

F. BLANCHET-SADRI

On the semidirect product of the pseudovariety of semilattices by a locally finite pseudovariety of groups

Informatique théorique et applications, tome 31, n° 3 (1997), p. 237-250

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ITA_1997__31_3_237_0

© AFCET, 1997, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Informatique théorique et applications » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

ON THE SEMIDIRECT PRODUCT OF THE PSEUDO-VARIETY OF SEMILATTICES BY A LOCALLY FINITE PSEUDO-VARIETY OF GROUPS (*)

by F. BLANCHET-SADRI ⁽¹⁾ ⁽²⁾

Abstract – In this paper, we give a sequence of identities defining the product pseudovariety $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H}$ generated by all semidirect products of the form $M * N$ with $M \in \mathbf{J}_1$ and $N \in \mathbf{H}$ (here \mathbf{J}_1 is the pseudovariety of semilattice monoids and \mathbf{H} is a locally finite pseudovariety of groups). A sequence of sets of identities ultimately defining $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{G}_p$ results (here \mathbf{G}_p is the pseudovariety of p -groups)

Résumé – Dans cet article, nous donnons une suite d'identités définissant la pseudovariété $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H}$ engendrée par les produits semidirects de la forme $M * N$ où $M \in \mathbf{J}_1$ et $N \in \mathbf{H}$ (ici \mathbf{J}_1 est la pseudovariété des demi-treillis et \mathbf{H} une pseudovariété de groupes localement finie). Une suite d'ensembles d'identités définissant ultimement $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{G}_p$ en résulte (ici \mathbf{G}_p est la pseudovariété des p -groupes)

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we discuss a technique to produce identities for the semidirect product pseudovariety $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H}$ generated by all semidirect products of the form $M * N$ with $M \in \mathbf{J}_1$ and $N \in \mathbf{H}$, where \mathbf{J}_1 is the pseudovariety of all semilattice monoids and \mathbf{H} is a locally finite pseudovariety of groups.

The notion of congruence plays a central role in our approach. For any finite alphabet A denote by A^* the free monoid generated by A . We say that a monoid M is A -generated if there exists a congruence β on A^* such that M is isomorphic to A^*/β . A pseudovariety of monoids \mathbf{V} is *locally finite* if

(*) Received October 1995

⁽¹⁾ Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of North Carolina, Greensboro, NC 27412, USA E-mail blanchet@iris.uncg.edu

⁽²⁾ This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No CCR-9300738 A Research Assignment from the University of North Carolina at Greensboro is gratefully acknowledged I thank Jean-Eric Pin and the referees of preliminary versions of this paper for their very valuable comments and suggestions

for any A there are finitely many A -generated monoids in \mathbf{V} . Equivalently, there exists for each A a congruence β_A such that an A -generated monoid M is in \mathbf{V} if and only if M is a morphic image of A^*/β_A .

Let \mathbf{H} be a locally finite pseudovariety of groups. Let γ be the congruence generating \mathbf{H} for the finite alphabet A . The idea is to associate with $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H}$ a congruence \sim_γ on A^* . Section 3 gives a criterion to determine when an identity on A is satisfied in $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H}$ with the help of \sim_γ . This leads to a proof that such $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H}$ are locally finite and hence decidable. This criterion follows from Almeida's semidirect product representation of the free objects in $\mathbf{V} * \mathbf{W}$ in case both \mathbf{V} and \mathbf{W} have finite free objects [1] (Almeida's representation is stated in Section 2.1). In Section 5, we give a basis of identities for $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H}$ which follows mainly from a result on graphs due to Simon [8] (Simon's result is stated in Section 4) and the identity criterion of Section 3. In Section 6, we give a sequence of sets of identities ultimately defining the pseudovariety $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{G}_p$, where p is a prime number and \mathbf{G}_p is the pseudovariety of all p -groups, that is the pseudovariety of all groups of order p^k for some nonnegative integer k .

Related known results include the following. The product $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{G}$ is generated by the inverse monoids (Margolis and Pin [11]) and is the class of finite monoids in which the idempotents commute (Ash [4]) (here \mathbf{G} is the pseudovariety of groups). Blanchet-Sadri and Zhang [6] give identities ultimately defining the product $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{G}_{com}$ where \mathbf{G}_{com} denotes the pseudovariety of commutative groups. Irastorza [10] shows that if the pseudovarieties \mathbf{V} and \mathbf{W} are finitely based, their product may not be.

The techniques in this paper were used in particular by Pin [13] to give a basis of identities for $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{J}_1$, by Almeida [2] to generalize Pin's result to iterated semidirect products of finite semilattices, and by Blanchet-Sadri [5] to give a basis of identities for $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{J}_k$ where \mathbf{J}_k denotes the pseudovariety of \mathcal{J} -trivial monoids of height k .

2. PRELIMINARIES

We refer the reader to [3, 7, 8, 12] for terms not explicitly defined here.

2.1. Pseudovarieties of monoids

A nonempty class of finite monoids is called a *pseudovariety* if it is closed under submonoids, morphic images, and finitary direct products. A nonempty

class of monoids is called a *variety* if it is closed under submonoids, morphic images, and direct products.

As the intersection of a class of pseudovarieties of monoids is again a pseudovariety, and as all finite monoids form a pseudovariety, we can conclude that for every class C of finite monoids there is a smallest pseudovariety containing C , called *the pseudovariety generated by C* . Now, if C is a class of monoids, the smallest variety containing C is called *the variety generated by C* .

For a pseudovariety \mathbf{V} and a set A , $F_{\mathbf{V}}(A)$ denotes the *free object* on A (or generated by A) in the variety generated by \mathbf{V} . If A is finite, say $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$, we often write $F_{\mathbf{V}}(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ for $F_{\mathbf{V}}(A)$. In case \mathbf{V} is the pseudovariety of all finite semigroups (respectively all finite monoids), the semigroup (respectively monoid) $F_{\mathbf{V}}(A)$ is usually denoted by A^+ (respectively A^*). Elements of A^+ are viewed as nonempty words of elements of A , and the multiplication is given by concatenation of words. The monoid A^* includes also the empty word 1. For a word $u \in A^*$, let $|u|$ denote the length of u . For words $u\tilde{v}, w \in A^*$ satisfying $w = uv$, let $w \setminus u$ denote the factor v .

2.1.1. Semidirect products of pseudovarieties

Let M and N be monoids. It is convenient to write M additively, without however assuming that M is commutative. We denote by 0 (respectively 1) the unit element of M (respectively N). A *left action* of N on M is a morphism φ from N into the monoid of monoid endomorphisms of M , where endomorphisms of M are written on the left.

Given a left action φ of N on M , we define the *semidirect product* $M * N$ as follows. The elements of $M * N$ are pairs (m, n) with $m \in M$, $n \in N$. Multiplication is given by the formula

$$(m, n)(m', n') = (m + nm', nn')$$

where nm' represents $\varphi(n)(m')$. (This is what Eilenberg [8] calls a “unitary” semidirect product.) The multiplication in $M * N$ is associative. Thus $M * N$ is a monoid with $(0, 1)$ as unit element.

We now relate the notion of pseudovariety with that of a semidirect product. Given pseudovarieties of monoids \mathbf{V} and \mathbf{W} , we denote by $\mathbf{V} * \mathbf{W}$ the pseudovariety generated by all semidirect products $M * N$ with $M \in \mathbf{V}$, $N \in \mathbf{W}$ and with any left action of N on M . The semidirect product of pseudovarieties of monoids is associative.

PROPOSITION 2.1: (Almeida [1]) *Let \mathbf{V} and \mathbf{W} be pseudovarieties of monoids such that $F_{\mathbf{V}}(A)$ and $F_{\mathbf{W}}(A)$ are finite for all finite A . Then so is $\mathbf{V} * \mathbf{W}$. Moreover, for a finite set A , let $N = F_{\mathbf{W}}(A)$ and $M = F_{\mathbf{V}}(N \times A)$. Consider the left action of N on M defined by $n(n', a) = (nn', a)$ and the associated semidirect product $M * N$. Then, there is an embedding from $F_{\mathbf{V} * \mathbf{W}}(A)$ into $M * N$ that maps a into $((1, a), a)$.*

2.1.2. Pseudovarieties and sequences of identities

Let A be a set. A monoid *identity* on A is an expression of the form $u = v$ where $u, v \in A^*$. A monoid M *satisfies* an identity $u = v$ (or the identity *is true* in M , or *holds* in M), abbreviated by $M \models u = v$, if for every morphism $\varphi : A^* \rightarrow M$ we have $\varphi(u) = \varphi(v)$.

A class C of monoids satisfies $u = v$, written $C \models u = v$, if each member of C satisfies $u = v$. If Σ is a set of identities, we say C satisfies Σ , written $C \models \Sigma$, if $C \models u = v$ for each $u = v \in \Sigma$. An identity $u = v$ is *deducible* from a set of identities Σ , abbreviated by $\Sigma \vdash u = v$, if for every monoid M we have $M \models \Sigma$ implies $M \models u = v$. Here, letters can be erased in monoid identities.

Let $u_i = v_i, i \geq 1$ be a sequence of identities. Put $\Sigma = \{u_i = v_i \mid i \geq 1\}$, and define $\mathbf{V}(\Sigma)$ to be the class of finite monoids satisfying Σ or all the identities $u_i = v_i$. A class C of finite monoids is said to be *defined* by Σ (or by the identities $u_i = v_i, i \geq 1$) if $C = \mathbf{V}(\Sigma)$; Σ is said to be a *basis* for C . Eilenberg and Schützenberger [9] show that every pseudovariety generated by a single monoid is of the form $\mathbf{V}(\Sigma)$ for some such Σ .

2.2. Varieties of sets

Let L be a subset of A^* . We define a congruence \sim_L on A^* as follows: $u \sim_L v$ holds if $xuy \in L$ if and only if $xvy \in L$ for all $x, y \in A^*$. The congruence \sim_L is called the *syntactic congruence* of L , and the quotient monoid A^*/\sim_L , which we denote by $M(L)$, is called the *syntactic monoid* of L . The subset L of A^* is saturated for the congruence \sim_L , that is $u \sim_L v$ and $u \in L$ imply $v \in L$. Each pseudovariety of monoids is generated by the syntactic monoids that it contains. The set L is recognizable if and only if $M(L)$ is a finite monoid.

Suppose that for each finite alphabet A , a family $A^*\mathcal{V}$ of recognizable sets of A^* is given. We then say that $\mathcal{V} = \{A^*\mathcal{V}\}$ is a **-variety* of sets if it satisfies the following conditions:

- $A^*\mathcal{V}$ is closed under boolean operations;

- If $L \in A^*\mathcal{V}$ and $a \in A$, then the sets $a^{-1}L = \{w \in A^* \mid aw \in L\}$ and $La^{-1} = \{w \in A^* \mid wa \in L\}$ are in $A^*\mathcal{V}$;
- If $\varphi : B^* \rightarrow A^*$ is a monoid morphism and if $L \in A^*\mathcal{V}$, then $\varphi^{-1}(L) \in B^*\mathcal{V}$.

Pseudovarieties of monoids and $*$ -varieties of sets are in 1–1 correspondence. If \mathcal{V} is a $*$ -variety of sets, then the pseudovariety of monoids generated by $\{M(L) \mid L \in A^*\mathcal{V} \text{ for some } A\}$ defines the corresponding pseudovariety of monoids \mathbf{V} . If \mathbf{V} is a pseudovariety of monoids, then $A^*\mathcal{V} = \{L \subseteq A^* \mid M(L) \in \mathbf{V}\}$ defines the corresponding $*$ -variety of sets \mathcal{V} .

3. CONGRUENCES FOR $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H}$

In this section, we give a criterion to determine when an identity is satisfied in the semidirect product $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H}$ where \mathbf{H} is a locally finite pseudovariety of groups. This criterion is used in Section 5 to obtain a basis of identities for $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H}$.

Let A be a finite set. For a word $u \in A^*$, let $\alpha(u)$ denote the set of elements of A that occur in u . Then the free object of \mathbf{J}_1 on A is isomorphic to the quotient A^*/α where the congruence α on A^* is defined by $u\alpha v$ if and only if $\alpha(u) = \alpha(v)$. Now, let γ be the congruence of finite index on A^* such that an A -generated monoid M belongs to \mathbf{H} if and only if M is a morphic image of A^*/γ . The free object $F_{\mathbf{H}}(A)$ is isomorphic to the quotient A^*/γ . The pseudovarieties \mathbf{J}_1 and \mathbf{H} have hence finite finitely generated free objects. We denote by π_γ the canonical projection from A^* into $F_{\mathbf{H}}(A)$ that maps a onto the generator a of $F_{\mathbf{H}}(A)$. If $u, v \in A^*$, then $\pi_\gamma(u) = \pi_\gamma(v)$ if and only if $u\gamma v$.

DEFINITION 3.1: Let $w \in A^*$.

- Let $\sigma_\gamma : A^* \rightarrow (F_{\mathbf{H}}(A) \times A)^*$ be the function defined by

$$\sigma_\gamma(a_1 \dots a_i) = (1, a_1)(\pi_\gamma(a_1), a_2) \dots (\pi_\gamma(a_1 \dots a_{i-1}), a_i)$$

if $i > 0$, 1 otherwise.

- Let $\sigma_\gamma^w : A^* \rightarrow (F_{\mathbf{H}}(A) \times A)^*$ be the function defined by

$$\sigma_\gamma^w(a_1 \dots a_i) = (\pi_\gamma(w), a_1)(\pi_\gamma(wa_1), a_2) \dots (\pi_\gamma(wa_1 \dots a_{i-1}), a_i)$$

if $i > 0$, 1 otherwise.

The sequential function σ_γ is realized by the transducer whose states are the elements of $F_{\mathbf{H}}(A)$ (1 being the initial state) and whose transitions are given by

$$n \xrightarrow{a/(n,a)} na$$

where $n \in F_{\mathbf{H}}(A)$ and $a \in A$.

We define an equivalence relation on A^* by requesting that

$$u \sim_\gamma v \text{ if and only if } \alpha(\sigma_\gamma(u)) = \alpha(\sigma_\gamma(v)) \text{ and } u\gamma v.$$

LEMMA 3.1: *The equivalence relation \sim_γ is a congruence of finite index on A^* .*

Proof: Assume $u \sim_\gamma v$ and $u' \sim_\gamma v'$. We have

$$\alpha(\sigma_\gamma(u)) = \alpha(\sigma_\gamma(v)) \text{ and } u\gamma v$$

and similarly with u and v replaced by u' and v' . Since γ is a congruence we have $uu'\gamma vv'$. The above and the fact that $\pi_\gamma(u) = \pi_\gamma(v)$ imply that $\alpha(\sigma_\gamma(uu')) = \alpha(\sigma_\gamma(u)\sigma_\gamma^u(u')) = \alpha(\sigma_\gamma(u)\sigma_\gamma^v(u')) = \alpha(\sigma_\gamma(v)\sigma_\gamma^v(v')) = \alpha(\sigma_\gamma(vv'))$. Thus $uu' \sim_\gamma vv'$ showing that \sim_γ is a congruence. This obviously is a finite congruence since α and γ are finite. \square

LEMMA 3.2: *If $u = v$ is an identity on A , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H} \models u = v$;
- $u \sim_\gamma v$.

*Consequently, an A -generated monoid M belongs to $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H}$ if and only if M is a morphic image of A^* / \sim_γ .*

Proof: Let $u = v$ be an identity on A , say $u = a_1 \dots a_i$ and $v = b_1 \dots b_j$. Let $N = F_{\mathbf{H}}(A)$ and $M = F_{\mathbf{J}_1}(N \times A)$. Consider the left action of N on M defined by $n(n', a) = (nn', a)$ and the associated semidirect product $M * N$. The embedding of Proposition 2.1 from $F_{\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H}}(A)$ into $M * N$ that maps a into $((1, a), a)$ maps u into

$$(1) \quad ((1, a_1) + (a_1, a_2) + \dots + (a_1 \dots a_{i-1}, a_i), a_1 \dots a_i),$$

and v into

$$(2) \quad ((1, b_1) + (b_1, b_2) + \dots + (b_1 \dots b_{j-1}, b_j), b_1 \dots b_j).$$

Denote by u' (respectively v') the first component of (1) (respectively (2)). Then, we have $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H} \models u = v$ if and only if $F_{\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H}}(A) \models u = v$. This is equivalent to the two conditions $F_{\mathbf{J}_1}(F_{\mathbf{H}}(A) \times A) \models u' = v'$ and $F_{\mathbf{H}}(A) \models u = v$, or $\alpha(\sigma_\gamma(u)) = \alpha(\sigma_\gamma(v))$ and $u\gamma v$. \square

4. A RESULT ON GRAPHS

In the next section, we give a basis of identities for $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H}$. In order to do this, we use a result on graphs due to Simon which we state in this section.

A (directed) graph G consists in a set V of *vertices*, a set E of *edges* and two mappings $f, g : E \rightarrow V$ which to each edge e assigns the *start* vertex $f(e)$ and the *end* vertex $g(e)$ of that edge. Two edges e_1, e_2 are *consecutive* if $g(e_1) = f(e_2)$. A *path* of length $i, i > 0$, is a sequence $e_1 \dots e_i$ of i consecutive edges. The mappings f and g are extended to mappings $f, g : P \rightarrow V$ by letting $f(e_1 \dots e_i) = f(e_1)$ and $g(e_1 \dots e_i) = g(e_i)$ (P denotes the set of all paths in G). For each vertex v we allow an empty path 1_v of length 0 for which $f(1_v) = g(1_v) = v$. A *loop* about v is a path x such that $f(x) = g(x) = v$.

An equivalence relation \cong on P is called a *congruence* if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- If $x \cong y$, then x and y are coterminal (that is $f(x) = f(y)$ and $g(x) = g(y)$);
- If $x \cong x', y \cong y'$ and $g(x) = f(y)$, then $xy \cong x'y'$.

We agree that each path 1_v is congruent only to itself.

PROPOSITION 4.1 (Simon [8]): *Let \cong be the smallest congruence relation on P satisfying*

$$xx \cong x,$$

$$xy \cong yx,$$

for any two loops x, y about the same vertex. Then any two coterminal paths traversing the same set of edges (without regard to order and multiplicity) are \cong -equivalent.

The graph G_γ of the transducer of the preceding section is useful in the proof of our main result. The set of vertices of G_γ is $F_{\mathbf{H}}(A)$, and its set of edges is $F_{\mathbf{H}}(A) \times A$. The start vertex of the edge (n, a) is n and its end vertex is na . We use the notation P_γ for the set of all paths in G_γ . To any path

$$x = (n_1, a_1) \dots (n_i, a_i)$$

in P_γ , we associate the word $\bar{x} = a_1 \dots a_i$ in A^* .

If $u \sim_\gamma v$, then $\sigma_\gamma(u)$ and $\sigma_\gamma(v)$ are coterminal paths (with start vertex 1 and end vertex $\pi_\gamma(u) = \pi_\gamma(v)$) traversing the same set of edges.

Given a morphism $\varphi : A^* \rightarrow M$ where M denotes a finite monoid, we can define a congruence \cong_γ on P_γ by $x \cong_\gamma y$ if x and y are coterminal, and if for all paths z from the vertex 1 to the start vertex of x and y we have $\varphi(\overline{z x}) = \varphi(\overline{z y})$.

5. IDENTITIES FOR $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H}$

In this section, we give a basis of identities for $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H}$.

Let A be a finite alphabet. Let γ be the congruence generating \mathbf{H} for A and let q be a positive integer such that $u^q \gamma 1$ for all words u on A .

DEFINITION 5.1: We call a list a_1, \dots, a_i of elements of A γ -circular on A if $a_1 \dots a_i \gamma 1$ but no nonempty proper prefix of $a_1 \dots a_i$ is γ -equivalent to 1. We write A_γ for the set of such γ -circular lists on A .

DEFINITION 5.2: We write $\Sigma_{A,\gamma,q}$ for the set consisting of the identities

$$(3) \quad x^{2q} = x^q,$$

$$(4) \quad x^q y^q = y^q x^q,$$

together with all the identities of the form

$$(5) \quad (y_1 z_1^q \dots y_{i-1} z_{i-1}^q y_i)^2 = y_1 z_1^q \dots y_{i-1} z_{i-1}^q y_i,$$

where y_1, \dots, y_i is a list in A_γ .

The following definition and lemmas will be useful in the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Let us define recursively what we mean by “a γ -word w on A ”.

DEFINITION 5.3: Basis. The empty word 1 is a γ -word on A .

Recursive step. If there exists a list a_1, \dots, a_i in A_γ , and there exist v_1, \dots, v_{i-1} which are finite concatenations of γ -words on A satisfying $w = a_1 v_1 \dots a_{i-1} v_{i-1} a_i$, then we say that w is a γ -word on A .

Closure. A word w is a γ -word on A only if it can be obtained from the basis by a finite number of applications of the recursive step.

Note that if a word w is a γ -word on A , it is built only from elements of A which build the lists in A_γ .

LEMMA 5.1: We have $\Sigma_{A,\gamma,q} \vdash (u_1^q \dots u_i^q)^2 = u_1^q \dots u_i^q$ and so $\Sigma_{A,\gamma,q} \vdash (u_1^q \dots u_i^q)^q = u_1^q \dots u_i^q$.

Proof: We have $\Sigma_{A,\gamma,q} \vdash u_1^q \dots u_i^q = u_1^{2q} \dots u_i^{2q}$ since the identity $x^{2q} = x^q$ belongs to $\Sigma_{A,\gamma,q}$, and so $\Sigma_{A,\gamma,q} \vdash u_1^q \dots u_i^q = (u_1^q \dots u_i^q)^2$ by using Identity (4) repeatedly. \square

LEMMA 5.2 : 1. If w is a γ -word on A , then $\Sigma_{A,\gamma,q} \vdash w^2 = w$ and so $\Sigma_{A,\gamma,q} \vdash w^q = w$;

2. If w and w' are γ -words on A , then $\Sigma_{A,\gamma,q} \vdash ww' = w'w$.

Proof: Assertion 1 follows by induction on w . Trivially, $\Sigma_{A,\gamma,q} \vdash 1^2 = 1$ and so $\Sigma_{A,\gamma,q} \vdash 1^q = 1$. If v is a finite concatenation of γ -words on A , say $v = u_1 \dots u_j$, then by using the inductive assumption on u_1, \dots, u_j as well as Lemma 5.1 we get $\Sigma_{A,\gamma,q} \vdash v^2 = (u_1 \dots u_j)^2 = (u_1^q \dots u_j^q)^2 = u_1^q \dots u_j^q = v$, and so $\Sigma_{A,\gamma,q} \vdash v^q = v$. Now, if there exists a list a_1, \dots, a_i in A_γ , and there exist v_1, \dots, v_{i-1} which are finite concatenations of γ -words on A satisfying $w = a_1 v_1 \dots a_{i-1} v_{i-1} a_i$, then by using an identity of the form (5) we get $\Sigma_{A,\gamma,q} \vdash w^2 = (a_1 v_1 \dots a_{i-1} v_{i-1} a_i)^2 = (a_1 v_1^q \dots a_{i-1} v_{i-1}^q a_i)^2 = a_1 v_1^q \dots a_{i-1} v_{i-1}^q a_i = w$ and so $\Sigma_{A,\gamma,q} \vdash w^q = w$.

Assertion 2 follows from $\Sigma_{A,\gamma,q} \vdash ww' = w^q(w')^q = (w')^q w^q = w'w$. \square

LEMMA 5.3: If $u\gamma 1$, then $\alpha(\sigma_\gamma(u^2)) = \alpha(\sigma_\gamma(u))$. As consequences, $u^{2q} \sim_\gamma u^q$ and $u^q v^q \sim_\gamma v^q u^q$.

Proof: If $u\gamma 1$, then $\sigma_\gamma(u^2) = \sigma_\gamma(u)\sigma_\gamma^u(u) = \sigma_\gamma(u)\sigma_\gamma(u)$ since $\pi_\gamma(u) = 1$. We have $u^q\gamma 1$ and $v^q\gamma 1$, and so $u^q, u^{2q}, u^q v^q$ and $v^q u^q$ are γ -equivalent to 1. The equalities $\alpha(\sigma_\gamma(u^{2q})) = \alpha(\sigma_\gamma(u^q))$ and $\alpha(\sigma_\gamma(u^q v^q)) = \alpha(\sigma_\gamma(v^q u^q))$ are easy to check. \square

Now, let r be a positive integer and put $A_r = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$. Let γ_r be the congruence generating \mathbf{H} for A_r and let q_r be a positive integer such that $u^{q_r}\gamma_r 1$ for all words u on A_r .

THEOREM 5.1: We have $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{V}(\bigcup_{r \geq 1} \Sigma_{A_r, \gamma_r, q_r})$.

Proof: We will show that an A -generated monoid M is in $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H}$ if and only if $M \models \Sigma_{A,\gamma,q}$ where A abbreviates A_r , γ abbreviates γ_r and q abbreviates q_r . By Lemma 3.2, A -generated monoids in $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H}$ satisfy identities $u = v$

where $u \sim_\gamma v$ (that is $\alpha(\sigma_\gamma(u)) = \alpha(\sigma_\gamma(v))$ and $u\gamma v$). Lemma 5.3 implies that $x^{2q} \sim_\gamma x^q$ and $x^q y^q \sim_\gamma y^q x^q$. We also have $x^2 \sim_\gamma x$ for all the identities $x^2 = x$ of the form (5). To see this, put $x = y_1 z_1^q \dots y_{i-1} z_{i-1}^q y_i$ with y_1, \dots, y_i a list in A_γ . Since x is γ -equivalent to 1, we get $x^2 \gamma x$. The equality $\alpha(\sigma_\gamma(x^2)) = \alpha(\sigma_\gamma(x))$ follows from Lemma 5.3.

Conversely, let $\varphi : A^* \rightarrow M$ be a surjective morphism satisfying $\varphi(u) = \varphi(v)$ for every identity $u = v$ in $\Sigma_{A,\gamma,q}$. We also denote by φ the (nuclear) congruence on A^* associated with φ and defined by $u\varphi v$ if and only if $\varphi(u) = \varphi(v)$. We show the inclusion $\sim_\gamma \subseteq \varphi$ which yields $M = A^*/\varphi$ is a morphic image of A^*/\sim_γ . The membership of M to $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H}$ follows by Lemma 3.2.

We consider the graph G_γ and the congruence relation \cong_γ on its set of paths P_γ defined at the end of Section 4. Let x and y be two loops about the same vertex $\pi_\gamma(w)$, or

$$x = (\pi_\gamma(w), a_1) \dots (\pi_\gamma(wa_1 \dots a_{i-1}), a_i),$$

$$y = (\pi_\gamma(w), b_1) \dots (\pi_\gamma(wb_1 \dots b_{j-1}), b_j),$$

where $wa_1 \dots a_i \gamma w \gamma wb_1 \dots b_j$. We show the following two claims: Claim 1 or $xx \cong_\gamma x$, and Claim 2 or $xy \cong_\gamma yx$. Now if $u \sim_\gamma v$, then $\sigma_\gamma(u)$ and $\sigma_\gamma(v)$ are two coterminal paths traversing the same set of edges (the start vertex of $\sigma_\gamma(u)$ and $\sigma_\gamma(v)$ is 1 and their end vertex is $\pi_\gamma(u) = \pi_\gamma(v)$). Hence, by Proposition 4.1, $\sigma_\gamma(u) \cong_\gamma \sigma_\gamma(v)$. Therefore, $\varphi(\overline{\sigma_\gamma(u)}) = \varphi(\overline{\sigma_\gamma(v)})$ or $\varphi(u) = \varphi(v)$ and the inclusion $\sim_\gamma \subseteq \varphi$ follows.

Let us now prove Claim 1 and Claim 2. Since $wa_1 \dots a_i \gamma w$ and $wb_1 \dots b_j \gamma w$, we have $\bar{x} = a_1 \dots a_i \gamma 1$ and $\bar{y} = b_1 \dots b_j \gamma 1$ since \mathbf{H} is a pseudovariety of groups.

Proof of Claim 1: The condition $xx \cong_\gamma x$ follows by showing that $\varphi(\bar{z}\bar{x}\bar{x}) = \varphi(\bar{z}\bar{x})$ for all paths z from the vertex 1 to the start vertex of x . Here we can show that $\varphi(\bar{x}\bar{x}) = \varphi(\bar{x})$ (and therefore $\varphi(\bar{x}^q) = \varphi(\bar{x})$). The word \bar{x} has the property \mathcal{P} that "it is γ -equivalent to 1". The word \bar{x} can be factorized as follows: let u_1 be the smallest nonempty prefix of \bar{x} with Property \mathcal{P} ; let u_2 be the smallest nonempty prefix of $\bar{x} \setminus u_1$ with Property \mathcal{P} ; ... So \bar{x} is a concatenation of factors $u_1 \dots u_n$ with Property \mathcal{P} . Since no nonempty proper prefix of u_1 has Property \mathcal{P} , let $c_1 v_1$ be the shortest prefix of u_1 such that $\pi_\gamma(c_1 v_1) = \pi_\gamma(c_1)$; ... let $c_{\ell-1} v_{\ell-1}$ be the shortest prefix of $u_1 \setminus c_1 v_1 \dots c_{\ell-2} v_{\ell-2}$ such that $\pi_\gamma(c_1 v_1 \dots c_{\ell-2} v_{\ell-2} c_{\ell-1} v_{\ell-1}) = \pi_\gamma(c_1 v_1 \dots c_{\ell-2} v_{\ell-2} c_{\ell-1})$; and

let $c_\ell = u_1 \setminus c_1 v_1 \dots c_{\ell-1} v_{\ell-1}$ satisfying $\pi_\gamma(c_1 v_1 \dots c_{\ell-1} v_{\ell-1} c_\ell) = \pi_\gamma(1)$. So $u_1 = c_1 v_1 \dots c_{\ell-1} v_{\ell-1} c_\ell$ where $c_1, \dots, c_\ell \in A_\gamma$ and where the v -factors have Property \mathcal{P} (similar statements hold for u_2, \dots, u_n). Since the v -factors have Property \mathcal{P} , they can be factorized as above and the process can be repeated. Factors in \bar{x} are hence γ -words on A . We have $\varphi(u_1) = \varphi(u_1^q), \dots, \varphi(u_n) = \varphi(u_n^q)$ (as in Lemma 5.2). Therefore $\varphi(\bar{x}) = \varphi(u_1 \dots u_n) = \varphi(u_1^q \dots u_n^q) = \varphi((u_1^q \dots u_n^q)^2)$ (as in Lemma 5.1) $= \varphi(\bar{x}^2) = \varphi(\bar{x}\bar{x})$.

Proof of Claim 2: The condition $xy \cong_\gamma yx$ follows from $\varphi(\bar{x}\bar{y}) = \varphi(\bar{x}\bar{y}) = \varphi(\bar{x})\varphi(\bar{y}) = \varphi(\bar{x}^q)\varphi(\bar{y}^q) = \varphi(\bar{x}^q\bar{y}^q) = \varphi(\bar{y}^q\bar{x}^q) = \varphi(\bar{y}\bar{x})$ (using Identity (4)). □

6. IDENTITIES FOR $J_1 * G_p$

In this section, we give a sequence of sets of identities ultimately defining $J_1 * G_p$.

Let A be a finite alphabet and let $u, w \in A^*$ with $u = a_1 \dots a_i$. The *binomial coefficient* $\binom{w}{u}$ is defined as the number of distinct factorizations of the form

$$w = v_0 a_1 v_1 \dots a_i v_i$$

with $v_0, \dots, v_i \in A^*$. Thus the binomial coefficient counts the number of ways in which u is a subword of w . We adopt the convention that $\binom{w}{1} = 1$.

Let $a, b \in A$ and $u, w, w' \in A^*$. The following formulas are easily verified:

- $\binom{a^i}{a^j} = \binom{i}{j}$ where $i \geq j$;
- $\binom{1}{u} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$
- $\binom{a}{u} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u = 1 \text{ or } u = a, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$
- $\binom{wa}{ub} = \binom{w}{ub} + \delta_{a,b} \binom{w}{u}$ where $\delta_{a,b} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a = b, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$
- $\binom{ww'}{u} = \sum_{u=vv'} \binom{w}{v} \binom{w'}{v'}$.

Given a word u on A , we define on A^* the equivalence relation $\gamma_{p,u}$ by

$$w\gamma_{p,u}w' \text{ if and only if } \binom{w}{v} \equiv \binom{w'}{v} \pmod p \text{ whenever } u \in A^*vA^*.$$

Now, given an integer $k \geq 0$, we define on A^* the equivalence relation $\gamma_{p,k}$ by $\gamma_{p,k} = \bigcap_{|u|=k} \gamma_{p,u}$. Thus

$$w\gamma_{p,k}w' \text{ if and only if } \binom{w}{v} \equiv \binom{w'}{v} \pmod p \text{ whenever } |v| \leq k.$$

Note that for all $w, w' \in A^*$ we have $w\gamma_{p,0}w'$.

LEMMA 6.1 (Eilenberg [8]): *The equivalence relations $\gamma_{p,u}$ and $\gamma_{p,k}$ are congruences of finite index on A^* .*

LEMMA 6.2 (Eilenberg [8]): *Let k be a positive integer and $u \in A^*$. If $w \in A^*$, then $w^{p^{|u|}} \gamma_{p,u} 1$ and $w^{p^k} \gamma_{p,k} 1$.*

Proof: If $w \in A^*$, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- $w \gamma_{p,k} 1$;
- $\binom{w}{v} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ whenever $0 < |v| \leq k$.

We show the $\gamma_{p,k}$ -equivalence of w^{p^k} and 1. For $k = 1$, the result holds trivially. We proceed by induction and assume $0 < |v| \leq k + 1$. Then

$$\binom{w^{p^{k+1}}}{v} = \sum \binom{w^{p^k}}{v_1} \cdots \binom{w^{p^k}}{v_p},$$

where the summation extends over all factorizations $v = v_1 \dots v_p$ of v . If for some $1 \leq i \leq p$ we have $0 < |v_i| < k + 1$, then by the inductive assumption $\binom{w^{p^k}}{v_i} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and the summand may be omitted. There remain summands with $v_i = v$, $v_j = 1$ for $j \neq i$. Each such summand yields $\binom{w^{p^k}}{v}$ and there are exactly p such summands. Thus $\binom{w^{p^{k+1}}}{v} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ as required. \square

The quotients $A^* / \gamma_{p,u}$ and $A^* / \gamma_{p,k}$ are finite monoids by Lemma 6.1. Lemma 6.2 implies that $A^* / \gamma_{p,u}$ satisfies the identity $x^{p^{|u|}} = 1$ and $A^* / \gamma_{p,k}$ the identity $x^{p^k} = 1$. Note that $A^* / \gamma_{p,0}$ is the trivial group. If $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$, $A^* / \gamma_{p,1}$ is isomorphic to the set of all words of the form $a_1^{e_1} \dots a_r^{e_r}$ with $0 \leq e_i < p$ multiplying two such words through the addition of the respective exponents.

We now describe the $*$ -variety \mathcal{G}_p of sets defined by the pseudovariety \mathbf{G}_p .

LEMMA 6.3 (Eilenberg [8]): • *The pseudovariety \mathbf{G}_p is generated by the groups $A^* / \gamma_{p,k}$ for all integers $k \geq 0$ and all finite alphabets A , or by the groups $A^* / \gamma_{p,u}$ for all elements $u \in A^*$ and all finite alphabets A .*

- $A^* \mathcal{G}_p$ is the boolean closure of the sets

$$\{w \in A^* \mid \binom{w}{u} \equiv i \pmod{p}, u \in A^*, 0 \leq i < p\}.$$

Let k be a nonnegative integer and define the pseudovariety $\mathbf{H}_{p,k}$ as the locally finite pseudovariety of groups generated by $A^* / \gamma_{p,k}$ for all finite alphabets A . The $*$ -variety $A^* \mathcal{H}_{p,k}$ is then the boolean closure of the sets

$$\{w \in A^* \mid \binom{w}{u} \equiv i \pmod{p}, u \in A^* \text{ with } |u| \leq k, 0 \leq i < p\}.$$

The pseudovariety $\mathbf{H}_{p,0}$ is the trivial pseudovariety $\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{V}(x = 1)$. Since \mathbf{I} is the unit element for the semidirect product operation on pseudovarieties of monoids, we have $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H}_{p,0} = \mathbf{J}_1 = \mathbf{V}(x^2 = x, xy = yx)$.

Now, let k be a positive integer. A list a_1, \dots, a_i of elements of A is $\gamma_{p,k}$ -circular on A if $\binom{a_1 \dots a_i}{v} \equiv 0 \pmod p$ whenever $0 < |v| \leq k$, but no nonempty proper prefix w of $a_1 \dots a_i$ satisfies $\binom{w}{v} \equiv 0 \pmod p$ for every $0 < |v| \leq k$. For example, a, b, b, a, a, b, b, a is a list in $\{a, b\}_{\gamma_{2,2}}$.

If k and r are positive integers, we write $\Sigma_{p,k}^r$ for the set consisting of the identities

$$(6) \quad x^{2p^k} = x^{p^k},$$

$$(7) \quad x^{p^k} y^{p^k} = y^{p^k} x^{p^k},$$

together with all the identities of the form

$$(8) \quad (y_1 z_1^{p^k} \dots y_{i-1} z_{i-1}^{p^k} y_i)^2 = y_1 z_1^{p^k} \dots y_{i-1} z_{i-1}^{p^k} y_i,$$

where y_1, \dots, y_i is a list in $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}_{\gamma_{p,k}}$. We write $\Sigma_{p,k}$ for $\bigcup_{r \geq 1} \Sigma_{p,k}^r$.

Continuing with the above example, the identity $x^2 = x$ where

$$x = x_1 z_1^{2^2} x_2 z_2^{2^2} x_2 z_3^{2^2} x_1 z_4^{2^2} x_1 z_5^{2^2} x_2 z_6^{2^2} x_2 z_7^{2^2} x_1,$$

belongs to $\Sigma_{2,2}^2$.

For $r \geq 1$, $\Sigma_{p,k}^r \subseteq \Sigma_{p,k}^{r+1}$. This follows from the fact that if $A \subseteq B$, then $A_{\gamma_{p,k}} \subseteq B_{\gamma_{p,k}}$.

COROLLARY 6.1: *The pseudovariety $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{G}_p$ is ultimately defined by $\Sigma_{p,k}, k \geq 1$ or a monoid is in $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{G}_p$ if and only if it satisfies $\Sigma_{p,k}$ for all k sufficiently large.*

Proof: By Theorem 5.1, the pseudovariety $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H}_{p,k}$ is defined by $\Sigma_{p,k}$. Now, the semidirect product operation on pseudovarieties commutes with directed unions [3]. We get $\mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{G}_p = \mathbf{J}_1 * \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \mathbf{H}_{p,k} = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H}_{p,k} = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \mathbf{J}_1 * \mathbf{H}_{p,k}$ and the result follows. \square

REFERENCES

1. J. ALMEIDA, Semidirect products of pseudovarieties from the universal algebraist's point of view, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 1989, 60, pp. 113-128.

2. J. ALMEIDA, On iterated semidirect products of finite semilattices, *Journal of Algebra*, 1991, 142, pp. 239-254.
3. J. ALMEIDA, *Semigrupos Finitos e Álgebra Universal* (Institute of Mathematics and Statistics of the University of São Paulo, 1992), *Finite Semigroups and Universal Algebra* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994).
4. C.J. ASH, Finite semigroups with commuting idempotents, *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 1987, 43, pp. 81-90.
5. F. BLANCHET-SADRI, Equations on the semidirect product of a finite semilattice by a \mathcal{J} -trivial monoid of height k , *RAIRO Informatique Théorique et Applications*, 1995, 29, pp. 157-170.
6. F. BLANCHET-SADRI and X.H. ZHANG, Equations on the semidirect product of a finite semilattice by a finite commutative monoid, *Semigroup Forum*, 1994, 49, pp. 67-81.
7. S. BURRIS and H.P. SANKAPPANAVAR, *A Course in Universal Algebra* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981).
8. S. EILENBERG, *Automata, Languages, and Machines*, A (Academic Press, New York, 1974), B (Academic Press, New York, 1976).
9. S. EILENBERG and M.P. SCHÜTZENBERGER, On pseudovarieties, *Advances in Mathematics*, 1976, 19, pp. 413-418.
10. C. IRASTORZA, Base non finie de variétés, in *STACS'85*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985, 182, pp. 180-186.
11. S. W. MARGOLIS and J. E. PIN, Inverse semigroups and varieties of finite semigroups, *Journal of Algebra*, 1987, 110, pp. 306-323.
12. J.E. PIN, *Variétés de Langages Formels*. (Masson, Paris, 1984), *Varieties of Formal Languages*, (North Oxford Academic, London, 1986 and Plenum, New York, 1986).
13. J.E. PIN, On semidirect products of two finite semilattices, *Semigroup Forum*, 1984, 28, pp. 73-81.