

RENDICONTI  
*del*  
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO  
*della*  
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

G. DE MARCO

R. G. WILSON

**Rings of continuous functions with values in  
an archimedean ordered field**

*Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,*  
tome 44 (1970), p. 263-272

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP\\_1970\\_\\_44\\_\\_263\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1970__44__263_0)

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1970, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

# RINGS OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS WITH VALUES IN AN ARCHIMEDEAN ORDERED FIELD

G. DE MARCO \*) — R. G. WILSON \*\*)

## Introduction.

The purpose of this paper is to study the ring  $C(X, F)$  of all continuous functions on a topological space  $X$  with values in a proper subfield  $F$  of the real number field  $R$ . The ring  $C(X)[=C(X, R)]$  of all real-valued continuous functions on  $X$  has been extensively studied; a standard reference to this work is the book [GJ]. Furthermore, Pierce, in his paper [P], laid the foundations of a theory of rings of integer-valued functions  $C(X, Z)$ .

It seems natural to study the rings intermediate to  $C(X)$  and  $C(X, Z)$ ; if the study of the ring  $C(X, Z)$  gives some information about the special properties of  $C(X)$  which depend on  $R$  being a field, a study of the rings  $C(X, F)$  should bring to light the properties of  $C(X)$  which depend on  $R$  being an order-complete field.

Our results can be summarized as follows: With regard to the relationship between  $C(X, F)$  and the underlying topological space  $X$ ,  $C(X, F)$  behaves much like  $C(X, Z)$ . On the other hand, the residue class fields of  $C(X, F)$  are similar to those of  $C(X)$ .

Many of the results in this paper are not surprising, however, answering as they do a natural question, we think that they are worthy of some study.

---

\*) Istituto di Matematica Applicata, Università di Padova, Padova, Italy. This work was completed while Dr. De Marco held a CNR fellowship at the University of Texas at Austin.

\*\*\*) Department of Mathematics, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas, USA. Present address: Department of Mathematics, Carleton University, Ottawa 1, Canada.

## 1. Preliminaries - Structure spaces.

1.1. Let  $X$  be a topological space and let  $C(X, F)$  be the set of all continuous functions on  $X$  with values in an archimedean ordered field  $F$ . It is well-known that  $F$  is (canonically isomorphic to) a subfield of  $R$ . We assume that  $F \neq R$ . The set  $C(X, F)$  has the natural structure of a lattice ordered ring under pointwise lattice and algebraic operations. In this paper we shall be concerned with some subrings of  $C(X, F)$ , namely: The subring  $C^*(X, F)$  of all bounded continuous functions on  $X$  with values in  $F$ ; the subring  $C^{**}(X, F) = \{f \in C(X, F) : cl_F f[X] \text{ is compact}\}$ , and the subring  $C^0(X, F)$  of all functions in  $C(X, F)$  such that  $f[X]$  is finite. For simplicity we write  $C, C^*, C^{**}$  and  $C^0$  when no specification of the space  $X$  is required.

If  $V$  is clopen (open and closed) in  $X$  we write  $\chi_V$  for the characteristic function of  $V$ . Clearly  $\chi_V \in C^0$  and is an idempotent. Also if  $e \in C(X, F)$  is an idempotent the  $V = e^{-1}[1]$  is clopen in  $X$  and  $e = \chi_V$ . A subset  $E \subset C(X, F)$  of idempotents such that  $\sum_{e \in E} e = 1$  is called a partition of unity into idempotents. Throughout this paper the term partition will mean such a set.

1.2. LEMMA. *For each prime ideal  $P$  of  $C^0, C^0/P = F$ . Hence every prime ideal of  $C^0$  is maximal.*

PROOF. Let  $f \in C^0(X, F)$ , then  $f[X] = \{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$  ( $q_i \in F, q_i \neq q_j$  unless  $i=j$ ). Let  $V_i = f^{-1}[q_i], e_i = \chi_{V_i}$ . Then  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is a finite partition of unity into idempotents and  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i e_i$ . For exactly one  $k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ), we have  $e_k \notin P$ . Hence,  $P(f) = P(e_k q_k) = q_k P(e_k) = q_k$ , since  $P(e_k) = 1$ , being a non-zero idempotent of the integral domain  $C^0/P$ .

If  $P$  is a prime ideal of one of the rings  $C, C^*$ , then proofs similar to those in [GJ], chapters 2, 5 and 14 show that  $P$  is absolutely convex, that the residue class ring is totally ordered under the quotient ordering and that the prime ideals containing  $P$  form a chain.

1.3. Denote by  $\mathfrak{P}, \mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{P}^*, \mathfrak{M}^*, \mathfrak{P}^0 = \mathfrak{M}^0$ , the prime and maximal ideal spaces of the rings  $C, C^*, C^0$  respectively. Proofs similar to those in [DMO] show that  $\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{M}^*, \mathfrak{M}^0$  are compact Hausdorff spaces

and that the mapping  $\lambda : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^*$  which sends every maximal ideal  $M$  of  $C$  into the unique maximal ideal of  $C^*$  containing  $M \cap C^*$  is a homeomorphism. Furthermore, a maximal ideal  $M^*$  of  $C^*$  is of the form  $M \cap C^*$  (where  $M$  is a maximal ideal of  $C$ ) if and only if  $M^*$  does not contain a unit of  $C$ . (Again see [DMO]).

LEMMA (a). *Let  $M_1, M_2$  be distinct maximal ideals of  $C$ , then there exists an idempotent  $e \in C$  such that  $e \in M_1 \setminus M_2$ .*

PROOF. Choose  $f \in M_1$  such that  $1 - f \in M_2$ . Let  $\alpha \in R \setminus F$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and let  $V = f^{-1}[(\alpha, \infty)]$ ,  $e = \chi_V$ . Then  $e$  is an idempotent of  $C$  and it is easily shown that  $e$  is a multiple of  $f$  in  $C$ ,  $1 - e$  is a multiple of  $1 - f$  in  $C$ .

LEMMA (b). *The map  $\lambda_0 : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^0$  given by  $\lambda_0 M = M \cap C^0$  is a homeomorphism.*

PROOF. Clearly  $\lambda_0$  is continuous and by lemma 1.3 (a),  $\lambda_0$  is one-to-one. Let  $M^0 \in \mathfrak{M}^0$ ; it is easily seen that  $M^0$  generates a proper ideal  $I$  in  $C$ . If  $M$  is any maximal ideal of  $C$  containing  $I$ ,  $\lambda_0 M = M \cap C^0 \supset M^0$ . Hence,  $\lambda_0 M = M^0$ . Since  $\mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathfrak{M}^0$  are compact Hausdorff spaces,  $\lambda_0$  is a homeomorphism.

REMARK. In a similar way it can be shown that  $\mathfrak{M}^*$  and  $\mathfrak{M}^0$  are homeomorphic.

1.4. LEMMA. *The space  $\mathfrak{M}$  has a base of clopen sets.*

PROOF. A base for the closed sets of  $\mathfrak{M}$  is given by the family of sets  $V(f) = \{M \in \mathfrak{M} : f \in M\}$ . If  $e$  is an idempotent of  $C$ , then  $V(e)$  is clopen since  $\mathfrak{M} \setminus V(e) = V(1 - e)$ . By lemma 1.3 (a), the sets of the form  $V(e)$  (where  $e$  is an idempotent of  $C$ ) separate the points of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . The result follows from the compactness of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

For any  $p \in X$ , put  $M_p = \{f \in C(X, F) : f(p) = 0\}$ . Clearly  $M_p$  is a maximal ideal of  $C(X, F)$  and  $M_p^* = M_p \cap C^*(X, F)$ ,  $M_p^0 = M_p \cap C^0(X, F)$  are maximal ideals of  $C^*$  and  $C^0$  respectively. These ideals are called fixed maximal ideals.

THEOREM. *The map  $\theta : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  which sends every point  $p \in X$  into the fixed maximal ideal  $M_p$  is continuous and maps clopen subsets*

of  $X$  into clopen subsets of  $\theta[X]$ . The mapping  $\theta' : C(\theta[X], F) \rightarrow C(X, F)$  given by  $\theta'(g) = g \circ \theta$  where  $g \in C(\theta[X], F)$  is an isomorphism of  $C(\theta[X], F)$  onto  $C(X, F)$ . Furthermore,  $\theta[X]$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{N}\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\theta$  is one-to-one if and only if  $C(X, F)$  separates the points of  $X$  and is a homeomorphism onto  $\theta[X]$  if and only if  $X$  is a  $T_0$ -space with a base of clopen sets.

PROOF. All of these statements are either obvious or are already known in slightly different contexts (e.g. [P] or [GJ]).

1.5. By the preceding theorem, if  $X$  is a  $T_0$ -space with a base of clopen sets, the subspaces of  $\mathfrak{N}\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathfrak{N}\mathcal{L}^*$  and  $\mathfrak{N}\mathcal{L}^0$  of fixed maximal ideals are homeomorphic to  $X$ . We shall identify  $X$  with these subspaces; hence  $X$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{N}\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathfrak{N}\mathcal{L}^*$  and  $\mathfrak{N}\mathcal{L}^0$  and the mappings  $\lambda : \mathfrak{N}\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}\mathcal{L}^*$ ,  $\lambda_0 : \mathfrak{N}\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}\mathcal{L}^0$  and  $\lambda_0^* : \mathfrak{N}\mathcal{L}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}\mathcal{L}^0$  already defined, are homeomorphisms which preserve  $X$ .

LEMMA. Let  $X$  be a  $T_0$ -space with a base of clopen sets and let  $\tau : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map on  $X$  into a compact totally disconnected space  $Y$ . Then there is a continuous mapping  $\bar{\tau} : \mathfrak{N}\mathcal{L}^0 \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\bar{\tau}|_X = \tau$ .

PROOF. Let  $\varphi : C^0(Y, F) \rightarrow C^0(X, F)$  be defined by  $\varphi(g) = g \circ \tau$  (where  $g \in C^0(Y, F)$ ). Then  $\varphi$  is a ring homomorphism which induces a continuous map  $\psi$  on the prime ideal space of  $C^0(X, F)$  into the prime ideal space of  $C^0(Y, F)$  ( $\psi(P) = \varphi^{-1}[P]$ ). Hence,  $\psi$  is a map on  $\mathfrak{N}\mathcal{L}^0 (= \mathfrak{P}^0)$  into  $\mathfrak{N}\mathcal{L}^0(Y)$  which can be identified with  $Y$  since  $Y$  is compact and totally disconnected. It is clear that  $\psi$  is the desired extension of  $\tau$ .

If  $X$  is a  $T_0$ -space with a base of clopen sets,  $\mathfrak{N}\mathcal{L}$  is a totally disconnected compactification of  $X$ . The preceding lemma shows that  $\mathfrak{N}\mathcal{L}$  is the largest totally disconnected compactification of  $X$ . It is easily seen that  $\mathfrak{N}\mathcal{L}$  coincides with the space  $\delta X$  of [P] (theorem 1.5.2), hence,  $\mathfrak{N}\mathcal{L}$  is homeomorphic to the maximal ideal space of the Boolean algebra  $\mathfrak{B}(X)$  of all clopen subsets of  $X$  ([P], theorem 1.6.1). We shall hereafter write  $\delta X$  in place of  $\mathfrak{N}\mathcal{L}$ .

1.6. THEOREM. Let  $X$  be a  $T_0$ -space with a base of clopen sets. Then  $C(\delta X, F) = C^{**}(X, F)$ . Hence,  $\delta X$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathfrak{N}\mathcal{L}^{**}$  (under a homeomorphism which preserves  $X$ ).

PROOF. Map  $C(\delta X, F)$  into  $C(X, F)$  via the restriction  $f \rightarrow f|X$ , where  $f \in C(\delta X, F)$ . It is easily seen that this homomorphism is one-to-one and by lemma 1.5, its range is all of  $C^{**}(X, F)$ . (If  $g \in C^{**}(X, F)$ , then  $cl_{fg}[X]$  is a compact totally disconnected space).

REMARK. It is not difficult to show that if  $X$  is a  $T_0$ -space with a base of clopen sets, then  $\delta X$  is the smallest compactification of  $X$  in which  $X$  is  $C^{**}$ -embedded.

1.7. We now establish the relationship between  $\beta X$  and  $\delta X$ . First we need a lemma.

LEMMA. Let  $X$  be a topological space. A subset  $Z \subset X$  is of the form  $Z(f)$  for some  $f \in C(X, F)$  if and only if  $Z$  is a countable intersection of clopen sets.

PROOF. If  $Z = Z(f)$  for some  $f \in C(X, F)$ , then  $Z = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{x \in X : |f(x)| < \alpha/n\}$ , where  $\alpha$  is some positive element of  $R \setminus F$ .

Conversely, if  $Z = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n$ , where  $V_n$  is clopen for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then assuming that the  $V_n$  are nested and putting  $W_n = V_n \setminus V_{n+1}$ , we can define  $u$  to be  $1/n$  on  $W_n$ ,  $1$  on  $X \setminus V_1$  and  $0$  on  $Z$ . Clearly  $u \in C(X, F)$ .

THEOREM. Let  $X$  be a  $T_0$ -space with a base of clopen sets. The following are equivalent:

- 1)  $\beta X = \delta X$ .
- 2)  $\beta X$  is totally disconnected.
- 3) Any two disjoint zero sets in  $X$  are contained in disjoint clopen sets.
- 4) Any zero set in  $X$  is a countable intersection of clopen sets.
- 5) The mapping  $M \rightarrow M \cap C(X, F)$  maps  $\mathfrak{M}_R$  homeomorphically onto  $\mathfrak{M}$  (where  $\mathfrak{M}_R$  is the maximal ideal space of  $C(X)$ ).

PROOF. 1) implies 2). Obvious.

2) implies 3). See [GJ], theorem 16.17.

3) implies 4). Let  $Z$  be a zero set of  $X$ ,  $Z = Z(f)$  say. Define

$Z_n = \{x \in X : |f(x)| \geq 1/n\}$ . Each  $Z_n$  is a zero set disjoint from  $Z$  and hence there exists a clopen set  $V_n$  such that  $Z \subset V_n$  and  $Z_n \cap V_n = \emptyset$ . Then  $Z \subset \bigcap_n V_n \subset \bigcup_n (X \setminus Z_n) = Z$ .

4) implies 5). This is clear since from lemma 1.7, every zero set is an  $F$ -zero set. (That is to say, a zero set of a function in  $C(X, F)$ ).

5) implies 1). Obvious.

## 2. Residue class fields.

2.1. In this paragraph we investigate some properties of the residue class fields of the rings  $C(X, F)$  and  $C^*(X, F)$ .

Firstly, observe that if  $M^*$  is a maximal ideal of  $C^*(=C^*(X, F))$  then  $C^*/M^*$  is an archimedean ordered field hence canonically embeddable in  $R$ .

LEMMA. *Let  $M^*$  be a maximal ideal of  $C^*$ , and suppose that  $M^*(f) = \alpha (\in R)$ . Then  $\alpha \in \text{cl}_R f[X]$ , and if  $\alpha \notin f[X]$ , then  $M^*$  contains a countable partition of unity.*

PROOF. Suppose that  $\alpha \notin f[X]$ . If  $q, s \in F$ ,  $q < \alpha < s$ , then  $f - (f \vee q) \wedge s \in M^*$ , since  $M^*((f \vee q) \wedge s) = (M^*(f) \vee q) \wedge s = (\alpha \vee q) \wedge s = \alpha$ . Consider two sequences  $(\alpha_i), (\beta_i)$  ( $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in R \setminus F$ , for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ), the first strictly increasing the second strictly decreasing, both converging to  $\alpha$ , and such that  $\alpha_1 < f(x) < \beta_1$  for all  $x \in X$ . Put  $V_i = f^{-1}[(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}) \cup (\beta_{i+1}, \beta_i)]$ , and  $e_i = \chi_{V_i}$ . Thus  $\{e_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable partition of unity.

Let  $q_i, s_i \in F$  be such that  $\alpha_{i+1} < q_i < \alpha < s_i < \beta_{i+1}$ , and put  $g_i = f - (f \vee q_i) \wedge s_i$ . Then  $g_i \in M^*$  and if  $x \in V_i$ , then  $|g_i(x)| \geq \max\{q_i - \alpha_{i+1}, \beta_{i+1} - s_i\}$ . Hence if we define  $h_i(x) = 1/g_i(x)$  for each  $x \in V_i$ ,  $h_i(x) = 0$  for  $x \notin V_i$ ,  $h_i \in C^*$  and  $e_i = h_i g_i \in M^*$ . It is clear that  $\alpha \in \text{cl}_R f[X]$ .

THEOREM. *Let  $M^*$  be a maximal ideal of  $C^*$ . The following are equivalent:*

- 1)  $C^*/M^*$  is a proper extension of  $F$ .
- 2)  $M^*$  contains a countable partition of unity.
- 3)  $M^*$  contains a unit of  $C$ .
- 4)  $C^*/M^* = R$ .

PROOF. 1) implies 2). Lemma 2.1.

2) implies 3). Let  $\{e_i : i \in N\}$  be a partition contained in  $M^*$ . Define  $u = \sum_i i^{-1}e_i$ . Then  $u$  is a unit of  $C$  and  $0 \leq M^*(u) = M^*(\sum_{i \geq 1/n} i^{-1}e_i) \leq 1/n$ . Hence  $M^*(u) = 0$ , that is to say  $u \in M^*$ .

3) implies 2). If  $u \in M^*$  and  $u$  is a unit of  $C$ ,  $M^*(u) = 0$  but  $0 \notin u[X]$ . The result follows from lemma 2.1.

2) implies 4). Let  $\alpha$  be any real number,  $(q_i)$  a sequence of elements of  $F$  converging to  $\alpha$ ,  $\{e_i : i \in N\}$  a countable partition of unity contained in  $M^*$ . Put  $f = \sum_i q_i e_i$ . Then  $f \in C^*$  and for each  $n \in N$ ,  $M^*(f) = M^*(\sum_{i \geq n} q_i e_i)$ ; hence  $M^*(f) = \alpha$ , since  $\{\alpha\} = \bigcap_n \text{cl}_R\{q_i : i \geq n\}$ .

4) implies 1). Obvious.

**2.2 LEMMA.** *Let  $P$  ( $P^*$ ) be a prime, non-maximal, ideal of  $C$  ( $C^*$ ). Then  $C/P$  ( $C^*/P^*$ ) contains infinitely small elements.*

PROOF. Let  $M$  be the maximal ideal of  $C$  containing  $P$ , and let  $u \in M \setminus P$ ,  $u \geq 0$ . For each  $n \in N$ ,  $(u - u \wedge 1/n)(u \vee 1/n - u) = 0$ , and  $u \vee 1/n - u \notin M$ , since  $u \vee 1/n$  is a unit, being bounded away from 0. Hence  $u - u \wedge 1/n \in P$ , so that  $0 < P(u) = P(u \wedge 1/n) \leq 1/n$ , for each  $n \in N$ .

**THEOREM.** *Let  $M$  be a maximal ideal of  $C$ . The following are equivalent:*

- 1)  $C/M = F$ .
- 2)  $P^* = M \cap C^*$  is maximal in  $C^*$ .
- 3)  $M$  contains no countable partition of unity.
- 4)  $M$  contains no partition of unity of non-measurable cardinal.

PROOF. 1) is equivalent to 2).  $C/M$  contains a canonical copy of the ordered ring  $C^*/P^*$  and is the field of fractions of this copy. It follows from lemma 2.2 that if  $P^*$  is not maximal, then  $C^*/P^*$  contains infinitely small elements.

2) implies 3).  $P^*$  contains no countable partition of unity since it contains no unit of  $C$ .



3) implies 2) . If  $P^*$  is not maximal, the maximal ideal  $M^*$  of  $C^*$  containing  $P^*$  contains a unit of  $C$  (see 1.3), hence it also contains a countable partition of unity  $E$ . It is clear that  $E \subset P^*$ , hence  $E \subset M$ .

3) implies 4). Suppose that  $E$  is a partition of unity of non-measurable cardinal, contained in  $M$ . Consider  $E$  as a topological space with the discrete topology. Define  $\mathfrak{F} = \{Z : Z \subset E, \sum_{e \in Z} e \notin M\}$ . It is easy to show that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a free ultrafilter on  $E$ , and since  $E$  is realcompact,  $\mathfrak{F}$  is hyperreal. Choose a countable subfamily of  $\mathfrak{F}$  with empty intersection,  $\{Z_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $E \setminus Z_i \notin \mathfrak{F}$  and  $\bigcup_i (E \setminus Z_i) = E$ . Thus  $V_i = (E \setminus Z_i) \setminus \bigcup_{j < i} (E \setminus Z_j)$  is a countable partition of  $E$  and  $V_i \notin \mathfrak{F}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Clearly  $\{\sum_{e \in V_i} e : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable partition of unity contained in  $M$ .

4) implies 3). Obvious.

2.3. THEOREM. *Let  $M$  be a maximal ideal of  $C$  such that  $C/M = K (\neq F)$ . Then  $K$  is an  $\eta_1$ -field in which  $F$  is algebraically closed. (We identify  $F$  with the image of the constant functions).*

PROOF. Suppose that  $u \in K$  is algebraic over  $F$ , and let  $p(t)$  be its minimum polynomial over  $F$ . If  $f \in C$  is such that  $u = M(f)$  then  $0 = p(u) = M(p(f))$ , that is to say,  $p(f) \in M$ . But this implies that  $Z(p(f)) \neq \emptyset$ , i.e.  $p(t)$  must have a root in  $F$ . Since  $p(t)$  is irreducible, it follows that  $p(t) = t - q$  (for some  $q \in F$ ). Hence  $u = M(f) = q \in F$ .

The fact that  $K$  is an  $\eta_1$ -field can be shown by using the same argument as in [GJ], 13.7. and 13.8. However, the presence of partitions of unity allows a considerably simpler argument. The theorem will be a consequence of [GJ], 13.8 and the following lemma.

LEMMA. *Let  $P$  a prime ideal contained in a maximal ideal  $M$  such that  $C/M \neq F$ . Then if  $A, B$  are countable subsets of  $C/P$ , with  $A < B$ , there exists  $u \in C/P$  such that  $A \leq u \leq B$ .*

PROOF. Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are non-empty. By [GJ], 13.5, we can find an increasing sequence  $f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \dots$  and a decreasing sequence  $g_1 \geq g_2 \geq \dots$  of elements of  $C$  such that  $f_n \leq g_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\{P(f_i) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a cofinal subset of  $A$ , and  $\{P(g_i) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a coinital subset of  $B$ . Let  $\{e_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable partition of unity contained in  $P$  and let  $f = \sum_i 2^{-i} (f_i + g_i) e_i$ . It is easy to show that  $u = P(f)$  satisfies

the required condition. If either  $A$  or  $B$  is empty, a simple modification of the preceding argument shows that either  $B$  is not coinital or  $A$  is not cofinal.

REMARK. If  $M$  is a maximal ideal of  $C$  such that  $C/M \neq F$ , then  $|C/M| \geq c$ . Furthermore in the special case  $F=Q$ ,  $C/M$  contains no copy of  $R$ .

### 3. $F$ -realcompactness.

3.1. Let  $X$  be a  $T_0$ -space with a base of clopen sets. Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  ( $=\delta X$ ) be the maximal ideal space of  $C$  ( $=C(X, F)$ ). Denote by  $\nu X$  the subspace of  $\mathfrak{M}$  consisting of all those ideals  $M$  for which  $C/M = F$ ;  $\nu X$  is obviously a  $T_0$ -space with a base of clopen sets in which  $X$  is dense and  $C(X, F)$ -embedded. Hence  $(C(X, F) = C(\nu X, F))$  and every ideal  $M$  of  $C(\nu X, F)$  for which  $C/M = F$  is fixed. We call a space  $F$ -realcompact if every ideal  $M$  of  $C(X, F)$  for which  $C/M = F$  is fixed.

THEOREM. *Let  $X$  be a  $T_0$ -space with a base of clopen sets. If  $X$  is  $F$ -realcompact, then it is realcompact.*

PROOF. Suppose that  $M'$  is a real maximal ideal of  $C(X)$ ;  $M' \cap C(X, F)$  is a maximal ideal of  $C(X, F)$  with the property that  $C(X, F)/(M' \cap C(X, F)) = F$ . (Observe that this field is embedded in  $C(X)/M'$  as an ordered subring). Hence  $M' \cap C(X, F) = M_p \cap C(X, F)$ . It follows that  $M' = M_p$ .

REMARK. Dr. Peter Nyikos has communicated to one of the authors that the space considered in [R] is not  $F$ -realcompact. Hence a space can be realcompact and have a base of clopen sets without being  $F$ -realcompact. However, if  $X$  is zero-dimensional and realcompact, then it is  $F$ -realcompact (see 1.7).

3.2. EXAMPLE. The space  $\Delta_1$  of [GJ], 16M is a  $T_0$ -space with a base of clopen sets whose dimension is 1. Hence  $\beta\Delta_1$  is not totally disconnected. That is to say,  $\beta\Delta_1 \neq \delta\Delta_1$ . It is known from [D] and [GJ] that  $\Delta_1$  is dense and  $C$ -embedded in a space  $\Delta$  such that  $\Delta \setminus \Delta_1$  is a copy of  $[0, 1]$ . Also, the quotient space  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  obtained by identifying the points of  $\Delta \setminus \Delta_1$  is zero dimensional. It is easy to see that

$\nu\Delta_1 = \Delta$ ,  $\nu\Delta_1 = \Delta_0$  and  $\delta\Delta_1 = \beta\Delta_0$ . In fact  $\Delta_0$  is the space obtained from  $\Delta$  by the method of theorem 1.4. ( $\Delta_0 = \theta[\Delta]$ ). We show that  $\Delta$  is realcompact.

Let  $\pi$  be the restriction to  $\Delta$  of the canonical projection of  $W^*$   $[0, 1]$  onto  $W^*$ ; for each  $\tau < \omega_1$  let  $\Delta_\tau = \pi^{-1}[W(\tau+1)]$ ;  $\Delta_\tau$  is a clopen subspace of  $\Delta$  (hence  $C$ -embedded in  $\Delta$ ) homeomorphic to a subspace of  $R^2$ . Hence  $\Delta_\tau$  is realcompact. Consider a real  $z$ -ultrafilter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\Delta$ ; if for each  $Z \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\pi[Z]$  is cofinal in  $W^*$ , then (from  $[GJ]$ , 16M.4),  $\omega_1 \in \pi[Z]$ , for each  $Z \in \mathcal{F}$ . Hence  $\{Z \cap (\{\omega_1\} \times [0, 1]) : Z \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is a filter on  $\{\omega_1\} \times [0, 1]$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F}$  is fixed. If for some  $Z \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\pi[Z]$  has an upper bound  $\tau$  in  $W$ , then  $\{Z \cap \Delta_\tau : Z \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is a real  $z$ -ultrafilter on  $\Delta_\tau$  and so is fixed.

#### REFERENCES

- [D] DOWKER, C. H.: *Local dimension of normal spaces*, Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser. (2) 6, 1955, 101-120.
- [DMO] DE MARCO, G. and ORSATTI, A.: *On spectra of commutative rings etc.*, Paper submitted to Proc. A.M.S.
- [GJ] GILLMAN, L. and JERISON, M.: *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.
- [P] PIERCE, R. S.: *Rings of integer-valued continuous functions*, Trans. A.M.S., 100, 1961, 371-394.
- [R] ROY, P.: *Nonequality of dimension for metric spaces*, Trans. A.M.S., 134. 1968, 117-132.

Manoscritto pervenuto in Redazione il 3 giugno 1970.