RENDICONTI del SEMINARIO MATEMATICO della UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

HENRY H. CRAPO

Structure theory for geometric lattices

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, tome 38 (1967), p. 14-22

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP 1967 38 14 0>

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1967, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

STRUCTURE THEORY FOR GEOMETRIC LATTICES

HENRY H. CRAPO*)

1. Introduction.

A geometric lattice (Birkhoff [1], and in Jónsson [5], a matroid lattice) is a lattice which is complete, atomistic, continuous, and semimodular.

A sublattice of a geometric lattice need not be geometric. Consequently, any, categorical analysis of geometric lattices considered as algebras with two operators will most likely be inconclusive.

It is possible, however, to define a geometric lattice as a set L, together with an operator \sup (supremum or join), defined on arbitrary subsets of L and taking values in L, and with a binary relation + (covers, or is equal to). In writing the axioms, it is convenient to write $0 = \sup \Phi$, $x \vee y = \sup \{x, y\}$, and $x \leq y$ if and only if $x \vee y = y$. Two of the axioms may be taken to be

- a) y + x if and only if $x \le y$ and $x < z \le y$ implies z = y.
- $\beta) \ \forall \ x < y \quad \exists \ p + 0 \cdot \exists \cdot x < x \lor p \leq y.$

Axiom α expresses the connection between sup and \downarrow , while axiom β indicates that the atoms separate the lattice elements.

In this way, geometric lattices form an axiomatic model class [2] of relational structures. A substructure P of a geometric lattice Q

^{*)} Indirizzo dell'A.: Depart. of Math. University of Waterloo Ontario - Canada.

¹⁾ We wish to express our gratitude to the National Research Council, Canada, and to the University of Waterloo for their support of this research.

is also a geometric lattice if axioms α and β apply in P. A relation-homomorphic image Q of a geometric lattice P is also a geometric lattice if axiom α holds in Q.

In section 2, we show how mappings preserving join and cover correspond to the strong maps of geometries introduced by Higgs [4]. Images of geometric lattices are studied in section 3. The kernel of such a map is shown to be a closure with the exchange property, acting on a geometric lattice. We are indebted to Professor G.-C. Rota for his recommendation of this approach.

2. Strong maps.

We write $y \downarrow x$ if an element y covers or is equal to an element x in a lattice. A function f from a lattice P to a lattice Q is cover-preserving if and only if $y \downarrow x$ implies $f(y) \downarrow f(x)$, for all elements x, y in P.

PROPOSITION 1. Any lattice-epimorphism is cover-preserving.

Proof. Assume $y \downarrow x$ in P. For any element c such that $f(x) \le \le c \le f(y)$, choose a preimage $z \in P$. Then $c = (f(z) \land f(y)) \lor f(x) = f((z \land y) \lor x)$. Since $x \le (z \land y) \lor x \le y$. and $y \downarrow x$, $(z \land y) \lor x$ is equal either to x or to y. Thus c is equal either to f(x) or to f(y), and $f(y) \downarrow f(x)$.

A join-homomorphism from a geometric lattice P into a geometric lattice Q is any function $f \colon P \to Q$ such that $f(\sup X) = \sup f(X)$ for every subset $X \subseteq P$. Such a join-homomorphism f determines and is determined by the restriction $f \mid A$ of f to the set A of atoms of P.

PROPOSITION 2. A join-homomorphism f from a geometric lattice P into a geometric lattice Q is cover-preserving if and only if the image of each atom of P is either 0 or else an atom of Q.

Proof: If f is cover-preserving, and $p \downarrow 0$ in P, then $f(p) \downarrow f(0)$ in Q. But $f(0) = f(\sup \Phi) = \sup f(\Phi) = \sup \Phi = 0$. Conversely, if $p \downarrow 0$

in P implies $f(p) \downarrow 0$ in Q, and if $y \downarrow x$ in P, choose an atom $p \in P$ such that $x \lor p = y$. Then $f(p) \downarrow 0$ in Q, and $f(y) = f(x \lor p) = f(x) \lor \lor f(p)$, so $f(y) \downarrow f(x)$ in Q, by semimodularity.

A join-homomorphism from a lattice P to a lattice Q is non-singular if and only if f(x) = 0 implies x = 0. A strong map from a geometric lattice P into a geometric lattice Q is any non-singular cover-preserving join-homomorphism from P to Q. Higgs [4] coined the term «strong map» for those functions f from a geometry G_1 to a geometry G_2 such that $f(\overline{X}) \subseteq \overline{f(X)}$, for each subset $X \subseteq G_1$. In other words, f is a «strong map» if and only if the inverse image of each G_2 -closed set is G_1 -closed. Proposition 3, below, justifies our usage of the term.

If A is the set of atoms of a geometric lattice P, then $\overline{X} = \{p \in A : p \leq \sup X\}$ defines a closure operator with the exchange property (MacLane [6]), and a geometry, in the sense of Higgs [3]. This geometry we shall denote G(P).

PROPOSITION 3. If f is a non-singular strong map from a geometric lattice P, with atom set A, into a geometric lattice Q, then $f \mid A$ is a strong map from the geometry G(P) into the geometry G(Q). Conversely, if g is a strong map from G(P) into G(Q), then $g = f \mid A$ for a unique non-singular strong map $f: P \to Q$.

Proof: A strong map f carries atoms of P into atoms of Q, so $f \mid A$ is a function from G(P) into G(Q). If $p \in \overline{X}$, for a subset $X \subseteq G(P)$, then $p \leq \sup X$, and $f(p) \leq f(\sup X) = \sup f(X)$. Thus $f(p) \in \overline{f(X)}$, and $f(\overline{X}) \subseteq \overline{f(X)}$.

Conversely, if g is a strong map from G(P) into G(Q), and if f is a join-preserving function from P into Q which extends g, f must satisfy, for each $x \in P$, $f(x) = f(\sup\{p : p \text{ an atom, } p \le x\})$, because P is atomistic, and must satisfy

(1)
$$f(x) = \sup \{g(p); p \text{ an atom, } p \leq x\}$$

because f preserves join. The assumption that g is a strong map is required for the following proof that f, defined by equation (1),

is join-preserving. If Y is a subset of the lattice P,

```
f(\sup Y) = \sup \{g(p); p \text{ an atom, } p \leq \sup Y\}

\geq \sup \{g(p); p \text{ an atom, } p \leq y \text{ for some } y \in Y\}

= \sup_{y \in Y} \sup \{g(p); p \text{ an atom, } p \leq y\}

= \sup f(Y).
```

If p is an atom beneath $\sup Y$, p is in the closure of the set of atoms $\{q : q \le y \text{ for some } y \in Y\}$, g(p) is in the closure of the set of atoms $\{g(q) : q \le y \text{ for some } y \in Y\}$ because g is a strong map, and $g(p) \le \sup f(Y)$. Thus $f(\sup Y) = \sup f(Y)$.

The function f, defined by equation (1), is non-singular, and is cover-preserving, because P is atomistic, f is join-preserving, and Q is semimodular.

Non-singularity, join-preservation, and cover-preservation are properties preserved under composition of functions. On each geometric lattice P, the identity function is a strong map. Therefore geometric lattices and strong maps are the objects and morphisms respectively, of an abstract category, which we shall denote G.

PROPOSITION 4. A substructure P of a geometric lattice Q is a geometric lattice if axioms α and β hold in P.

Proof: If P is such a substructure of Q, then P is a subset of Q, closed with respect to arbitrary join. Thus P is a complete lattice. $y \downarrow x$ in P if and only if $y \downarrow x$ in Q, and the axiom for definition of \downarrow in terms of sup is satisfied. $0 = \sup \Phi$ is an element of P, so the atoms of P are precisely those atoms of Q contained in the subset P. Since axiom β holds in P, P is atomistic. Since the atoms of P are a subset of the atoms of Q, P, being atomistic, is continuous. If $p \downarrow 0$ in P, and $x \in P$, then $x \lor p$, the supremum in Q, is an element of P, and $x \lor p \downarrow x$ in Q implies $x \lor p \downarrow x$ in P. Thus P is semimodular. It is clear that the injection map preserves join and cover, and is non-singular.

COROLLARY TO PROPOSITION 4. A subset P of a geometric lattice Q is a substructure of Q if and only if P is the set of arbitrary joins of subsets of some subset of the atoms of Q.

In the following section, we investigate the dual notion: images of geometric lattices.

3. Images.

Given any strong map $f\colon P\to Q$ in the category G of geometric lattices, the image of P in Q is also geometric. The operator J on P defined by $J(x)=\sup\{y\,;\,f(y)=f(x)\}$ is a finitistic closure operator with the exchange property. If R is the natural map from J-closed elements of P into the lattice P/J of all J-closed elements of P, then $RJ\colon P\to P/J$ is a strong map, and $P/J\simeq \mathrm{Im}\,f$. Thus any strong map $f\colon P\to Q$ may be factored $f=f_3f_2f_4$, where f_4 is the strong map from P onto P/f, f_2 is an isomorphism of P/f with $\mathrm{Im}\,f$, and f_3 is a one-one strong map from $\mathrm{Im}\,f$ into Q. These facts are proven below.

PROPOSITION 5. If f is a strong map from a geometric lattice P into a complete, continuous lattice Q, then Im f, the image of P in Q, is also geometric.

Proof: Since f is a join-homomorphism, Im f is closed with respect to join, and is a complete lattice, with order induced by that on Q. If $y \in \text{Im } f$, choose a preimage x of y, and express x as a join of atoms in P. Then y is the join of the images of those atoms, and Im f is atomistic. If an atom p is beneath the supremum of a set X of atoms of Im f, consider p and the atoms in X as elements of Q. Since Q is continuous, there is a finite subset $X' \subseteq X$ such that $p \leq \sup X'$. But $p \leq \sup X'$ in Im f, so Im f, being atomistic, is continuous [5]. If q is an atom of Im f, and $q \leq g$ for an element $g \in P$ such that $g \leq g$, either g or $g \in P$ and $g \in P$ such that $g \leq g$, either g or $g \in P$. Then $g \in P$ such that $g \in G$ for some atom $g \in P$. Then $g \in G$ is semi-modular [5].

A join-congruence on a complete lattice L is an equivalence relation ∞ on L such that for any subset $X \subseteq L$ and any function $h: L \longrightarrow L$ dominated by ∞ (ie: $x \infty h(x)$ for all $x \in L$), sup $X \infty$ sup h(X).

LEMMA TO PROPOSITION 6. If ∞ is a join-congruence on a complete lattice L, then the operator J defined by $J(x) = \sup\{y : y \sim x\}$ is a closure operator.

Proof: Since $x \circ x$, $x \leq J(x)$. If $x \leq y$, and $z \circ x$, then $y \vee z \circ y \vee x = y$, and $z \leq y \vee z \leq J(y)$. Thus $J(x) \leq J(z)$. $J(x) = \sup\{y; y \circ x\} \circ \sup\{x\} = x$, so $z \circ J(x)$ if and only if $z \circ x$, and JJ(x) = J(x).

A closure operator acting on a set, ie: on the Boolean algebra of all subsets of that set, has a lattice of closed subsets which is geometric if the closure is finitary and has the exchange property [3]. These two properties may be rephrased for closure operators on more general lattices. A closure J on a complete lattice L is finitary if and only if, for each directed subset $X \subseteq L$, $J(\sup X) = \sup J(X)$.

PROPOSITION 6. (2) A closure J on a complete atomistic continuous lattice L is finitary if and only if, for every atom $p \in L$ and every element $x \in L$, such that $p \leq J(x)$, there exists a finite set Y of atoms beneath x, such that $p \leq J(\sup Y)$.

Proof: Assume J is finitary, p an atom, and $p \leq J(x)$ for some $x \in L$. Let X be the set of joins of finite sets of atoms beneath x. X is directed, so $J(x) = J(\sup X) = \sup J(X)$. J(X) is also directed, in a continuous lattice, so $p \leq \sup J(X)$ implies $p \leq J(y)$ for some $y \in X$. Conversely, assume X is a directed subset of L. Then $J(\sup X) \geq \sup J(X)$. Let p be any atom beneath $J(\sup X)$. Select a finite set Y of atoms beneath $\sup X$, such that $p \leq J(\sup Y)$. For each atom $q \in Y$, select an element x_q above q in the directed set X. Then choose an element $x \in X$ such that $x_q \leq x$ for all $q \in Y$. $q \leq J(x) \leq \sup J(X)$, so $J(\sup X) = \sup J(X)$.

A closure J, on a complete atomistic lattice L, has the exchange property if and only if, for any atoms $p, q \in L$ and any element $x \in L$, $p \le |\le J(x)|$ and $p \le J(x \lor q)$ imply $q \le J(x \lor p)$.

²⁾ cf. Cohn [2], Theorem II.1.2: A closure system on a set is algebraic if and only if it is inductive.

PROPOSITION 7. If f is a strong map from a geometric lattice P into a geometric lattice Q, the operator J defined on P by

$$J(x) = \sup \{y ; f(y) = f(x)\}$$

is a finitary closure operator with the exchange property.

Proof: Consider the equivalence relation ∞ defined by $x \infty y$ if and only if f(x) = f(y). If $h: P \to P$ is any function dominated by ∞ , and if X is any subset of P, $f(\sup h(X)) = \sup f(h(X)) = \sup f(h(X)) = \sup f(X) = \sup f(x)$, so $\sup X \infty \sup h(X)$, and ∞ is a join-congruence. By the above lemma, J is a closure eperator on P. If p is an atom of P, and $p \le J(x)$ for some $x \in P$, $f(p) \downarrow 0$ in the finitistic lattice Q, and $f(x) = \sup f(X)$, where $X = \{q: q \downarrow 0, q \le x \text{ in } P\}$. We may select a finite subset $X' \subseteq X$ such that $f(p) \le \sup f(X')$. Then $p \le J(\sup X')$ because $p \le p \vee \sup X'$, and $f(p \vee \sup X')$, $f(p) \vee \sup f(X') = \sup f(X') = f(\sup X')$. Thus J is finitistic. Note that $x \le J(y)$ if and only if $f(x) \le f(y)$. If p and q are atoms of P, and x is an element of P such that $p \le J(x)$, $p \le J(x \vee q)$, then $f(x) \vee f(p)$ covers f(x). Since $f(p) \le f(x \vee q) = f(x) \vee f(q)$, and $f(x) \vee f(q) \downarrow f(x)$, we have $f(x \vee p) = f(x \vee q)$, $f(q) \le f(x \wedge p)$, and $g \le J(x \vee p)$.

LEMMA TO PROPOSITION 8. If J is a closure operator on a lattice L, and if R is the natural injection of J-closed elements of L into the lattice L/J of all J-closed elements of L, then the composition $RJ: L \to L/J$ is a join-homomorphism.

Proof: If X is a subset of L, sup RJ(X) is the image in L/J of the least closed element of L lying above J(x), for all $x \in X$, ie: the least closed element lying above x, for all $x \in X$, ie: $J(\sup X)$. Thus sup $RJ(X) = RI(\sup X)$.

PROPOSITION 8. If J is a finitistic closure operator with the exchange property on a geometric lattice P, then the lattice P/J is geometric. If $J(\Phi) = \Phi$, and if R is the natural injection of the J-closed elements of P into P/J, then RJ, is a strong map.

Proof: Let y be any element of P/J, and X any directed set in P/J. By proposition 6, $\sup_{P/J} X = J (\sup_P X) = \sup_P J(X) = \sup_P X$. Thus $y \wedge \sup_P X = \sup_P y \wedge X \leq \sup_{P/J} y \wedge X \leq y \wedge \sup_{P/J} X = y \wedge \sup_P X$, and $\sup_{P/J} y \wedge X = y \wedge \sup_{P/J} X$, so the complete lattice P/J is continuous. By the above lemma, RJ is a join-homomorphism. If $J(\Phi) = \Phi$, RJ is non-singular.

Assume y + x in P, and assume z is closed, with $J(x) < z \le J(y)$. Choosean atom p such that $x \lor p = y$, and let q be any atom such that $J(x) < J(x) \lor q \le z$. Then $q \le I \le J(x)$, $q \le J(x \lor p)$, so $p \le J(x \lor q) \le J(x) = z$. Thus $x \lor p \le z$, and J(y) = z, so RJ is cover-preserving. By proposition 4, P/J, the image of a geometric lattice in a complete continuous lattice, is geometric.

PROPOSITION 9. Any map $f \colon P \to Q$ in the category G of geometric lattices and strong maps has a factorization $f = f_3 f_2 f_1$ in G, where f_1 is onto, f_2 is an isomorphism, and f_3 is one-one.

Proof: Let J be the closure determined by f. Then the natural map $f_1 = RJ : P \to P/J$ is onto, $f_2 = fR^{-1}$, cut down to Im f, is clearly one-one, onto, and order-preserving. Since the statement $x \leq J(y) < = f(x) \leq f(y)$ holds, in particular, for closed elements of P, f_2 is an order isomorphism, and therefore a strong map. f_3 is then the natural embedding of Im f into Q. Since the order and cover relations on Im f are those induced by Q, f_3 is a strong map.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] G. Birkhoff, Abstract Linear Dependence and Lattices, Amer. J. 57 (1935)
 p. 800-804.
- [2] P. M. Cohn, Universal Algebra, Harper & Row, N. Y., 1965.
- [3] D. A. HIGGS, Maps of Geometries, J. London Math. Soc. 41 (1966), p. 612-618.
- [4] D. A. Higgs, Strong Maps of Geometries, J. Combinatorial Theory, to appear. (seen in preparation).
- [5] B. Jonsson, Lattice-theoretical Approach to Projective and Affine Geometry, Symposium on the Axiomatic Method, p. 188-203.
- [6] S. MACLANE, A Lattice Formulation for Transcendence Degrees and p-Bases, Duke J. 4 (1938), p. 455-468.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 25 luglio 1966