

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

ROBERT GOLD

JAEMOON KIM

Bases for cyclotomic units

Compositio Mathematica, tome 71, n° 1 (1989), p. 13-27

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1989__71_1_13_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1989, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

Bases for cyclotomic units

ROBERT GOLD and JAEMOON KIM

Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, U.S.A.

Received 24 May 1988; accepted 2 November 1988

Section 0. Introduction

Let n be an integer, $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and let $\zeta_n = e^{2\pi i/n}$, a primitive n th root of unity. Clearly with this choice we have $\zeta_n^{n/m} = \zeta_m$ for any $m|n$. Let E_n be the group of units of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$, V_n the subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)^\times$ generated by

$$\{\pm \zeta_n, 1 - \zeta_n^a : 1 \leq a < n\}, \tag{1}$$

and $U_n = E_n \cap V_n$. Then U_n is the group of cyclotomic units of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$. It is known that U_n is of finite index in E_n ([13]). In particular $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} U_n = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E_n = \frac{1}{2}\phi(n) - 1$.

Our goal in this paper is to provide a basis (minimal set of generators) for U_n , and to use this basis to show that $U_n^G = U_m$ for all $m|n$ where $G = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m))$.

There are relations among the elements of (1).

$$1 - \zeta_n^{-a} = -\zeta_n^{-a}(1 - \zeta_n^a) \tag{A}$$

$$1 - \zeta_m^a = \sum_{i=0}^{(n/m)-1} (1 - \zeta_n^{a+mi}) \quad \text{if } m|n. \tag{B}$$

The first one is immediate and the second one comes from the identity

$$X^d - 1 = \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (X - \zeta_d^i).$$

It had been conjectured by Milnor (unpublished) that every relation among the cyclotomic units is a consequence of (A) and (B), and H. Bass [1] claimed to have proved the conjecture. After a few years, V. Ennola [2] proved that twice any relation is a combination of (A) and (B), but not every relation is such a combination.

We will begin by finding a basis of the universal punctured even distribution $(A_n^0)^+$, which is the abelian group with generators

$$\left\{ g\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) : \frac{a}{n} \in \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}, \frac{a}{n} \neq 0 \right\}$$

and relations

$$g\left(\frac{-a}{n}\right) = g\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \tag{A_1}$$

$$g\left(\frac{a}{m}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{(n/m)-1} g\left(\frac{a+mi}{n}\right) \text{ if } m|n \text{ and } \frac{a}{m} \neq 0. \tag{B_1}$$

We introduce a theorem on $(A_n^0)^+$ which we use later and we refer the reader to L. Washington [5] for details.

THEOREM. *Let $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Then there is a split exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2^{r-1}-r} \rightarrow (A_n^0)^+ \xrightarrow{\varphi} V_n / \langle \pm \zeta_n \rangle \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\varphi(g(a/n)) = 1 - \zeta_n^a \pmod{\langle \pm \zeta_n \rangle}$ and r is the number of distinct prime factors of n .

Section 1. Basis of $(A_n^0)^+$

Let n be an integer, $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, and $p_1^{e_1} \dots p_r^{e_r}$ be its prime factorization. Let $K_i = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_i^{e_i}})$. If p_i is odd, $\text{Gal}(K_i/\mathbb{Q})$ is cyclic. Let σ_i be a fixed generator of $\text{Gal}(K_i/\mathbb{Q})$, or the corresponding element of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q})$ which fixes $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{n/p_i^{e_i}})$. Under the natural isomorphism

$$(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q})$$

which maps a to $\gamma: \zeta_n \mapsto \zeta_n^a$, we may view σ_i as an element of $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$, or even a positive integer $< n$, relatively prime to n , since they form a set of representatives. If p_i is even, $\text{Gal}(K_i/\mathbb{Q}) = \langle \tilde{\sigma}_i, \tau \rangle$ where $\tilde{\sigma}_i$ is a fixed element of order 2^{e_i-2} and τ is the element of order 2 corresponding to complex conjugation. We let

$$\sigma_i^k = \begin{cases} \tilde{\sigma}_i^k & \text{if } 0 \leq k < 2^{e_i-2} \\ \tilde{\sigma}_i^k \tau & \text{if } 2^{e_i-2} \leq k < 2^{e_i-1}. \end{cases}$$

Then we consider the σ_i^k 's as elements of $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ as before.

LEMMA 1. Suppose $(b, n) = 1$. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\varphi(p_i^{e_i})-1} g\left(\frac{b\sigma_i^k}{n}\right) = g\left(\frac{b}{n/p_i^{e_i}}\right) - g\left(\frac{c}{n/p_i^{e_i}}\right) \in (A_{n/p_i^{e_i}}^0)^+ \quad (B_2)$$

for some c .

Proof. Let $p_i = p, e_i = e$ and $\sigma_i = \sigma$ for simplicity. From the relation (B_1) in Section 0, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\frac{b}{n/p^e}\right) &= \sum_{i=0}^{p^e-1} g\left(\frac{b+i(n/p^e)}{n}\right) \\ &= \sum_{(b+i(n/p^e), n)=1} g\left(\frac{b+i(n/p^e)}{n}\right) + \sum_{(b+i(n/p^e), n) \neq 1} g\left(\frac{b+i(n/p^e)}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

But, since σ fixes $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{n/p^e}), \sigma \equiv 1 \pmod{n/p^e}$. Thus

$$\sum_{(b+i(n/p^e), n)=1} g\left(\frac{b+i(n/p^e)}{n}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\varphi(p^e)-1} g\left(\frac{b\sigma^k}{n}\right).$$

On the other hand, let i_0 be such that

$$\begin{cases} b + i_0(n/p^e) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ b + i_0(n/p^e) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2} \\ 0 \leq i_0 < p^e \end{cases}$$

and let $b + i_0(n/p^e) = cp$. Then $(c, n) = 1$ and $\{i_0 + tp\}$ is the set of all solutions for

$$\begin{cases} b + i(n/p^e) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ 0 \leq i < p^e \end{cases}$$

as t runs through all integers satisfying $0 \leq i_0 + tp < p^e$. Hence

$$\sum_{(b+i(n/p^e), n) \neq 1} g\left(\frac{b+i(n/p^e)}{n}\right) = \sum_t g\left(\frac{c+t(n/p^e)}{n/p}\right) = g\left(\frac{c}{n/p^e}\right). \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Let $n = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_r^{e_r}$ as before and let

$$I_n = \left\{ (i_1, \dots, i_r) \mid \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq i_r \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(p_r^{e_r}) - 1 \quad \text{and} \\ 0 \leq i_l \leq \varphi(p_l^{e_l}) - 1 \quad \text{for } l < r \end{array} \right\}$$

and $I'_n = \{(i_1, \dots, i_r) \in I_n \text{ satisfying one of the following}\}$

$$\begin{cases} i_r \neq 0 & \text{and } i_l \neq 0 \text{ for all } l \leq r-1 \\ i_r = 0, & 1 \leq i_{r-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(p_r^{e_{r-1}}) - 1 \text{ and } i_l \neq 0 \text{ for } l \leq r-2 \\ i_r = i_{r-1} = 0, & 1 \leq i_{r-2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(p_r^{e_{r-2}}) - 1 \text{ and } i_l \neq 0 \text{ for } l \leq r-3 \\ \vdots \\ i_r = i_{r-1} = \dots = i_2 = 0, & 1 \leq i_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(p_1^{e_1}) - 1 \\ i_r = i_{r-1} = \dots = i_2 = i_1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$\#(I'_n) = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi(p_r^{e_r}) - 1)(\varphi(p_r^{e_{r-1}}) - 1) \dots (\varphi(p_1^{e_1}) - 1) + \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let T_n be the group generated by

$$\left\{ g\left(\frac{\sigma_1^{i_1} \dots \sigma_r^{i_r}}{n}\right) \mid (i_1, \dots, i_r) \in I'_n \right\}$$

and let

$$T'_n = \prod_{\substack{(d, n/d) = 1 \\ d \neq 1, n}} T_d.$$

where T_d is defined similarly to T_n .

$$\text{THEOREM 1. } (A_n^0)^+ = T_n \times T'_n = \prod_{\substack{(d, n/d) = 1 \\ d > 1}} T_d.$$

REMARK. Since the number of generators of T_n is at most $\#(I'_n) = \frac{1}{2}\prod(\varphi(p_i^{e_i}) - 1) + \frac{1}{2}$, $T_n \times T'_n$ is generated at most by $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} V_n + 2^{r-1} - r$ elements, which is the minimum number of generators of $(A_n^0)^+$. Hence this theorem provides a basis of $(A_n^0)^+$.

Proof of theorem. By induction on r . By using the relations (A_1) and (B_1) with $m = p^k$, we can prove the theorem for $r = 1$ easily. Assume the theorem holds for n a product of $r - 1$ distinct primes. It is not hard to see $(A_n^0)^+ = T_n \times T'_n$ if and only if $g(\sigma_1^{i_1} \dots \sigma_r^{i_r}/n) \in T_n \times T'_n$ for every $(i_1, \dots, i_r) \in I_n$. As a matter of notation, we let

$$g\left(\frac{\sigma_1^{i_1} \dots \sigma_r^{i_r}}{n}\right) = g_{i_1 \dots i_r}.$$

If $(i_1, \dots, i_r) \in I'_n$, then $g_{i_1 \dots i_r} \in T_n$ by definition. We prove $g_{i_1 \dots i_r} \in T_n \times T'_n$ for $(i_1, \dots, i_r) \in I_n - I'_n$ case by case.

(i) $g_{i_1 \dots i_r} \in T_n \times T'_n$ if $i_r \neq 0$.

Proof. If none of i_1, \dots, i_{r-1} is 0, then $(i_1, \dots, i_r) \in I'_n$ so $g_{i_1 \dots i_r} \in T_n$. Suppose only one of i_1, \dots, i_{r-1} is 0, say i_1 . Then for $j \neq 0$, $g_{j i_2 \dots i_r} \in T_n$ since $(j, i_2, \dots, i_r) \in I'_n$. Also,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\varphi(p_1^{e_1})-1} g_{j i_2 \dots i_r} \in T'_n$$

by the relation (B_2) in Lemma 1. Thus $g_{0 i_2 \dots i_r} \in T_n \times T'_n$. If two of i_1, \dots, i_{r-1} are 0, say $i_1 = i_2 = 0$, use the relation (B_2) again:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\varphi(p_1^{e_1})-1} g_{j 0 i_3 \dots i_r} \in T'_n.$$

Since $g_{j 0 i_3 \dots i_r} \in T_n \times T'_n$ if $j \neq 0$ by the previous case, $g_{0 0 i_3 \dots i_r} \in T_n \times T'_n$. Then argue similarly for the case when there are exactly three zeros, and then four zeros and so on.

(ii) For each $l, 1 \leq l \leq r, g_{i_1 \dots i_r} \in T_n \times T'_n$ if $i_r = i_{r-1} = \dots = i_{l+1} = 0$ and $1 \leq i_l \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(p_l^{e_l}) - 1$.

Proof. We prove when $l = r - 1$ (the proof of the rest is quite similar to this case). We have to show $g_{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} 0} \in T_n \times T'_n$ when $1 \leq i_{r-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(p_{r-1}^{e_{r-1}}) - 1$ and i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{r-2} arbitrary.

If none of i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{r-2} is 0, then by the definition of I'_n , we are done. If only one of them is 0, say $i_1 = 0$, use the relation (B_2) again:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\varphi(p^{e_1})-1} g_{j i_2 \dots i_{r-1} 0} \in T'_n.$$

Since $g_{j i_2 \dots i_{r-1} 0} \in T_n$ for $j \neq 0, g_{0 i_2 i_2 \dots i_{r-1} 0} \in T_n \times T'_n$. Then prove when there are exactly two zeros and proceed as we did in case (i).

(iii) Let $\delta_j = 0$ or $\frac{1}{2}\varphi(p_j^{e_j})$. If $(i_1, \dots, i_r) \neq (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r)$, then $g_{i_1 \dots i_r} \in T_n \times T'_n$.

Proof. Since case (i) treats the case $i_r \neq 0$, we assume $i_r = 0$ and prove

$$g_{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} 0} \in T_n \times T'_n \text{ when } (i_1, \dots, i_{r-1}, 0) \neq (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{r-1}, 0).$$

First, we claim that $g_{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} 0} \in T_n \times T'_n$ when $i_{r-1} \neq \delta_{r-1}$. If $1 \leq i_{r-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(p_{r-1}^{e_{r-1}}) - 1$, the result follows from case (ii). Suppose $\frac{1}{2}\varphi(p_{r-1}^{e_{r-1}}) + 1 \leq i_{r-1} \leq \varphi(p_{r-1}^{e_{r-1}}) - 1$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{\varphi(p_r^{e_r})-1} g_{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} j} &= g_{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} 0} + \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{1}{2}\varphi(p_r^{e_r})-1} g_{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} j} \\ &+ g_{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} \varphi(p_r^{e_r})/2} + \sum_{j=\frac{1}{2}\varphi(p_r^{e_r})+1}^{\varphi(p_r^{e_r})-1} g_{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} j}. \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

But $\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{1}{2}\varphi(p_r^{e_r})-1} g_{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} j} \in T_n \times T'_n$ by (i). Let $i'_i = i_i + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(p_i^{e_i})$. Then by the relation (A_1) in Section 0,

$$\sum_{j=\frac{1}{2}\varphi(p_r^{e_r})+1}^{\varphi(p_r^{e_r})-1} g_{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} j} = \sum_{j'=1}^{\frac{1}{2}\varphi(p_r^{e_r})-1} g_{i'_1 \dots i'_{r-1} j'} \in T_n \times T'_n$$

by (i). Also, since $1 \leq i'_{r-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(p_{r-1}^{e_{r-1}})$,

$$g_{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} \varphi(p_r^{e_r})/2} = g_{i'_1 \dots i'_{r-1} 0} \in T_n \times T'_n.$$

Since the left side of (*) is in T'_n by Lemma 1, so is the right side. Therefore $g_{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} 0} \in T_n \times T'_n$ when $i_{r-1} \neq \delta_{r-1}$.

Now we assume $g_{i_1 \dots i_i i_{i+1} \dots i_{r-1} 0} \in T_n \times T'_n$ when $(i_{i+1}, \dots, i_{r-1}, 0) \neq (\delta_{i+1}, \dots, \delta_{r-1}, 0)$, and we will show $g_{i_1 \dots i_i i_{i+1} \dots i_{r-1} 0} \in T_n \times T'_n$ if $i_i \neq \delta_i$ and $(i_{i+1}, \dots, i_{r-1}, 0) \neq (\delta_{i+1}, \dots, \delta_{r-1}, 0)$.

Suppose $1 \leq i_i \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(p_i^{e_i}) - 1$. If all of i_{i+1}, \dots, i_{r-1} are 0, the result follows from case (ii). Suppose only one of them, say i_r , is $\frac{1}{2}\varphi(p_i^{e_i})$. Consider

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\varphi(p_i^{e_i})-1} g_{i_1 \dots i_i 0 \dots 0 j 0 \dots 0} \in T'_n.$$

Since $g_{i_1 \dots i_i 0 \dots 0} \in T_n \times T'_n$ by (ii) and since $\sum_{j \neq 0, \frac{1}{2}\varphi(p_i^{e_i})} g_{i_1 \dots i_i 0 \dots 0 j 0 \dots 0} \in T_n \times T'_n$ by assumption, $g_{i_1 \dots i_i 0 \dots 0 \varphi(p_i^{e_i})/2 0 \dots 0} \in T_n \times T'_n$. Then we can prove the case when two of i_{i+1}, \dots, i_{r-1} are non zero δ , then three nonzero δ , and so on.

Suppose $\frac{1}{2}\varphi(p_i^{e_i}) + 1 \leq i_i \leq \varphi(p_i^{e_i}) - 1$. Consider

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\varphi(p_r^{e_r})-1} g_{i_1 \dots i_i \delta_{i+1} \dots \delta_{r-1} j}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= g_{i_1 \dots i_r \delta_{i_1+1} \dots \delta_{r-1} 0} + \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{1}{2}\varphi(p_r^{e_r})-1} g_{i_1 \dots i_r \delta_{i_1+1} \dots \delta_{r-1} j} \\
 &\quad + g_{i_1 \dots i_r \delta_{i_1+1} \dots \delta_{r-1} \varphi(p_r^{e_r})/2} + \sum_{j=\frac{1}{2}\varphi(p_r^{e_r})+1}^{\varphi(p_r^{e_r})-1} g_{i_1 \dots i_r \delta_{i_1+1} \dots \delta_{r-1} j}. \quad (**)
 \end{aligned}$$

By arguing similarly as before, we can show that every term but the first of the right side of (**) belongs to $T_n \times T'_n$. Since the left side of (**) is also in $T_n \times T'_n$, we conclude that $g_{i_1 \dots i_r \delta_{i_1+1} \dots \delta_{r-1} 0} \in T_n \times T'_n$.

(iv) $g_{\delta_1 \dots \delta_{r-1} 0} \in T_n \times T'_n$

Proof. We know that $g_{0 \dots 0} = g(1/n) \in T_n$. If only one δ_i is different from 0, say δ_1 , consider

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\varphi(p_1^{e_1})-1} g_{j 0 \dots 0} \in T'_n.$$

Since every term except $g_{(\varphi(p_1^{e_1})/2) 0 \dots 0}$ belongs to $T_n \times T'_n$, so does $g_{(\varphi(p_1^{e_1})/2) 0 \dots 0}$. Then prove the case when there are two non zeros, and so on.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

Section 2. Basis of U_n

Let $n = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_r^{e_r}$ be an integer $\not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ as before. To find a basis of U_n , we eliminate certain generators of T_n . To be precise, let

$$I''_n = \begin{cases} I'_n - \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\} & \text{if } r = \text{odd} \\ I'_n & \text{if } r = \text{even} \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{g}\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = \begin{cases} g^{(a/n)} & \text{if } n \text{ is composite} \\ g^{(a/p^e)} - g^{(1/p^e)} & \text{if } n = p^e \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{T}_n = \text{group generated by } \left\{ \tilde{g}\left(\frac{\sigma_1^{i_1} \dots \sigma_r^{i_r}}{n}\right) \mid (i_1, \dots, i_r) \in I''_n \right\}$$

$$\tilde{T}'_n = \prod_{\substack{d|n \\ (d, n/d)=1 \\ d \neq 1, n}} \tilde{T}_d, \quad \text{where } \tilde{T}_d \text{ is defined similarly to } \tilde{T}_n.$$

REMARK. The passage from g to \tilde{g} takes account of the fact that $1 - \zeta_n$ is a unit if and only if n is not a prime power. When n is a power of p , $1 - \zeta_n$ is a divisor of p .

Note that

$$(A_n^0)^+ = G_1 \times G_2 \times G_3, \text{ where}$$

$$G_1 = \tilde{T}_n \times \tilde{T}'_n$$

$$G_2 = \text{group generated by } \left\{ g\left(\frac{1}{p_i^{e_i}}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq r \right\}$$

$$G_3 = \text{group generated by } \left\{ g\left(\frac{1}{p_{i_1}^{e_{r_1}} \dots p_{i_l}^{e_{r_l}}}\right) \mid l \geq 3, \text{ odd} \right\}.$$

THEOREM 2. $U_n = \varphi(G_1) \times \langle -\zeta_n \rangle$, where $\varphi: (A_n^0)^+ \rightarrow V_n \text{ mod } \langle -\zeta_n \rangle$ is as in the theorem of Section 0.

REMARK. Since G_1 is generated by at most $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} U_n$ elements, this theorem provides a basis of U_n .

Before we prove Theorem 2, we need several lemmas.

LEMMA 2. $2g(1/n) \in G_1$ if n is composite.

Proof. If r is even, there is nothing to prove. So we assume r is odd. Let $m_i = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(p_i^{e_i}) - 1$, $M_i = \varphi(p_i^{e_i}) - 1$ and let

$$\sum_{0 \leq i_1 \leq m_1} \sum_{0 \leq i_2 \leq m_2} \dots \sum_{0 \leq i_r \leq m_r} g_{i_1 \dots i_r} = R_0$$

and for each $l, 1 \leq l \leq r$, let

$$\sum_{m_1+1 \leq i_1 \leq M_1} \dots \sum_{m_l+1 \leq i_l \leq M_l} \sum_{0 \leq i_{l+1} \leq m_{l+1}} \dots \sum_{0 \leq i_r \leq m_r} g_{i_1 \dots i_r} = R_l.$$

Then $R_i + R_{i+1} \in \tilde{T}'_n$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$ by Lemma 1. Hence $R_0 + R_r = (R_0 + R_1) - (R_1 + R_2) + (R_2 + R_3) - \dots + (R_{r-1} + R_r) \in \tilde{T}'_n$. But since $R_0 = R_r$, we have $2R_0 \in \tilde{T}'_n$. And in the sum of R_0 , every term except $g_{0 \dots 0}$ belongs to $\tilde{T}_n \times \tilde{T}'_n$. Therefore $2g_{0 \dots 0} = 2g(1/n) \in \tilde{T}_n \times \tilde{T}'_n = G_1$. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 3. The given generators of $G_1 \times G_2$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} .

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 1, we used the fact $(0, \dots, 0) \in I'_n$ only in step (iv). But since $2g(1/n) \in G_1$ by Lemma 2, $2g_{\delta_1 \dots \delta_r} \in G_1$. Thus $G_1 \times G_2$ is of finite index in $(A_n^0)^+$, hence $\varphi(G_1 \times G_2)$ is of finite index in V_n . Since G_1 is mapped to U_n and since G_2 is mapped to nonunits, $\varphi(G_1)$ is of finite index in U_n . Since $\varphi(G_1)$ is generated at most by $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} U_n$ elements, the generators of $\varphi(G_1)$ are linearly independent. Therefore the given generators of G_1 are linearly independent and so are the generators of $G_1 \times G_2$. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 4. Let $r \geq 3$ odd. Then there is a unique $R \in (A_n^0)^+$ such that $R \neq 0, 2R = 0$ and R is of the form

$$R = g\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) + \sum_{\tilde{g}(a/n) \in G_1} \tilde{f}\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \tilde{g}\left(\frac{a}{n}\right)$$

with $\tilde{f}(a/n) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Uniqueness is immediate by Lemma 3. We prove existence by induction on r . Suppose $r = 3$. Since $\text{Tor}(A_n^0)^+ \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by the theorem in Section 0, there is an $R \neq 0$ such that $2R = 0$. Since $(A_n^0)^+ = G_1 \times G_2 \times G_3$, we may write

$$R = mg\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) + \sum_{\tilde{g}(a/n) \in G_1} \tilde{f}\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \tilde{g}\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^3 f\left(\frac{1}{p_i^{e_i}}\right) g\left(\frac{1}{p_i^{e_i}}\right)$$

Since $2g(1/n) \in G_1$,

$$2g\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \sum_{\tilde{g}(a/n) \in G_1} \tilde{h}\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \tilde{g}\left(\frac{a}{n}\right)$$

with $\tilde{h}(a/n) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus we may assume $m = 0$ or 1. But if $m = 0$, then

$$0 = 2R = \sum \tilde{f}\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \tilde{g}\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) + \sum f\left(\frac{1}{p_i^{e_i}}\right) g\left(\frac{1}{p_i^{e_i}}\right)$$

implies $\tilde{f}(a/n) = f(1/p_i^{e_i}) = 0$ by the linear independence (Lemma 3), which forces $R = 0$. Hence $m = 1$ and

$$R = g\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) + \sum \tilde{f}\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \tilde{g}\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) + \sum f\left(\frac{1}{p_i^{e_i}}\right) g\left(\frac{1}{p_i^{e_i}}\right).$$

Now apply the map φ to both sides to obtain

$$1 = \varphi\left(g\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \times \prod \varphi\left(\tilde{g}\left(\frac{a}{n}\right)\right)^{\tilde{f}(a/n)} \times \prod \varphi\left(g\left(\frac{1}{p_i^{e_i}}\right)\right)^{f(1/p_i^{e_i})}.$$

Since the first two terms of the right side are units, $f(1/p_i^{e_i}) = 0$ and R has the desired form.

Suppose the lemma is true for all d less than r . For any d of the form $p_{i_1}^{e_{i_1}} \cdots p_{i_l}^{e_{i_l}}$ with $3 \leq l < r, l$ odd, there is an element $R_d \in (A_n^0)^+$ such that

$R_d \neq 0, 2R_d = 0$ and R_d is of the form.

$$R_d = g\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) + \sum \dots$$

by the induction hypothesis. Note that R_d 's are linearly independent, i.e. $R_{d_1} + \dots + R_{d_s} \neq 0$ for any distinct choice of d, \dots, d_s , for otherwise, $g(1/d_1)$ would be expressed by other generators of $G_1 \times G_2 \times G_3$, which is impossible. So we have

$$\binom{r}{3} + \binom{r}{5} + \dots + \binom{r}{r-2} = 2^{r-1} - r - 1$$

independent elements of $\text{Tor}(A_n^0)^+$. But since

$$\text{Tor}(A_n^0)^+ \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2^{r-1}-r},$$

there is one more generator of $\text{Tor}(A_n^0)^+$, say R_1 . We can write

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 = & cg\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) + \sum_{\tilde{g}(a/n) \in G_1} \tilde{f}\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \tilde{g}\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) + \sum_{g(1/p_i^{e_i}) \in G_2} f\left(\frac{1}{p_i^{e_i}}\right) g\left(\frac{1}{p_i^{e_i}}\right) \\ & + \sum_{g(1/a) \in G_3} f\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) g\left(\frac{1}{d}\right). \end{aligned}$$

As in the case $r = 3$, we may assume $c = 0$ or 1 . Suppose $c = 0$. Then

$$R_1 - \sum f\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) R_d$$

is an element of $\text{Tor}(A_n^0)^+$ with $f(1/d) = 0$ for any $g(1/d) \in G_3$. Then, by Lemma 3,

$$R_1 - \sum f\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) R_d = 0$$

which is impossible since R_1 is not in the span of R_d 's. Hence $c = 1$ and R_1 is of the form

$$R_1 = g\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) + \sum \tilde{f}\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \tilde{g}\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) + \sum f\left(\frac{1}{p_i^{e_i}}\right) g\left(\frac{1}{p_i^{e_i}}\right) + \sum f\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) g\left(\frac{1}{d}\right).$$

Since $f(1/p_i^{e_i}) = 0$ as in the case $r = 3$, we get a desired element

$$R = R_1 - \sum f\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)R_d.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. It is easy to prove if n is a prime power, so we assume n is composite. By step (iv) in the proof of Theorem 1, it is enough to show that $\varphi(g(1/n)) \in \varphi(G_1)$. But this is obvious by Lemma 4. Just apply φ to R to obtain

$$1 = \varphi\left(g\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \times \prod \varphi\left(\tilde{g}\left(\frac{a}{n}\right)\right)^{\tilde{f}(a/n)}. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

COROLLARY 1. *Suppose m and n are integers, $\not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, and $(m, n) = 1$. Then*

$$U_{mn}^G = U_n$$

where $G = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{mn})/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n))$ and U_{mn}^G is the subgroup of U_{mn} fixed under the action of G .

Proof. By Theorem 2, we can extend a basis $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_s\}$ of $U_n \text{ mod } \langle -\zeta_n \rangle$ to a basis $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_s, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_t\}$ of $U_{mn} \text{ mod } \langle -\zeta_{mn} \rangle$. Let

$$\delta = \pm \zeta_{mn}^c \eta_1^{a_1} \dots \eta_s^{a_s} \varepsilon_1^{b_1} \dots \varepsilon_t^{b_t} \in U_{mn}^G.$$

Then since $\delta^\sigma = \delta$ for any $\sigma \in G$,

$$\delta^{\varphi(m)} = N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{mn})/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)} \delta \in U_n.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & (\pm \zeta_{mn}^c)^{\phi(m)} \prod_{i=1}^s \eta_i^{a_i \phi(m)} \prod_{j=1}^t \varepsilon_j^{b_j \phi(m)} \\ &= \pm \zeta_n^e \eta_1^{d_1} \dots \eta_s^{d_s}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $b_1 = b_t = 0$ and

$$\delta = \pm \zeta_{mn}^c \eta_1^{a_1} \dots \eta_s^{a_s}.$$

Since $\delta, \eta_1^{a_1} \dots \eta_s^{a_s} \in U_{mn}^G, \pm \zeta_{mn}^c \in U_{mn}^G$. Thus

$$\delta = \pm \zeta_n^f \eta_1^{a_1} \dots \eta_s^{a_s} \in U_n. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Section 3. Basis of U_{pn} when $p|n$

In this section we will show $U_{pn}^G = U_n$, where $G = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{pn})/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n))$ by extending a basis of U_n to that of U_{pn} . When $p|n$, Corollary 1 in Section 2 proves it. So we assume $p \nmid n$ and let $n = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_r^{e_r} p^e$ be the usual prime factorization of n with $e > 0$. As before we let σ_i be a fixed generator of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_i^{e_i}})/\mathbb{Q})$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and let σ be a fixed generator of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^{e+1}})/\mathbb{Q})$. If p_i is even then we define σ_i^k as in Section 1. For each $d|n$ such that $(d, (n/d)) = 1$ and $(d, p) = 1$, say, $d = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_t^{e_t}$, let \tilde{T}_d'' be the subgroup of $(A_n^0)^+$ generated by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{g}_{\sigma_{i_1}^{j_1} \dots \sigma_{i_t}^{j_t} \sigma^j / d p^{e+1}} : \frac{1}{2} \varphi(p^e) \leq j \leq \frac{1}{2} \varphi(p^{e+1}) - 1, \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad 1 \leq j_l \leq \varphi(p_i^{e_i}) - 1 \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{if } p \neq 2, \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq 2^{e-2} \text{ if } p = 2 \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{for } 1 \leq l \leq t \end{array} \right\}.$$

and let

$$\tilde{T}_n'' = \prod_d \tilde{T}_d''.$$

Then it is easy to check that \tilde{T}_n'' is generated at most (actually, exactly by the following theorem) by $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} U_{pn} - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} U_n$ elements.

THEOREM 3. $U_{pn} = \varphi(\tilde{T}_n \times \tilde{T}_n' \times \tilde{T}_n'') \times \langle -\zeta_{pn} \rangle$.

Proof. We prove this only when p is odd. The proof for the even case is almost the same. We will show $U_{dp^{e+1}} = \varphi(\tilde{T}_{dp^e} \times \tilde{T}'_{dp^e} \times \tilde{T}''_{dp^e})$ for each d with $(d, p) = 1$ $(d, n/d) = 1$ by induction on $w(d) = \text{number of distinct prime factors of } d$.

Let $w(d) = 0$ ($d = 1$). By Theorem 2, it is enough to show

$$\tilde{g}_j = \tilde{g}\left(\frac{\sigma^j}{p^{e+1}}\right) \in \tilde{T}_{p^e} \times \tilde{T}''_{p^e}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq \frac{1}{2} \varphi(p^{e+1}) - 1$, hence for $1 \leq j \leq \frac{1}{2} \varphi(p^e) - 1$ be definition of \tilde{T}''_{p^e} . First we need a Lemma.

LEMMA 5. For any j , $1 \leq j \leq \frac{1}{2} \varphi(p^e) - 1$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \tilde{g}_{j+k\varphi(p^e)} = \tilde{g}\left(\frac{\sigma^j}{p^e}\right) + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \tilde{g}_{k\varphi(p^e)}.$$

Proof. Immediate from the relation (B_1) since

$$\sigma^{k\varphi(p^e)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^e} \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq p-1.$$

In the left side of Lemma 5, every term except for $\tilde{g}_j(k=0)$ belongs to \tilde{T}''_{p^e} since for $1 \leq k \leq (p-1)/2$,

$$\tilde{g}_{j+k\varphi(p^e)} \in \tilde{T}''_{p^e}$$

by the definition of \tilde{T}''_{p^e} , and for $(p+1)/2 \leq k \leq p-1$ we have

$$\tilde{g}_{j+k\varphi(p^e)} = \tilde{g}_{j+k\varphi(p^e) - \frac{1}{2}\varphi(p^{e+1})} \in \tilde{T}''_{p^e}$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \tilde{g}_{k\varphi(p^e)} \in \tilde{T}''_{p^e}.$$

Since $\tilde{g}_0 = 1$ and since $\tilde{g}(\sigma^j/p^e) \in \tilde{T}_{p^e}$,

$$\tilde{g}_j = \tilde{g}\left(\frac{\sigma^j}{p^e}\right) + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \tilde{g}_{k\varphi(p^e)} - \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \tilde{g}_{j+k\varphi(p^e)} \in \tilde{T}_{p^e} \times \tilde{T}''_{p^e}.$$

This settles the case $d = 1$.

Now we assume $U_{d p^{e+1}} = \varphi(\tilde{T}_{d p^e} \times \tilde{T}'_{d p^e} \times \tilde{T}''_{d p^e})$ for each d with $\omega(d) < r$, and we will show that it is also true for $d = n/p^e$. By Theorem 2, it is enough to show that for each $d = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_t^{e_t}$

$$\tilde{g}_{j_1 \dots j_t} \in \tilde{T}_{d p^e} \times \tilde{T}'_{d p^e} \times \tilde{T}''_{d p^e}$$

for $(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_t, j) \in I''_{d p^{e+1}}$, but actually we will show this for all $(j_1, \dots, j_t, j) \in I_{d p^{e+1}}$ case by case.

(i) $\tilde{g}_{j_1 \dots j_t} \in \tilde{T}_{d p^e} \times \tilde{T}'_{d p^e} \times \tilde{T}''_{d p^e}$ for $\frac{1}{2}\varphi(p^e) \leq j \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(p^{e+1}) - 1$ and j_l arbitrary

Proof. If none of $j_l, 1 \leq l \leq t$, is 0, there is nothing to prove. Suppose exactly one of them, say j_1 , is 0. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\varphi(p_1^{e_1})-1} \tilde{g}_{k j_2 \dots j_t} \in \tilde{T}_{(d/p_1^{e_1}) p^e} \times \tilde{T}'_{(d/p_1^{e_1}) p^e} \times \tilde{T}''_{(d/p_1^{e_1}) p^e}$$

by Lemma 1 (with a slight modification), and the induction hypothesis. But since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\varphi(p_1^{e_1})-1} \tilde{g}_{k j_2 \dots j_t} \in \tilde{T}''_{d p^e}$$

by the definition of $\tilde{T}''_{dp^e}, g_{0j_2 \dots j_{tj}} \in \tilde{T}_{dp^e} \times \tilde{T}'_{dp^e} \times \tilde{T}''_{dp^e}$. Then we can proceed as we did in step (i) of the proof of Theorem 1.

(ii) $\tilde{g}_{0j_1 \dots j_{tj}} \in \tilde{T}_{dp^e} \times \tilde{T}'_{dp^e} \times \tilde{T}''_{dp^e}$ for $1 \leq j \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(p^l) - 1$ and j_l arbitrary.

Proof. From the relation (B_1) , we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \tilde{g}_{0j_1 \dots j_{tj+k\varphi(p^e)}} \in \tilde{T}_{dp^e} \times \tilde{T}'_{dp^e}.$$

We consider two cases $1 \leq k \leq (p-1)/2$ and $(p+1)/2 \leq k \leq p-1$ separately to show

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \tilde{g}_{j_1 \dots j_{tj+k\varphi(p^e)}} \in \tilde{T}_{dp^e} \times \tilde{T}'_{dp^e} \times \tilde{T}''_{dp^e}$$

as in the proof of the case $w(d) = 0$. Thus we get the result.

(iii) $\tilde{g}_{j_1 \dots j_{tj}} \in \tilde{T}_{dp^e} \times \tilde{T}'_{dp^e} \times \tilde{T}''_{dp^e}$ for $j = 0$ and j_l arbitrary.

Proof. Quite similar to the proof of (ii) by considering

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \tilde{g}_{j_1 \dots j_{tj+k\varphi(p^e)}}.$$

This finishes the proof.

Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 2. *Let $p \mid n$ for $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Then*

$$U_{pn}^G = U_n,$$

where $G = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{pn})/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n))$.

Proof. Similar to Corollary 1.

COROLLARY 3. For any integers m and n , $m, n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, such that $n \mid m$, the natural map

$$E_n/U_n \rightarrow E_m/U_m$$

is an injection.

Proof. By Corollary 1 and 2.

Remark. As an application of Corollary 3 consider $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ as two layers in the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of some $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$. Greenberg's conjecture asserts that the p -primary part of E_n/U_n has bounded order as $n \uparrow \infty$. Assuming that

Greenberg's conjecture is true, Corollary 3 implies that the map $(E_n/U_n)_p \rightarrow (E_m/U_m)_p$ is an isomorphism for $m > n \gg 0$. It follows that the map in the opposite direction induced by the norm is the zero map for $m \gg n \gg 0$. Therefore the projective limit of $(E_n/U_n)_p$ is trivial. It follows that $(\varprojlim E_n / \varprojlim U_n)_p = 0$ or, in other words, $p \nmid [E'_n : U_n]$ for any n where $E'_n = \bigcap_{m \geq n} N_{m,n}(E_m)$.

References

1. H. Bass, Generators and relations for cyclotomic units, *Nagoya Math. J.* 27 (1966), 401–407.
2. V. Ennola, On relations between cyclotomic units, *J. Number Theory* 4 (1972), 236–247.
3. W. Sinnott, On the Stickelberger ideal and the circular units of a cyclotomic field, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 108 (1978), 107–134.
4. W. Sinnott, On the Stickelberger ideal and the circular units of an abelian field, *Invent. Math.* 62 (1980), 181–234.
5. L. Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.