

# RENDICONTI *del* SEMINARIO MATEMATICO *della* UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

N. ABDUL-HALIM

W. A. AL-SALAM

**A characterization of the Laguerre polynomials**

*Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,*  
tome 34 (1964), p. 176-179

<[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP\\_1964\\_\\_34\\_\\_176\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1964__34__176_0)>

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1964, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

*Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>*

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE LAGUERRE POLYNOMIALS

*Nota \*) di N. ABDUL-HALIM e di W. A. AL-SALAM (a Lubback)*

1. – Recently Carlitz [1] showed that if  $\Psi(z)$  is analytic in a neighborhood of  $z = 0$  then

$$(1.1) \quad e^{t\Psi(xt)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad f_n(xy) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} y^k (1-y)^{n-k} f_k(x),$$

where  $\{f_k(x)\}$  is a simple set of polynomials, are equivalent.

Since a sequence of polynomials  $\{g_n(x)\}$  is Appell ( $g'_n = ng_{n-1}$ ) if and only if they possess a generating function of the form

$$A(t)e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

where  $A(t)$  is analytic near  $t = 0$ , we can restate Carlitz' result in the following form:

**THEOREM:** Given a sequence of polynomials  $\{f_n(x)\}$  a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\{f_n(x)\}$  to be Appel is that

\*) Pervenuta in redazione il 26 aprile 1963.

Indirizzo degli AA.: Department of mathematics and astronomy,  
Texas Technological College, Lubbock, Texas (U.S.A.).

$\{g_n(x)\}$ , where  $g_n(x) = x^n f_n(1/x)$ , should possess a multiplication formula of the form (1.2).

This result can be employed to obtain the following characterization of the Laguerre polynomials:

**THEOREM 2:** The only orthogonal polynomials of the form

$${}_{p+1}F_q \left[ \begin{matrix} -n, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p; \\ \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_q; \end{matrix} x \right]$$

where  $n$  is a non-negative integer and the  $\alpha$ 's and  $\beta$ 's are independent of  $x$  and  $n$ , are the Laguerre polynomials ( $p = 0, q = 1$ ).

Proof. We have after Rainville [2, p. 267]

$$e^t {}_pF_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p; \\ \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_q; \end{matrix} -xt \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {}_{p+1}F_q \left[ \begin{matrix} -n, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p; \\ \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_q; \end{matrix} x \right] \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

Hence the polynomials  ${}_{p+1}F_q \left[ \begin{matrix} -n, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p; \\ \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_q; \end{matrix} x \right]$  satisfy a multiplication formula of the form (1.2). But Feldheim [3] proved that the only orthogonal polynomials which satisfy such a multiplication formula are those of Laguerre. Hence the theorem follows.

This result can be also stated in the following way:

**THEOREM 3:** The function  $e^t F[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q; -xt]$  generates a set of orthogonal polynomials if and only if  $p = 0, q = 1$ .

2. - We now give an independent and direct proof of our result. Let

$$(2.1) \quad \phi_n(x) = {}_{p+1}F_q[-n, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q; x].$$

It is well known that in order for  $\{\phi_n(x)\}$  to be orthogonal there exist constants  $A_n, B_p, C_p$  so that

$$(2.2) \quad \phi_{n+1}(x) = (A_n x + B_n) \phi_n(x) + C_n \phi_{n-1}(x)$$

where  $A_n C_n \neq 0$ .

Substituting (2.1) in (2.2) and equating coefficients of powers of  $x$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} n + 1 &= (n - k + 1)B_n + \\ &+ \frac{(n - k)(n - k + 1)}{n} C_n - k \frac{\prod_{j=1}^q (\beta_j + k - 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^p (\alpha_i + k - 1)} A_n \\ &\quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $k = 0, n + 1, n, n - 1$  we get, respectively,

$$(2.3) \quad B_n + C_n = 1,$$

$$(2.4) \quad A_n = -\frac{(\alpha_1 + n)(\alpha_2 + n) \dots (\alpha_p + n)}{(\beta_1 + n)(\beta_2 + n) \dots (\beta_q + n)}$$

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} n + 1 &= B_n - n \cdot \\ &\cdot \frac{(\beta_1 + n - 1)(\beta_2 + n - 1) \dots (\beta_q + n - 1)}{(\alpha_1 + n - 1)(\alpha_2 + n - 1) \dots (\alpha_p + n - 1)} A_n \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} n + 1 &= 2B_n + \frac{2}{n} C_n - (n + 1) \cdot \\ &\cdot \frac{(\beta_1 + n - 2)(\beta_2 + n - 2) \dots (\beta_q + n - 2)}{(\alpha_1 + n - 2)(\alpha_2 + n - 2) \dots (\alpha_p + n - 2)} A_n. \end{aligned}$$

Formulas (2.3), (2.4), and (2.5) give

$$C_n = \frac{n}{2} \left\{ \frac{\prod_{j=1}^q (\beta_j + n - 2) \prod_{i=1}^p (\alpha_i + n)}{\prod_{k=1}^q (\beta_k + n - 2) \prod_{i=1}^p (\alpha_i + n)} - 1 \right\}$$

and

$$B_n = 1 + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{2} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^q (\beta_j + n - 2) \prod_{i=1}^p (\alpha_i + n)}{\prod_{k=1}^q (\beta_k + n) \prod_{j=1}^p (\alpha_j + n - 2)}.$$

Thus (2.6) becomes

$$(2.7) \quad n + 1 = 1 + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{2} \cdot$$

$$\cdot \frac{\prod_{i=1}^q (\beta_i + n - 2) \prod_{j=1}^p (\alpha_j + n)}{\prod_{i=1}^p (\alpha_i + n - 2) \prod_{j=1}^q (\beta_j + n)} + n \frac{\prod_{i=1}^q (\beta_i + n - 1) \prod_{j=1}^p (\alpha_j + n)}{\prod_{i=1}^p (\alpha_i + n - 1) \prod_{j=1}^q (\beta_j + n)}.$$

If we now let  $K_n = 1/A_n$ , (2.7) becomes

$$K_n = 2K_{n-1} - K_{n-2}, \quad K_0 = \frac{\beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_q}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_p}.$$

Thus

$$(2.8) \quad K_n = nD + E = \frac{(\beta_1 + n)(\beta_2 + n) \dots (\beta_q + n)}{(\alpha_1 + n)(\alpha_2 + n) \dots (\alpha_p + n)}$$

where  $D$  and  $E$  are arbitrary constants.

The case  $D = 0$  leads to  $B_n = 1$  and hence  $C_n = 0$  which contradicts the restriction mentioned in (2.2).

If  $D \neq 0$  then the only way for (2.8) to hold is that  $p + 1 = q$  and  $\beta_1 = \alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_2 = \alpha_2$ , ...,  $\beta_{q-1} = \alpha_p$  and  $\beta_q = \beta$ ,  $D = 1$ .

Thus

$$\phi_n(x) = {}_1F_1[-n; \beta; x]$$

which is essentially the Laguerre polynomials. This evidently completes the proof of our theorem 3.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. CARLITZ: *Some multiplication formulas*, Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, vol. 32 (1962), pp. 239-242.
- [2] A. ERDELYI et al.: *Higher Transcendental Functions*, vol. 3, New York, 1953.
- [3] E. FELDHEIM: *Une propriété caractéristique des polynomes de Laguerre*, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, vol. 13 (1940), pp. 6-10. Duke University, and Texas Technological College.