

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

C. M. PAREEK

The inverse image of a metric space under a biquotient compact mapping

Compositio Mathematica, tome 25, n° 3 (1972), p. 323-328

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1972__25_3_323_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1972, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

THE INVERSE IMAGE OF A METRIC SPACE UNDER A BIQUOTIENT COMPACT MAPPING

by

C. M. Pareek

1. Introduction

In this note we define the notion of a P_1 -space which is a generalization of the notion of paracompact p -space by Arhangel'skii [1], and prove that a regular space admits a biquotient compact mapping onto a metric space if and only if it is a P_1 -space.

All maps are assumed continuous and onto. A regular space is also a T_1 -space. For the definition of p -space, see [1]. The notation and terminology which is not defined here will follow that of [4].

2. Preliminaries

We shall need the following definitions:

A topological space X is called a P_1 -space if there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of open covers of X satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) \mathcal{V}_{i+1} star refines \mathcal{V}_i for each i ;
- (b) for each $x \in X$, $L(x) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} st(x, \mathcal{V}_i)$ is compact;
- (c) for each $A \subset X$ and any $x \in X$, if $st(x, \mathcal{V}_i) \cap L(A) \neq \phi$ for each i , then $L(x) \cap cl(L(A)) \neq \phi$ where $L(A) = \cup \{L(x) | x \in A\}$.

The mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called:

quotient if the set $M \subset Y$ is closed if and only if $f^{-1}M$ is closed (this is equivalent to the condition: the set $M \subset Y$ is open if and only if $f^{-1}M$ is open);

pseudo-open (pre-closed or hereditarily quotient) if for an arbitrary neighborhood U of the inverse $f^{-1}y$ of an arbitrary point y from Y , the interior of the set fU contains the point.

open if images of open sets are open;

closed if images of closed sets are closed;

compact if the inverse image of any point is compact;

perfect if, it is simultaneously closed and compact;

biquotient if, for any point y in Y and any open cover \mathcal{U} of $f^{-1}y$ there

exists a finite number of members of \mathcal{U} such that the point y is interior to the image of their union.

PROPOSITION 2.1. A topological space X is a P_1 -space if and only if there exist a sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of open covers of X satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) \mathcal{V}_{i+1} star refines \mathcal{V}_i for each i ;
- (b) for each $x \in X$, $L(x) = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty st(x, \mathcal{V}_i)$ is compact;
- (c) for each $x \in X$ and any neighborhood U of $L(x)$, there is an i such that $st(x, \mathcal{V}_i) \subset L(U)$ where $L(U) = \cup\{L(x)|x \in U\}$.

PROOF. Let X be a P_1 -space. Then there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of open covers of X satisfying the required conditions. Let x be a fixed but arbitrary point of X and let U be a neighborhood of $L(x)$. Suppose $st(x, \mathcal{V}_i) \cap (X - L(U)) \neq \phi$ for each i . Then by the hypothesis $L(x) \cap cl(L(X - L(U))) \neq \phi$. Since it is easy to see that $L(X - L(U)) = X - L(U)$, therefore $L(x) \cap cl(X - L(U)) \neq \phi$. But $L(x) \cap cl(X - L(U)) \neq \phi$ implies every neighborhood of $L(x)$ has a nonempty intersection with $X - L(U)$, which is not true as U is a neighborhood of $L(x)$ and $U \subset L(U)$. Hence for some i , $st(x, \mathcal{V}_i) \cap X - L(U) = \phi$, i.e., $st(x, \mathcal{V}_i) \subset L(U)$.

Conversely, suppose there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of open covers of X satisfying conditions (a), (b) and (c) of the hypothesis. Let $x \in X$ and let A be any subset of X such that $st(x, \mathcal{V}_i) \cap L(A) \neq \phi$ for each i . Suppose $L(x) \cap cl(L(A)) = \phi$. Then $X - cl(L(A))$ is an open neighborhood of $L(x)$. Yence by the hypothesis there exists an i such that $st(x, \mathcal{V}_i) \subset L(X - cl(L(A))) \subset L(X - L(A)) = X - L(A)$, a contradiction to the fact that $st(x, \mathcal{V}_i) \cap L(A) \neq \phi$ for each i . Hence if $st(x, \mathcal{V}_i) \cap L(A) \neq \phi$ for each i , then $L(x) \cap cl(L(A)) \neq \phi$. This proves the proposition.

The above proposition suggests the definition:

A topological space X is called a p_1 -space if there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ open covers of X satisfying the following conditions

- (a) \mathcal{V}_{i+1} refines \mathcal{V}_i for each i ;
- (b) for each $x \in X$, $L(x) = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty st(x, \mathcal{V}_i)$ is compact;
- (c) for each $x \in X$ and every neighborhood U of $L(x)$, there is an i such that $st(x, \mathcal{V}_i) \subset L(U)$.

The following is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2 [3, p. 605].

PROPOSITION 2.2. Every strict p -space is a p_1 -space.

3. Biquotient mappings

THEOREM 3.1. Let f be a pseudo-open compact mapping of a regular space X onto a paracompact Hausdorff space Y . Then X is a paracompact space.

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha | \alpha \in A\}$ be an open cover of a space X . For each $y \in Y$ choose a finite cover U_1, \dots, U_{n_y} of $f^{-1}y$ from \mathcal{U} and set $O_y = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_y} U_{y,i}$. Then $\mathcal{P} = \{P_y = \text{int } fO_y | y \in Y\}$ is an open cover of Y . Since Y is paracompact, there exists a locally finite refinement $\mathcal{W} = \{W_y | y \in Y'\}$ of \mathcal{P} where $Y' \subset Y$, $y \in W_y$ and $W_y \neq W_{y'}$ for distinct points y, y' of Y' . Let $\mathcal{R} = \{f^{-1}W_y \cap U_{y,i} | y \in Y \text{ and } i = 1, 2, \dots, n_y\}$. Then it is easy to see that \mathcal{R} is a locally finite open refinement of \mathcal{U} . Consequently, X is a paracompact space. Hence the theorem is proved.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a compact mapping, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) f is biquotient.
- (ii) f is pseudo-open.
- (iii) for each $M \subset Y$ and $y \in Y$, $y \in \text{cl}M$ if and only if $f^{-1}y \cap \text{cl}f^{-1}M \neq \emptyset$.

PROOF. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) This is trivial.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) See lemma 1.3 of [5]. One may note that the compactness of f is not needed to show that (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii).

If $f_\alpha : X_\alpha \rightarrow Y_\alpha$ is a mapping of X_α onto Y_α for all $\alpha \in A$, then the product map $f = \prod_{\alpha \in A} f_\alpha$ from $\prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$ to $\prod_{\alpha \in A} Y_\alpha$ is defined by $fX = (f_\alpha x_\alpha)_\alpha$.

PROPOSITION 3.3. (E. Michael [6]) *Any product (finite of infinite) of biquotient maps is a biquotient map.*

The following is the main theorem of this note.

THEOREM 3.4. *A topological space X is a P_1 -space if and only if there exists a biquotient compact mapping f of X onto some metric space Y .*

We shall divide the proof of the theorem in two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *If there exists a biquotient compact mapping f of a space X onto a metric space Y , then X is a P_1 -space.*

PROOF. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a biquotient compact mapping of a space X onto a metric space Y . Since Y is a metric space, Y is a T_1 -space and there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{W}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of open covers of Y such that (1) \mathcal{W}_{i+1} star refines \mathcal{W}_i for each i , and (2) for each $y \in Y$, $\{st(y, \mathcal{W}_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a base for the neighborhood system at y . Consider the sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of open covers of X , where $\mathcal{V}_i = f^{-1}\mathcal{W}_i$ for each i . Now it is easy to see that \mathcal{V}_{i+1} star refines \mathcal{V}_i for each i and $f^{-1}fx = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty st(x, \mathcal{V}_i)$ for each x in X . Because $f^{-1}y$ is compact we have $\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty st(x, \mathcal{V}_i)$ is compact for each x in X . Let M be a subset of X and let x be a point in X such that $st(x, \mathcal{V}_i) \cap L(M) \neq \emptyset$ for each i . By the choice of the sequence

$\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of covers of X it is easy to see that $fx = z$ is a limit point of $fM = fL(M)$.

Now by proposition 3.2 we have $f^{-1}z \cap clf^{-1}fM \neq \phi$. But $f^{-1}z = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty st(x, \mathcal{V}_i)$ and $clf^{-1}fM = clL(M)$; therefore $L(x) \cap clL(M) \neq \phi$. Consequently, $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is the required sequence of open covers of X . Hence X is a P_1 -space.

LEMMA 2. *If X is a P_1 -space, then there exists a biquotient compact mapping of X onto some metric space Y .*

PROOF. Let X be a P_1 -space and let $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of open covers of X satisfying the required conditions.

We shall denote by (X, τ) the topological space obtained from X by taking $\{st(x, \mathcal{V}_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ as a base for the neighborhood system at $x \in X$. Let X be the quotient space obtained from (X, τ) by defining two points x and y to be equivalent if and only if $y \in st(x, \mathcal{V}_i)$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Let ϕ be the quotient map of (X, τ) onto X . Let ψ be the identity map of X onto (X, τ) . Then ψ is obviously continuous. Let us define $f = \phi \circ \psi$ and $Y = X$. Then it is obvious that Y is a metric space and f is a continuous compact map. Now we need to show that f is a biquotient map. In view of proposition 3.2, we need only show that $y \in Y$ belongs to clM if and only if $f^{-1}y \cap clf^{-1}M \neq \phi$, where M is a subset of Y . Observe that for any subset M of Y , $f^{-1}M = L(f^{-1}M)$. Then $y \in clM$ if and only if for some $z \in f^{-1}y$ we have $st(z, \mathcal{V}_i) \cap f^{-1}M \neq \phi$ for each i , i.e., $st(z, \mathcal{V}_i) \cap L(f^{-1}M) \neq \phi$ for each i . Since X is a P_1 -space we have

$$L(z) \cap clL(f^{-1}M) \neq \phi.$$

But $L(z) = f^{-1}y$ implies $f^{-1}y \cap clf^{-1}M \neq \phi$. Hence the lemma is proved.

The proof of the theorem 3.4 follows immediately from lemmas 1 and 2.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let f be a pseudo-open mapping of a topological space X onto a paracompact space Y satisfying the following condition:*

(i) *for each $y \in Y$ and C a closed subset of $f^{-1}y$, if $C \subset U$ where U is open in X , then there is a V open in X such that $C \subset V \subset clV \subset U$. Then X is a normal space.*

PROOF. To prove that X is normal it is enough to show that every finite open cover of X has a locally finite closed refinement. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a finite open cover of X . It follows easily from condition (i) that $f^{-1}y$ is normal for each y in Y . Hence $\mathcal{U}|_{f^{-1}y} = \{U_i \cap f^{-1}y\}_{i=1}^n$ has a closed refinement $\mathcal{F}_y = \{F_{y,i}\}_{i=1}^n$. Then by condition (i) we

obtain an open cover $\mathcal{V}_y = \{V_{y,i}\}_{i=1}^n$ of $f^{-1}y$ such that $F_{y,i} \subset V_{y,i} \subset cl V_{y,i} \subset U_i$ for all i and $y \in Y$. Let $O_y = \cup (V_{y,i} | i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ and let $P_y = \text{int } fO_y$ for $y \in Y$. Then $\mathcal{P} = \{P_y | y \in Y\}$ is an open cover of the paracompact space Y . Therefore there exists a locally finite open refinement \mathcal{W} of \mathcal{P} . For each W in \mathcal{W} we choose one point y in W and write $W = W_y$. The set of these y is denoted by $Y' \subset Y$, thus $\mathcal{W} = \{W_y | y \in Y'\}$. Let $\mathcal{R} = \{f^{-1}W_y \cap V_{y,i} | y \in Y' \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, n\}$. It is easy to see that \mathcal{R} is an open locally finite cover of X . Also, we have $f^{-1}W_y \cap V_{y,i} \subset cl V_{y,i} \subset U_i$ for each y and i . Therefore $S = \{cl(f^{-1}W_y \cap V_{y,i}) | y \in Y' \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is a locally finite closed refinement of \mathcal{U} . Hence the theorem is proved.

THEOREM 3.6. *If a completely regular p -space X is an inverse image of a metric space Y under an open finite-to-one mapping, then X is a metric space.*

PROOF. It follows immediately from [2], [3] and theorem 3.1.

REMARK 2. In [2] Arhangel'skii showed that the inverse image of a metric space under an open finite-to-one mapping need not be metrizable. In view of Arhangel'skii's results and the results of this note it is obvious that a regular P_1 -space need not be a p -space in the sense of Arhangel'skii.

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Let X_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) be a P_1 -space. Then the topological product of the spaces X_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) is a P_1 -space.*

PROOF. From proposition 3.3 it is easy to conclude that any product (finite or infinite) of biquotient compact maps is a biquotient compact map. Now the proof follows immediately from theorem 3.4.

PROPOSITION 3.8. *Every regular P_1 -space is a paracompact p_1 -space.*

PROOF. That every P_1 -space is a p_1 -space follows immediately from the definition of P_1 -space and proposition 2.1. That every P_1 -space is paracompact follows from theorem 3.1 and theorem 3.4.

QUESTION. Is the converse of proposition 3.8 true?

REFERENCES

A. V. ARHANGEL'SKII

[1] Mappings and space, Russian Math. Surveys, 21 (1966), 115–162.

A. V. ARHANGEL'SKII

[2] A theorem on the metrizability of the inverse metric space under an open closed finite-to-one mapping. Example and unsolved problems, Soviet Math. Dokl., 7 (1966), 1258–1261.

D. K. BURKE and R. A. STOLTENBERG

[3] A note on p -space and Moore space, Pacific J. Math. 30 (1969), 601–608.

R. ENGELKING

[4] Outline of general topology, North-Holland, 1968.

V. V. FILIPPOV

[5] Quotient spaces and multiplicity of a base, Math. USSR Sbornik, 9 (1969), 487–496.

E. MICHAEL

[6] Biquotient maps and cartesian product of quotient maps, Extrait Des Ann. de l'Inst. Fourier de l'Uni. De Grenoble, 18 (1969), 287–302.

(Oblatum 11–III–1971 & 6–VI–1972)

Department of Mathematics
Kuwait University
Kuwait