x)$ almost

everywhere. Thus $f(z) \rightarrow h(x)$ in the L^q norm topology as $y \rightarrow 0, y \in C$. If $p=1$ and $q=\infty$, it similarly follows using the proof of Theorem 3 that $f(z) \in H^\infty(T^C)$ converges in the weak-star topology of L^∞ to $h(x)$ as $y \rightarrow 0, y \in C$; and the proof is complete.

COROLLARY 2. *Let $g(x) \in L^2$, and let $V \in \mathcal{S}'$ such that $\text{supp}(V) \subseteq \subseteq C^* = \{t : u_C(t) \leq 0\}$ and $g(x) = \widehat{V}$ in \mathcal{S}' . There exists an element $f(z) \in H^2(T^C)$ such that $f(z) \rightarrow g(x)$ in the \mathcal{S}' topology (as well as in the L^2 norm topology) as $y \rightarrow 0, y \in C$.*

PROOF. Since $g(x) \in L^2$, there exists an element $h(t) \in L^2$ such that $g(x) = \mathcal{F}[h(t); x]$ and $h(t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[g(x); t]$. For $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ we have

$$\langle V, \phi \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}^{-1}(g), \phi \rangle = \langle h, \phi \rangle;$$

so that $V = h(t)$ in \mathcal{S}' and $\text{supp}(h) \subset C^*$ almost everywhere. We now put

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} h(t) e^{2\pi i(z, t)} dt = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} I_{C^*}(t) h(t) \gamma(t) e^{2\pi i(z, t)} dt, \quad z \in T^C,$$

where $I_{C^*}(t)$ and $\gamma(t)$ are as in the proof of Theorem 4; and the conclusions follow from Theorem 4 for this $f(z)$.

We note that the functions $f(z) \in H^q(T^C)$ constructed in Theorem 4 and Corollary 2 satisfy the following boundedness condition:

$$|f(z)| \leq K(C')(1 + |z|)^N (1 + |y|)^{-M}, \quad z \in T^C,$$

where C' is an arbitrary compact subcone of C , $K(C')$ is a constant depending on C' , and M and N are nonnegative integers which do not depend on C' . This result follows from Theorem 4 of Carmichael [4].

In Theorem 4 and Corollary 2 the manufactured function $f(z)$ has belonged to certain specified $H^q(T^C)$ spaces, namely those values of q satisfy $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, 1 \leq p \leq 2$. In the following theorem we obtain conditions under which the function $f(z)$ is in $H^q(T^C)$ for all $q, 1 \leq q \leq \infty$. This result generalizes a theorem of Carmichael [10, Theorem II.4].

THEOREM 5. *Let $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{S}$; and let $\text{supp } \varphi \subseteq S_A = \{t : \text{uc}(t) \leq A\}$, $A \geq 0$. There exists a function $f(z) \in H^p(T^C)$ for all p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, such that $f(z) \rightarrow \widehat{\varphi}(x) \in \mathcal{S}$ in the topology of \mathcal{S}' (as well as pointwise) as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C$.*

PROOF. Let $I_{S_A}(t)$ denote the characteristic function of S_A . Let $\xi(\eta) \in \mathcal{G}$, $\eta \in \mathbf{R}^1$, such that $\xi(\eta) = 1$ for $\eta \geq -A$, $\xi(\eta) = 0$ for $\eta \leq -A - \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$; and $0 \leq \xi(\eta) \leq 1$. Put $\gamma(t) = \xi(\langle t, y \rangle)$, $y \in C$. Since $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}'$ and $\text{supp } \varphi \subseteq S_A$, then by [4, Theorem 4],

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \varphi(t) e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} dt = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} I_{S_A}(t) \varphi(t) \gamma(t) e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} dt$$

is analytic in T^C . For $z \in T^C$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}^n$ we have

$$(15) \quad |I_{S_A}(t) \varphi(t) \gamma(t) e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle}| = |I_{S_A}(t) \gamma(t) e^{-2\pi \langle y, t \rangle} \varphi(t)| \leq e^{2\pi A} |\varphi(t)|.$$

Since $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{S}$ we may apply the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem to obtain

$$\lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow 0 \\ y \in C}} f(z) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \varphi(t) e^{2\pi i \langle x, t \rangle} dt = \widehat{\varphi}(x);$$

and $\widehat{\varphi}(x) \in \mathcal{S}$. Further, using (15) we have for all $z \in T^C$ that

$$(16) \quad |f(z)| \leq e^{2\pi A} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\varphi(t)| dt \leq K < \infty,$$

K being a constant. Thus $f(z) \in H^\infty(T^C)$; and from another application of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we obtain that $f(z) \rightarrow \widehat{\varphi}(x)$ in the \mathcal{S}' topology as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C$.

Now let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ be an arbitrary n -tuple of nonnegative integers. Using the facts that $D^\alpha \varphi(t) \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\text{supp } (D^\alpha \varphi(t)) \subseteq S_A$ for any α , we integrate by parts in the integral defining $f(z)$ (i.e. $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \varphi(t) e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} dt$) and obtain

$$f(z) = (-2\pi i z_1)^{-\alpha_1} \dots (-2\pi i z_n)^{-\alpha_n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} D^\alpha \varphi(t) e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} dt;$$

so that

$$(17) \quad |z_1|^{\alpha_1} \dots |z_n|^{\alpha_n} |f(z)| \leq \frac{e^{2\pi A}}{(2\pi)^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |D^\alpha \varphi(t)| dt \leq K_\alpha < \infty.$$

From (16) and (17) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq (K + K_\alpha)(1 + |z_1|^{\alpha_1} \dots |z_n|^{\alpha_n})^{-1} \leq \\ &\leq (K + K_\alpha)(1 + |x_1|^{\alpha_1} \dots |x_n|^{\alpha_n})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and this inequality holds for all n -tuples α of nonnegative integers. We now choose $\alpha = (2, \dots, 2)$. For any $p, 1 \leq p < \infty$ we thus have

$$(18) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x + iy)|^p dx \leq (K + K_{(2, \dots, 2)})^p \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (1 + |x_1|^2 \dots |x_n|^2)^{-p} dx.$$

The right hand side of (18) is finite for any $p, 1 \leq p < \infty$; and for each fixed p , the value of the right hand side of (18) is independent of $y \in C$. Thus $f(z) \in H^p(T^C)$ for all $p, 1 \leq p < \infty$; and we have already seen that $f(z) \in H^\infty(T^C)$. The proof is complete.

Korányi [14, Propositions 4 and 3 (d)] has proved the classical Fatou theorem for functions $f(z) \in H^\infty(T^C)$. The following theorem is a converse to this result and to Theorem 3 of the present paper.

THEOREM 6. *Let the cone C be contained in $\{y : y_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, n\}$. Let $g(x) \in L^\infty$ such that $g(x) = \widehat{V}$ in \mathcal{S}' where $V \in \mathcal{S}'$ and $\text{supp } (V) \subseteq C^* = \{t : u_C(t) \leq 0\}$. There exists a function $f(z) \in H^\infty(T^C)$ such that $f(z) \rightarrow g(x)$ in the \mathcal{S}' topology (as well as in the weak-star topology of L^∞) as $y \rightarrow 0, y \in C' \subset C$, where C' is an arbitrary compact subcone of C .*

PROOF. Put

$$h(x) = \frac{g(x)}{1 + x_1^2 \dots x_n^2}.$$

Since $g(x) \in L^\infty, h(x) \in L^2$. By hypothesis $g(x) = \widehat{V}$; so that

$$V = \mathfrak{F}^{-1}(g) = \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[(1 + x_1^2 \dots x_n^2)h(x)] \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'.$$

We thus have for $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ that

$$\langle V, \varphi \rangle = \langle (1 + x_1^2 \dots x_n^2)h(x), \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi(t); x] \rangle.$$

Since $h(x) \in L^2$, there exists a function $k(t) \in L^2$ such that $h(x) = \mathcal{F}[k(t); x]$; and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle V, \varphi \rangle &= \langle \mathcal{F}[k(t); x], (1 + x_1^2 \dots x_n^2)\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi(t); x] \rangle = \\ &= \langle (1 + D^{(2, \dots, 2)})k(t), \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $V = (1 + D^{(2, \dots, 2)})k(t)$, and it follows that $\text{supp}(k) \subseteq C^*$ almost everywhere. We now put

$$f(z) = \langle V, e^{2ni(z, t)} \rangle = \langle V, \gamma(t)e^{2ni(z, t)} \rangle, \quad z \in T^C,$$

where $\gamma(t)$ is defined as in the proof of Theorem 3. By [4, Theorem 4], $f(z)$ is analytic in T^C ; and $f(z) \rightarrow \widehat{V} = g(x)$ in \mathcal{S}' as $y \rightarrow 0, y \in C' \subset C$.

We now prove that $f(z)$ is bounded for $z \in T^C$. By a straightforward calculation we have

$$(19) \quad f(z) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} k(t)e^{2ni(z, t)} dt + z_1^2 \dots z_n^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} k(t)e^{2ni(z, t)} dt.$$

We put

$$P(z) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} k(t)e^{2ni(z, t)} dt.$$

It is easily seen that $P(z)$ is bounded for $z \in T^C$; and again applying [4, Theorem 4], we have that $P(z)$ is analytic in T^C . To show that $z_1^2 \dots z_n^2 P(z)$ is bounded for $z \in T^C$ we consider the function

$$F(\varepsilon, z) = \exp [i\varepsilon(z_1^\sigma + \dots + z_n^\sigma)](z_1^2 \dots z_n^2)P(z), \quad z \in T^C,$$

where $0 < \sigma < 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is fixed for the present. Since $P(z)$ is analytic in T^C , then $F(\varepsilon, z)$ is also. By our assumption on the cone C , we have

that T^C is contained in the octant $\{z : \text{Im}(z_j) > 0, j = 1, \dots, n\}$. Thus for

$$z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in T^C, \quad z_j = r_j e^{i\theta_j}, \quad 0 < \theta_j < \pi, \quad j = 1, \dots, n;$$

and

$$(20) \quad |F(\varepsilon, z)| \leq M(r_1^{2\sigma} \dots r_n^{2\sigma}) \exp[-\varepsilon(r_1^\sigma \sin \sigma\theta_1 + \dots + r_n^\sigma \sin \sigma\theta_n)],$$

where M is the bound on $P(z)$. Now $0 < \theta_j < \pi, j = 1, \dots, n$, and $0 < \sigma < 1$ imply $\sin \sigma\theta_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, n$; and it follows from (20) that $F(\varepsilon, z)$ is bounded for each fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and for $z \in T^C$. Further, as $y \rightarrow 0, y \in C$,

$$F(\varepsilon, z) \rightarrow \exp[i\varepsilon(|x_1|^\sigma + \dots + |x_n|^\sigma)(\cos \sigma\pi + i \sin \sigma\pi)](x_1^{2\sigma} \dots x_n^{2\sigma})h(x)$$

in the weak-star topology of L^∞ . Since $0 < \sigma < 1$, then $\sin \sigma\pi > 0$; and we have from the definition of $h(x)$ that

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} &|\exp[i\varepsilon(|x_1|^\sigma + \dots + |x_n|^\sigma)(\cos \sigma\pi + i \sin \sigma\pi)](x_1^{2\sigma} \dots x_n^{2\sigma})h(x)| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{(x_1^{2\sigma} \dots x_n^{2\sigma}) |g(x)|}{1 + x_1^{2\sigma} \dots x_n^{2\sigma}} \leq B, \end{aligned}$$

where B is the bound on $g(x) \in L^\infty$, and this bound in (21) is independent of ε . Thus for each fixed $\varepsilon > 0, F(\varepsilon, z) \in H^\infty(T^C)$; and $F(\varepsilon, z)$ converges in the weak-star topology of L^∞ to a bounded measurable function. It follows from Propositions 4 and 3 (d) of Korányi [14] that

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} &F(\varepsilon, z) = \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \exp[i\varepsilon(|t_1|^\sigma + \dots + |t_n|^\sigma)(\cos \sigma\pi + i \sin \sigma\pi)](t_1^{2\sigma} \dots t_n^{2\sigma})h(t)Q(z; t)dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (22), (21) and Proposition 2 (b) of Korányi [14], we have

$$(23) \quad |F(\varepsilon, z)| \leq B \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} Q(z; t)dt = B;$$

and this bound is independent of $\epsilon > 0$. Returning to the definition of $F(\epsilon, z)$ and using (23), we obtain

$$(24) \quad |z_1^2 \dots z_n^2 P(z)| \leq B \exp [\epsilon(r_1^\sigma \sin \sigma\theta_1 + \dots + r_n^\sigma \sin \sigma\theta_n)],$$

$\epsilon > 0$. Since $z_1^2 \dots z_n^2 P(z)$ and B are independent of ϵ , we let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (24) and obtain that $z_1^2 \dots z_n^2 P(z)$ is bounded by B , the bound on $g(x) \in L^\infty$, for all $z \in T^C$. We now conclude from (19) that $f(z) \in H^\infty(T^C)$. Using this fact and exactly the same method used in the last paragraph of the proof of Theorem 4, we obtain that $f(z) \rightarrow g(x)$ in the weak-star topology of L^∞ as $y \rightarrow 0, y \in C' \subset C$; and the proof is complete.

Results similar to Theorem 6 can be proved using the same methods for the cone C being contained in any of the 2^n domains $\{y : \delta_j y_j > 0, \delta_j = \pm 1, j = 1, \dots, n\}$; the choice of $\{y : y_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, n\}$ was purely a matter of convenience. A special case of Theorem 6 has been obtained by Beltrami and Wohlers [1, Theorem 3] for one dimension and functions analytic in a half plane. We now obtain a corollary to Theorem 6.

COROLLARY 3. *Let the cone C be contained in $\{y : y_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, n\}$. Let $f(z)$ be analytic in T^C and satisfy (1) for $A = 0$. Let $f(z) \rightarrow g(x) \in L^\infty$ in the topology of \mathcal{S}' as $y \rightarrow 0, y \in C$. Then $f(z) \in H^\infty(T^C)$.*

PROOF. By Theorem 1, there exists an element $V \in \mathcal{S}'$ with $\text{supp}(V) \subseteq C^*$ and $\widehat{V} = g(x)$ such that $f(x) = \langle V, e^{2\pi i(z, t)} \rangle, z \in T^C, C' \subset C$. By hypothesis $f(z)$ is analytic in T^C ; and by [4, Theorem 4], $\langle V, e^{2\pi i(z, t)} \rangle$ is analytic in T^C . Thus by the identity theorem for analytic functions, $f(z) = \langle V, e^{2\pi i(z, t)} \rangle, z \in T^C$; and the conclusion is immediate from Theorem 6.

4. Functions analytic in disconnected tubular cones.

Let C be an open cone which is the finite union of open cones $C_j, j = 1, \dots, m$, each of which is convex and has the property that \overline{C}_j contains no entire straight line. Throughout this section T^C will denote the tubular cone associated with the open (possibly disconnected) cone C which satisfies the above property; and we recall that $0(C)$ denotes the convex envelope (hull) of C .

Let $f(z)$ be analytic in $T^c = \mathbf{R}^n + iC$, $C = \bigcup_{j=1}^m C_j$, and satisfy (1). For each $j=1, \dots, m$, suppose that $f(z) \in H^p(T^{c_j})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. By Theorem 2, there exist functions $g_j(x) \in L^p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, such that $f(z) \rightarrow g_j(x)$ in \mathcal{S}' as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C_j$, $j=1, \dots, m$. We now prove the following generalization of Theorem 2.

THEOREM 7. *Let $f(z)$ be analytic in T^c and satisfy (1). For each $j=1, \dots, m$, let $f(z) \in H^p(T^{c_j})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let the \mathcal{S}' boundary values $g_j(x) \in L^p$ of $f(z)$, $z \in T^{c_j}$, be equal in \mathcal{S}' . Then $f(z)$ has an analytic extension (denoted $F(z)$) to $T^{0(C)}$; for any arbitrary compact subcone C' of $0(C)$, $D^\alpha F(z)$ satisfies*

$$(25) \quad |D^\alpha F(z)| \leq K(C')(1 + |z|)^N (1 + |y|)^{-M} \exp [2\pi\alpha\rho_C |y|], \quad z \in T^{C'}$$

where α is an arbitrary n -tuple of nonnegative integers, $K(C')$ is a constant depending on C' , and M and N are nonnegative integers which do not depend on C' ; there exists a function $g(x) \in L^p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, such that $F(z) \rightarrow g(x)$ in the topology of \mathcal{S}' as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C' \subset 0(C)$; and if $p=2$, $F(z) \in H^2(T^{0(C)})$.

PROOF. By Theorem 2, there exist elements $V_j \in \mathcal{S}'$ with $\text{supp}(V_j) \subseteq \subseteq S_{A,j} = \{t : u_{C_j}(t) \leq A\}$ such that $g_j(x) = \widehat{V}_j$ and

$$(26) \quad f(z) = \langle V_j, e^{2\pi i(z,t)} \rangle, \quad z \in T^{C_j}, \quad j=1, \dots, m.$$

By assumption, $g_1(x) = \dots = g_m(x)$ almost everywhere; and we call this common value $g(x)$. Since $V_j = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(g_j)$, $j=1, \dots, m$, it follows immediately that $V_1 = \dots = V_m$; and we call this common value V . Thus $g(x) \in L^p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $g(x) = \widehat{V}$, and $\text{supp}(V) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^m S_{A,j}$; so that V vanishes on $\bigcup_{j=1}^m \{t : u_{C_j}(t) > A\}$. Now

$$u_C(t) = \max_{j=1, \dots, m} u_{C_j}(t);$$

and from the definition of ρ_C . (See [4, section II]) we have $u_{0(C)}(t) \leq$

$\leq \rho_C u_C(t)$. Thus

$$(27) \quad u_{0(C)}(t) \leq \rho_C \max_{j=1, \dots, m} u_{C_j}(t);$$

and by a lemma of Vladimirov [18, Lemma 3, p. 220], $\rho_C < +\infty$. Now consider the set $J = \{t : u_{0(C)}(t) > A\rho_C\}$. If $t \in J$, then by (27), $t \in \{t : \max_{j=1, \dots, m} u_{C_j}(t) > A\}$. Hence $t \in \bigcup_{j=1}^m \{t : u_{C_j}(t) > A\}$, and on this set V vanishes. Thus V vanishes if $t \in J$ which implies that

$$\text{supp}(V) \subseteq \{t : u_{0(C)}(t) \leq A\rho_C\}.$$

Let $\xi(\eta) \in \mathcal{G}$, $\eta \in \mathbf{R}^1$, such that $\xi(\eta) = 1$ for $\eta \geq -A\rho_C$, $\xi(\eta) = 0$ for $\eta \leq -A\rho_C - \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$; and $0 \leq \xi(\eta) \leq 1$. Put $\gamma(t) = \xi(\langle t, y \rangle)$, $y \in 0(C)$. We now put

$$F(z) = \langle V, e^{2\pi i(z, t)} \rangle = \langle V, \gamma(t) e^{2\pi i(z, t)} \rangle, \quad z \in T^{0(C)}.$$

By [4, Theorem 4], $F(z)$ is analytic in $T^{0(C)}$, satisfies (25), and $F(z) \rightarrow \widehat{V} = g(x)$ in \mathcal{S}' as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C' \subset 0(C)$. Further since $V = V_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then from (26) we have $f(z) = F(z)$, $z \in T^C$; and $F(z)$ is the analytic extension of $f(z)$ to $T^{0(C)}$.

If $p = 2$, then $g(x) \in L^2$; and there exists a function $h(t) \in L^2$ such that $g(x) = \mathcal{F}[h(t); x]$. But then $\widehat{V} = \widehat{h}$ in \mathcal{S}' . Thus $V = h$ in \mathcal{S}' , and $\text{supp}(h) \subseteq \{t : u_{0(C)}(t) \leq A\rho_C\}$ almost everywhere. Letting $I(t)$ denote the characteristic function of this support set, we have as in (14) that

$$(28) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |F(x + iy)|^2 dx = \| I(t) \gamma(t) e^{-2\pi(y, t)} h(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \leq \exp(4\pi A\rho_C) \| h \|_{L^2}^2 < \infty.$$

The estimate (28) holds for all $y \in 0(C)$. Thus $F(z) \in H^2(T^{0(C)})$; and the proof is complete.

Since $f(z) = F(z)$, $z \in T^C$, then the conclusion of Theorem 7 states that $f(z)$ satisfies (25) for $z \in T^C$. Further, for $p = 2$, we proved in Theorem 7 that $F(z) \in H^2(T^{0(C)})$; and it follows as before that $F(z) \rightarrow g(x)$ in the L^2 norm topology as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C' \subset 0(C)$, as well as in the \mathcal{S}' topology.

Theorem 7 generalizes Theorem 2. In the same manner a generalization of Theorem 3 can be obtained for disconnected tubular cones, and we leave the formulation of such a result to the interested reader.

We now obtained a generalization of Theorem 6, the converse Fatou theorem

THEOREM 8. *Let the tubular cone $T^C = \mathbb{R}^n + iC$, $C = \bigcup_{j=1}^m C_j$, have the property that $T^{0(C)} \subseteq \{z : \text{Im}(z_j) > 0, j=1, \dots, n\}$. Let $g_j(x)$, $j=1, \dots, m$, be L^∞ functions such that for each $g_j(x)$ there exists an element $V_j \in \mathcal{S}'$ with $\text{supp}(V_j) \subseteq C_j^* = \{t : u_{C_j}(t) \leq 0\}$ and $\widehat{g_j(x)} = \widehat{V_j}$. Let $g_1(x) = \dots = g_m(x)$ in \mathcal{S}' . Then there exists a function $F(z) \in H^\infty(T^{0(C)})$ such that*

$$\lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow 0 \\ y \in C'_j \subset C_j}} F(z) = g_j(x), \quad j=1, \dots, m,$$

in the topology of \mathcal{S}' (as well as in the weak-star topology of L^∞) where C'_j is an arbitrary compact subcone of C_j , $j=1, \dots, m$.

PROOF. As in the proof of Theorem 7, $g(x) = g_1(x) = \dots = g_m(x)$ implies $V = V_1 = \dots = V_m$; and $g(x) = \widehat{V}$ where $\text{supp}(V) \subseteq \{t : u_{0(C)}(t) \leq 0\}$. Here $g(x) \in L^\infty$. We put

$$F(z) = \langle V, e^{2\pi i(z, t)} \rangle = \langle V, \gamma(t) e^{2\pi i(z, t)} \rangle, \quad z \in T^{0(C)},$$

where $\gamma(t)$ is defined as in the proof of Theorem 7 for $A=0$. From the assumption on $T^{0(C)}$ and the proof of Theorem 6, we have $F(z) \in H^\infty(T^{0(C)})$. Since $V = V_j$, $j=1, \dots, m$, then

$$F(z) = \langle V_j, e^{2\pi i(z, t)} \rangle, \quad z \in T^{C_j}, \quad j=1, \dots, m.$$

By [4, Theorem 4], $F(z) \rightarrow \widehat{V_j} = g_j(x) \in L^\infty$ in the topology of \mathcal{S}' as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C'_j \subset C_j$, $j=1, \dots, m$. But since $F(z) \in H^\infty(T^{0(C)})$, then $F(z) \in H^\infty(T^{C_j})$, $j=1, \dots, m$; and arguing as in the last paragraph of the proof of Theorem 4, we have $F(z) \rightarrow g_j(x) \in L^\infty$ in the weak-star topology of L^∞ as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C'_j \subset C_j$, $j=1, \dots, m$.

We note that the function $F(z) \in H^\infty(T^{0(C)})$ constructed in Theorem 8 has the additional property that $F(z) \rightarrow g(x)$ in both the \mathcal{S}' and weak-

star L^∞ topologies as $y \rightarrow 0$, $y \in C' \subset 0(C)$. This result follows immediately from Theorem 6. Generalizations of Theorem 4 and Corollary 2 can also be obtained for disconnected tubular cones. Their formulation and proof are similar in form to Theorem 8, and again we leave the details to the interested reader.

REFERENCES

- [1] BELTRAMI, E. J., and WOHLERS, M. R.: *Distributional Boundary Values of Functions Holomorphic in a Half Plane*, J. Math. Mech. 15 (1966), 137-145.
- [2] BOCHNER, S.: *Group Invariance of Cauchy's Formula in Several Variables*, Annals of Math. 45 (1944), 686-707.
- [3] BOCHNER, S., and MARTIN, W. T.: *Several Complex Variables*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1948.
- [4] CARMICHAEL, RICHARD D.: *Distributional Boundary Values of Functions Analytic in Tubular Radial Domains*, Indiana U. Math. J. (formerly J. Math. Mech.) 20 (1971), 843-853.
- [5] CARMICHAEL, RICHARD D.: *Distributional Boundary Values in \mathfrak{D}'_L* , Rendiconti Sem. Mat. Università di Padova 43 (1970), 35-53.
- [6] CARMICHAEL, RICHARD D.: *Distributional Boundary Values in \mathfrak{D}'_L . II*, Rendiconti Sem. Mat. Università di Padova 45 (1971), 249-277.
- [7] CARMICHAEL, RICHARD D.: *Functions Analytic in an Octant and Boundary Values of Distributions*, J. Math. Analysis Appl. 33 (1971), 616-626.
- [8] CARMICHAEL, RICHARD D.: *Distributions as the Boundary Values of Analytic Functions*, Proc. Japan Acad. 45 (1969), 861-865.
- [9] CARMICHAEL, RICHARD D.: *Generalized Cauchy and Poisson Integrals and Distributional Boundary Values*, SIAM J. Math. Analysis (to appear).
- [10] CARMICHAEL, RICHARD D.: *The Paley-Wiener-Schwartz Theorem for Functions Analytic in a Half Space*, Ph. D. Thesis, Duke University, Durham, N.C., 1968.
- [11] HILLE, EINAR, and TAMARKIN, J. D.: *On a Theorem of Paley and Wiener*, Annals of Math. 34 (1933), 606-614.
- [12] HILLE, EINAR, and TAMARKIN, J. D.: *A Remark on Fourier Transforms and Functions Analytic in a Half Plane*, Compositio Math. 1 (1934), 98-102.
- [13] HILLE, EINAR, and TAMARKIN, J. D.: *On the Absolute Integrability of Fourier Transforms*, Fundamenta Math. 25 (1935), 329-352.
- [14] KORÁNYI, ADAM: *A Poisson Integral for Homogeneous Wedge Domains*, J. D'Analyse Math. 14 (1965), 275-284.
- [15] SCHWARTZ, L.: *Théorie Des Distributions*, Hermann, Paris, 1966.

- [16] STEIN, E. M., WEISS, G., and WEISS, M.: *H^p Classes of Holomorphic Functions in Tube Domains*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sciences U.S.A. 52 (1964), 1035-1039.
- [17] STEIN, E. M., and WEISS, G.: *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971.
- [18] VLADIMIROV, V. S.: *Methods of the Theory of Functions of Several Complex Variables*, M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1966.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 17 aprile 1972.