

# RENDICONTI *del* SEMINARIO MATEMATICO *della* UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

R. C. GRIMSON

## **Enumeration of symmetric arrays with different row sums**

*Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova*,  
tome 48 (1972), p. 105-112

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP\\_1972\\_\\_48\\_\\_105\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1972__48__105_0)

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1972, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

*Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques*  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

ENUMERATION OF SYMMETRIC ARRAYS  
WITH DIFFERENT ROW SUMS

R. C. GRIMSON \*)

1. Introduction.

Let  $S(r_1, \dots, r_n)$  denote the number of  $n \times n$  symmetric arrays  $\{a_{ij}\}$  where the  $a_{ij}$  are nonnegative integers satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} = r_i; \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n.$$

It may be easily verified that  $S(r)=1$  and  $S(r_1, r_2)=\min(r_1, r_2)+1$ . Professor L. Carlitz [1] found formulas for  $S(r, r, r)$ ,  $S(r, r, r, r)$  and  $S(1, \dots, 1)$  and in [2] he found related formulas. The author [4] derived formulas for  $S(1, \dots, 1, r)$  and  $S(1, \dots, 1, r, r)$ . Professor H. Gupta [7] and the author [4] found formulas for  $S(r_1, r_2, r_3)$ .

Apparently, beyond these cases no other explicit formulas are known. Various recurrences and generating functions are known for other aspects of the problem; a bibliography on the problem is included in the list of references at the end of the paper. MacMahon [8] gives a treatment of similar problems.

Subject only to a condition based on the relative magnitude of the  $r$ 's a formula for  $S(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4)$  is a result in this paper. See (3.9) below.

---

\*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Dept. of Mathematics Elon College - Elon College, North Carolina, U.S.A.

**2. Some Preliminaires.**

Here we consider the sum

$$(2.1) \quad \sigma_n = \sum_{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_q=n} (r_1-i_1)^{e_1}(r_2-i_2)^{e_2} \dots (r_t-i_t)^{e_t}$$

where, of course,  $t \leq q$ . This becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_t=k} (r_1-i_1)^{e_1} \dots (r_t-i_t)^{e_t} \sum_{i_{t+1}+\dots+i_q=n-k} 1 \\ & = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i_1=0}^k \sum_{i_2=0}^{k-i_1} \dots \sum_{i_{t-1}=0}^{k-i_1-\dots-i_{t-2}} (r_1-i_1)^{e_1} \\ & \dots (r_{t-1}-i_{t-1})^{e_{t-1}}(r_t-k+i_1+\dots+i_{t-1})^{e_t} \binom{q-t+n-k-1}{n-k}. \end{aligned}$$

By multiplying this last expression by  $x^n$  and then summing on  $n$  from 0 to  $\infty$ , and by a little manipulation we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_n x^n \\ & = \sum_{n, k, i_1, \dots, i_{t-1}=0}^{\infty} (r_1-i_1)^{e_1} \dots (r_{t-1}-i_{t-1})^{e_{t-1}}(r_t-k)^{e_t} \binom{q-t+n-1}{n} \cdot x^{n+k+i_1+\dots+i_{t-1}} \\ (2.2) \quad & = (1-x)^{t-q} \sum_{i_1=0}^{\infty} (r_1-i_1)^{e_1} x^{i_1} \dots \sum_{i_t=0}^{\infty} (r_t-i_t)^{e_t} x^{i_t} \end{aligned}$$

where, for consistency of appearance, we replaced  $k$  by  $i_t$  in the last step.

The expression (2.2) may again be obtained, rather heuristically, as follows: From (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_n x^n &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_q=n} (r_1-i_1)^{e_1}(r_2-i_2)^{e_2} \dots (r_t-i_t)^{e_t} x^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_t=k} (r_1-i_1)^{e_1} \dots (r_t-i_t)^{e_t} \binom{q-t+n-k-1}{n-k} x^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{n, k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_t = k} (r_1 - i_1)^{e_1} \dots (r_t - i_t)^{e_t} \binom{q-t+n-1}{n} n^{n+k} \\
 &= (1-x)^{t-a} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_t = k} (r_1 - i_1)^{e_1} \dots (r_t - i_t)^{e_t} x^{i_1 + \dots + i_t}.
 \end{aligned}$$

At this point it must be observed that all of the values of  $i_1, \dots, i_t$  for which  $i_1 + \dots + i_t = k$  as  $k$  ranges from 0 to  $\infty$  do not exclude any combination of values for the  $i$ 's. Therefore we may rewrite the last expression as

$$(1-x)^{t-a} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_t = 0}^{\infty} (r_1 - i_1)^{e_1} \dots (r_t - i_t)^{e_t} x^{i_1 + \dots + i_t},$$

which is (2.2).

Now, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (r-i)^e x^i &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^e \binom{e}{j} r^{e-j} (-i)^j x^i \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^e \binom{e}{j} r^{e-j} (-1)^j \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i^j x^i \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^e \binom{e}{j} r^{e-j} (-1)^j \frac{a_j(x)}{(1-x)^{j+1}}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_j(x)$  is the  $(j+1)^{st}$  Eulerian polynomial (cf. Riordan [9; problem 2, Chapter 2]). Consequently, combining (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) we find

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_q = n} (r_1 - i_1)^{e_1} \dots (r_t - i_t)^{e_t} x^n = (1-x)^{-a} \prod_{k=1}^t \left( r_k - \frac{a}{(1-x)} \right)^{e_k}$$

where it is understood that in the expansion of  $(r_k - a/(1-x))^{e_k}$  we replace  $a^j$  by  $a_j = a_j(x)$ .

The generating function given by (2.4) will be useful in what follows.

Also useful are the first few Eulerian polynomials,

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_0(x) &= 1 \\
 a_1(x) &= x
 \end{aligned}$$

$$a_2(x) = x + x^2$$

$$a_3(x) = x + 4x^2 + x^3.$$

3. The  $4 \times 4$  array,  $S(r_1, \dots, r_n)$  is symmetric in  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  so we may assume  $r_1 \leq \dots \leq r_n$  without loss of generality. Thus taking  $r \leq s \leq t$  the author [4] showed that

$$(3.1) \quad S(r, s, t) = \frac{3rs}{2} + \frac{r^2s}{2} - \frac{r^3}{6} + \frac{7r}{6} + s + 1 + F(r, s, t)$$

where  $F(r, s, t)$  is a function given in [4] and where  $F(r, s, t) = 0$  if  $t \geq r + s$ . (Here we are assuming  $r \leq s \leq t$  whereas in [4] we assumed  $t \leq s \leq r$ ; this accounts for the different appearance.) Also, [4], we may easily establish the recurrence

$$(3.2) \quad S(r_1, \dots, r_n) = \sum_{a_1 + \dots + a_{n-1} \leq r_1} S(r_2 - a_2, \dots, r_n - a_n).$$

Therefore, from (3.1) and (3.2)

$$(3.3) \quad S(r, s, t, u) = \sum_{i+j+k \leq r} S(s-i, t-j, u-k) \\ = \sum_{i+j+k \leq r} \left\{ \frac{3}{2}(s-i)(t-j) + \frac{1}{2}(s-i)^2(t-j) - \frac{1}{6}(s-i)^3 + \frac{6}{7}(s-i) + (t-j) + 1 \right\}.$$

Provided

$$(3.4) \quad u - k \geq s - i + t - j$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad s - i \leq t - j \leq u - k.$$

Inequality (3.4) is equivalent to

$$(3.6) \quad s - i \leq u - k - t + j.$$

Since  $i + j + k \leq r \leq s$ , the largest the left side of (3.6) can be is  $s$ . The smallest the right side of (3.6) can be is  $u - r - t$ . Therefore (3.4) holds provided  $s \leq u - r - t$  or  $u \geq r + s + t$ .

Regarding (3.5), the inequality  $u - k \geq t - j$  holds if (3.4) is true. On the other hand,  $t - j \geq s - i$  provided  $t - r \geq s$  since  $i + j + k \leq r \leq s$ . That is,  $t \geq r + s$ . Therefore (3.4) and (3.5) hold provided  $u \geq r + s + t$  and  $t \geq r + s$ . Note that this implies  $u \geq t \geq s$ .

According to (2.4),

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{r=0} \sum_{i+j+k \leq r} (s-i)(t-j)x^r = (1-x)^{-4} \left( s - \frac{x}{1-x} \right) \left( t - \frac{x}{1-x} \right),$$

where, in this case,  $a = a_1(x) = x$ . (Note that the inequality  $i + j + k \leq r$  may be replaced by the equation  $i + j + k + p = r$ ; hence  $q = 4$ .)

Expanding the right side of (3.7) and comparing the coefficient of  $x^r$  with that of the left side we find

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{i+j+k \leq r} (s-i)(t-j) = st \binom{5+r}{5} - (s+t+2st) \binom{4+r}{5} + (1+s)(1+t) \binom{3+r}{5}.$$

By similarly applying (2.4) to each of the other terms of the summand of (3.3) we eventually arrive at the formula

$$(3.9) \quad S(r, s, t, u) = \frac{1}{6} \binom{r+3}{3} (3st(s+5) + s(19-s^2) + 18t + 30) - \binom{r+4}{4} (s+3)(t+2) + \binom{r+5}{5} (t+2)$$

provided  $u \geq t + s + r$ ,  $t \geq s + r$  and  $s \geq r$ .

Note that the formula is independent of  $u$ . The number of solutions of

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} a + b + c + d &= 1 \\ b + e + f + g &= 2 \\ c + f + h + i &= 3 \\ d + g + i + j &= 99 \end{aligned}$$

is the same if we replace the number 99 by 6; inspection of the system (3.10) reveals that this is as it ought to be. The conditions of (3.9) are met and we have

$$S(1, 2, 3, 99) = S(1, 2, 3, 6) = 65.$$

4. Related formulas and generating functions. Let  $T(r_1, \dots, r_n)$  be the number of arrays as described in section 1 except that we replace (1.1) by

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \leq r_i; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Without loss of generality we let  $r_n = \max(r_1, \dots, r_n)$ . Then, if

$$r_n \geq r_1 + \dots + r_{n-1}$$

it is apparent that

$$S(r_1, \dots, r_n) = T(r_1, \dots, r_{n-1}).$$

Therefore the number of solutions of

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} a + b + c &\leq r \\ b + d + e &\leq s \\ c + e + f &\leq t \end{aligned}$$

is given by (3.9) provided  $t \geq r + s$ .

The generating function for  $T(r, s, t)$  is found as follows:

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{r, s, t=0}^{\infty} T(r, s, t) x^r y^s z^t = \sum_{r, s, t=0}^{\infty} \sum_I x^r y^s z^t$$

where  $I$  is the system (4.1). We may replace the symbols  $\leq$  of (4.1) by  $=$  provided we add new variables  $g, h, i$  respectively to the left sides of these equations. Then the right side of (4.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{a, b, \dots, i=0}^{\infty} x^{a+b+c+g} y^{b+d+e+h} z^{c+e+f+i} \\ &= (1-x)^{-2} (1-y)^{-2} (1-z)^{-2} (1-xy)^{-1} (1-xz)^{-1} (1-yz)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In general, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n=0}^{\infty} T(r_1, \dots, r_n) x_1^{r_1} \dots x_n^{r_n} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n (1-x_i)^{-2} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (1-x_j x_k)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar fashion, and (see Roselle [10])

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n=0}^{\infty} S(r_1, \dots, r_n) x_1^{r_1} \dots x_n^{r_n} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n (1-x_i)^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (1-x_j x_k)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It is evident that  $T(r) = r + 1$ .  $T(r, s)$  is found as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad T(r, s) &= \sum_{\substack{a+b \leq r \\ b+d \leq s}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^s \sum_{\substack{a+b=i \\ b+d=j}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^s \min(i, j) + \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^s 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now it is not difficult to show that

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^s \min(i, j) = \sum_{k \leq \min(r, s)} (r-k)(s-k).$$

(Equation (4.4) is also a special case of (3.3) in [5]). Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n (r-k)(s-k) x^n \\ &= (1-x)^{-1} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r s x^k - (r+s) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k x^k + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^2 x^k \right) \\ &= (1-x)^{-4} (rs(1-x)^2 - (r+s)x(1-x) + x + x^2). \end{aligned}$$



From this and (4.3) we readily find

$$T(r, s) = rs \binom{3 + \min(r, s)}{3} - (2rs + r + s - 1) \binom{2 + \min(r, s)}{3} \\ + (rs + r + s + 1) \binom{1 + \min(r, s)}{3} + (r + 1)(s + 1).$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] CARLITZ, L.: *Enumeration of symmetric arrays*, Duke Math. J., vol. 33 (1966), pp. 771-782.
- [2] CARLITZ, L.: *Enumeration of symmetric arrays II*, Duke Math. J., vol. 38 (1971), pp. 717-731.
- [3] CARLITZ, L.: *Enumeration of  $3 \times 3$  arrays*, to appear in *The Fibonacci Quarterly*.
- [4] GRIMSON, R. C.: *Some results on the enumeration of symmetric arrays*, Duke Math. J., vol. 38 (1971), pp. 711-715.
- [5] GRIMSON, R. C.: *The evaluation of certain arithmetic sums*, To appear in *The Fibonacci Quarterly*.
- [6] GUPTA, H.: *Enumeration of symmetric matrices*, Duke Math. J., vol. 35 (1968), pp. 653-660.
- [7] GUPTA, H.: *On the enumeration of symmetric matrices*, Duke Math. J., vol. 38 (1971), pp. 709-710.
- [8] MACMAHON, P. A.: *Combinatory Analysis*, vol. 2, Cambridge, 1916.
- [9] RIORDAN, J.: *An Introduction to Combinatorial Analysis*, New York, 1958.
- [10] ROSELLE, D. P.: *Some Remarks on the Enumeration of Symmetric Matrices*, Duke Math. J., vol. 35 (1968), pp. 661-662.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 29 marzo 1972.