

PUBLICATIONS MATHÉMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUES DE RENNES

TETURO KAMAE

Infinite Interval Exchange Transformation with Positive Entropy

Publications des séminaires de mathématiques et informatique de Rennes, 1977, fascicule 3

« Séminaire de probabilités II », , p. 1-5

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PSMIR_1977__3_A7_0

© Département de mathématiques et informatique, université de Rennes,
1977, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la série « Publications mathématiques et informatiques de Rennes » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

Infinite interval exchange transformation with positive entropy

by

Teturo KAMAE

Department of Mathematics
Osaka City University
Sugimoto-cho, Osaka
Japan

ABSTRACT

We construct an interval exchange transformation with infinitely many intervals on $[0, 1]$ which has a positive metrical entropy with respect to the Lebegue measure.

A transformation φ on $[0, 1]$ is called an interval exchange transformation if there exist families I_i and J_i of countably many disjoint intervals in $[0, 1]$ such that

$$(1) \quad \nu(\bigcup_i I_i) = \nu(\bigcup_i J_i) = 1,$$

$$(2) \quad \nu(I_i) = \nu(J_i) \text{ for any } i, \text{ and}$$

$$(3) \quad \varphi(\inf I_i + x) = \inf J_i + x \text{ for any } i \text{ and } x \text{ with } 0 < x < \nu(I_i),$$

where ν is the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$. It is well known that if $\sum_i -\nu(I_i) \log \nu(I_i) < \infty$, then the metrical entropy $h_\nu(\varphi) = 0$. It was asked by Prof. M. Keane (Rennes) whether there exists an interval exchange transformation with positive entropy or not. Here is an answer to this question to construct such a transformation.

A real number x whose expansion to base 2 is $0.x_0x_1x_2\dots$ shall be identified with an element $((x_0, x_1, \dots), (x_1, x_2, \dots))$ of $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \times \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Let $\lambda = \mu \times \mu$ be the measure on $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \times \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ induced from the Lebesgue measure by this identification.

We select $F_n \subset \{0,1\}^{2^n}$ and $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ so that

(4) $\{\Gamma_{\xi}; \xi \in F\}$ is a family of disjoint subsets of $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\mu(\bigcup_{\xi \in F} \Gamma_{\xi}) = 1$, where for $\xi = (\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$, $\Gamma_{\xi} = \{\gamma \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}; \gamma(i) = \xi_i \text{ for } i=0, 1, \dots, n\}$.

(5) For $\xi = \{\xi \in F_n\}$, where $\{\xi\}$ is the concatenation of ξ and \emptyset in $\{0,1\}^{2^{n-1}}$, define $\bar{\xi} = \xi \emptyset$. Then $\{\Gamma_{\bar{\xi}}; \xi \in F\}$ is also a family of disjoint sets such that $\mu(\bigcup_{\xi \in F} \Gamma_{\bar{\xi}}) = 1$, and

(6) If $\xi \notin F_n$, where $\xi, \eta \in \{0,1\}^{2^{n-1}}$, then $\xi \bar{\xi} \notin F_n$ for any $\xi \in \{0,1\}^{2^{n-1}}$.

Such F does exist as we will see later. For $\alpha \in \{0,1\}^N$, let $\tau(\alpha) = n$ if $\alpha \in \overline{\xi}$ with $\xi \in F_n$. Let S_k be a transformation on $\{0,1\}^N$ such that for any $\alpha \in \{0,1\}^N$,

$$(S_k \alpha)(n) = \begin{cases} \alpha(n+2^{k-1}) & 0 \leq n < 2^{k-1} \\ \alpha(n-2^{k-1}) & 2^{k-1} \leq n < 2^k \\ \alpha(n) & n \geq 2^k \end{cases}$$

Finally, let T be the transformation on $\{0,1\}^N \times \{0,1\}^N$ such that for any $(\alpha, \beta) \in \{0,1\}^N \times \{0,1\}^N$,

$$T(\alpha, \beta) = (S_{\tau(\alpha)} \alpha, S_{\tau(\beta)} \beta).$$

This T can be considered as a transformation on $[0, 1]$ through the above correspondence between $[0, 1]$ and $\{0,1\}^N \times \{0,1\}^N$. In this sense, it is easy to see that T is an interval exchange transformation.

THEOREM $h_\lambda(T) > 0$.

(proof) Let $A = \{A_0, A_1\}$ be the partition on $\{0,1\}^N \times \{0,1\}^N$ such that $A_i = \{(\alpha, \beta); \beta(0) = i\}$ ($i=0,1$). Then, it is sufficient to prove that $h_\lambda(T; A) = \log 2$. For simplicity, we denote $S\alpha = S_{\tau(\alpha)} \alpha$. By D_k , we denote the set of sequences $(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{k-1})$ of positive integers such that $n_i \neq \max\{n_{i+1}, \dots, n_{k-1}\}$ for any $i=0,1,\dots,k-1$. Then, it is easy to see that $\mu\{\alpha; (\tau(\alpha), \tau(S\alpha), \dots, \tau(S^{k-1}\alpha)) \in D_k\} = 1$. For $k=1, 2, \dots$, define functions $\sigma_k: \{1, 2, \dots\}^k \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots\}$ inductively by

$$\sigma_1(n_0) = 2^{n_0-1}$$

$$\sigma_k(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}) = \begin{cases} 2^{n_0-1} + \sigma_{k-1}(n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}) & n_0 > \max\{n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}\} \\ \sigma_{k-1}(n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}) & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that if $(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{m-1}) \in D_m$, then $\sigma_1(n_0), \sigma_2(n_0, n_1), \dots, \sigma_m(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{m-1})$ are different from each other. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda(A_{i_0} \cap T^{-1}A_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap T^{-m}A_{i_m}) \\
&= \sum_{(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{m-1}) \in D_m} \lambda(A_{i_0} \cap T^{-1}A_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap T^{-m}A_{i_m} \mid \tau(\alpha) = n_0, \tau(S\alpha) = n_1, \dots, \\
&\quad \tau(S^{m-1}\alpha) = n_{m-1}) \cdot \lambda(\tau(\alpha) = n_0, \tau(S\alpha) = n_1, \dots, \tau(S^{m-1}\alpha) = n_{m-1}) \\
&= \sum_{(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{m-1}) \in D_m} \lambda(\beta(0) = i_0, \beta(\sigma_1(n_0)) = i_1, \dots, \beta(\sigma_m(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{m-1})) \\
&\quad = i_m \mid \tau(\alpha) = n_0, \tau(S\alpha) = n_1, \dots, \tau(S^{m-1}\alpha) = n_{m-1}) \\
&\quad \times \lambda(\tau(\alpha) = n_0, \tau(S\alpha) = n_1, \dots, \tau(S^{m-1}\alpha) = n_{m-1}) \\
&= \sum_{(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{m-1}) \in D_m} \lambda(\beta(0) = i_0, \beta(\sigma_1(n_0)) = i_1, \dots, \beta(\sigma_m(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{m-1})) \\
&\quad = i_m) \cdot \lambda(\tau(\alpha) = n_0, \tau(S\alpha) = n_1, \dots, \tau(S^{m-1}\alpha) = n_{m-1}) \\
&= \sum_{(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{m-1}) \in D_m} 2^{-m-1} \cdot \lambda(\tau(\alpha) = n_0, \tau(S\alpha) = n_1, \dots, \tau(S^{m-1}\alpha) = n_{m-1}) \\
&= 2^{-m-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $h_\lambda(T; A) = \log 2$.

(Q.E.D.)

Now, we show how to construct F satisfying (4), (5) and (6).

Let $F_1 = \{(0, 1)\}$. Suppose that F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n have been selected so that

$$(7) \quad \overline{\cup_i F_i} \cap \overline{\cup_j F_j} = \emptyset \text{ for any } j \neq i \text{ in } \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i,$$

$$(8) \quad \overline{\cup_j F_j} \cap \overline{\cup_k F_k} = \emptyset \text{ for any } k \neq j \text{ in } \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i, \text{ and}$$

$$(9) \quad \text{if } \xi \notin F_i \quad (\xi, \zeta \in \{0, 1\}^{2^{i-1}}, i=1, 2, \dots, n), \text{ then } \xi \xi \notin F_i \text{ for any}$$

$$\xi \in \{0, 1\}^{2^{i-1}}.$$

Let

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{\xi \in F_i} \bar{F}_\xi\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n |F_i| 2^{-2^i} = 1 - \delta_n.$$

Then, we can select subsets G_n and H_n of $\{0,1\}^{2^n}$ satisfying that

$$(10) \quad |G_n| = |H_n| \text{ and } |G_n| 2^{-2^n} \geq \frac{\delta_n}{3},$$

$$(11) \quad G_n \cap H_n = \emptyset,$$

$$(12) \quad \bar{F}_\xi \cap \bar{F}_\eta = \emptyset \text{ for any } \xi \in G_n \text{ and } \eta \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i, \text{ and}$$

$$(13) \quad \bar{F}_\xi \cap \bar{F}_\eta = \emptyset \text{ for any } \xi \in H_n \text{ and } \eta \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i.$$

Let $F_{n+1} = G_n H_n = \{\xi\eta; \xi \in G_n, \eta \in H_n\}$. Then, it is easy to check that F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{n+1} satisfy the conditions (7), (8) and (9) with $n+1$ for n .

Moreover, since

$$\delta_{n+1} \leq \delta_n - \left(\frac{\delta_n}{3}\right)^2,$$

$\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so that

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{\xi \in F} \bar{F}_\xi\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{\xi \in F_i} \bar{F}_\xi\right) = 1.$$

Thus, finally we get F satisfying (4), (5) and (6).