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Some problems in inverse scattering theory.

Anders Melin<br>Department of Mathematics<br>University of Lund

We shall consider the Schrödinger operator $H_{v}=-\Delta+v(x)$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, where $n=$ $3,5, \ldots$ We assume that $v \in \mathcal{V}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int(1+|x|)^{|\alpha|-(n-2)}\left|v^{(\alpha)}(x)\right| d x<\infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\alpha$.
Some of the main problems we consider are the following:
(a) Analysis of bound states and poles of the scattering matrix.
(b) Backward scattering.
(c) The characterization problem for scattering matrices.

This talk will be a continuation of the authors lecture at École Polytechnique [6] , and we shall mainly give some comments to (a).

We shall study families of intertwining operators $A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{v} A=A H_{0} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}-v(x)\right) A(x, y)=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(We shall always identify operators with their distribution kernels.) Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of all $U(x, y) \in L_{l o c}^{1}$ such that

$$
\|U\|_{\mathcal{M}}=\max \left\{\sup _{x} \int|U(x, y)| d y, \sup _{y} \int|U(x, y)| d x\right\}<\infty .
$$

Then $\|U\|_{L^{p} \rightarrow L^{p}} \leq\|U\|_{\mathcal{M}}$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ if $U \in \mathcal{M}$. We let $\mathcal{M}_{\theta}$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{M}$ consisting of $U$ such that $\langle y-x, \theta\rangle \geq 0$ in its support. Here $\theta \in S^{n-1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\theta, \lambda}$ is the set of $U$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\theta}$ such that

$$
e^{-\lambda(y-x, \theta)} U(x, y) \in \mathcal{M}_{\theta}
$$

The spaces $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_{\theta}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\theta, \lambda}$ are Banach algebras. Finally $\mathcal{M}_{\theta, \lambda}$ is defined by the following conditions:

$$
\int|U(x, y)| d y \rightarrow 0 \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty, x /|x| \rightarrow \theta
$$

and

$$
\int|U(x, y)| d x \rightarrow 0 \text { as }|y| \rightarrow \infty, y /|y| \rightarrow-\theta
$$

Example. If $q \in L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ we let $[q]$ be the convolution operator with kernel $q(x-y)$. If $\langle x, \theta\rangle \leq 0$ in the support of $q$, then $(I-[q])^{-1}$ exists in $I+\mathcal{M}_{\theta, \lambda}$ when $\lambda$ is large.

THEOREM 1. Let $v \in \mathcal{V}$ be real valued and $\theta \in S^{n-1}$. Then there is a unique $A_{\theta} \in U_{\lambda} \geq 0 I+\tilde{\mathcal{M}_{\theta, \lambda}}$ such that $H_{v} A=A H_{0}$. Moreover, $A_{-\theta}^{*} \circ A_{\theta}=I$.

The distribution $A_{\theta}$ is constructed as the infinite sum $\sum_{0}^{\infty} U_{N}$, where $U_{0}(x, y)=$ $\delta(x-y)$, and

$$
U_{N+1}=E_{\theta} *\left(v U_{N}\right)
$$

Here $\left(v U_{N}\right)(x, y)=v(x) U_{N}(x, y)$, and $E_{\theta}$ is the fundamental solution for $\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}$, which is uniquely determined from the following conditions:
(i) $\langle y-x, \theta\rangle \geq 0$ in the support of $E_{\theta}$,
(ii) $E_{\theta}(x+t \theta, y+t \theta) \rightarrow 0$ in $D^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$.
(iii) $E_{\theta}=\sum c_{\alpha, \beta} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{y}^{\beta} h_{\alpha, \beta}$, where $\phi(x-y) h_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y) \in \mathcal{M}$ for any $\phi \in C_{0}$.

THEOREM 2. There exists a family of $L^{1}$ functions $q_{\theta}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ which depend continuously on $\theta$ and are supported in the set where $\langle x, \theta\rangle \leq 0$, such that

$$
A_{\theta}\left(I-\left[q_{\theta}\right]\right) \in I+\mathcal{M}_{\theta} .
$$

Corollary 3. Assume that $v \in C_{0}^{\infty}$. Then the scattering matrix $S_{k}\left(\theta, \theta^{\prime}\right)$ is analytic in the upper half-plane $\Im k \geq 0$ after multiplication by $1-\widehat{q_{\theta^{\prime}}}(-k)$.
Sketch of proof. One first constructs $B_{\theta} \in I+\tilde{\mathcal{M}_{\theta, 0}}$ so that

$$
B_{\theta}^{-1} H_{v} B_{\theta}=H_{0}+\sum_{1}^{N} f_{j} \otimes g_{j}
$$

where $f_{j}$ and $g_{j}$ are in $L^{1}$ together with all their derivatives.
Next one defines the $L^{1}$ functions $q_{j k}$ by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{j k}(y)=\int\left(f_{j} * g_{k}\right)(x) E_{\theta}(x, y) d x \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $[Q]=\left[q_{j k}\right]$, where the right-hand side is considered as a $N \times N$ matrix of convolution operators, and define the vector valued function $\vec{h}=\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{N}\right)$ by the equation

$$
\vec{h}^{c o}(I-[Q]) \vec{g},
$$

where ${ }^{c o}(I-[Q])$ denotes the co-factor matrix of $I-[Q]$. We can now define the $L^{1}$ function $q=q_{\theta}$ by the equation

$$
\operatorname{det}(I-[Q])=I-[\check{q}] .
$$

It is easy to see that $\langle x, \theta\rangle \leq 0$ in the support of $q_{\theta}$. Set

$$
C_{\theta}=I-\left[q_{\theta}\right]+F_{\theta},
$$

where $F_{\theta}=\sum_{1}^{N} E_{\theta} *\left(f_{j} \otimes h_{j}\right)$. Then $H_{v}\left(B_{\theta} C_{\theta}\right)=\left(B_{\theta} C_{\theta}\right) H_{0}$. Therefore, if we set

$$
R(x, y)=A_{\theta}^{-1} B_{\theta} C_{\theta}-\delta(x-y)
$$

then $\left(\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}\right) R=0$ and $\langle y-x, \theta\rangle \geq 0$ in its support.From a uniqueness result for $\Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y}$ one then finds that $R$ is constant in the direction of $(\theta, \theta)$, i.e. $R(x+$ $t \theta, y+t \theta)=R(x, y)$ when $t$ is any real number. Since $R+[q] \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}_{\theta, \lambda}}$ we conclude that $R+[q]=0$. Hence

$$
A_{\theta}\left(I-\left[q_{\theta}\right]\right)=B_{\theta} C_{\theta} \in I+\tilde{\mathcal{M}_{\theta, 0}}
$$

and the proof is complete.
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