

JEAN-JACQUES PANSIOT

Decidability of periodicity for infinite words

Informatique théorique et applications, tome 20, n° 1 (1986), p. 43-46

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ITA_1986__20_1_43_0>

© AFCET, 1986, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Informatique théorique et applications » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

DECIDABILITY OF PERIODICITY FOR INFINITE WORDS (*)

by Jean-Jacques PANSIOT (¹)

Communicated by J. BERSTEL

Abstract. — We show that it is decidable whether an infinite word generated by iterated morphism is ultimately periodic or not.

Résumé. — Nous montrons qu'on peut décider si un mot infini engendré par morphisme itéré est ultimement périodique.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a finite alphabet and g a morphism of the free monoid X^* , prolongable in $u_0 \in X^+$, i. e. such that $g(u_0) = u_0 u$, $u \in X^+$. Then:

$$g^i(u_0) = g^{i-1}(u_0)g^{i-1}(u)$$

and g defines a unique word, in general infinite, denoted by:

$$g^\omega(u_0) = u_0 u g(u) \dots g^i(u) \dots$$

An infinite word \mathcal{M} is (*ultimately*) *periodic* if $\mathcal{M} = vw^\omega = vwvw\dots$ for finite words v and w . The question of deciding whether $g^\omega(u_0)$ is periodic or not has been raised recently, in connection with the ω -sequence equivalence problem for DOL systems [1, 2], the adherence equivalence problem for DOL languages [3], and with the subword complexity of infinite words [4].

We give a simple proof of decidability for this question, using the notion of elementary morphism (*see* [5]). After some preliminaries, we give an algorithm for elementary morphisms in section 2 and for arbitrary morphisms in section 3.

(*) Received April 1984, revised May 1985.

(¹) Université Louis-Pasteur, Centre de Calcul de l'Esplanade, 7, rue René-Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg Cedex.

A subword u of an infinite word \mathcal{M} is *biprolongable* if and only if there exist distinct letters x and y such that ux and uy are subwords of \mathcal{M} . Let $c(n)$ be the number of distinct subwords of \mathcal{M} of length n . Then $c(n) \leq c(n+1)$ and \mathcal{M} is periodic if and only if $c(n)$ is bounded. But if u is a biprolongable subword of \mathcal{M} , $|u|=n$, then $c(n+1) \geq c(n)+1$. Hence the following property.

LEMMA 1: *An infinite word \mathcal{M} is ultimately periodic if and only if the length of its biprolongable subwords is bounded.*

Let $g: X^* \rightarrow X^*$ be a morphism. It is *simplifiable* if there exist an alphabet Y , $|Y| < |X|$ and two morphisms $f: X^* \rightarrow Y^*$, $h: Y^* \rightarrow X^*$ such that $g = h \circ f$. A morphism g is *elementary* if and only if it is not simplifiable. In this case g is injective and the set $\{g(x), x \in X\}$ is a code with bounded delay from left to right ([5], p. 131). In particular if $g(xu)$ is a prefix of $g(yv)$, $x \neq y$ then $g(xu)$ has a bounded length.

Finally a letter $x \in X$ is *growing* (for g) if $|g^n(x)|$, $n \geq 0$ is unbounded. We denote $C \subset X$ the set of growing letters and $B = X \setminus C$ the set of *bounded* letters.

2. THE CASE OF ELEMENTARY MORPHISMS

LEMMA 2: *The infinite word $\mathcal{M} = g^0(u_0)$, with g elementary, is ultimately periodic if and only if \mathcal{M} has no biprolongable subword of the form xu , $x \in C$, $u \in B^*$.*

Proof: Assume xu_1 is biprolongable. There exist infinite suffixes $xu_1 y_1 v_1$ and $xu_1 z_1 w_1$ of \mathcal{M} for distinct letters y_1 and z_1 . But since $\mathcal{M} = g(\mathcal{M})$, $g(y_1 v_1)$ and $g(z_1 w_1)$ are also suffixes of \mathcal{M} . Because g is elementary, their greatest common prefix, u_2 , is finite, and $g(xu_1)u_2$ is biprolongable. Similarly there exists u_3 such that $g(g(xu_1)u_2)u_3$ is biprolongable, and so on. Thus we can construct an infinite sequence of biprolongable words, with unbounded length since x is growing. Hence \mathcal{M} is not periodic by lemma 1.

Conversely, assume that there is no biprolongable factor of the form xu . We consider two cases.

First case: \mathcal{M} contains only a finite number of occurrences of growing letters. Then there is only one such occurrence, and $g(u_0) = u_0 u$ with $u \in B^+$. Moreover $|g^i(u)|$, $i \geq 0$, is bounded, and there is a smallest n such that $g^{n+1}(u) = g^i(u)$, $i \leq n$. But then:

$$\mathcal{M} = u_0 u g(u) \dots g^{i-1}(u) [g^i(u) \dots g^n(u)]^\omega$$

is ultimately periodic.

Second case: \mathcal{M} contains an infinite number of occurrences of growing letters, $\mathcal{M} = \alpha_0 x_1 \alpha_1 x_2 \alpha_2 \dots$, $x_i \in C$, $\alpha_i \in B^*$. Let n be the smallest integer such that $x_{n+1} = x_i$, $i \leq n$. Since there is no biprolongable word of the form xu , we have $\mathcal{M} = \alpha_0 x_1 \alpha_1 \dots x_{i-1} \alpha_{i-1} [x_i \alpha_i \dots x_n \alpha_n]^\omega$ and \mathcal{M} is ultimately periodic. ■

COROLLARY 3: *If $\mathcal{M} = g^\omega(u_0)$, with g elementary, we can decide if \mathcal{M} is ultimately periodic.*

Proof: Consider the following procedure:

Compute the subset of growing letters, C .

If $g(u_0)$ contains only one occurrence of letter from C then \mathcal{M} is ultimately periodic.

If $g(u_0)$ contains several occurrences of letters from C then:

– compute the shortest prefix p of \mathcal{M} containing two occurrences of the same growing letter x_i :

$$p = \alpha_0 x_1 \alpha_1 \dots x_i \alpha_i x_{i+1} \dots x_n \alpha_n x_i;$$

- for all xu prefix of some $x_j \alpha_j$, $i \leq j \leq n$, check if xu is biprolongable;
- \mathcal{M} is ultimately periodic if and only if no xu is biprolongable.

This procedure gives the right answer by lemma 2. Moreover each step is effectively computable: one can determine if a letter is growing, and one can determine if a given word xu is biprolongable (this comes from the fact that for a given n one can compute all subwords of length n of \mathcal{M} , see [5], p. 210-212). ■

3. THE CASE OF ARBITRARY MORPHISMS

THEOREM 4: *It is decidable whether $\mathcal{M} = g^\omega(u_0)$ is ultimately periodic or not for an arbitrary morphism g .*

Proof: By induction on the size of the alphabet, $|X|$.

If $|X| = 1$ then \mathcal{M} is always periodic.

Assume the theorem is true for alphabets of size $< |X|$ and let $g: X^* \rightarrow X^*$ be an arbitrary morphism. If g is elementary then we decide if \mathcal{M} is periodic by corollary 3. If g is not elementary we compute Y , $f: X^* \rightarrow Y^*$ and $h: Y^* \rightarrow X^*$ such that $g = h \circ f$ and $|Y| < |X|$. Let $g' = f \circ h$, and $u'_0 = f(u_0)$. Then:

$$g'(u'_0) = g'(f(u_0)) = f(g(u_0)) = f(u_0 u) = u'_0 f(u),$$

where $g(u_0) = u_0 u$. So $g'(u'_0)$ starts with u'_0 and defines an infinite word $\mathcal{M}' = g'^{\omega}(u'_0)$. Moreover $\mathcal{M} = h(\mathcal{M}')$ and $\mathcal{M}' = f(\mathcal{M})$, and \mathcal{M} is ultimately periodic if and only if \mathcal{M}' is. Therefore, by induction hypothesis we can decide if \mathcal{M}' is periodic or not. Since the construction of g' from g is effective (see [5], p. 17), we can decide whether \mathcal{M} is periodic or not. This proves the inductive step. ■

REFERENCES

1. K. CULIK II and T. HARJU, *The ω -Sequence Equivalence Problem for DOL Systems is Decidable*, J.A.C.M., Vol. 31, 1984, pp. 282-298.
2. K. CULIK II and A. SALOMAA, *On Infinite Words Obtained by Iterating Morphisms*, Theoretical Computer Science, Vol. 19, 1982, pp. 29-38.
3. T. HEAD, *Adherence Equivalence is Decidable for DOL Languages*, Proceedings of the Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science, Paris, April 1984. Lecture Notes in Computer Science No. 166, pp. 241-249, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
4. J. J. PANSIOT, *Bornes inférieures sur la complexité des facteurs des mots infinis engendrés par morphismes itérés*, Ibid., pp. 230-240.
5. G. ROZENBERG and A. SALOMAA, *The Mathematical Theory of L Systems*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.