

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

S. KAMIENNY

G. STEVENS

Special values of L -functions attached to $X_1(N)$

Compositio Mathematica, tome 49, n° 1 (1983), p. 121-142

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1983__49_1_121_0>

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1983, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

SPECIAL VALUES OF L -FUNCTIONS ATTACHED TO $X_1(N)$

S. Kamienny and G. Stevens

Introduction

The conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer have stimulated recent interest in the arithmetic properties of special values of L -functions. B. Mazur [7] has proven a weak analog of these conjectures for the Jacobian of the modular curve $X_0(N)$, N prime. Crucial in his work are formulae for “universal special values” modulo the Eisenstein ideal.

In the present paper we show how Mazur’s formulae can be extended to $X_1(N)$. We construct a cohomology class $\varphi \in H^1(X_1(N); A)$ and produce formulae for its “special values” in Theorem 3.4. Using a generalization by E. Friedman [2] of a result of L. Washington [12] we prove a nonvanishing result for these “special values” in Theorem 4.2.

The aim of §§5 and 6 is to use Theorem 3.4 to prove congruences involving the special values $L(f, \chi, 1)$ attached to a weight two cusp form f on $X_1(N)$. This goal has not been entirely achieved. In Theorem 6.3 we use a technical assumption of local freeness of the homology of $X_1(N)$. For $X_0(N)$ Mazur [6] was able to prove this condition. Unfortunately his proof does not work in our case.

Finally, §8 illustrates these results with the example $X_1(13)$.

§1. Universal special values of L -functions

Let $\mathcal{H}^* = \mathcal{H} \cup \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ be the extended upper half plane. Let $N > 3$ be prime and

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid c \equiv 0, a \equiv d \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{N} \right\}.$$

Denote by $Y = Y_1(N)$ the open Riemann surface $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{X}$ and by $X = X_1(N)$ the associated compactification $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{X}^*$. The finite set $X \setminus Y = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ will be denoted by *cusps*.

For an arbitrary set S let $\text{Div}^0(S)$ be the group of formal finite sums of degree 0 supported on S , i.e.

$$\text{Div}^0(S) = \left\{ \sum_{s \in S} a_s \cdot \{s\} \mid a_s \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ almost all } a_s = 0, \text{ and } \sum_s a_s = 0 \right\}.$$

The following is a slight variation of a definition given by Mazur ([7], II §1).

DEFINITION 1.1: The universal modular symbol attached to Γ is the homomorphism

$$\text{Univ} : \text{Div}^0(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})) \rightarrow H_1(X, \text{cusps}; \mathbb{Z})$$

defined by $\text{Univ}(\{r\} - \{s\}) =$ the relative homology class represented by the projection to X of the oriented geodesic in \mathcal{X}^* joining s to r . \square

The group $\text{Div}^0(\text{cusps})$ is naturally identified with the reduced homology group $\tilde{H}_0(\text{cusps}; \mathbb{Z})$. Let Z be the kernel of the natural projection $\text{Div}^0(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})) \rightarrow \text{Div}^0(\text{cusps})$. Then the vertical arrows in the following commutative diagram of exact sequences are surjective.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & Z & \hookrightarrow & \text{Div}^0(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})) & \rightarrow & \text{Div}^0(\text{cusps}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \text{Univ} \downarrow & & \text{Univ} \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) & \hookrightarrow & H_1(X, \text{cusps}; \mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & \tilde{H}_0(\text{cusps}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0 \end{array} \tag{1.2}$$

Let $\chi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a nontrivial primitive Dirichlet character of conductor m prime to N . Let $\mathbb{Z}[\chi]$ be the ring generated over \mathbb{Z} by the values of χ . Then

$$\sum_{a=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \frac{a}{m} \right\} \otimes \bar{\chi}(a) \in \text{Div}^0(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\chi]$$

defines an element of $Z \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\chi]$ since the rational numbers $\frac{a}{m}$, $(a, m) = 1$ are Γ -equivalent.

Following Mazur [7] we define:

DEFINITION 1.3: The universal special value of the L -function twisted

by χ is the homology class

$$\Lambda(\chi) = \text{Univ} \left(\sum_{a=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \frac{a}{m} \right\} \otimes \bar{\chi}(a) \right) \in H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}[\chi]). \quad \square$$

This terminology is justified by the next proposition. Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n$, $q = e^{2\pi iz}$, be a weight two cusp form on Γ , and let $\omega(f)$ be the holomorphic 1-form on X whose pullback to \mathcal{X} is $f \cdot \frac{dq}{q} = 2\pi i f(z) dz$. Let $\varphi_f \in H^1(X; \mathbb{C})$ be the cohomology class represented by $\omega(f)$. The L -function of f twisted by χ is defined by the Dirichlet series

$$L(f, \chi, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \chi(n) n^{-s}$$

which converges absolutely for $\text{Re}(s) > 3/2$ and continues to an entire function on \mathbb{C} .

PROPOSITION 1.4: *Let $\chi \neq 1$ be a primitive Dirichlet character of conductor m prime to N . Then*

$$\tau(\bar{\chi})L(f, \chi, 1) = \Lambda(\chi) \cap \varphi_f,$$

where $\tau(\bar{\chi}) = \sum_{a=0}^{m-1} \bar{\chi}(a) e^{2\pi ia/m}$ is the usual Gauss sum, and \cap denotes cap product:

$$\cap : H_1(X; \mathbb{C}) \times H^1(X; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}. \quad \square$$

For a proof see Birch [1].

We will adopt Mazur's point of view and shift the emphasis from the special values $L(f, \chi, 1)$ to the universal special values $\Lambda(\chi)$.

We can define "special values of L -functions" attached to an arbitrary cohomology class $\varphi \in H^1(X; A)$ with values in any abelian group A . Suppose we have a $\mathbb{Z}[\chi]$ -module $A[\chi]$ together with a $\mathbb{Z}[\chi]$ -homomorphism

$$A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\chi] \rightarrow A[\chi].$$

Then cap product with φ defines a homomorphism

$$\cap \varphi : H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}[\chi]) \rightarrow A[\chi].$$

DEFINITION 1.5: The “special value” associated to the pair φ, χ is

$$A(\varphi, \chi) \stackrel{\text{dfn}}{=} A(\chi) \cap \varphi \in A[\chi]. \quad \square$$

§2. Modular units and Dedekind sums

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ define the Bernoulli functions by

$$\mathbb{B}_1(x) = \begin{cases} (x - [x]) - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathbb{B}_2(x) = (x - [x])^2 - (x - [x]) + \frac{1}{6},$$

where $[x]$ denotes the largest integer $\leq x$. Then $\mathbb{B}_1, \mathbb{B}_2$ define functions $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

The Siegel units $g_x, x = (x, y) \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$, may be defined by their q -expansions:

$$g_{(x,y)}(z) = q^{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{B}_2\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)} \cdot \prod_{\substack{m \equiv x(N) \\ m > 0}} (1 - e^{2\pi iy/N} \cdot q^{m/N}) \\ \cdot \prod_{\substack{m \equiv -x(N) \\ m > 0}} (1 - e^{-2\pi iy/N} \cdot q^{m/N})$$

where $\mathbb{B}_2\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)$ is the periodic second Bernoulli function and $q = e^{2\pi iz}$.

The special function g_0 is the square of the Dedekind η -function. The functions $g_x^{12N}, x \neq 0$ are modular functions of level N which vanish nowhere on \mathcal{H} .

The functions g_x have been studied in great detail. For example, Kubert and Lang use them to describe cuspidal groups of modular curves (see [4]).

The transformation formulae for the Siegel units are well-known (see e.g. Schoeneberg [9] where they are referred to as the Dedekind functions). We summarize the results in the next proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.1: *There is a choice of the logarithms $\log(g_x(z)), x \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ such that for $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$*

$$\pi_x(\gamma) \stackrel{\text{dfn}}{=} (\log(g_x(\gamma z)) - \log(g_{x\gamma}(z)))$$

is independent of $z \in \mathcal{K}$ and:

$$(1) \pi_x(\gamma) \in \frac{1}{12N^2} \mathbb{Z};$$

$$(2) \text{ For } \alpha, \beta \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}),$$

$$\pi_x(\alpha\beta) = \pi_x(\alpha) + \pi_{x\alpha}(\beta);$$

$$(3) \text{ If } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), c \geq 0, \text{ then}$$

$$\pi_x(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \frac{a}{2c} \mathbb{B}_2\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) + \frac{d}{2c} \mathbb{B}_2\left(\frac{ax+cy}{N}\right) - s\left(a, c; \frac{x}{N}, \frac{y}{N}\right) & \text{if } c > 0 \\ \frac{b}{2d} \mathbb{B}_2\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) & \text{if } c = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $s(\)$ is the generalized Dedekind sum of Rademacher ([8], pp. 534–543)

$$s\left(a, c; \frac{x}{N}, \frac{y}{N}\right) = \sum_{v=0}^{c-1} \mathbb{B}_1\left(\frac{v + \frac{x}{N}}{c}\right) \cdot \mathbb{B}_1\left(a \cdot \frac{v + \frac{x}{N}}{c} + \frac{y}{N}\right). \quad \square$$

Let ε be an even primitive Dirichlet character of conductor N . Then we may define a homomorphism $\Gamma_0(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, which we also denote by ε , by

$$\varepsilon: \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ Nc & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N) \mapsto \varepsilon(d).$$

Define a map $\Psi: \Gamma_0(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\varepsilon]$ by

$$\Psi(\alpha) = \sum_{x \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*} \varepsilon(x) \cdot \pi_{(0,x)}(\alpha).$$

Then Ψ satisfies the following two relations for $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma_0(N)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \Psi(\alpha\beta) = \Psi(\alpha) + \bar{\varepsilon}(\alpha)\Psi(\beta), \\ (b) \quad & \Psi(\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}) = \bar{\varepsilon}(\alpha)\Psi(\beta). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Relation (a) expresses that Ψ is a crossed homomorphism.

The crossed homomorphism Ψ may be expressed in terms of the “twisted” Dedekind sum $D_\varepsilon: \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\varepsilon]$ defined by

$$D_\varepsilon(\infty) = 0$$

$$D_\varepsilon\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \sum_{v=0}^{b-1} \mathbb{B}_1\left(\frac{v}{b}\right) \cdot \mathbb{B}_{1,\varepsilon}\left(\frac{Nav}{b}\right) \quad (2.3)$$

for $(a, b) = 1, b > 0$. The following identities hold for $r \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & D_\varepsilon(-r) = -D_\varepsilon(r), \\ \text{(b)} \quad & D_\varepsilon(r+1) = D_\varepsilon(r). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

A simple calculation shows that we could also have defined D_ε by the formula

$$\text{(c)} \quad D_\varepsilon\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \sum_{x \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*} \varepsilon(x) \cdot s\left(a, b; 0, \frac{x}{N}\right).$$

PROPOSITION 2.5: Let $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ Nc & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\alpha) &= -D_\varepsilon\left(\frac{a}{Nc}\right) \\ &= -D_\varepsilon\left(\frac{b}{d}\right) - \bar{\varepsilon}(d) \cdot \frac{c}{2d} \cdot \mathbb{B}_{2,\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: The first equality is a direct calculation. If $c = 0$ then

$$\Psi(\alpha) = \sum_{x \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*} \varepsilon(x) \cdot \frac{b}{12d} = 0$$

and $-D_\varepsilon\left(\frac{a}{Nc}\right) = -D_\varepsilon(\infty) = 0$. If $c > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\alpha) &= \sum_{x \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*} \varepsilon(x) \left[\frac{a+d}{12Nc} - s\left(a, Nc; 0, \frac{x}{N}\right) \right] \\ &= -\sum_x \varepsilon(x) \cdot s\left(a, Nc; 0, \frac{x}{N}\right) \\ &= -D_\varepsilon\left(\frac{a}{Nc}\right). \end{aligned}$$

To prove the second equality let $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and note that $\pi_{(x,0)}(\sigma) = 0$ for $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Hence for $\alpha \in \Gamma_0(N)$, $\pi_{(0,x)}(\alpha) = \pi_{(0,x)}(\alpha\sigma^2) = \pi_{(0,x)}(\alpha\sigma) + \pi_{(0,x)\alpha\sigma}(\sigma) = \pi_{(0,x)}(\alpha\sigma)$. We have then

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\alpha) &= \sum_{x \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*} \varepsilon(x) \cdot \pi_{(0,x)}(\alpha\sigma) \\ &= \sum_{x \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*} \varepsilon(x) \left[\frac{b}{12d} - \frac{Nc}{2d} \mathbb{B}_2\left(\frac{dx}{N}\right) - s(b, d; 0, \frac{x}{N}) \right] \\ &= -\bar{\varepsilon}(d) \cdot \frac{c}{2d} \cdot \mathbb{B}_{2,\varepsilon} - D_\varepsilon\left(\frac{b}{d}\right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

§3. Congruences for universal special values

Let $\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$ be the normal subgroup of $\Gamma = \Gamma_1(N)$ generated by the set \mathcal{P} of parabolic elements. The isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(Y) &\cong \Gamma / \{\pm 1\}, \\ \pi_1(X) &\cong \Gamma / \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

induce isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(X; A) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}(\Gamma / \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle; A) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(Y; A) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}(\Gamma / \{\pm 1\}; A) \end{array}$$

where A is any abelian group.

Let $\Psi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\varepsilon]$ be the homomorphism obtained by restriction to Γ of the crossed homomorphism of §2.

PROPOSITION 3.2: (1) $\Psi(\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle)$ is the principal fractional ideal, \mathfrak{b} , in $\mathbb{Q}[\varepsilon]$ generated by $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{B}_{2,\varepsilon}$;

(2) $\Psi(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathfrak{b} + \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]$.

PROOF: (1) A parabolic element of $\Gamma_1(N)$ is conjugate in $\Gamma_0(N)$ to a power of one of the matrices

$$\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ N & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So by (2.2) $\Psi(\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle)$ is generated as a $\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]$ -module by

$$\Psi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

and

$$\Psi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ N & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{B}_{2,\varepsilon}.$$

(2) We use the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.3: For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b \cdot D_\varepsilon \left(\frac{a}{b} \right) \in \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]$. \square

Let $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ Nc & d \end{pmatrix}$. By Proposition 2.5

$$\Psi(\alpha) = -D_\varepsilon \left(\frac{a}{Nc} \right) = \frac{-c}{2d} \cdot \mathbb{B}_{2,\varepsilon} - D_\varepsilon \left(\frac{b}{d} \right).$$

So by 3.3

$$Nc \cdot \Psi(\alpha) = -Nc \cdot D_\varepsilon \left(\frac{a}{Nc} \right) \in \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d \cdot \Psi(\alpha) &= -c \cdot \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{B}_{2,\varepsilon} - d \cdot D_\varepsilon \left(\frac{b}{d} \right) \\ &\in \mathfrak{b} + \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]. \end{aligned}$$

But $(Nc, d) = 1$ then implies $\Psi(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{b} + \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]$. \square

PROOF OF LEMMA 3.3: We may assume $(a, b) = 1$ and $b > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} b \cdot D_\varepsilon \left(\frac{a}{b} \right) &= \sum_{v=0}^{b-1} b \cdot \mathbb{B}_1 \left(\frac{v}{b} \right) \cdot \mathbb{B}_{1,\varepsilon} \left(\frac{Nav}{b} \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{v=0}^{b-1} v \cdot \mathbb{B}_{1,\varepsilon} \left(\frac{Nav}{b} \right) \right) - \left(\frac{b}{2} \sum_{v=0}^{b-1} \mathbb{B}_{1,\varepsilon} \left(\frac{Nav}{b} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the distribution law for the Bernoulli functions, the second term can be simplified to $-\frac{b}{2} \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}(b) \cdot \mathbb{B}_{1,\varepsilon}$ which vanishes since ε is an even character.

We use the following identity for $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$:

$$\mathbb{B}_{1,\varepsilon}(r) = \begin{cases} - \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a < r \\ a \in \mathbb{Z}}} \varepsilon(a) & \text{if } r \notin \mathbb{Z}; \\ \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(r) - \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a < r \\ a \in \mathbb{Z}}} \varepsilon(a) & \text{if } r \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

Hence, if $N \nmid b$ each summand of

$$\sum_{v=0}^{b-1} v \cdot \mathbb{B}_{1,\varepsilon}\left(\frac{Nav}{b}\right)$$

is in $\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]$. If $b = Nm$ then, calculating modulo $\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]$, the only nonzero terms are those for which $m|v$. So the above expression simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (mk) \cdot \mathbb{B}_{1,\varepsilon}(ak) \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{2}m \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} k \cdot \varepsilon(ak) \equiv \frac{m \cdot N \cdot \varepsilon(a)}{2} \cdot \mathbb{B}_{1,\varepsilon} \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

By the last proposition, Ψ induces a homomorphism

$$\Phi: \Gamma \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon] + \mathfrak{b})/\mathfrak{b}$$

which vanishes on $\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$. Let $A = \Psi(\Gamma)/\mathfrak{b}$ be the image of Φ ; then by (3.1) Φ corresponds to a cohomology class

$$\varphi \in H^1(X; A).$$

In Theorem 3.4 we compute the special values of φ .

Let χ be an odd primitive Dirichlet character of conductor m prime to N , and set

$$A[\chi] = (\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon] + \mathfrak{b}) \cdot \mathbb{Z}[\chi]/\mathfrak{b} \cdot \mathbb{Z}[\chi].$$

There is a natural $\mathbb{Z}[\chi]$ -homomorphism

$$A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\chi] \rightarrow A[\chi]$$

with respect to which we define the special values $\Lambda(\varphi, \chi) \in A[\chi]$ as in 1.5.

THEOREM 3.4: *With χ, m as above*

$$\Lambda(\varphi, \chi) \equiv \chi(N) \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}(m) \cdot \mathbb{B}_{1, \chi} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{B}_{2, \varepsilon} - \mathbb{B}_{1, \varepsilon \chi} \right) \pmod{\mathfrak{b} \cdot \mathbb{Z}[\chi]}.$$

PROOF: For each $b \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$ choose an element

$$\gamma_b = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ Nc & m \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$$

such that $\gamma_b \cdot \{0\} = \left\{ \frac{b}{m} \right\}$. Then $\gamma_b \cdot \gamma_1^{-1} \in \Gamma_1(N)$ and $\gamma_b \cdot \gamma_1^{-1} \cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{b}{m} \right\}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\varphi, \chi) &= \Lambda(\chi) \cap \varphi \\ &= \text{Univ} \left(\sum_{b=1}^{m-1} \left(\left\{ \frac{b}{m} \right\} - \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \right\} \right) \otimes \bar{\chi}(b) \right) \cap \varphi \\ &\equiv \sum_{b=1}^{m-1} \bar{\chi}(b) \cdot \Psi(\gamma_b \cdot \gamma_1^{-1}) \\ &\equiv \sum_{b=1}^{m-1} \bar{\chi}(b) \cdot \Psi(\gamma_b) \pmod{\mathfrak{b} \cdot \mathbb{Z}[\chi]}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.5

$$\Psi(\gamma_b) = R(\gamma_b) - D_\varepsilon(b/m)$$

where

$$R(\gamma_b) = -\bar{\varepsilon}(m) \cdot \frac{c}{m} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{B}_{2, \varepsilon}.$$

Since $Nbc \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$, $\bar{\chi}(b) = \chi(-Nc)$, hence

$$\sum_{b=1}^{m-1} \bar{\chi}(b) \cdot R(\gamma_b) \equiv \chi(N) \bar{\varepsilon}(m) \mathbb{B}_{1, \chi} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{B}_{2, \varepsilon} \pmod{\mathfrak{b} \cdot \mathbb{Z}[\chi]}.$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{b=1}^{m-1} \bar{\chi}(b) \cdot D_\varepsilon\left(\frac{b}{m}\right) &= \sum_{v=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{B}_1\left(\frac{v}{m}\right) \cdot \sum_{b=1}^{m-1} \bar{\chi}(b) \cdot \mathbb{B}_{1,\varepsilon}\left(\frac{Nbv}{m}\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \chi(v) \cdot \mathbb{B}_1\left(\frac{v}{m}\right)\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{b=1}^{m-1} \bar{\chi}(b) \cdot \mathbb{B}_{1,\varepsilon}\left(\frac{Nb}{m}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

(since χ is primitive)

$$= \chi(N) \bar{\varepsilon}(m) \mathbb{B}_{1,\chi} \cdot \mathbb{B}_{1,\varepsilon \bar{\chi}}. \quad \square$$

§4. A nonvanishing theorem

We will need the following result, which was proved for prime m by L. Washington [12] and for general m by E. Friedman [2].

Let

$$\mu_{m^\infty} = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^* \mid \zeta^{m^k} = 1 \text{ for some } k \geq 0\}.$$

THEOREM 4.1: Let \mathfrak{P} be a prime of \mathbb{Q} , and $m > 0$ be an integer prime to \mathfrak{P} . Let L be a finite abelian extension of \mathbb{Q} . Then the number of odd Dirichlet characters χ of $\text{Gal}(L(\mu_{m^\infty})/\mathbb{Q})$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{B}_{1,\chi} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$$

is finite. \square

The following result is an almost immediate consequence.

THEOREM 4.2: Let $2 \notin \mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]$ be an odd prime containing $\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]$, and let $m > 0$ be an integer prime to \mathcal{P} . The number of odd characters χ of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{m^\infty})/\mathbb{Q})$ such that

$$A(\varphi, \chi) \equiv 0 \pmod{(\mathcal{P} + \mathfrak{b}) \cdot \mathbb{Z}[\chi]}$$

is finite. \square

PROOF: Let \mathfrak{P} be an extension of \mathcal{P} to \mathbb{Q} . For χ as in the theorem, $\mathbb{B}_{1,\chi}$ is \mathfrak{P} -integral. Hence

$$A(\varphi, \chi) \equiv \chi(N) \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}(m) \mathbb{B}_{1,\chi} \cdot \mathbb{B}_{1,\varepsilon \bar{\chi}} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}.$$

The result follows from 4.1. □

For an abelian group M let $M_{(2)} = M \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$.

COROLLARY 4.3: (1) $(\Psi(\Gamma))_{(2)} = (\mathfrak{b} + \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon])_{(2)}$;

(2) $A_{(2)} \cong \left(\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon] / \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon] \right)_{(2)}$

PROOF: By 3.2, $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \Psi(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathfrak{b} + \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]$. We will show $\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon] \cap \Psi(\Gamma)_{(2)} = \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]$. Suppose this is not the case. Then there is a prime ideal $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]$ not containing 2 for which

$$\mathcal{P} \supseteq \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon] \cap \Psi(\Gamma).$$

Then for every odd primitive Dirichlet character χ of conductor prime to N , we have $A(\varphi, \chi) \equiv 0 \pmod{(\mathcal{P} + \mathfrak{b})\mathbb{Z}[\chi]}$ contradicting Theorem 4.2. □

§5. Hecke operators and the Eisenstein ideal

The Hecke operators $U_N, T_l, \langle a \rangle$ ($l \neq N$ prime, $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$) act in the standard fashion on the homology groups in diagram (1.2). Let ι be the involution of \mathcal{X}^* defined by $z \mapsto -\bar{z}$. Then ι descends to an involution of X which induces an involution, which we also call ι , on the second row of (1.2). The operators $U_N, T_l, \langle a \rangle, \iota$ are mutually commutative.

We now define analogous operators on the first row of (1.2). Clearly the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[GL_2(\mathbb{Q})]$ acts on $\text{Div}^0(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}))$. For each prime p let

$$U_p = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}[GL_2(\mathbb{Q})].$$

For $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$ let $\langle a \rangle = \sigma_a$ where $\sigma_a \in \Gamma_0(N)$ is any element satisfying the congruence

$$\sigma_a = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N}.$$

For a prime $l \neq N$ set

$$T_l = U_l + \sigma_l \cdot \begin{pmatrix} l & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, let

$$\iota = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $U_N, T_l, \langle a \rangle, \iota (l \neq N, a \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*)$ define operators on $\text{Div}^0(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}))$ which preserve the subgroup Z and hence also act on $\text{Div}^0(\text{cusps})$.

All arrows of (1.2) commute with these operators.

Recall the Dedekind sum $D_\varepsilon: \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\varepsilon]$. For a prime p define $(D_\varepsilon|U_p): \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\varepsilon]$ by

$$(D_\varepsilon|U_p)(r) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} D_\varepsilon \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \cdot r \right), \quad r \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}).$$

If $l \neq N$ is prime define $D_\varepsilon|S_{l,\varepsilon}$ by

$$(D_\varepsilon|S_{l,\varepsilon})(r) = \bar{\varepsilon}(l) \cdot D_\varepsilon \left(\begin{pmatrix} l & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot r \right), \quad r \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$$

and let $D_\varepsilon|T_{l,\varepsilon} = D_\varepsilon|U_l + D_\varepsilon|S_{l,\varepsilon}$. Also define $(D_\varepsilon|\iota)$ by

$$(D_\varepsilon|\iota)(r) = D_\varepsilon \left(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot r \right).$$

LEMMA 5.1:

(1) For an arbitrary prime p and $r \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$

$$(D_\varepsilon|U_p)(r) = (\bar{\varepsilon}(p) + p) \cdot D_\varepsilon(r) - \bar{\varepsilon}(p) \cdot D_\varepsilon \left(\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot r \right),$$

(2) For $l \neq N$ prime,

$$D_\varepsilon|T_{l,\varepsilon} = (\bar{\varepsilon}(l) + l) \cdot D_\varepsilon,$$

(3) $D_\varepsilon|U_N = N \cdot D_\varepsilon$,

(4) $D_\varepsilon|\iota = -D_\varepsilon$.

PROOF: (1) is an amusing though lengthy calculation. (2) and (3) follow from (1) by letting $p = l \neq N, p = N$, respectively. (4) is a restatement of 2.4(a). \square

Let $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \text{End}(H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}))$ be the commutative algebra generated over \mathbb{Z} by the operators $U_N, T_l, \langle a \rangle$. By Poincaré duality \mathbb{T} also acts on $H^1(X; B)$ for any abelian group B .

PROPOSITION 5.2: *Let $\varphi \in H^1(X; A)$ be the cohomology class of 3. Then*

- (1) $\varphi|\langle a \rangle = \bar{\varepsilon}(a) \cdot \varphi$ for $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$;
- (2) $\varphi|T_l = (\bar{\varepsilon}(l) + l) \cdot \varphi$ for $l \neq N$ prime;
- (3) $\varphi|U_N = N \cdot \varphi$;
- (4) $\varphi|\iota = -\varphi$.

PROOF: Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $[\gamma] \in H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ be the corresponding homology class.

$$(1) \quad [\gamma] \cap (\varphi|\langle a \rangle) = [\sigma_a \gamma \sigma_a^{-1}] \cap \varphi = \Phi(\sigma_a \gamma \sigma_a^{-1}) = \bar{\varepsilon}(a) \cdot \Phi(\gamma) = [\gamma] \cap (\bar{\varepsilon}(a) \cdot \varphi).$$

(2) Since $[\gamma] = \text{Univ}(\gamma \cdot \{i\infty\} - \{i\infty\})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma] \cap (\varphi|T_l) &= \text{Univ}(T_l \cdot (\gamma \cdot \{i\infty\} - \{i\infty\})) \cap \varphi \\ &= (D_\varepsilon|T_{l,\varepsilon})(\gamma \cdot \{i\infty\}) - (D_\varepsilon|T_{l,\varepsilon})(\{i\infty\}) \\ &= (\bar{\varepsilon}(l) + l) \cdot (D_\varepsilon(\gamma \cdot \{i\infty\}) - D_\varepsilon(\{i\infty\})) \\ &= (\bar{\varepsilon}(l) + l) \cdot ([\gamma] \cap \varphi). \end{aligned} \tag{5.1(2)}$$

The proofs of (3), (4) are similar to that of (2) using 5.1(3), (4). \square

We see now that A inherits the structure of $\mathbb{T}[\iota]$ -module by letting the operators $U_N, T_l, \langle a \rangle, \iota$ act as $N, \bar{\varepsilon}(l) + l, \bar{\varepsilon}(a), -1$ respectively. With respect to this structure the homomorphism

$$\cap \varphi : H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow A$$

is a $\mathbb{T}[\iota]$ -homomorphism.

We will refer to the ideal $I = \text{Ann}_{\mathbb{T}}(A) \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ as the Eisenstein ideal. By Corollary 4.3 $A_{(2)}$ is a cyclic $\mathbb{T}_{(2)}$ -module with a canonical generator. Hence there are isomorphisms

$$(\mathbb{T}/I)_{(2)} \cong A_{(2)} \cong (\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]/\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon])_{(2)}. \tag{5.3}$$

The isomorphisms provide a one-to-one correspondence between odd primes $P \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ for which $P \supseteq I$ and odd primes $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]$ for which $\mathcal{P} \supseteq \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]$. If $P \leftrightarrow \mathcal{P}$ then we have

$$A_P \cong A_{\mathcal{P}} \cong \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]_{\mathcal{P}}/\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{P}}.$$

REMARK 5.4: Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$ be a primitive element and suppose $\varepsilon(\alpha)$ is a primitive d -th root of unity where $d|(N-1)$. Let $F_d(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ be the irreducible monic polynomial whose roots are the primitive d -th roots of 1. Then

$$\langle U_N - N; T_l - \langle l \rangle - l, l \neq N; F_d(\langle a \rangle) \rangle \subseteq I.$$

It would be interesting to know if these two ideals are in fact equal.

§6. Congruences for special values of L -functions

A theorem of Shimura ([10], Theorem 3.51) asserts that the space $\mathcal{S}_2(\Gamma)$ of weight 2 cusp forms over Γ is a free $\mathbb{T} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ -module of rank 1. Hence there is a one-to-one correspondence

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{normalized} \\ \text{weight two} \\ \text{parabolic} \\ \mathbb{T}\text{-eigenforms,} \\ f \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{homomorphisms} \\ \\ \mathbb{T} \xrightarrow{h_f} \mathbb{C} \end{array} \right\}$$

For an eigenform f , the homomorphism h_f satisfies

$$f|\alpha = h_f(\alpha) \cdot f \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Let $\mathcal{P}(f) = \ker(h_f)$ and $\mathcal{O}(f) = \text{image}(h_f)$. Then $\mathcal{P}(f)$ is a minimal prime ideal in \mathbb{T} and $\mathcal{O}(f)$ is the ring generated by the eigenvalues. The ring $\mathcal{O}(f)$ is a possibly nonmaximal order in its quotient field.

Write H for $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$, and let

$$H^- = H/(1 + i)H.$$

Another consequence of Shimura's result is:

PROPOSITION 6.2: $H^- \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is a free rank 1 $\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ -module.

PROOF: The pairing

$$\begin{aligned} (H \otimes \mathbb{C}) \times \mathcal{S}_2(\Gamma) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (\gamma, f) &\mapsto \int_{(1-i)\gamma} \omega(f) \end{aligned}$$

factors through $H^- \otimes \mathbb{C}$ to give a nondegenerate pairing

$$(H^- \otimes \mathbb{C}) \times \mathcal{S}_2(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

By Shimura's theorem $(H^- \otimes \mathbb{C})$ is a free rank 1 $\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ -module. □

Let R be either \mathbb{T} or $\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]$. If M is an R -module and $P \subseteq R$ is a prime ideal we will write $M_p \cong M \otimes_R R_p$ for the localization of M at P .

In the next theorem we will be concerned with prime ideals $P \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ for which H_p^- is a free rank 1 \mathbb{T}_p -module. By the last proposition this in-

cludes almost all primes P . Whether in general there exist primes $P \supseteq I$ satisfying this condition we do not know.

THEOREM 6.3: *Let $2 \notin P \supseteq I$ be a prime ideal in \mathbb{T} for which H_P^- is a free rank 1 \mathbb{T}_P -module. Let $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]$ be the corresponding prime obtained from 5.3. Let f be a normalized weight 2 parabolic \mathbb{T} -eigenform on $\Gamma_1(N)$ such that $\mathcal{P}(f) \subseteq P$ and let*

$$\pi : \mathcal{O}(f) \twoheadrightarrow A/PA \cong \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]/\mathcal{P}$$

be the natural projection.

Then there is a period $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that for all primitive odd quadratic Dirichlet characters χ of conductor m prime to N , and to \mathcal{P}

$$(1) \quad A_f(\chi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\tau(\chi) \cdot L(f, \chi, 1)}{\Omega} \in \mathcal{O}(f)$$

and

$$(2) \quad \pi(A_f(\chi)) \equiv \chi(N)\bar{\varepsilon}(m)\mathbb{B}_{1,\chi} \cdot \mathbb{B}_{1,\varepsilon\chi} \pmod{\mathcal{P}}.$$

PROOF: Let $j : H \rightarrow H_P^-$ be the natural map. Then the composition

$$H \xrightarrow{\cap \varphi} A \twoheadrightarrow A/PA$$

factors through j to give a homomorphism

$$\Phi_P^- : H_P^- \rightarrow A/PA.$$

Let $\gamma_0 \in H$ be such that $\gamma_0 \cap \varphi \equiv 1 \pmod{P \cdot A}$. Then $j(\gamma_0)$ generates H_P^- as a \mathbb{T}_P -module. Let

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \int_{(1-i)\gamma_0} \omega(f) \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

The homomorphism $\Phi_f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\Phi_f(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2\Omega} \int_{(1-i)\gamma} \omega(f)$$

has its image in the quotient field of $\mathcal{O}(f)$ and factors through $H \twoheadrightarrow H^-$. Since $\Phi_f(\gamma_0) = 1$, $\text{image}(\Phi_f) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(f)_P$. By modifying Ω we may assume $\text{image}(\Phi_f) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(f)$ and $\Phi_f(\gamma_0) \equiv 1 \pmod{P \cdot \mathcal{O}(f)}$. This gives rise to a \mathbb{T} -

homomorphism

$$\Phi_{\bar{f}}^- : H^- \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(f).$$

The following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H & \xrightarrow{j} & H_{\mathfrak{p}}^- & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\bar{f}}^-} & A/PA \\ & & \searrow (\Phi_{\bar{f}}^-)_{\mathfrak{p}} & & \nearrow \mathcal{O}(f)_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ & & \pi_{\mathfrak{p}} & & \end{array}$$

For an odd quadratic character χ as in the theorem we have $\iota \cdot \Lambda(\chi) = -\Lambda(\chi)$. Hence

$$\Lambda_f(\chi) = \frac{1}{\Omega} (\Lambda(\chi) \cap \varphi_f) = \Phi_f(\Lambda(\chi)) \in \mathcal{O}(f).$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\Lambda_f(\chi)) &= \pi \circ \Phi_f(\Lambda(\chi)) = \Phi_{\mathfrak{p}}^- \circ j(\Lambda(\chi)) \\ &\equiv \Lambda(\varphi, \chi) \pmod{\mathcal{P}}. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows from Theorem 3.2 because m is prime to \mathcal{P} and hence $\mathbb{B}_{1,\chi}$ is \mathcal{P} -integral. \square

§7. Compatibility with the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer

In [7], Mazur gives a descent argument which shows that his congruence formulae are compatible with the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer. A generalization of this descent has been given by the first author [3]. We review the results here.

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}$ be a normalized weight two newform on $\Gamma_1(N)$, N prime, and let $K(f) = \mathbb{Q}(a_n | n = 1, 2, \dots)$ be the field generated by the Fourier coefficients. Shimura [10] has shown how one can associate to f a simple abelian variety quotient A_f/\mathbb{Q} of the Jacobian of $X_1(N)$. For a Dirichlet character χ the L -function of A_f/\mathbb{Q} can be expressed as a product:

$$L(A_f, \chi, s) = \prod_{\sigma} L(f_{\sigma}, \chi, s)$$

where σ ranges through the imbeddings $\sigma : K(f) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and f_{σ} is defined

by

$$f_\sigma(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^\sigma e^{2\pi inz}.$$

Now suppose χ is an odd quadratic character and let K_χ be the associated imaginary quadratic field. By a theorem of Shimura ([11], Theorem 1 (iii)),

$$L(f, \chi, 1) = 0 \Leftrightarrow L(f_\sigma, \chi, 1) = 0.$$

Hence the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer predicts:

$$L(f, \chi, 1) = 0 \Leftrightarrow rk(A(K_\chi)) = rk(A(\mathbb{Q})). \tag{7.1}$$

The following theorem is proved in [3].

THEOREM 7.2: *Let $P \supseteq I$ be a locally principal prime ideal in \mathbb{T} of residual characteristic $p \nmid 2 \cdot \text{ord}(\varepsilon)$. If $K_\chi = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ assume $p \neq 3$. Let $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]$ be the prime associated to P by (5.3). Then the following implication holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{B}_{1, \chi} \cdot \mathbb{B}_{1, \chi\varepsilon} &\not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathcal{P}} \\ \Rightarrow rk(A_f(K_\chi)) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

If this theorem is combined with Theorem 6.3, we obtain a weak form of one implication of (7.1).

In [7] Mazur shows that if f is a newform on $\Gamma_0(N)$, χ is an odd quadratic character, and $L(f, \chi, 1) = 0$ for trivial reasons (i.e. because of the sign of the functional equation), then $rk(A_f(K_\chi)) \geq 1$. In fact he explicitly constructs a point of infinite order, namely, the Birch-Heegner point. In our case, where f is a newform on $\Gamma_1(N)$ with nontrivial Nebentypus, the functional equation relates $L(f, \chi, 1)$ to $L(\tilde{f}, \chi, 1)$ where

$$\tilde{f}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{a}_n e^{2\pi inz}$$

is distinct from $f(z)$. Hence there are no “trivial” zeroes. The construction of Birch-Heegner points also does not generalize.

§8. An example: $X_1(13)$

The second author has used an algorithm due to Birch [1] and Manin [5] to compute the universal modular symbol ([5], [7]) for $\Gamma = \Gamma_1(13)$. The results of this calculation show that the curve $X = X_1(13)$ has genus two and that precisely two Nebentypus characters occur in the character decomposition

$$\mathcal{S}_2(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{S}_2(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$$

of the space of weight two cusp forms.

Let $\varepsilon: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the Dirichlet character of conductor 13 satisfying $\varepsilon(7) = \omega = e^{2\pi i/6}$. Then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{S}_2(\Gamma, \varepsilon)) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{S}_2(\Gamma, \bar{\varepsilon})) = 1.$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_2(\Gamma, \bar{\varepsilon})$ be the normalized element. Then f is a parabolic \mathbb{T} -eigenform with character $\bar{\varepsilon}$. The homomorphism h_f of (6.1) defines an isomorphism

$$h_f: \mathbb{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}[\omega].$$

A direct calculation shows $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{B}_{2,\varepsilon} = \frac{2 \cdot (3 + 2\omega)}{(1 + 3\omega)}$. Let $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ be the prime ideal of norm 19 generated by $3 + 2\omega$, and $P = h_f^{-1}(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \mathbb{T}$. By (5.3) the Eisenstein ideal satisfies $I \subseteq P$. But $h_f(T_2) = (-1 - \omega), h_f(\langle 2 \rangle) = \omega$, so

$$3 + 2\omega = h_f(2 + \langle 2 \rangle - T_2) \in h_f(I).$$

Hence $P = I$, and

$$A \cong (\mathbb{Z}[\omega]/\mathcal{P}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/19\mathbb{Z}).$$

The question in Remark 5.4 has an affirmative answer in this case.

Since \mathbb{T} is a Dedekind domain, Proposition 6.2 shows that H_P^- is a free rank 1 \mathbb{T}_P -module. So Theorem 6.3 applies.

In the following pages we display the values

$$A_f(\chi) = \frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})L(f, \chi, 1)}{\Omega}$$

where $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}^*$ has been chosen so that

- (1) $A_f(\chi) \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$;
- (2) $A_f(\chi) \equiv 3 \cdot \chi(13) \cdot \bar{\alpha}(m_\chi) \cdot \mathbb{B}_{1,\chi} \cdot \mathbb{B}_{1,\varepsilon_\chi} \pmod{\mathcal{P}}$.

The character χ ranges through the imaginary quadratic characters associated to the fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-P_\chi})$ where $0 < p_\chi \leq 3001$ is prime. These numbers have been computed using an algorithm due to Birch [1] and Manin [5].

$X_1(13)$: Algebraic parts, $A_f(\chi)$, of the special value of the L -function twisted by odd quadratic characters, χ . $A_f(\chi) = a + b\omega$, $\omega = (1 + \sqrt{-3})/2$.

p_χ	a	b	p_χ	a	b	p_χ	a	b	p_χ	a	b
3	2	-2	5	0	-2	7	0	-2	11	6	-6
13	10	-10	17	8	-8	19	0	-14	23	2	-2
29	0	0	31	-4	0	37	-4	0	41	12	0
43	0	-22	47	4	0	53	0	10	59	0	-2
61	-4	4	67	38	-38	71	0	-2	73	0	-38
79	-8	0	83	28	0	89	6	0	97	54	-54
101	20	0	103	-4	0	107	14	-14	109	0	-56
113	8	-8	127	10	-10	131	-4	0	137	52	-52
139	0	-50	149	56	-56	151	-8	0	157	0	-98
163	0	-50	167	2	-2	173	2	-2	179	14	-14
181	0	-62	191	0	-10	193	-8	0	197	46	0
199	0	-6	211	22	-22	223	18	-18	227	0	-10
229	0	-168	233	0	-8	239	8	0	241	4	-4
251	0	-18	257	16	0	263	6	-6	269	34	-34
271	14	-14	277	20	-20	281	0	-2	283	54	-54
293	52	-52	307	48	0	311	0	0	313	0	-112
317	0	22	331	0	-74	337	0	-94	347	0	10
349	-82	0	353	80	0	359	0	0	367	14	-14
373	28	-28	379	58	-58	383	0	6	389	0	-46
397	158	-158	401	36	0	409	40	-40	419	46	-46
421	0	-134	431	6	-6	433	116	-116	439	2	-2
443	52	0	449	14	-14	457	20	0	461	32	-32
463	-8	0	467	16	0	479	14	-14	487	0	-2
491	70	-70	499	-52	0	503	0	-14	509	88	0
521	0	-58	523	22	-22	541	0	-94	547	8	0
557	76	0	563	0	2	569	14	0	571	-128	0
577	0	-26	587	18	-18	593	0	66	599	8	0
601	-40	0	607	0	-14	613	144	0	617	76	-76
619	-116	0	631	0	-26	641	12	-12	643	0	-122
647	2	-2	653	22	0	659	0	-54	661	16	0
673	-40	0	677	0	8	683	0	18	691	90	-90
701	0	-72	709	84	-84	719	0	2	727	-44	0
733	0	-206	739	150	-150	743	14	-14	751	-30	30
757	-62	0	761	42	-42	769	-122	0	773	38	-38
787	0	-106	797	58	-58	809	28	0	811	-96	0
821	50	0	823	0	-50	827	44	0	829	-40	0
839	0	-18	853	0	-150	857	0	-18	859	-124	0
863	8	0	877	88	-88	881	-84	0	883	84	0
887	18	-18	907	18	-18	911	-4	0	919	0	-38

p_x	a	b	p_x	a	b	p_x	a	b	p_x	a	b
929	44	-44	937	0	-178	941	0	-24	947	10	-10
953	82	-82	967	24	0	971	0	-26	977	92	0
983	4	0	991	2	-2	997	-24	24	1009	0	-248
1013	0	-18	1019	40	0	1021	148	-148	1031	0	-6
1033	384	-384	1039	-16	0	1049	84	-84	1051	42	-42
1061	0	-114	1063	38	-38	1069	-18	0	1087	-4	0
1091	-8	0	1093	0	-74	1097	0	16	1103	22	-22
1109	32	-32	1117	0	-224	1123	-20	0	1129	20	0
1151	0	-26	1153	96	-96	1163	0	-6	1171	-144	0
1181	98	0	1187	0	-62	1193	10	0	1201	0	-166
1213	100	-100	1217	0	118	1223	4	0	1229	76	-76
1231	0	-26	1237	-8	0	1249	0	-282	1259	158	-158
1277	56	0	1279	-88	0	1283	0	54	1289	-16	0
1291	0	-178	1297	12	0	1301	0	24	1303	6	-6
1307	0	30	1319	0	2	1321	0	-146	1327	4	0
1361	16	-16	1367	26	-26	1373	0	88	1381	64	0
1399	-28	0	1409	0	2	1423	0	-42	1427	22	-22
1429	0	-54	1433	72	0	1439	0	-14	1447	0	-42
1451	16	0	1453	-96	0	1459	34	-34	1471	42	-42
1481	0	-14	1483	40	0	1487	52	0	1489	10	-10
1493	152	0	1499	0	-10	1511	14	-14	1523	42	-42
1531	22	-22	1543	0	-38	1549	-116	0	1553	20	-20
1559	0	0	1567	0	-42	1571	78	-78	1579	0	-266
1583	22	-22	1597	118	0	1601	-30	0	1607	16	0
1609	-224	0	1613	0	-72	1619	0	22	1621	-126	126
1627	298	-298	1637	0	0	1657	356	-356	1663	-24	0
1667	62	-62	1669	0	-126	1693	32	0	1697	20	-20
1699	0	-218	1709	74	-74	1721	0	-90	1723	0	-262
1733	-8	8	1741	0	-110	1747	-32	0	1753	-52	0
1759	0	-6	1777	170	-170	1783	82	-82	1787	0	82
1789	0	-158	1801	504	-504	1811	0	-86	1823	22	-22
1831	-38	38	1847	28	0	1861	-76	0	1867	-164	0
1871	-12	0	1873	0	-206	1877	0	90	1879	0	-46
1889	84	-84	1901	-26	0	1907	0	-46	1913	188	0
1931	0	-150	1933	82	-82	1949	0	-210	1951	-68	0
1973	116	0	1979	58	-58	1987	542	-542	1993	108	-108
1997	0	2	1999	-34	34	2003	8	0	2011	0	-46
2017	-52	0	2027	24	0	2029	0	22	2039	50	-50
2053	0	-218	2063	0	2	2069	114	0	2081	0	-94
2083	138	-138	2087	0	-2	2089	104	-104	2099	0	-38
2111	4	0	2113	438	-438	2129	88	0	2131	-128	0
2137	0	-304	2141	60	-60	2143	118	-118	2153	0	8
2161	-320	0	2179	-228	0	2203	0	-6	2207	10	-10
2213	16	0	2221	-412	0	2237	0	26	2239	-30	30
2243	0	58	2251	-10	10	2267	240	0	2269	28	-28
2273	202	0	2281	-132	132	2287	-52	0	2293	0	32
2297	16	-16	2309	0	-62	2311	-18	18	2333	34	-34
2339	0	0	2341	0	-266	2347	0	18	2351	18	-18
2357	282	-282	2371	128	0	2377	418	0	2381	76	0
2383	0	2	2389	-336	0	2393	0	-34	2399	0	-34
2411	0	-138	2417	0	106	2423	4	0	2437	0	0
2441	0	0	2447	2	-2	2459	38	-38	2467	190	-190
2473	58	0	2477	38	-38	2503	0	-26	2521	0	-26
2531	0	-74	2539	0	-86	2543	44	0	2549	0	-14

p_x	a	b	p_x	a	b	p_x	a	b	p_x	a	b
2551	-46	46	2557	288	-288	2579	12	0	2591	0	-38
2593	642	-642	2609	32	-32	2617	40	-40	2621	0	-24
2633	-64	64	2647	24	0	2657	0	-32	2659	0	-98
2663	10	-10	2671	0	-114	2677	0	-200	2683	0	0
2687	0	10	2689	-204	0	2693	204	0	2699	68	0
2707	282	-282	2711	0	-38	2713	462	-462	2719	2	-2
2729	0	-24	2731	-172	0	2741	172	0	2749	294	-294
2753	202	0	2767	6	-6	2777	0	-58	2789	-16	16
2791	0	-50	2797	86	0	2801	64	-64	2803	12	0
2819	238	-238	2833	0	34	2837	116	0	2843	0	-82
2851	0	-250	2857	-104	0	2861	0	-98	2879	0	-38
2887	-44	0	2897	214	0	2903	0	-6	2909	-72	0
2917	0	-242	2927	6	-6	2939	112	0	2953	-106	0
2957	152	-152	2963	108	0	2969	0	-126	2971	0	-374
2999	0	-10	3001	-324	0						

REFERENCES

- [1] B.J. BIRCH: Elliptic Curves, a Progress Report. *Proceedings of the 1969 Summer Institute on Number Theory*, Stony Brook, New York AMS, pp. 396-400, 1971.
- [2] E. FRIEDMAN: Ideal class groups in basic $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_n}$ extensions with abelian base field. *Inv. Math.* 65 (1982) 425-440.
- [3] S. KAMIENNY: On $J_1(p)$ and the Conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer. *Duke Math. J.* 49 (1982) 329-340.
- [4] D. KUBERT and S. LANG: *Modular Units*, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer-Verlag, 1981.
- [5] J. MANIN: Parabolic Points and Zeta Functions of Modular Curves. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, Vol. 6, No. 1, (1972); AMS translation pp. 19-64.
- [6] B. MAZUR: Modular Curves and the Eisenstein Ideal. *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* 47 (1977) 33-189.
- [7] B. MAZUR: On the Arithmetic of Special Values of L -functions. *Inv. Math.* 55 (1979) 207-240.
- [8] H. RADEMACHER: *Collected Papers*, Volume II, Cambridge, M.I.T. Press (1974).
- [9] B. SCHOENEBERG: *Elliptic Modular Functions*, Springer, Grundle. d. Math. Wiss., Band 203, (1974).
- [10] G. SHIMURA: *Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Functions*, Princeton University Press (1971).
- [11] G. SHIMURA: On the Periods of Modular Forms. *Math. Ann.* 229 (1977) 211-221.
- [12] L. WASHINGTON: The non- p -part of the class number in a cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension. *Inv. Math.* 49 (1978) 87-97.

(Oblatum 17-VIII-1981 & 22-II-1982)

S. Kamienny
 Department of Mathematics
 University of California, Berkeley
 Berkeley, California
 U.S.A.

G. Stevens
 Department of Mathematics
 Rutgers University
 New Brunswick, N.J. 08907
 U.S.A.