COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

ALEXANDER SCHMIDT

Extensions with restricted ramification and duality for arithmetic schemes

Extensions with restricted ramification and duality for arithmetic schemes

ALEXANDER SCHMIDT
Universität Heidelberg, Mathematisches Institut, Im Neuenheimer Feld 288, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany

Let X be a $(d + 1)$-dimensional smooth arithmetic scheme lying smoothly and properly over an open subset U of $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ for some algebraic number field K with geometrically connected fibres of dimension d. It is well-known, that there is a duality pairing for the sheaf cohomology of X, which connects the etale cohomology groups of a locally constant constructible sheaf F with the cohomology with compact support of the sheaf $F^D(d)$, where (d) means the d-fold Tate-twist (see [6]).

In this paper we will prove a duality theorem, which is exclusively formulated in terms of the ordinary sheaf cohomology, not using cohomology groups with compact support. One could also view the result as a computation of the cohomology with compact support in terms of ordinary sheaf cohomology using a dualizing sheaf.

With the above notations let $U = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K,S})$ where S is a finite set of places of K including the archimedian places. We write S^f for the set of finite places in S and S_∞ for the set of archimedian places of K, thus $S = S^f \cup S_\infty$. As usual we denote the maximal extension of K, unramified outside S by K_S. For an integer n and an abelian group A we denote the kernel of the n-multiplication map by $_nA$. Let $C_{Sf}(K_S)$ be the S^f-idele class group of the field K_S (for a definition see below) and we put $I_{n,U} := {}_nC_{Sf}(K_S)$. The $\mathrm{Gal}(K_S/K)$-module $I_{n,U}$ is the direct limit of its finite submodules and hence defines a sheaf of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$-modules on U_{et}. Then the following holds.

THEOREM 1. *Let U be an open subscheme of $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, where K is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. Further let*

$$\pi : X \longrightarrow U$$

be a smooth and proper morphisms of schemes with fibres of pure dimension d. Let n be an integer invertible on U and assume K to be totally imaginary if n is even. Then for every locally constant, constructible sheaf F of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$-modules on X_{et} the cup-product

$$H^i_{et}(X, F) \times H^{2d+2-i}_{et}(X, \mathcal{H}om(F, \pi^*I_{n,U}(d))) \xrightarrow{\cup}$$
$$H^{2d+2}_{et}(X, \pi^*I_{n,U}(d)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$$

defines a perfect pairing of finite abelian groups for all i.

Using generalized Poincaré-duality the heart of the proof of Theorem 1 is the case $d = 0$, which can be reformulated in terms of Galois groups with restricted ramification of algebraic number fields. The proof combines a result of Wingberg on pro-p-extensions with restricted ramification with a theorem of Washington on the behaviour of the prime-to-p part of ideal class groups in $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extensions of algebraic number fields.

For a profinite group G and a prime number p we denote the maximal pro-p factor group of G by $G(p)$. Using the language of higher etale homotopy groups we prove the following theorem for the case of arithmetic surfaces.

THEOREM 2. *Assume that the fibres of $\pi: X \longrightarrow U$ are smooth, projective curves of nonzero genus and let p be a prime number, which is invertible on U. Then one has:*

$$\pi^{et}_i(X)(p) = 0 \text{ for all } i \geqslant 2.$$

Combining Theorems 1 and 2 we conclude:

THEOREM 3. *Assume that the fibres of $\pi: X \longrightarrow U$ are smooth, projective curves of genus g and let p be a prime number invertible on U. Assume that p is odd or that K is totally imaginary. Then the following holds for the etale fundamental group $G := \pi^{et}_1(X)$:*

(i) *If $g \geqslant 1$ then G is a profinite duality group at p of dimension 4.*
(ii) *If $g = 0$ then G is a profinite duality group at p of dimension 2.*

REMARK. (a) If $p = 2$ and K is not totally imaginary the theorem remains true, if we replace the word duality group by virtual duality group, i.e. there is an open subgroup being a duality group.

(b) Under certain restrictions to X and U it was shown in [8], that the maximal pro-p factor group of G has similar duality properties.

1. In this section we prove a duality theorem for Galois groups with restricted ramification of algebraic number fields which will imply Theorem 1 for the case $d = 0$.

Let K be a number field and let S be a finite set of places of K which contains all archimedian places. By K_S we denote the maximal extension of K, which is unramified outside S and we denote $Gal(K_S/K)$ by G_S.

For an intermediate field $K \subset L \subset K_S$ we use the following notations:

$S(L)$ the set of places of L lying above S,
$E_{L,S}$ the group of $S(L)$-units of L,
J_L the idele group of L,
$J_{L,S}$ the S-idele group of L,
$C_S(L)$ the S-idele class group of L,
$Cl_S(L)$ the S-ideal class group of L.

We further use similar notations for the set of finite primes S^f in S. The definitions are the same word-by-word, however we could not find them in the literature and therefore we give the definitions. Let L be a finite extension of K in K_S:

$$J_{L,S^f} \quad \{(a_w) \in J_L \mid a_w = 1 \text{ for } w \notin S^f\} \cong \prod_{v \in S^f} L_v^\times,$$

$E_{L,S^f} = E_{L,S}$-the group of S^f-units,
$C_{L,S^f} \quad J_{S^f}(L)/E_{S^f}(L)$.

Further we denote:

$$J_{S^f} = \varinjlim J_{L,S^f}, \quad E_{S^f} = \varinjlim E_{L,S^f}, \quad C_{S^f} = \varinjlim C_{L,S^f},$$

where the limit runs through all finite subextensions L of K in K_S.

REMARK: If K is totally imaginary, every extension of K is automatically unramified at the archimedian places. In this situation the pair (G_S, C_{S^f}) is a P-class formation for the set P of prime numbers l with $l^\infty | \#G_S$ having analogous properties like the usual P-class formation (G_S, C_S) (see Proposition 10 below). Each element of $C_S(K)$ which can be represented by an idele with support in the set of archimedian places is an universal norm for (G_S, C_S). The advantage of (G_S, C_{S^f}) is that it is free of this 'redundancy at infinity', i.e. the group of universal norms in $C_{S^f}(K)$ is much smaller.

Let p be a prime number and we assume K to be totally imaginary if $p = 2$. By $S_p(K)$ we denote the set of places of K dividing p. For an abelian group A we will denote the subgroup of elements which are annihilated by a power of p by $\text{Tor}_p(A)$.

THEOREM 4. *If $S \supseteq S_p(K) \cup S_\infty(K)$ then G_S is a duality group at p of dimension 2 with p-dualizing module $I = \text{Tor}_p(C_{S^f}(K_S))$, i.e. for every finite discrete p-primary G_S-module M and all i, the cup-product*

$$H^i(G_S, M) \times H^{2-i}(G_S, \text{Hom}(M, I)) \overset{\cup}{\longrightarrow} H^2(G_S, I) \overset{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$$

defines a perfect pairing of finite groups.

We will prove Theorem 4 in several steps.

PROPOSITION 5. *Under the above assumptions one has* $cd_p(G_S) = 2$. *For a finite discrete p-primary G_S-module M the cohomology groups $H^i(G_S, M)$ are finite for all i. The p-dualizing module of G_S is isomorphic to* $\mathrm{Tor}_p(C_{Sf}(K_S))$.

  Proof. The statement about the cohomological dimension as well as the finiteness statement on the cohomology can be found at various places in the literature (see [6], [4]). Following the description of the dualizing module of an arbitrary profinite group in [10], [11] it holds for the p-dualizing module I of G_S:

$$I = \varinjlim_{\substack{L, cor^* \\ n}} H^2(\mathrm{Gal}(K_S/L), \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^*,$$

where L runs through the finite subextensions of K in K_S.

  Since $\mu_{2p} \in K_S$ we can take the limit over all L which are totally imaginary. Using Tate's long exact sequence ([6] I Sect. 4 Thm. 4.10) we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathrm{Gal}(K_S/L), \mu_{p^n}) \longrightarrow \prod_{v \in S^f(L)} H^0(\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}_v/L_v), \mu_{p^n}) \rightarrow$$

$$\rightarrow H^2(\mathrm{Gal}(K_S/L), \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^* \longrightarrow H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(K_S/L), \mu_{p^n}).$$

Going to the limit over L and n we obtain:

$$I \cong \mathrm{coker}\left(\mu_{p^\infty} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{diag}} \prod_{v \in S^f(K)} (\mathrm{Ind}_{G_v}^{G_S} \mu_{p^\infty})\right),$$

where G_v denotes the decomposition group in G_S of a fixed extension of v to K_S. Using the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E_{Sf}(K_S) \longrightarrow J_{Sf}(K_S) \longrightarrow C_{Sf}(K_S) \longrightarrow 0$$

and the fact, that $E_{Sf}(K_S)$ is p-divisible we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_p(E_{Sf}(K_S)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_p(J_S(K_{Sf})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_p(C_S(K_{Sf})) \longrightarrow 0.$$

As $\mu_{p^\infty} \subset E_{Sf}(K_S)$ and by the definition of J_{Sf} we get the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mu_{p^\infty} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{diag}} \prod_{v \in S^f(K)} (\mathrm{Ind}_{G_v}^{G_S} \mu_{p^\infty}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_p(C_{Sf}(K_S)) \longrightarrow 0,$$

which proves the proposition.   □

In order to prove Theorem 4 we have to verify the vanishing of the following limit for $i = 0, 1$ (cf. [10], [11]):

$$D_i(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \varinjlim_{\substack{U \subset G_S \\ cor^*}} H^i(U, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*,$$

where the limit runs through the open subgroups of U and the transition maps are the duals of the corestriction maps.

It is easy to see that $D_0(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ since $p^\infty |\#G_S$. In order to prove the vanishing of $D_1(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ the following theorem is crucial.

THEOREM 6. *If $S \supset S_p \cup S_\infty$ then $C_S(K_S)$ is p-divisible.*

REMARK. It is easily seen, that the p-divisibility of $C_S(K_S)$ is equivalent to the fact, that the local field $(K_S)_v$ is a p-closed local field for all $v \in S(K_S)$. If S omits only finitely many primes of K this is an easy consequence of the theorem of Grunwald-Hasse-Wang (see [7]). However if S is finite this is non-trivial. If S does not contain S_p one even does not know, whether the supernatural order of G_S is divisible by p^∞.

In [13] Wingberg investigates the similar pro-p situation for Theorem 6. In order to refer to his result we introduce the following notations:

$K_S(p)$ the maximal pro-p subextension of K in K_S,
$G_S(p)$ the Galois group of $K_S(p)/K$,
K_v the completion of the number field K at the prime v,
$K_v(p)$ the maximal pro-p extension of K_v,
$\mathcal{G}_v$ the Galois group of $K_v(p)/K_v$,
$\mathcal{T}_v$ the inertia group of v in $\mathcal{G}_v$,
G_v the decomposition group of v in $G_S(p)$,
$H_1 \star H_2$ the free pro-p product of the pro-p groups H_1 and H_2.

Using a theorem of Kuz'min, Wingberg proved the following theorem see [13]:

THEOREM 7. *(Wingberg [13]). Assume $\mu_{2p} \subset K$ and $S \supset S_p(K) \cup S_\infty$ and suppose that $C_S(K_S(p))$ is not p-divisible. Then there exists a prime $v \in S_p(K)$ such that the decomposition group G_v of v in the extension $K_S(p)/K$ is the full group $G_S(p)$ and the following holds:*
There exists a finite set T of primes of K containing S such that the homomorphism

$$\phi: \underset{\substack{v' \in S \backslash S_\infty \\ v' \neq v}}{\bigstar} \mathcal{G}_{v'} \star \underset{v' \in T \backslash S}{\bigstar} (\mathcal{G}_{v'}/\mathcal{T}_{v'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} G_S(p)$$

induced by the maps: $\phi_{v'} : \mathcal{G}_{v'} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(K(p)/K) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{can}} G_S(p)$ is an isomorphism.

COROLLARY 8. *If $C_S(K_S(p))$ is not p-divisible then there exists a prime $v \in S_p(K)$ such that the following inequality holds*

$$[K_v : \mathbb{Q}_p] \geqslant \sum_{\substack{v' \in S_p(K) \\ v' \neq v}} [K_{v'} : \mathbb{Q}_p].$$

Proof of the corollary: As $\mu_p \subset K$ the following (in)equality holds by [9] II Section 5 Thm. 4 for a prime $v' \in S_p(K)$:

$$\text{rank } G_{v'} \leqslant \text{rank } \mathcal{G}_{v'} = [K_{v'} : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 2.$$

Now assume that $\#S_p(K) > 1$ (otherwise the statement of the corollary is trivial) and assume that $C_S(K_S(p))$ is not p-divisible. By Theorem 7 there exists a prime $v \in S_p(K)$ such that $G_S = G_v$ and the free product decomposition of G_S yields the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
[K_v : \mathbb{Q}_p] &= \text{rank } \mathcal{G}_v - 2 \\
&\geqslant \text{rank } G_v - 2 = \text{rank } G_S - 2 \\
&\geqslant \left(\sum_{\substack{v' \in S_p(K) \\ v' \neq v}} \text{rank } \mathcal{G}_{v'} \right) - 2 = \left(\sum_{\substack{v' \in S_p(K) \\ v' \neq v}} ([K_{v'} : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 2) \right) - 2 \\
&\geqslant \sum_{\substack{v' \in S_p(K) \\ v' \neq v}} [K_{v'} : \mathbb{Q}_p],
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the corollary.   □

In order to prove Theorem 6 it is obviously sufficient to prove that the group $C_S(L_S(p))$ is p-divisible for a cofinal set of finite extensions L of K in K_S. Since $\mu_{2p} \subset K_S$ we assume without loss of generality that $\mu_{2p} \subset K$, in particular K is totally imaginary, containing the imaginary abelian field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2p})$. Now what we need is a method of leaving the bad situations described in theorem 7. For this we use a result of Washington, where k_n denotes the unique subextension of degree p^n in the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of a number field k and h_n denotes the class number of k_n.

THEOREM 9. (Washington [12]). *Let k be an imaginary abelian number field and let*

$$H = \{l \mid l \text{ prime number}, l \text{ divides } h_n \text{ for some } n\}.$$

Then H is infinite.

Now returning to our situation assume that L is a finite subextension of K in K_S and that $C_S(L_S(p))$ is not p-divisible.

CLAIM: There is a finite extension L'/L contained in K_S such that $C_S(L'_S(p))$ is p-divisible.

Proof of the claim: Following Theorem 9, choose a prime number $l > p$ and n such that:

(i) $l \mid h(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^n}))$ and
(ii) $(l, [L : \mathbb{Q}]) = 1$.

By class field theory there exists a finite cyclic unramified extension $F/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^n})$ of degree l. As the only prime of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^n})$ which divides p is principal it completely splits in F, hence there are l different primes dividing p in F. By condition (ii) we see that F and $L(\zeta_{p^n})$ are linearly disjoint over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^n})$. Hence every prime v of $L(\zeta_{p^n})$ dividing p splits into l different primes in LF. Therefore the field LF has the property that for every prime dividing p there are at least $l - 1$ other primes having the same absolute local degree. By Corollary 8 we obtain that the group $C_S((LF)_S(p))$ is p-divisible which proves the claim.

Thus we have proved Theorem 6.   □

Now we are able to prove the vanishing of D_1 (see above).

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_1(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) &= \varinjlim_L H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(K_S/L), \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^* \\
&= \varinjlim_L \mathrm{Gal}(K_S/L)^{ab}/p \\
&= \varinjlim_L C_S(L)/p \\
&= C_S(K_S)/p = 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the proof of Theorem 4 is complete.   □

In order to get a better understanding of Theorem 4 we give the following proposition for the case of totally imaginary K. (Compare [6] I, Sect. 4, 4.2, 4.5, 4.6.)

PROPOSITION 10. *Let K be a totally imaginary number field and let $S \supset S_\infty(K)$ be a finite set of primes. Then the following holds.*

(i) *The pair (G_S, C_{Sf}) is a P-class formation for the set P of primes l with $l^\infty \mid \#G_S$.*
(ii) *The reciprocity map:* rec: $C_{Sf}(K) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{rec}} G_S^{ab}$ *is surjective with divisible kernel.*
(iii) *If p is a prime number such that all primes dividing p are in S and if M is a finite p-primary G_S-module then the cup-product defines isomorphisms:*

$$\alpha^r \colon \operatorname{Ext}^r_{G_S}(M, C_{Sf}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{2-r}(G_S, M)^*$$

for all r.

Proof. Using the definition of C_S and C_{Sf} we get the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
& & & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & & & \operatorname{Ind}^{G_S}\mathbb{C}^\times & = & \operatorname{Ind}^{G_S}\mathbb{C}^\times & & \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & E_S & \longrightarrow & J_S & \longrightarrow & C_S & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & E_{Sf} & \longrightarrow & J_{Sf} & \longrightarrow & C_{Sf} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & & & 0 & & 0. & &
\end{array}
$$

Hence we obtain for all $i \geqslant 1$ isomorphisms: $H^i(G_S, C_S) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(G_S, C_{Sf})$. Since (G_S, C_S) is a P-class formation the same follows for (G_S, C_{Sf}). We call the reciprocity maps associated to these class formations by rec and rec_f and we denote the kernel of rec (rec_f) by $D_S(K)$ $(D_{Sf}(K))$. It is well-known ([6] I, Sect. 4, 4.5), that rec is surjective and that $D_S(K)$ is divisible. Hence we get the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
& & & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v \in S_\infty(K)} \mathbb{C}^\times & \longrightarrow & D_S(K) & \longrightarrow & D_{Sf}(K) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v \in S_\infty(K)} \mathbb{C}^\times & \longrightarrow & C_S(K) & \longrightarrow & C_{Sf}(K) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow \scriptstyle{\operatorname{rec}} & & \downarrow \scriptstyle{\operatorname{rec}_f} & & \\
& & & & G_S^{ab} & = & G_S^{ab} & & \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & & & 0 & & 0 & &
\end{array}
$$

This proves (ii). By Theorem 1.13 of [6] I Sect. 1 and by (ii) we obtain that α^r is an isomorphism for $r \geqslant 1$ (cf. [6] I Sect. 4 Thm. 4.6.(a)). The statement for $r = 0$ follows from Proposition 5. For $r < 0$ both groups are zero. $\square$

REMARK. Now we can conclude Theorem 4 from Proposition 10 (iii) and from Theorem 6: C_{Sf} is a quotient of C_S, hence p-divisible. Therefore the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{pq} = H^r(G_S, \operatorname{Ext}^q_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, C_{Sf})) \Longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{p+q}_{G_S}(M, C_{Sf})$$

degenerates to a sequence of isomorphisms: $H^p(G_S, \text{Hom}(M, C_{Sf})) \xrightarrow{\sim}$ $\text{Ext}^p_{G_S}(M, C_{Sf})$, which by Proposition 10(iii) implies Theorem 4.

2.   In this section we prove Theorem 1.

Let K be a number field, $U \subset \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ an open subscheme and let S be the finite set of places of K, containing the archimedian places and such that $U = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K,S})$. As it is well known every finite discrete G_S-module M defines a locally constant constructible sheaf on U_{et}. If M is a discrete G_S module, annihilated by some integer n, then M is the direct limit of its finite submodules and therefore defines a sheaf of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$-modules on U_{et}, which is the direct limit of locally constant constructible sheaves. The following proposition is well-known.

PROPOSITION 11. *Assume that n is invertible on U. Then for all i:*

$$H^i_{\text{et}}(U, M) \cong H^i(G_S, M).$$

Proof. If M is finite this is [6] II, Sect. 2, 2.9. The general case follows since etale cohomology as well as Galois cohomology commute with direct limits.   □

Therefore Theorem 1 for $d = 0$ is equivalent to Theorem 4 which is already proved. Further one knows that the p-dualizing module of a duality group is divisible (or else $\text{Tor}_p(C_{Sf}(K_S))$ is p-divisible as $C_S(K_S)$ is p-divisible). For further use we note:

COROLLARY 12. *The stalks of the sheaf $I_{n,U}$ are injective $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$-modules.*

Now let X, π, U, n be as in the introduction. By $D(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ resp. $D(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ we denote the derived category of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$-module sheaves on X_{et} resp. U_{et}. As π and n fulfill the conditions of generalized Poincaré-duality we get the following isomorphism of objects in $D(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ (see Theorem 3.2.5. in [1] XVIII):

$$R\pi_* \mathcal{H}om_{D(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})}(F, \pi^* I_{n,U}(d)[2d]) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{D(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})}(R\pi_* F, I_{n,U}). \quad (*)$$

Here (d) is the d-fold Tate-twist and $[2d]$ is the shift by $2d$.

By Corollary 12 the stalks of $I_{n,U}$ (resp. $\pi^* I_{n,U}$) are injective $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$-modules. Therefore we have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}om_{D(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})}(F, \pi^* I_{n,U}(d)[2d]) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{D(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})}(F, \pi^* I_{n,U}(d))[2d].$$

Applying $R\Gamma(U, -)$ on the left hand side of $(*)$ we get a complex of abelian groups whose r^{th} cohomology group is isomorphic to

$$H^{r+2d}_{\text{et}}(X, \mathcal{H}om(F, \pi^* I_{n,U}(d))).$$

By the proper-smooth base change theorem [5] VI, Sect. 4, 4.2) the sheaves $R^i\pi_*F$ are locally constant and constructible on U. Applying $R\Gamma(U, -)$ on the right hand side of $(*)$ we get a complex of abelian groups whose r^{th} cohomology group by theorem 1 for $d = 0$ is isomorphic to

$$\text{Hom}(H_{\text{et}}^{2-r}(X, F), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

This proves Theorem 1. $\square$

3. In this section we prove Theorems 2 and 3. For a scheme X we denote by X_{et} its etale homotopy type, i.e. a pro-simplicial set. The etale homotopy groups of X are by definition the homotopy groups of X_{et} and it is well-known that these pro-groups are pro-finite, whenever the scheme X is noetherian, connected and geometrically unibranch ([2] Theorem 11.1). By $\tilde{X}_{\text{et}}$ we denote the universal covering of X_{et}. If p is a prime number and $Y.$ is a pro-simplicial set, we denote the pro-p completion of $Y.$ by $Y.^{\wedge p}$. The maximal pro-p factor group of a pro-group G is denoted by $G(p)$. For the following we need

PROPOSITION 13. *Assume that $Y.$ is simply connected (i.e. $\pi_1(Y.) = 0$) and that $\pi_i(Y.)$ is pro-finite for all $i \geqslant 2$. Then we have isomorphisms for all i:*

$$\pi_i(Y.)(p) \to \pi_i(Y.^{\wedge p}).$$

Proof. If G is an abelian pro-finite group, the canonical surjection: $G \to G(p)$ has a kernel with trivial p-Sylow subgroup, i.e. the supernatural order of the kernel is prime to p. Therefore G is a p-good pro-group in the sense of [2], i.e. for every finite p-primary $G(p)$-module M the canonical homomorphism: $H^i(G(p), M) \xrightarrow{infl} H^i(G, M)$ is an isomorphism for all i. Hence Proposition 13 follows by induction on i from Theorem 6.7. of [2]. $\square$

Now let K be a number field, let $U \subset \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ be an open subscheme and let p be a prime number invertible on U. Then the following holds:

PROPOSITION 14. *The higher etale homotopy groups of U have no p-part, i.e.*

$$\pi_i^{\text{et}}(U)(p) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \geqslant 2.$$

Further the canonical morphism:

$$U_{\text{et}}^{\wedge p} \to K(\pi_1^{\text{et}}(U)(p), 1)$$

with $\pi_1^{\text{et}}(U)(p)$ the maximal pro-p factor group of the etale fundamental group of U is a weak homotopy equivalence.

Proof. Let S be the finite subset of places of K, containing the archimedian places and such that $U = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K,S})$. Then $G_S(K) \cong \pi_1^{\mathrm{et}}(U)$ and Proposition 11 implies that the universal covering $\tilde{U}_{\mathrm{et}}$ has no cohomology with values in p-primary coefficient groups. Hence the pro-p completion of $\tilde{U}_{\mathrm{et}}$ is contractible and therefore the first statement of the proposition follows from Proposition 13. By a theorem of O. Neumann (see [4] Prop. 22) for every finite p-primary $G_S(p)$-torsion module M the canonical homomorphism $H^i(G_S(p), M) \overset{infl}{\longrightarrow} H^i(G_S, M)$ is an isomorphism for all i. The same arguments as for the first statement then also show the second. $\square$

Similar arguments apply in the geometric situation. Here we denote the genus of a curve C by $g(C)$.

PROPOSITION 15. *Let k be a field and let C be a connected, smooth curve over k. Assume either that C is incomplete or $g(C) > 0$. Then*

$$\pi_i^{\mathrm{et}}(C) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \geqslant 2,$$

i.e. the canonical morphism

$$C_{\mathrm{et}} \longrightarrow K(\pi_1^{\mathrm{et}}(C), 1)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence. If k is separably closed and p is an arbitrary prime number then also the canonical morphism

$$C_{\mathrm{et}}^{\wedge p} \longrightarrow K(\pi_1^{\mathrm{et}}(C)(p), 1)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence.

Proof. First, if necessary, we replace k by a suitable extension, such that C is geometrically connected. We denote the base change of C to an separable closure k^s of k by C_{k^s}. Since the morphism $C_{k^s} \to C$ is pro-etale the canonical morphism:

$$\tilde{C}_{k^s,\mathrm{et}} \overset{can}{\longrightarrow} \tilde{C}_{\mathrm{et}}$$

from the universal covering of $C_{k^s,\mathrm{et}}$ to the universal covering of C_{et} is a weak homotopy equivalence. Therefore we can assume k to be separably closed also in the first statement. In order to show the first statement we show that the higher homotopy groups of C_{et} (which are abelian profinite groups) have no p-part for an arbitrary prime number p. If either C is incomplete or $p = \mathrm{char}(k)$ then $H_{\mathrm{et}}^i(C, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $i \geqslant 2$. Therefore $H^i(\tilde{C}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $i \geqslant 1$, which implies $\pi_i^{\mathrm{et}}(C)(p) = 0$ for $i \geqslant 2$. If C is complete and $p \neq \mathrm{char}(k)$ then $H_{\mathrm{et}}^i(C, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $i > 2$ and Poincaré-duality [5] V, Sect. 2, Thm. 2.1) implies an isomorphism:

$H^2_{et}(C, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^0_{et}(C, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^* = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. If C' is a finite etale cover of C of degree n then the following diagram is commutative:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^2_{et}(C, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle can} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \cdot n} \\
H^2_{et}(C', \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \ .
\end{array}
$$

Therefore $H^2(\tilde{C}_{et}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ if and only if there are etale covers of C of degree divisible by arbitrary high powers of p. This is obviously the case if and only if $g(C) > 0$. This proves the first statement. The same arguments also prove the second statement. $\square$

Now let $\pi: X \to U$ be smooth, proper with fibres which are curves of nonzero genus. We denote by $U(i) = \tilde{U}$ the pro-scheme representing the universal covering of U. By $X(i)$ we denote the pro-scheme $X \times_U U(i)$. Fixing a (geometric) base point u of U we denote $X \times_U u$ by X_u. Further let p be a prime number invertible on U. Then by Theorem 11.5. of [3] and Propositions 14, 13 we get isomorphisms for all $r \geqslant 1$:

$$
\pi_r((X_u)^{\wedge p}_{et}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_r(X(i)^{\wedge p}_{et}).
$$

By Proposition 15 we obtain $\pi_r(X(i)^{\wedge p}_{et}) = 0$ for $r \geqslant 2$, in particular we have $H^r_{et}(X(i), \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $r \geqslant 2$. If Y is a finite etale cover of X, the same argument applies for $Y(i)$ (possibly Y is defined over an etale cover of U). Going to the limit we obtain for the universal cover $\tilde{X}_{et}$ of X_{et}: $H^r(\tilde{X}_{et}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $r \geqslant 1$. Since the groups $\pi^r_r(X)$ are profinite they are p-good for $r \geqslant 2$ and we obtain $0 = \pi_r(\tilde{X}_{et})(p) = \pi^{et}_r(X)(p)$ for $r \geqslant 2$. This proves Theorem 2. $\square$

Now Theorem 3 part (i) is an easy consequence of Theorem 2 and Theorem 1: For every finite p-primary $\pi^{et}_1(X)$-module M the canonical homomorphism

$$
H^r(\pi^{et}_1(X), M) \longrightarrow H^r_{et}(X, M)
$$

is an isomorphism for all r by Theorem 2. Hence Theorem 1 implies the duality statement (i). Part (ii) is even easier. As the fibres are simply connected we have an isomorphism $\pi^{et}_1(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi^{et}_1(U)$ which proves the assertion in view of Theorem 1 for U and proposition 14. $\square$

References

1. Artin, M., Grothendieck, A. and Verdier, J. L.: *Theorie des Topos et Cohomologie Etale des Schemas* (SGA4) LNM 269, 270, 305.

2. Artin M., Mazur, B.: *Etale Homotopy* LNM 100 Springer 1969.
3. Friedlander, E. M.: *Etale homotopy of simplicial schemes* Ann. of Math. Studies 104 Princeton Univ. Press 1982.
4. Haberland, K.: *Galois cohomology of algebraic number fields* Dt. Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin 1978.
5. Milne, J. S.: *Etale Cohomology* Princeton University Press 1980.
6. Milne, J. S.: *Arithmetic Duality Theorems* Persp. in Math. 1 Academic Press Boston 1986.
7. Neukirch, J.: *Einbettungsprobleme mit lokaler Vorgabe und freie Produkte lokaler Galoisgruppen* J. f. reine u. ang. Math. **259** (1973) 1–47.
8. Schmidt, A., Wingberg, K.: *On the fundamental group of a smooth arithmetic surface* Math. Nachr. **159** (1992) 19–36.
9. Serre, J. P.: *Cohomologie Galoisienne* Lecture Notes in Math. 5 Springer 1964.
10. Tate, J.: *Letter to Serre* in [9].
11. Verdier, J. L.: *Dualité dans la cohomologie des groupes profinies* in [9].
12. Washington, L. C.: *Class Numbers and $\mathbb{Z}_p$-Extensions* Math.Ann. **214** (1975) 177–193.
13. Wingberg, K.: *On Galois groups of p-closed algebraic number fields with restricted ramification* J. reine u. ang. Math. **400** (1989) 185-202