

# RENDICONTI *del* SEMINARIO MATEMATICO *della* UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

L. CARLITZ

## **A polynomial related to the cyclotomic polynomial**

*Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova*,  
tome 47 (1972), p. 57-63

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP\\_1972\\_\\_47\\_\\_57\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1972__47__57_0)

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1972, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

*Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques*  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

A POLYNOMIAL RELATED  
TO THE CYCLOTOMIC POLYNOMIAL

L. CARLITZ \*)

1. Let

$$(1.1) \quad F_n(x) = \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ (k, n)=1}}^n (x - e^{2\pi ki/n})$$

denote the cyclotomic polynomial. In a recent paper [1] Apostol has determined the resultant  $R(F_m, F_n)$  of the cyclotomic polynomials  $F_m(x)$ ,  $F_n(x)$ ; see also Diederichsen [3].

For  $m, n \geq 1$  put

$$(1.2) \quad G_{m,n}(x) = \prod_{\alpha, \beta} (\alpha x - \beta),$$

where  $\alpha$  runs through the primitive  $m$ -th roots of unity and  $\beta$  runs through the primitive  $n$ -th roots of unity. Clearly

$$(1.3) \quad G_{m,n}(1) = R(F_m, F_n).$$

Also it follows at once from (1.2) that

$$(1.4) \quad G_{m,n}(x) = c_{m,n} H_{m,n}(x).$$

---

\*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Dept. of Mathematics - Duke University - Durham, North Carolina 27706, U.S.A.

Supported in part by NSF grant GP-17031.

where

$$(1.5) \quad H_{m,n}(x) = \prod_{\alpha, \beta} (x - \alpha\beta)$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad c_{m,n} = \prod_{\alpha} \alpha^{q(n)} = \begin{cases} -1 & (m=2, n=1, 2) \\ 1 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

In (1.5), as in (1.2),  $\alpha$  runs through the primitive  $m$ -th roots of unity while  $\beta$  runs through the primitive  $n$ -th roots of unity.

Let  $k$  denote the greatest common divisor of  $m$  and  $n$  such that

$$(1.7) \quad \left(k, \frac{m}{k}\right) = 1, \quad \left(k, \frac{n}{k}\right) = 1.$$

We may call  $k$  the *unitary* greatest common divisor of  $m$  and  $n$  and write

$$(1.8) \quad k = (m, n)^*.$$

(We remark that Eckford Cohen [2] has defined a similar function that is denoted by  $(m, n)_*$ ). We shall show that, for  $k=1$ ,

$$(1.9) \quad H_{m,n}(x) = (F_M(x))^{q(d)}$$

where

$$d = (m, n), \quad M = [m, n] = mn/d.$$

The general case ( $k \geq 1$ ) is given in Theorem 1 below.

Apostol's result is an easy corollary of the theorem. Indeed a slightly more general result is given in (3.12).

2. We shall require several lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *The number of solutions of*

$$(2.1) \quad x + y \equiv (\text{mod } p^e) \quad (p \nmid xy),$$

where  $p$  is a prime and  $e > 1$ , is equal to

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} p^{e-1}(p-2) & (p \nmid a) \\ p^{e-1}(p-1) & (p/a). \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 2. Let  $f(a, n)$  denote the number of solutions of

$$(2.3) \quad x + y \equiv a \pmod{n} \quad ((x, n) = (y, n) = 1).$$

Then, for  $(m, n) = 1$ ,

$$(2.4) \quad f(a, mn) = f(a, m)f(a, n).$$

The proof of these two lemmas is almost immediate.

LEMMA 3. Let  $\alpha, \beta$  independently run through the primitive  $k$ -th roots of unity. Let  $r$  denote an arbitrary divisor of  $k$ . Then the primitive  $r$ -th roots of unity occur  $\psi(r, k)$  times among the products  $\alpha\beta$ , where

$$(2.5) \quad \psi(r, k) = \prod_{f_j < e_j} p_j^{e_j-1}(p_j-1) \prod_{f_j = e_j} p_j^{e_j-1}(p_j-2),$$

with

$$(2.6) \quad k = \prod_{j=1} p_j^{e_j}, \quad r = \prod_{j=1} p_j^{f_j}.$$

PROOF. Let  $\varepsilon$  denote a fixed primitive  $k$ -th root of unity and put  $\alpha = \varepsilon^x, \beta = \varepsilon^y$ , where  $x, y$  run through reduced residue systems  $(\text{mod } k)$ . Let  $k = rs$ . Then  $\gamma = \alpha\beta = \varepsilon^{x+y}$  is a primitive  $r$ -th root of unity if and only if

$$x + y \equiv as \pmod{k},$$

where  $(a, r) = 1$ . For fixed  $a, s$ , the number of solutions of this congruence is  $f(as, k)$  as defined in Lemma 2. Now apply Lemmas 1 and 2 and (2.5) follows at once.

LEMMA 4. Let  $(m, n)^* = 1$ , where  $(m, n)^*$  is defined by (1.7) and (1.8). Let  $\alpha$  run through the primitive  $m$ -th roots of unity and  $\beta$  through the primitive  $n$ -th roots of unity. Then  $\gamma = \alpha\beta$  runs through the primitive  $M$ -th roots of unity  $\varphi(d)$  times, where

$$(2.7) \quad d = (m, n), \quad M = [m, n] = mn/d.$$

It suffices to prove this when

$$m=p^e, \quad n=p^f \quad (e > f \geq 0),$$

where  $p$  is prime. Then if  $\alpha$  is a primitive  $p^e$ -th root of unity and  $\beta$  is a primitive  $p^f$ -th root, it is clear that  $\gamma = \alpha\beta$  is a primitive  $p^e$ -th root. Moreover each  $\gamma$  will occur exactly  $\varphi(p^f)$  times.

3. Given  $m, n \geq 1$ , define  $k = (m, n)^*$  by means of (1.7) and (1.8) and put

$$(3.1) \quad m = km', \quad n = kn',$$

so that

$$(3.2) \quad (m', n')^* = 1.$$

Then by (1.5) and (3.1) we have

$$H_{m,n}(x) = \Pi \{x - \alpha(k)\alpha(m')\beta(k)\beta(n')\},$$

where  $\alpha(k), \beta(k)$  independently run through the primitive  $k$ -th roots of unity while  $\alpha(m'), \beta(n')$  run through the primitive  $m'$ -th and  $n'$ -th roots, respectively. Applying Lemmas 3 and (4) we get

$$(3.3) \quad H_{m,n}(x) = \Pi \Pi_{r|k} \{x - \gamma(r)\alpha(M)\}^{\psi(r,k)\varphi(d)},$$

where in the inner product  $\gamma(r)$  runs through the primitive  $r$ -th roots of unity and  $\alpha(M)$  runs through the primitive  $M$ -th roots,

$$(3.4) \quad d = (m', n'), \quad M = [m', n'].$$

Since  $(r, M) = 1$ ,  $\gamma(r)\alpha(M)$  runs through the primitive  $rM$ -th roots of unity. Hence (3.3) becomes

$$(3.5) \quad H_{m,n}(x) = \Pi_{r|k} (F_{rM}(x))^{\psi(r,k)\varphi(d)}.$$

Making use of the formula

$$F_{rM}(x) = \prod_{st=r} (F_M(x^s))^{\mu(t)},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} H_{m,n} &= \prod_{rst=k} F_M(x^s)^{\mu(t)\psi(st, k)\varphi(d)} \\ &= \prod_{su=k} F_M(x^s)^{\varphi(d)e(s, u, k)} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.6) \quad e(s, u, k) = \sum_{t|u} \mu(t)\psi(st, k).$$

Put

$$(3.7) \quad k = \Pi p^e, \quad k^* = \Pi p^{e-1}.$$

It follows from (2.5) and (3.6) that

$$(3.8) \quad e(s, u, k) = 0 \text{ if } k^* \nmid s.$$

Moreover, when  $k^* \mid s$ , we get

$$(3.9) \quad e(s, u, k) = \prod_{f < e} p^{e-1} \cdot \prod_{e=f} p^{e-1}(p-2),$$

where  $s = \Pi p^f$ .

We may now state the following

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $k$  be the greatest common divisor of  $m$  and  $n$  such that*

$$\left(k, \frac{m}{k}\right) = \left(k, \frac{n}{k}\right) = 1$$

and put

$$M = \left[\frac{m}{k}, \frac{n}{k}\right], \quad d = \left(\frac{m}{k}, \frac{n}{k}\right).$$

Then

$$(3.10) \quad H_{m,n}(x) = \prod_{su=k} F_M(x^s)^{\varphi(d)e(s,u,k)},$$

where the product is restricted to those  $s$  that are divisible by  $k^*$  and  $e(s, u, k)$  is evaluated by (3.9). In particular, if  $k=1$ , then

$$(3.11) \quad H_{m,n}(x) = (F_M(x))^{\varphi(d)},$$

where  $M=[m, n]$ ,  $d=(m, n)$ .

It is easily verified that

$$\sum_{su=k} e(s, u, k) = \varphi(k).$$

Hence (3.10) implies

$$H_{m,n}(1) = p^{\varphi(d)\varphi(k)} = p^{\varphi(dk)},$$

provided  $M=p^a$ . Thus if  $m > n \geq 1$  and  $m=np^a$  then

$$(3.11) \quad H_{m,n}(1) = p^{\varphi(n)}$$

in agreement with Apostol [1].

It may be of interest to mention that if  $\zeta$  denotes a  $k^*$ -th root of unity (not necessarily primitive) then we have

$$(3.12) \quad H_{m,n}(\zeta) = p^{\varphi(n)},$$

where again  $m=np^a$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] APOSTOL, T. M.: *Resultants of cyclotomic polynomials*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 24 (1970), 457-462.

- [2] ECKFORD, COHEN: *Arithmetical functions associated with the unitary divisors of an integer*, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, vol. 74 (1960), 66-80.
- [3] DIEDERICHSEN, F. E.: *Über die Ausreduktion ganzzahliger Gruppendarstellungen bei arithmetischer Äquivalenz*, *Abh. Math. Sem. Hansischen Univ.*, vol. 13 (1964), 357-412.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 24 settembre 1971.