

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

RALPH GREENBERG

On the jacobian variety of some algebraic curves

Compositio Mathematica, tome 42, n° 3 (1980), p. 345-359

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1980__42_3_345_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1980, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

ON THE JACOBIAN VARIETY OF SOME ALGEBRAIC CURVES

Ralph Greenberg*

1. Introduction

Let p be a prime and let F be a field of characteristic different from p . We will assume throughout this paper that $p \geq 5$ and that F contains a primitive p -th root of unity. For any integer a such that $1 \leq a \leq p-2$, let J_a denote the Jacobian variety of the curve $y^p = x^a(1-x)$ over F . In this paper we will prove several results about the points of p -power order on J_a . Our most interesting results will concern the case when F is $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[p]{1})$ or $\mathbf{Q}_p(\sqrt[p]{1})$, where \mathbf{Q} denotes the rational numbers and \mathbf{Q}_p the p -adic numbers. However, we will begin by stating two general results. We let \bar{F} denote a fixed algebraic closure of F .

THEOREM 1: *The group $J_a(F)$ of F -rational points on J_a contains a subgroup isomorphic to $(\mathbf{Z}/(p))^3$.*

THEOREM 2: *Let $F_\infty^{(a)}$ denote the field generated by all points of p -power order on $J_a(\bar{F})$. Then $\text{Gal}(F_\infty^{(a)}/F) \cong \mathbf{Z}_p^{d_a}$, where $0 \leq d_a \leq \frac{p+1}{2}$ and \mathbf{Z}_p denotes the additive group of p -adic integers.*

We have a number of remarks to make about these theorems. If F is a finite field of characteristic $\ell (\neq p)$, then the fact that $J_a(F)$ contains a subgroup of order p^3 was noticed by Iwasawa. It follows quite simply from the fact that the roots of the zeta function of $y^p = x^a(1-x)$ are certain Jacobi sums over F by using an elementary congruence for these Jacobi sums which Iwasawa proves in [6]. Our

* Supported in part by a National Science Foundation grant.

proof of theorem 1 provides another, somewhat more conceptual proof of this congruence. By a similar approach, congruences for other Jacobi sums can also be derived. Also, as our arguments will show, the result that $\text{Gal}(F_\infty^{(a)}/F)$ is torsion-free is quite closely related to Iwasawa's congruence.

The value of d_a depends on the nature of the field F . Let \tilde{F} denote the composite of all Z_p -extensions (contained in \bar{F}) of F . Theorem 2 of course shows that $F_\infty^{(a)} \subseteq \tilde{F}$. If F is either a finite field or a finite extension of the field \mathbf{Q}_ℓ of ℓ -adic numbers, then $\text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F) \cong Z_p$ and one can see easily that we must have $F_\infty^{(a)} = \tilde{F}$ and hence $d_a = 1$ in this case. On the other hand, if $F = \mathbf{Q}_p(\sqrt[p]{1})$, then it follows from local class field theory that $\text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F) \cong Z_p^p$ and therefore $F_\infty^{(a)}$ is considerably smaller than \tilde{F} . The most interesting case to consider is when F is the field $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[p]{1})$. Then one can show by class field theory (using Leopoldt's conjecture which is valid for K) that $\text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/K) \cong Z_p^{(p+1)/2}$. (See [2] and [4].) It turns out that "usually" $K_\infty^{(a)} = \tilde{K}$. However, for certain values of a and p , $K_\infty^{(a)}$ is a proper subfield of \tilde{K} . This occurs for example whenever $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and a is either of the two solutions to the congruence $x^2 + x + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. (This can be explained by the fact that, for these values of a , J_a is not a simple abelian variety. See [8].) There are also other cases where $K_\infty^{(a)} \neq \tilde{K}$ which we will describe at the end of Section 3.

In contrast, it is conceivable that the group $J_a(K)$ never contains more than p^3 points of p -power order. In section 5, we will give a necessary and sufficient condition (involving cyclotomic units) for the p -primary subgroup of $J_a(K)$ to be just $(Z/(p))^3$. Our condition is satisfied if p does not divide the class number of the maximal real subfield of K . Despite extensive calculations, there are no known p that do divide this class number. (See [7] and [10].)

Our approach to proving the above theorems is to study the p -adic representation of $\text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$ on the Tate module for J_a for the prime p , using the fact that J_a is of CM-type. In the case $F = K$, this p -adic representation can be described explicitly in terms of certain Jacobi sums. Our final results depend on this explicit description of the above p -adic representation. By following some of the arguments in Iwasawa's paper [6] (which depend on Stickelberger's theorem on the factorization of Jacobi sums), we can determine precisely the field generated by points of order p on J_a over \mathbf{Q}_p and over \mathbf{Q} . (For \mathbf{Q} , we also need to use an explicit reciprocity law proved by Artin and Hasse.) For simplicity, we will just state our results for $J = \prod_{a=1}^{p-2} J_a$. Let L and L_p denote the fields generated over \mathbf{Q} and \mathbf{Q}_p respectively by the points of order p on J . Then it is well-known that $K \subseteq L$ and that

$K_p = \mathbf{Q}_p(\sqrt[p]{\bar{1}})$, the completion of K at the prime over p . It turns out that $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ and $\text{Gal}(L_p/K_p)$ are both elementary abelian p -groups and therefore one can consider them as representation spaces over $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$ for the group $\Delta = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q}) \cong \text{Gal}(K_p/\mathbf{Q}_p)$. (If $x \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ or $\text{Gal}(L_p/K_p)$ and $\delta \in \Delta$, the action of δ is $x \rightarrow \bar{\delta}x\bar{\delta}^{-1}$, where $\bar{\delta}$ is any automorphism of L or L_p extending δ .) The irreducible representations of Δ over $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$ are one-dimensional and correspond to the powers $\omega^i (i = 1, \dots, p - 1)$ of the character $\omega : \Delta \rightarrow (\mathbf{Z}/(p))^{\times}$ defined by $\omega(\delta) = c \pmod p$ if $\delta(\zeta_p) = \zeta_p^c$ for a primitive p -th root of unity ζ_p in K (and K_p). If V is any representation space for Δ over $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$, we let

$$V_i = \{v \in V \mid \delta(v) = \omega^i(\delta)v \text{ for all } \delta \in \Delta\}.$$

We will also regard ω and its powers as characters of Δ with values in \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times} by using the canonical isomorphism of $(\mathbf{Z}/(p))^{\times}$ into \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times} . We can then define V_i whenever V is a \mathbf{Z}_p -module on which Δ acts. We can now state our main results.

THEOREM 3: *Let $i + j = p$. Then $\text{Gal}(L_p/K_p)_i \cong \{0\}$ or $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$. It is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$ if and only if i is odd, $\neq 1$, and p does not divide the numerator of the j -th Bernoulli number B_j .*

THEOREM 4: *Let C denote the group of cyclotomic units in the maximal real subfield K^+ of K . Then $L = K(\{\sqrt[p]{\eta} \mid \eta \in C\})$.*

We want to add a few remarks concerning these theorems. First of all, it is not hard to see from local class field theory that theorem 3 actually does determine L_p uniquely. One needs to know only the so-called “indices of irregularity.” Also, theorem 4 implies immediately that $\text{Gal}(L/K) \cong (\mathbf{Z}/(p))^t$ where $t \leq \frac{p-3}{2}$, since this is the rank of C and the torsion subgroup of C has order prime to p . If we let E^+ denote the full unit group of K^+ , then it is well-known that $[E^+ : C]$ is equal to the class number h^+ of K^+ and from this one finds that $t = \frac{p-3}{2}$ precisely when $p \nmid h^+$. Now the action of Δ on C is such that $(C/C^p)_j$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$ when j is even but $\neq p - 1$ and is otherwise trivial. Thus one can find a set of generators $\{\eta_j\}$, where j is even and $2 \leq j \leq p - 3$, such that $\eta_j C^p$ generates $(C/C^p)_j$. It is not hard to show that Δ acts on $\text{Gal}(K(\sqrt[p]{\eta_j})/K)$ by the character ω^i (where $i + j = p$). Thus $\text{Gal}(L/K)_i$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$ when $\eta_j \notin (K^{\times})^p$ and

is trivial otherwise. If we let $(E^+/C)_p$ denote the p -primary subgroup of E^+/C , then η_j is a p -th power in K^\times if and only if the ω^j -th component $(E^+/C)_{p,j}$ is non-trivial. Thus the structure of $(E^+/C)_p$ as a Δ -module completely determines the structure of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$. The field L itself is actually determined also, as we can see by using the fact that $L \subseteq \bar{K}$.

We also want to point out that theorem 3 could be derived from theorem 4 by using the classical result that η_j is a p -th power in K_p if and only if p divides the numerator of B_j together with the observation that L_p is the completion of L for any prime dividing p . However, we will give a simpler, direct proof of theorem 3.

2. Generalities

Let ϵ_p denote a fixed primitive p -th root of unity in F . We begin by considering the curve $y^p = f(x)$, where $f(x)$ is a non-constant polynomial over F whose irreducible factors occur with multiplicity not divisible by p . In much of this section, we won't need to assume that $p \neq 2$ or 3 . We will study the divisor classes on the above curve from two points of view – namely by using genus theory for the cyclic extension $F(x, y)/F(x)$ and also by using the fact that the Jacobian variety J_p of the above curve has $A = Z[\zeta_p]$ as a ring of endomorphisms, where ζ_p is a fixed primitive p -th root of unity in K .

We can determine the group of divisor classes for the above curve which are defined over F and invariant under the action of $\text{Gal}(F(x, y)/F(x))$ by the following standard genus-theoretic arguments. To avoid a slight complication, we assume that $f(x)$ has at least one linear factor over F . Let $\phi \in \text{Gal}(F(x, y)/F(x))$ be defined by $\phi(y) = \epsilon_p y$. Assume that D is a divisor of degree zero for $F(x, y)$ such that $\phi(D) - D$ is a principal divisor, $\phi(D) - D = (z)$, say. Clearly, $N(z) \in F^\times$, where N is the norm map for the above cyclic extension. In fact, our assumption about $f(x)$ implies that $N(z) \in (F^\times)^p$ as one can see by just noting that every residue class for a ramified prime of $F(x, y)$ corresponding to a linear factor of $f(x)$ has a representative from F and that z must be a unit at the ramified primes. Hence, we may assume that $N(z) = 1$. Thus $z = \phi(w)/w$ for some non-zero element w of $F(x, y)$ and therefore $D - (w)$ is invariant under ϕ and in the same divisor class as D . Let P_1, P_2, \dots, P_t denote the primes of $F(x, y)$ ramified in the extension $F(x, y)/F(x)$. It follows that every divisor class of degree zero invariant under ϕ contains a sum of the P_i 's. These divisor classes have order dividing

p . The only non-trivial principal divisors formed from the P_i 's are multiples of (y) (up to divisors from $F(x)$). Thus we see that the group of invariant divisor classes of degree zero for $F(x, y)/F(x)$ is isomorphic to $(Z/(p))^{t-2}$. If e denotes the number of distinct irreducible factors of $f(x)$ over F and if $d = \deg(f(x))$, $t = e + 1$ if $p \nmid d$ since in this case the infinite prime is ramified. If $p \mid d$, then $t = e$.

The curve $y^p = f(x)$ has a birational automorphism $(x, y) \rightarrow (x, \epsilon_p y)$ (corresponding to ϕ) which induces an endomorphism Z of J_f defined over F . Since $1 + Z + Z^2 + \dots + Z^{p-1}$ is the zero-endomorphism, we obtain a homomorphism of $A = Z[\zeta_p]$ into $\text{End}_F(J_f)$ by mapping ζ_p to Z . If the genus of the above curve is positive, then this is an isomorphism and, to simplify notation, we will identify A with its image.

The Tate module T_f of J_f for the prime p can be viewed as a module over A and therefore over $A_p = A \otimes_Z \mathbf{Z}_p$. Of course, A_p is just the ring of integers in $K_p = \mathbf{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$. Now A_p is a principal ideal domain and hence T_f must be a free A_p -module of rank $r = \frac{2g}{p-1}$, where g is the genus of $y^p = f(x)$. One could easily determine g by Hurwitz' formula but one can also do this as follows. Let $\pi = \zeta_p - 1$, a generator of the maximal ideal of A_p . Then r is equal to the dimension of $T_f/\pi T_f$ over $Z/(p)$. If $\alpha \in A$, we will let $J_f[\alpha]$ denote the kernel of the endomorphism of J_f corresponding to α . The group $J_f[p]$ of p -division points on J_f defined over \bar{F} is isomorphic to T_f/pT_f as an A_p -module and contains $J_f[\pi]$. Hence we can see that $p^r = |J_f[\pi]|$. But $J_f[\pi]$ is isomorphic to the group of divisor classes of degree zero of $\bar{F}(x, y)$ invariant under $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}(x, y)/\bar{F}(x))$ and this just depends on the number of distinct roots of $f(x)$ and its degree d . In particular, if p is odd and if $f(x) = x^a(1-x)$ which $1 \leq a \leq p-2$, then $g = \frac{p-1}{2}$ and the corresponding Tate module T_a is a free A_p -module of rank 1.

From now on we will assume that p is odd and that $f(x)$ is such that $g = \frac{p-1}{2}$. If $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$, then the action of σ on T_f commutes with that of A_p . Since T_f is a free A_p -module of rank 1, we have $\sigma(t) = \rho(\sigma)t$ for all $t \in T_f$, where ρ is a homomorphism of $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$ into $U = A_p^\times$. Let F_∞ denote the subfield of \bar{F} generated by the coordinates of all p -power division points on J_f . Then $\text{Gal}(F_\infty/F)$ is of course isomorphic to the image of ρ . The image of ρ also determines the structure of the p -primary subgroup of $J_f(F)$; it must be precisely $J_f[\pi^{s_f}]$, where s_f is the largest integer such that $\rho(\sigma) \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi^{s_f}}$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$. One simple consequence of this is that $J_f(F)$ will

contain $J_f[p^n]$ if and only if $s_f \geq n(p-1)$, since $\pi^{p-1}A = pA$. This would occur for example if $J_f(F)$ contained a point of order p^{n+1} .

We will assume from here on that $f(x)$ factors into linear factors over F . The genus theoretic arguments described above make it clear that $J_f(F)$ contains at least $J_f[\pi]$. It follows that $\text{Im}(\rho) \subseteq U_1$, where we are using the notation U_s for $\{u \in V \mid u \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi^s}\}$ when $s \geq 1$. We can say more. Let $\tau \in \Delta$ be defined by $\tau(\zeta_p) = \zeta_p^{-1}$. If $\alpha \in A_p$, we will usually write $\tau(\alpha)$ as $\bar{\alpha}$. We let $U^* = \{u \in U_1 \mid u\bar{u} \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times\}$. Then we will show that $\text{Im}(\rho) \subseteq U^*$. This in fact follows easily from Weil's pairing. Let $M = \varprojlim \mu_{p^n}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where μ_{p^n} is the group of p^n -th roots of unity in \bar{F} and the inverse limit is defined by means of the p -th power map from $\mu_{p^{n+1}}$ to μ_{p^n} . Then $M \cong \mathbf{Z}_p$ and the action of $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$ on M is given by a homomorphism $\kappa: \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$. Now if C_1, C_2 are divisor classes for $\bar{F}(x, y)$ of order dividing p^n , choose D_i and $f_i (i = 1, 2)$ such that $D_i \in C_i$, D_1 and D_2 are disjoint, and $p^n D_i = (f_i)$ where $f_i \in \bar{F}(x, y)$. Then $(C_1, C_2) \rightarrow f_1(D_2)/f_2(D_1)$ defines a non-degenerate pairing $J_f[p^n] \times J_f[p^n] \rightarrow \mu_{p^n}$. Here $f(D)$ is defined for $f \in \bar{F}(x, y)$ and for a prime divisor D disjoint from (f) in the obvious way and extended multiplicatively to non-prime divisors. It is clear that for $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$, $(\sigma(C_1), \sigma(C_2)) = \sigma((C_1, C_2))$. Also, if $\phi \in \text{Gal}(\bar{F}(x, y)/\bar{F}(x))$ is the generator defined by $\phi(y) = \epsilon_p y$, then $(\phi(C_1), \phi(C_2)) = (C_1, C_2)$. The Weil pairing $T_f \times T_f \rightarrow M$, which we denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, will then have the properties: $\langle \sigma(t_1), t_2 \rangle = \langle t_1, \kappa(\sigma)\sigma^{-1}(t_2) \rangle$ and $\langle Z(t_1), t_2 \rangle = \langle t_1, Z^{-1}(t_2) \rangle$ for all $t_1, t_2 \in T_f$. By using \mathbf{Z}_p -bilinearity, we find that $\langle \alpha t_1, t_2 \rangle = \langle t_1, \bar{\alpha} t_2 \rangle$ for $\alpha \in A_p$. If $\alpha = \rho(\sigma)$, it follows that $\bar{\alpha} = \kappa(\sigma)\rho(\sigma)^{-1}$, i.e. $\rho(\sigma)\bar{\rho}(\sigma) = \kappa(\sigma)$. This proves that $\text{Im}(\rho) \subseteq U^*$. We also mention in passing that if F is a finite field of order ℓ^r and if σ is the Frobenius automorphism over F , then $\kappa(\sigma) = \ell^r$ and therefore, since $\rho(\sigma)$ and its conjugates over \mathbf{Q}_p are the roots of the zeta function for $y^p = f(x)$ over F , the above statement is just the Riemann Hypothesis in this very special case.

The fact that $\text{Im}(\rho) \subseteq U^*$ has a few consequences that we want to mention. First, if $J_f(F)$ contains a point of order p^n , then $s_f > (n-1)(p-1)$ and so $\kappa(\sigma) \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n-1}\pi}$ and therefore $\equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$. It follows that $\mu_{p^n} \subseteq F$. Secondly, it is easy to see that Δ acts on U_s/U_{s+1} by the character ω^s . In particular, J acts as $(-1)^s$. Now if $s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$ and $\alpha \in U_s \cap U^*$ but $\notin U_{s+1}$, then clearly $\alpha\bar{\alpha} \in U_{s+1}$ and hence s must be odd. Therefore we see that either s_f is odd or $s_f \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$. For example, if we knew that $J_f(F)$ did not contain all the p -division points of J_f , then it would follow that the p -primary subgroup of $J_f(F)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbf{Z}/(p))^s$, where $s = s_f$ is odd. We have one final general remark to make. Let F_1

denote the field generated by all points of order p on J_f . As a Galois module and as an A_p -module, we have $J_f[p] \cong T_f/pT_f$. It follows that $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F_1) = \rho^{-1}(U_{p-1})$ and hence that $\text{Gal}(F_1/F)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of U_1/U_{p-1} . We see easily from this that $\text{Gal}(F_1/F)$ is an elementary abelian p -group, as we mentioned in the introduction.

3. The curves $y^p = x^a(1-x)$

We just assume p is odd at first and that $1 \leq a \leq p-2$. Let $p_0, p_1,$ and p_∞ denote the primes of $F(x)$ corresponding to $x, 1-x,$ and $1/x$. These primes are ramified in the extension $F(x, y)/F(x)$. Let P_0, P_1 and P_∞ be the primes of $F(x, y)$ lying above $p_0, p_1,$ and p_∞ . Let c denote the divisor class containing $D = P_0 - P_\infty$. Then c is invariant under the action of ϕ and the corresponding point on $J_a(F)$ is a generator of $J_a[\pi]$. We will show that $J_a(F)$ contains $J_a[\pi^2]$. This is equivalent to finding a divisor class c_1 of $F(x, y)$ such that $\phi(c_1) - c_1 = c$. We begin by showing that such a c_1 exists if and only if one can find a $z \in F(x, y)$ such that $N(z) = x$, where N is the norm map for the cyclic extension $F(x, y)/F(x)$. The existence of c_1 amounts to the existence of a divisor $D' \in c$ with $N(D') = 0$. If $N(z) = x$, then $D' = D - (z)$ has this property since $N(D) = p_0 - p_\infty = (x)$. Conversely if such a D' exists, then we must at least be able to find a $z' \in F(x, y)$ with $N(z') = \alpha x$ where $\alpha \in F^x$. But by considering residue classes modulo P_1 , we easily see that $\alpha = \beta^p$ where $\beta \in F^x$ and hence $z = \beta^{-1}z'$ has the required property.

We will now show that x is in fact a norm for the extension $F(x, y)/F(x)$. This is a consequence of the following rather well-known lemma since both x and $1-x$ and therefore $x^a(1-x)$ are clearly norms for the extension $F(\sqrt[p]{x})/F(x)$.

LEMMA 1: *Let \mathcal{F} be any field containing a primitive p -th root of unity. Let $u, v \in \mathcal{F}^x$. Then u is a norm for the extension $\mathcal{F}(\sqrt[p]{v})/\mathcal{F}$ if and only if v is a norm for $\mathcal{F}(\sqrt[p]{u})/\mathcal{F}$.*

PROOF: Let ϵ_p be a primitive p -th root of unity in \mathcal{F} . If either u or v is a p -th power in \mathcal{F} , the lemma is trivial. Assuming that this is not the case, let $\phi_u \in \text{Gal}(\mathcal{F}(\sqrt[p]{u})/\mathcal{F})$ be defined by $\phi_u(\sqrt[p]{u}) = \epsilon_p \sqrt[p]{u}$. Define ϕ_v similarly. Consider the cyclic algebra $\mathcal{A} = [\mathcal{F}(\sqrt[p]{u}), \phi_u, v]$. Let $V = \sqrt[p]{u}$. The field $\mathcal{F}(U)$ can be considered as a subalgebra of \mathcal{A} . As a vector space over $\mathcal{F}(U)$, \mathcal{A} has a basis $1, V, V^2, \dots, V^{p-1}$ where $V\alpha V^{-1} = \phi_u(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ and $V^p = v$. Furthermore, v is

a norm for the extension $\mathcal{F}(U)/\mathcal{F}$ if and only if \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to the algebra of $p \times p$ matrices over \mathcal{F} . But we can clearly identify $\mathcal{F}(\sqrt[p]{v})$ with the subalgebra $\mathcal{F}(V)$. Also $UVU^{-1} = \phi_v^{-1}(V)$ so that the inner automorphism of \mathcal{A} by V restricts to ϕ_v^{-1} on $\mathcal{F}(V)$. Since $1, U, U^2, \dots, U^{p-1}$ is a basis for \mathcal{A} over $\mathcal{F}(V)$, we see that \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to the cyclic algebra $[\mathcal{F}(\sqrt[p]{v}), \phi_v^{-1}, u]$. Since this is the $p \times p$ matrix algebra if and only if u is a norm for $\mathcal{F}(\sqrt[p]{v})/\mathcal{F}$, lemma 1 is proved.

The following proposition follows immediately from what we have said above and at the end of section 2. Theorem 1 of course follows from this.

PROPOSITION 1: *If $p = 3, J_a[\pi^2] \subseteq J_a(F)$. If $p > 3, J_a[\pi^3] \subseteq J_a(F)$.*

Theorem 2 also follows quickly. Letting ρ_a denote the p -adic representation of $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$ on the Tate module T_a for J_a , we have $\text{Gal}(F^{(a)}/F) \cong \text{Im}(\rho_a)$. But $U^* \cong \mathbf{Z}_p^{(p+1)/2} \times \mathbf{Z}/(p)$ as a topological group and $U^* \cap U_2 \cong \mathbf{Z}_p^{(p+1)/2}$. Since $\text{Im}(\rho_a) \subseteq U^* \cap U_2$, we obtain theorem 2.

In the case where F is a finite field of order ℓ^r (with $\ell^r \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$) since we are assuming that F contains a primitive p -th root of unity), proposition 1 immediately gives a congruence for the roots of the zeta function of $y^p = x^a(1-x)$ over F . If σ denotes the Frobenius automorphisms of \bar{F}/F , then these roots are precisely the conjugates of $\rho_a(\sigma)$ over \mathbf{Q}_p and they must be $\equiv 1 \pmod{\pi^2}$ and even $\pmod{\pi^3}$ if $p > 3$. The fact that σ actually gives an endomorphism of J_a which commutes with Z together with the fact that A is a maximal commutative subring of $\text{End}(J_a)$ shows that $\rho_a(\sigma)$ is in A and not just A_p . Let us assume that F is the residue class field A/\mathcal{I} , where \mathcal{I} is a prime ideal dividing ℓ . We also assume that ϵ_p is $\zeta_p \pmod{\mathcal{I}}$. Now the roots of the zeta function are known to be the conjugates over Q of the Jacobi sum

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{I}} = - \sum_{\substack{x \in F \\ x \neq 0, 1}} \phi(x)\phi^a(1-x) = \frac{g(1)g(a)}{g(1+a)},$$

where ϕ is the p -th power residue character mod \mathcal{I} and $g(t)$ is the Gaussian sum for F corresponding to the character ϕ^t . It is not essential for our arguments to know which conjugate of $\alpha_{\mathcal{I}}$ is $\rho_a(\sigma)$. But this could be found as follows. Because of the above congruence $\rho_a(\sigma)$ is determined by its factorization. The CM-type of J_a is known to be $K_a = \{\delta \in \Delta \mid [\omega(\delta)] + [a\omega(\delta)] < p\}$, where $[\]$ denotes the first digit in the p -adic expansion, and thus we have $(\rho_a(\sigma)) = \prod_{\delta \in K_a} \mathcal{I}^{\delta-1}$. (See [3].) On the other hand, by a theorem of Stickelberger we have $(g(1)^p) = \mathcal{I}^R$, where $R = \sum_{c=1}^{p-1} c\delta_{-c}^{-1}$, where $\delta_c \in \Delta$ is determined by

$\delta_c(\zeta_p) = \zeta_p^c$. It follows that $(\alpha_{\mathcal{J}}) = \mathcal{J}^{S_a}$, with $S_a = \frac{1}{p} (\delta_1 + \delta_a - \delta_{1+a})\mathcal{R}$. By comparing, one finds that $\rho_a(\sigma) = \alpha_{\mathcal{J}}$.

Now we come to the case $F = K = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$. Let $G = G_a = \text{Gal}(K_{\infty}^{(a)}/K)$ and let $H = H_a$ be the inertia subgroup of G corresponding to the prime (π) of K , which is the only ramified prime in $K_{\infty}^{(a)}/K$ since $K_{\infty}^{(a)} \subseteq \tilde{K}$. Letting I denote the group of fractional ideals of K prime to p , the Artin symbol $\sigma_a = \left(\frac{K_{\infty}^{(a)}/K}{\mathfrak{a}}\right)$ defines a homomorphism $\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \sigma_a$ of I to G . The image of I is dense in G by the Tchebotarev density theorem. If \mathcal{J} is a prime ideal of $K (\neq (\pi))$, then $\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}$ is just the corresponding Frobenius automorphisms and we have $\rho_a(\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}) = \alpha_{\mathcal{J}}$. The image $\rho_a(G)$ is clearly just the closure of the subgroup generated by the $\alpha_{\mathcal{J}}$'s for all \mathcal{J} . We will follow Iwasawa [6] to study this image. Let I_0 be the subgroup of I of principal ideals. The image of I_0 in G under the Artin map is a dense subgroup of H . If $\mathfrak{a} \in I$, then obviously $(\rho_a(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}})) = \mathfrak{a}^{S_a}$ and if $\mathfrak{a} \in I_0$, then $\rho_a(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}) = \alpha^{S_a}$ where α is any generator of \mathfrak{a} such that $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi^2}$. It follows easily that $\rho_a(H) = U_2^{S_a}$.

We will conclude this section by determining $d_a = d_a(K) = \text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(G)$. Since H has finite index in G , this amounts to finding $\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(U_2^{S_a})$.

It is easy to determine this rank by decomposing U_2 by the action of Δ . If $\chi = \omega^i, 1 \leq i \leq p - 1$, then the χ -component of U_2 is isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}_p and S_a acts as multiplication by

$$\chi(S_a) = \pm(1 + \chi(a) - \chi(a + 1))B_{1,\chi^{-1}}.$$

Now $B_{1,\chi}$ is non-zero precisely when χ is odd or χ is the principal character. If $e_a = \frac{p+1}{2} - d_a$, then it is clear that e_a is the number of odd χ 's such that $\chi(a + 1) = 1 + \chi(a)$. It is easy to see that this holds only when $\chi(a)$ is a primitive 6-th root of unity and $\chi(a + 1) = \chi(a)^2$. Obviously, if $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then $e_a = 0$. On the other hand, if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and if $a^2 + a + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then $\chi(a + 1) = 1 + \chi(a)$ whenever $\chi(a) \neq 1$. Thus $d_a = \frac{p-1}{6} + 1$ and one can see that $K_{\infty}^{(a)} = KK'$, where K' is the subfield of K fixed by $\{1, \delta_a, \delta_a^2\}$. For such a , J_a turns out to be isogenous over K to $(J'_a)^3$ where J'_a is an abelian variety of dimension $\frac{p-1}{6}$ with the ring of integers of K' as a ring of endomorphisms. (See [8].)

There are many other pairs (a, p) for which e_a is non-zero. The first exceptional pairs occur for $p = 67$. In addition to the values of a

discussed in the last paragraph ($a = 29$ and 37 for $p = 67$), we have $e_a = 2$ for $a = 6, 10, 19, 47, 56,$ and 60 . (Note the easily explained symmetry $a \leftrightarrow p - 1 - a$.) The exceptional characters in these cases are the two characters of Δ of order 6. The following remark due to Lenstra shows that similar exceptional pairs (a, p) occur for all sufficiently large p such that $p \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$. A character χ of order 6 of Δ will then correspond to an odd character mod p . Let g be a primitive root mod p . We have $\chi(a + 1) = 1 + \chi(a)$ if $a \equiv gx^6$ and $a + 1 \equiv g^2y^6 \pmod{p}$ with $(x, p) = (y, p) = 1$. But the Riemann Hypothesis for the curve $gx^6 + 1 = g^2y$ over $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$ provides many such values of a . (The genus of this curve is 10.) More generally, if $p \equiv 6r + 1 \pmod{12r}$ and if χ is any character of Δ of order $6r$, then χ will again be odd and the existence of a 's satisfying $\chi(a + 1) = 1 + \chi(a)$ for sufficiently large p follows by considering the curve $g^rx^{6r} + 1 = g^{2r}y^{6r}$ over $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$. One can make e_a arbitrarily large in this way. We also want to remark that for any fixed value of $a > 1$, one can prove the existence of infinitely many primes p such that $e_a = e_a(p)$ is non-zero by using the Tchebotarev density theorem. We will omit the details.

Finally, we want to point out here that the field $K_\infty^{(a)}$ is actually completely determined by the exceptional χ 's. By class field theory, it is not difficult to show that if χ is either an odd character or the principal character of Δ , then there exists a unique \mathbb{Z}_p -extension \tilde{K}_χ of K such that $\tilde{K}_\chi/\mathbb{Q}$ is a Galois extension and such that Δ acts on $\text{Gal}(\tilde{K}_\chi/K)$ by means of χ . By noting that ρ_a induces a Δ -isomorphism of G with $\text{Im}(\rho_a)$, one can see that $K_\infty^{(a)}$ is precisely the composite of the \tilde{K}_χ 's for those χ such that $\chi(a + 1) \neq 1 + \chi(a)$.

4. The local field of p -division points

Let $K_p = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$ and let $L_p^{(a)}$ denote the extension of K_p generated by the points of order p on J_a . Now H_a can be identified with $\text{Gal}(K_{p,\infty}^{(a)}/K_p)$. We will then have $\text{Gal}(K_{p,\infty}^{(a)}/L_p) = \{h \in H_a \mid \rho_a(h) \equiv 1 \pmod{pA_p}\}$ and hence $\text{Gal}(L_p^{(a)}/K_p)$ will be isomorphic to the corresponding quotient group of H_a . Using the fact that $\rho_a(H_a) = U_2^{\mathbb{Z}_a}$, we find that as Δ -modules $\text{Gal}(L_p^{(a)}/K_p) \cong V^{S_a}$, where $V = U_2/U_{p-1}$. Now as mentioned earlier U_i/U_{i+1} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$ with Δ acting by ω^i . Thus, considering V as a representation space for Δ over $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$, each character χ of Δ occurs with multiplicity one in V except for $\omega^{p-1} = \omega^0$ and ω^1 , which don't occur in V at all. If $\chi = \omega^i, 2 \leq i \leq p - 2$, then S_a acts on V_χ as multiplication by $\chi(S_a) = \pm(1 + \chi(a) - \chi(a + 1)) B_{1,\chi^{-1}}$. For these χ 's, the χ -component of $\text{Gal}(L_p^{(a)}/K_p)$ is non-trivial precisely when $\chi(S_a) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}_p}$. It is well-known that p divides $B_{1,\chi^{-1}}$ if and only if p

divides the Bernoulli number B_j , where $i + j = p$. (Up to p -adic units, both occur as values of the same p -adic L -function $L_p(s, \omega^i)$.) Therefore, if $p \mid B_j$ then $\text{Gal}(L_p^{(a)}/K_p)_i$ will be trivial for every a . It is also possible that $1 + \chi(a) - \chi(a + 1)$ is divisible by p for certain values of a . Obviously this cannot occur for every a since then one would have $\chi = \omega$ which has been excluded. Also we note that only odd χ 's can occur non-trivially in $\text{Gal}(L_p^{(a)}/K_p)$.

The above remarks allow us to determine $L_p^{(a)}$ completely. By local class field theory, K_p has a unique cyclic extension L_χ of degree p such that L_χ/\mathbf{Q}_p is Galois and such that Δ acts on $\text{Gal}(L_\chi/K_p)$ by the character χ , where $\chi = \omega^i$ with $2 \leq i \leq p - 2$. (This is not true for $\chi = \omega^0$ and ω^1 !) We see that $L_p^{(a)}$ is just the composite of those L_χ 's for which $\chi(S_a) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{pZ_p}$. Obviously L_χ will be contained in $L_p^{(a)}$ for some a if and only if $p \nmid B_j$. Theorem 3 follows immediately from these considerations.

It is interesting to examine the structure of the p -primary subgroup of $J_a(K_p)$. Of course, $J_a[\pi^3]$ is contained in $J_a(K_p)$. (We are assuming here that $p \geq 5$.) In order to have more than this, we would have to have $\rho_a(H_a) \subseteq U_4$. Hence a necessary and sufficient condition for having $J_a[\pi^4] \subseteq J_a(K_p)$ is that $\omega^3(S_a) \equiv 0 \pmod{pZ_p}$. Now it is easy to see that $1 + \chi(a) \not\equiv \chi(a + 1) \pmod{p}$ for $\chi = \omega^3$ and $1 \leq a \leq p - 2$. Therefore $\omega^3(S_a) \equiv 0 \pmod{pZ_p}$ if and only if p divides B_{p-3} . The first such prime (and the only one less than 125,000) is $p = 16843$. (See [7] and [10].) Of course, for this p we actually have $J_a[\pi^5] \subseteq J_a(K_p)$ for every a ($1 \leq a \leq p - 2$). Now $p \nmid B_{p-5}$ for this p and hence the p -primary subgroup of $J_a(K_p)$ will be precisely $J_a[\pi^5]$ unless $\omega^5(a + 1) \equiv \omega^5(a) + 1 \pmod{p}$. There are just two values of a within the range $1 \leq a \leq p - 2$ for which this congruence holds – the two solutions of $a^2 + a + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. In fact, for these two values of a , we have $\chi(a + 1) = \chi(a) + 1$ whenever $\chi = \omega^i$ with $i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Since $p \nmid B_{p-9}$ for the above p , we can see that, for these two values of a , the p -primary subgroup of $J_a(K_p)$ is $J_a[\pi^9]$, an elementary abelian p -group of order p^9 . In general, for $p \geq 5$, one can at least say that $J_a(K_p)$ never contains all the p -division points on J_a . This follows by an easy argument using the trivial fact that B_2 and B_4 are not divisible by p .

5. The global field of p -division points

Let $L^{(a)}$ denote the extension of $K = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$ generated by the points of order p on J_a . The field L generated by the p -division points on J is of course just the composite of the $L^{(a)}$'s. Now $\text{Gal}(L^{(a)}/K)$ is a

quotient group of $\text{Gal}(K_\infty^{(a)}/K)$ and the representation ρ_a induces an isomorphism $\bar{\rho}_a$ of $\text{Gal}(L^{(a)}/K)$ with a subgroup of $V = U_2/U_{p-1}$. In fact, since $\text{Im}(\rho_a) \subseteq U^*$, it follows that $\text{Im}(\bar{\rho}_a) \subseteq V^* = \{v \in V \mid v^{1+\tau} = 1\}$. Noticing that V_i^* is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$ if i is odd and $3 \leq i \leq p-2$ (and is trivial otherwise), we can see that $L^{(a)}$ can be expressed as a composite of certain fields $L_i^{(a)}$, where i varies through the values just mentioned and where $L_i^{(a)}$ is a cyclic extension of K of degree 1 or p which is Galois over \mathbf{Q} with the action of Δ on $\text{Gal}(L_i^{(a)}/K)$ given by the character ω^i . Now if i is as above and if $i+j=p$, then j will be even and satisfy $2 \leq j \leq p-3$ and so we can define another field $L'_i = K(\sqrt[p]{\eta_j})$, where η_j is the cyclotomic unit in the introduction. We will prove that $L_i^{(a)} = L'_i$ whenever $\omega^i(a+1) \not\equiv \omega^i(a)+1 \pmod{p\mathbf{Z}_p}$ and $L_i^{(a)} = K$ otherwise. Theorem 4 follows at once from this because $\omega^i(a+1) \equiv \omega^i(a)+1 \pmod{p\mathbf{Z}_p}$ cannot hold for all a unless $i \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}$ and this has been excluded.

To prove the above result, we use Bauer's well-known theorem that a Galois extension is determined by the set of primes which split completely in it. Let \mathcal{P} be a prime of $K(\neq(\pi))$ and let $\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}$ denote the Frobenius automorphism for \mathcal{P} in the extension $K_\infty^{(a)}/K$. Then it is clear that \mathcal{P} splits in the extension $L_i^{(a)}/K$ if and only if the projection of $\bar{\rho}_a(\sigma_{\mathcal{P}})$ onto the ω^i -th component V_i^* is trivial. Now let $\rho_a(\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}) = \alpha \in U^*$. Of course α is just the Jacobi sum $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}}$ and depends on both \mathcal{P} and a . Let e_i denote the idempotent $\frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} \omega^{-i}(\delta)\delta$ corresponding to ω^i in the group ring $\mathbf{Z}_p[\Delta]$. The projection of α onto the ω^i -th component U_i^* is just α^{e_i} . Thus we see that \mathcal{P} splits in $L_i^{(a)}/K$ if and only if α^{e_i} is a p -th power in U_i^* (or equivalently in U). Choose an element $e'_i \in \mathbf{Z}[\Delta]$ whose coefficients are close enough to those of e_i p -adically to make all of the following arguments work. It will always be clear that this is possible. We also assume that the coefficients of e'_i have sum equal to zero. We must examine when $\alpha^{e'_i}$ (which is now in K) is a p -th power in U . We have the factorization $(\alpha^{e'_i}) = \mathcal{P}^{e'_i S_a}$. It will be convenient to restrict attention to primes \mathcal{P} whose ideal class is in the p -primary subgroup P of the ideal class group of K . It is not hard to see that a Galois p -extension of K is still determined by the set of such primes that split completely in it.

The ideal class of $\mathcal{P}^{e'_i}$ will be a typical element of P_i . So we see that P_i is annihilated by $\omega^i(S_a) = (1 + \omega^i(a) - \omega^i(a+1)) B_{1,\omega^{-1}}$ and therefore by $B_{1,\omega^{-1}}$ (since $i \neq 1 \pmod{p-1}$ and a can be varied). This of course is just the usual proof of this simple consequence of Stickelberger's theorems. For $i = 3, 5, \dots, p-2$, let p^{n_i} be the largest power of p dividing $B_{1,\omega^{-i}}$. Then P_i has exponent dividing p^{n_i} .

Now $e'_i S_a$ is close to $e'_i \omega^i(S_a)$ in the p -adic topology on $Z_p[\Delta]$. If p divides $1 + \omega^i(a) - \omega^i(a + 1)$, then we will have (α^{e_i}) equal to a p -th power of a principal ideal in K . It is not hard to see from this that α^{e_i} is in $(K^x)^p$ and hence \mathcal{F} splits in $L_i^{(a)}$. In this case, we see that $L_i^{(a)} = K$ as we stated above. Similarly, if P_i has exponent smaller than p^{n_i} , then we actually have $L_i^{(a)} = K$ for all a .

We assume now that $1 + \omega^i(a) \not\equiv \omega^i(a + 1) \pmod{pZ_p}$. Our result will follow if we show that α^{e_i} is a p -th power in K_p if and only if $\left(\frac{\eta_i}{\mathcal{F}}\right)_0 = 1$, where $(\div)_0$ is the p -th power residue symbol for K . This is proved in [5] and we essentially are just going to reproduce his argument here. If $\pi = \zeta_p - 1$ as before, then we can take η_i to be π^{e_i} . (This is a unit since the coefficients of the “approximate” idempotent e'_i have sum zero.) Using elementary properties of the power residue symbol, we find that

$$\left(\frac{\eta_i}{\mathcal{F}}\right)_0 = \left(\frac{\pi^{e_i}}{\mathcal{F}}\right)_0 = \left(\frac{\pi}{\mathcal{F}^{e_i}}\right)_0.$$

Consider the cyclotomic field $K_n = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_n)$ where ζ_n is a primitive p^{n+1} -st root of unity and $n \geq 0$. (A slight change in notation – ζ_p will now be written as ζ_0 .) We may assume that $\zeta_n^{p^n} = \zeta_0$. Then if $\pi_n = \zeta_n - 1$, we have that $N_{n,0}(\pi_n) = \pi_0 = \pi$. Therefore, we see that

$$\left(\frac{\pi}{\mathfrak{a}}\right)_0 = \left(\frac{\pi_n}{\mathfrak{a}}\right)_{0,n},$$

where $(-)_0$ denotes the p -th power residue symbol in K . Here \mathfrak{a} is an arbitrary ideal of K prime to (π) . If $(-)_n$ is the p^{n+1} -th power residue symbol in K_n , then $(-)_n^n = (-)_{0,n}$. Applying these elementary facts to $\mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{F}^{e_i}$ and $n = n_i$, we have

$$\left(\frac{\pi}{\mathcal{F}^{e_i}}\right)_0 = \left(\frac{\pi_n}{\mathcal{F}^{e_i p^n}}\right)_n = \left(\frac{\pi}{\alpha^{e_i}}\right)_n^b,$$

where b is some integer prime to p .

We recall the following result of Artin and Hasse [1]. Let $\beta \in K_n$ satisfy $\beta \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi_n}$. Then

$$\left(\frac{\pi_n}{\beta}\right)_n = \zeta_n^{r(\beta)},$$

where

$$r(\beta) = \frac{1}{p^{n+1}} T_n \left(\frac{\zeta_n}{\pi_n} \log_p \beta \right),$$

where T_n denotes the trace from $\mathbf{Q}_p(\zeta_n)$ to \mathbf{Q}_p . Now a simple calculation shows that the trace of $\frac{\zeta_n}{\pi_n}$ from K_n to K is $p^n \frac{\zeta_0}{\pi_0}$. Hence if $\beta \in K$, then $r(\beta) = \frac{1}{p} T_0 \left(\frac{\zeta_0}{\pi_0} \log_p \beta \right)$. If we view K_p as a representation space for Δ over \mathbf{Q}_p , then we can decompose it into a direct sum of the one dimensional spaces $e_i K_p$, $1 \leq i \leq p-1$. The Gaussian sum $g_i = e_i \zeta_0 = \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \omega^{-i}(a) \zeta_0^a$ spans $e_i K_p$ over \mathbf{Q}_p . If $A \in e_{i_1} K_p$ and $B \in e_{i_2} K_p$, then it is easy to see that $AB \in e_{i_1+i_2} K_p$ and that

$$T_0(AB) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i_1 + i_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p-1} \\ (p-1)AB & \text{if } i_1 + i_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}. \end{cases}$$

Now, letting $\beta = \alpha^{e_i}$, we obviously have

$$r(\beta) = \frac{1}{p} T_0 \left(\frac{\zeta_0}{\pi_0} e'_i \log_p \alpha \right) \equiv \frac{1}{p} T_0 \left(\frac{\zeta_0}{\pi_0} e_i \log_p(\alpha) \right) \pmod{p^{n+1} \mathbf{Z}_p}$$

if e'_i is close enough p -adically to e_i . To evaluate this, we must find the projection $e_{-i} \frac{\zeta_0}{\pi_0}$ on the ω^{-i} -th component of K_p . This is easily found from the elementary identity

$$\frac{\zeta_0}{\pi_0} = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi_0} = \frac{1}{p} (1 + \zeta_0 + 2\zeta_0^2 + 3\zeta_0^3 + \cdots + (p-1)\zeta_0^{p-1})$$

which can be derived by differentiating $x^p - 1 = (x-1)(1+x+x^2+\cdots+x^{p-1})$. We obtain

$$e_{-i} \frac{\zeta_0}{\pi_0} = B_{1,\omega^{-i}g_{-i}}$$

On the other hand, $e_i \log_p(\alpha) = \ell_i(\alpha)g_i$, where $\ell_i(\alpha) \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ and we will have $\ell_i(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{p\mathbf{Z}_p}$ if and only if α^{e_i} is a p -th power in U . From all of these remarks, we find that

$$\frac{1}{p} T_0 \left(\frac{\zeta_0}{\pi_0} e_i \log_p(\alpha) \right) = \pm (p-1) B_{1,\omega^{-i}g_i} \ell_i(\alpha).$$

It follows that $r(\alpha^i) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n+1}}$ if and only if $\ell_i(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{pZ_p}$, providing what we needed.

We conclude this paper by coming back to the question of the structure of the p -primary subgroup of $J_a(K)$. It would be larger than $J_a[\pi^3]$ if and only if $\rho_a(\sigma_{\mathcal{F}}) = \alpha_{\mathcal{F}} \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi^4 A}$ holds for all \mathcal{F} . This means that the projection of $\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}$ onto the ω^3 -component of V^* is trivial. Since we have $\omega^3(a+1) \not\equiv \omega^3(a)+1 \pmod{pZ_p}$, our arguments show that η_{p-3} must be a p -th power in K . Hence $J_a(K)$ contains $J_a[\pi^4]$ (and therefore $J_a[\pi^5]$) if and only if $(E^+/C)_{p-3}$ is non-trivial. We also want to point out how to describe the structure of the p -primary subgroup of $J_a(K)$ as a representation space (over $Z/(p)$) for the natural action of Δ . First note that $J_a[\pi] \subseteq J_a(\mathbf{Q})$. Thus Δ acts on $J_a[\pi]$ by the character ω^0 . Now multiplication by π gives a group theoretic isomorphisms $J_a[\pi^{t+1}]/J_a[\pi^t]$ onto $J_a[\pi^t]/J_a[\pi^{t-1}]$ for $t \geq 1$. Using the fact that $\delta(\pi) \equiv \omega(\delta)\pi \pmod{\pi^2 Z_p}$, one finds inductively that $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$ acts on $J_a[\pi^{t+1}]/J_a[\pi^t]$ through its quotient group Δ by the character ω^{-t} . Thus the semisimple action of Δ on $J_a[\pi^3] \subseteq J_a(K)$ has the characters ω^0, ω^{-1} , and ω^{-2} as its constituents. One simple consequence is that $J_a(K^+)$ has a subgroup isomorphic to $(Z/(p))^2$, where K^+ is the maximal real subfield of K .

REFERENCES

- [1] E. ARTIN and H. HASSE: Die beiden Ergänzungssätze zum Reziprozitätsgesetz der ℓ^n -ten Potenzreste im Körper der \mathcal{F}^n -ten Einheitswurzeln. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* 6 (1928) 146–162.
- [2] A. BRUMER: On the units of algebraic number fields. *Mathematika* 14 (1967) 121–124.
- [3] B. GROSS and D. ROHRLICH: Some Results on the Mordell–Weil Group of the Jacobian of the Fermat Curve. *Inventiones, Math.* 44 (1978) 201–224.
- [4] K. IWASAWA: On Z_ℓ -extensions of algebraic number fields. *Ann. of Math.* 98 (1973) 246–326.
- [5] K. IWASAWA: A note on cyclotomic fields. *Inventiones Math.* 36 (1976) 115–123.
- [6] K. IWASAWA: A note on Jacobi sums, *Symposia Mathematica*, Vol. 15 (1975), 447–459.
- [7] W. JOHNSON: Irregular primes and cyclotomic invariants. *Math. Comp.* 29 (1975) 113–120.
- [8] N. KOBLITZ and D. ROHRLICH: Simple Factors in the Jacobian of a Fermat curve, (to appear in Canadian Journ. of Math.).
- [9] G. SHIMURA and Y. TANIYAMA: *Complex Multiplication of Abelian Varieties and its Applications to Number Theory*. Tokyo, Math. Soc. Japan 1961.
- [10] S. WAGSTAFF: The Irregular Primes to 125000. *Math. Comp.* 32 (1978) 583–591.

(Oblatum 19–IX–1979)

Department of Mathematics
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98195,
U.S.A.