

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

FERNANDO BERTOLINI

**A new proof of the existence of the minimum
for a classical integral**

Compositio Mathematica, tome 11 (1953), p. 37-43

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1953__11__37_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1953, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

A new proof of the Existence of the minimum for a Classical Integral*)

by

Fernando Bertolini.

Rome

The present paper treats of the establishment of the minimum of a classical integral (studied more or less completely in any treatise on the Calculus of the Variations ¹⁾) making use of a procedure derived from certain theorems indicated recently by M. Picone ²⁾.

Let us consider an *almost elementary* set X (of a Hausdorff space) — that is, a set containing a sequence $\{X_n\}$ of closed and compact (*elementary*) sets by which it is invaded (such that, in other words, $X_1 \subset X_2 \subset X_3 \subset \dots \subset X = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$); obviously, given a function $f(x)$ which is real valued and lower semi-continuous in each X_n , then the greatest lower bound of $f(x)$ in X is equal to the limit of the non-increasing sequence $\{m_n\}$ of the minima of $f(x)$ in each X_n , and in order that $f(x)$ shall have a minimum in X it is necessary and sufficient that for n sufficiently large, $m_n = m_{n+1} = m_{n+2} = \dots$: it is just this value which represents the minimum of $f(x)$ in X . From the theorems XIII and XIV of the work cited in note ²⁾, it results in fact that the totality of continuous and rectifiable curves (of the plane, or of the space, etc.) makes up, with a certain metric, an almost elementary space, and also almost elementary are all the open or closed sets of curves, or the sets of curves obtained from them by means of a finite number of sum and product operations.

From this there is deduced, for the finding of a greatest lower bound (possibly a minimum) of a lower semi-continuous line function, a general method of the same character as those used in

*) Work done at the Istituto Nazionale per le Applicazione del Calcolo, Rome.

¹⁾ See, for example, L. Tonelli, *Fondamenti del Calcolo delle Variazioni*, vol. II.

²⁾ M. Picone, *Due conferenze sui fondamenti del Calcolo delle Variazioni*, „Giornale di Matematiche” di Battaglini, IV, vol. 80 (1950—1951). pp. 50—79.

finding a greatest lower bound of an ordinary real valued point function (continuous) in a set of a Euclidean space.

In the present case the work is accomplished with great simplicity and rapidity; the notions used can well be extended to include integrals much more general than that here treated ³⁾.

1. Let us consider the integral $F(L) = \int_L y^{1/\nu} ds$ (with ν real

$\neq 0$), in the class Γ of continuous and rectifiable curves of the (x, y) plane for which the integral itself is defined, which connect the two given points $A \equiv (a, c)$ and $B \equiv (b, d)$. For this integral the following is to be noted: ⁴⁾

a) for $\nu > 0$, the integral $F(L)$ is defined for all the curves (continuous and rectifiable) of the *closed* half-plane $y \geq 0$;

for $\nu < -1$, the integral $F(L)$ is defined for all the curves of the *open* half-plane $y > 0$, and for some of the closed half-plane $y \geq 0$;

if $0 > \nu \geq -1$, the integral $F(L)$ is defined only for the curves of the *open* half-plane $y > 0$;

in the first two cases we suppose $c \geq 0, d \geq 0$, and in the third $c > 0, d > 0$;

b) the integral $F(L)$ is positively regular in the half-plane $y > 0$, and hence possible minimal arcs traced in this half-plane are extremal arcs of class C'' ⁵⁾;

c) the extremals of the integral $F(L)$ are: the segments $x = \text{const.}$, and the Ribaucour curves of the parameter ν , which can be represented by the parametric equations

$$x = x_0 + y_0 \nu \int_0^\theta \frac{dt}{\cos^\nu t}, \quad y = \frac{y_0}{\cos^\nu \theta} \quad \begin{array}{l} -\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2} \text{ if } \nu > 0 \\ -\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \text{ if } \nu < 0 \end{array} ;$$

note that $dy/dx = \tan \theta$, or that the parameter θ to which the curve is referred represents the direction angle of the curve at any general point;

³⁾ It is my intention to devote a paper soon to such extensions.

⁴⁾ Everything in sec. 1 is classical or provable by elementary means; cf. for example Goursat, *Cours d'Analyse*, M. Picone, *Introduzione al Calcolo delle Variazioni*, and so on. I am working on an article on the variational properties of Ribaucour curves to be published soon, in which the statements of sec. 1 are again proved.


⁵⁾ Let the curve L be represented with the parametric equation $z = z_L(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$ as in sec. 2; if the derivatives $dz/dt, d^2z/dt^2, \dots, d^nz/dt^n$ exist and are continuous, the curve L will be defined „of class $C^{(n)}$ ”.

d) if $\nu > 0$, through the points A and B — supposed of different abscissa — two extremal curves at most can pass, which I will call L_1 and L_2 : neither of them has points on the x -axis;

if $\nu < 0$, there is one and only one extremal curve in Γ , which I will call L_1 , which connects A and B — supposed of different abscissa — and has no point on the x -axis;

in any case, if the two points A and B have the same abscissa, the only extremal which connects them is the segment AB ;

e) if $\nu > 0$, supposing $a < b$, $c > 0$, $d > 0$, for every positive number h sufficiently small (let us say, smaller than a certain



$h' > 0$, which in turn is chosen smaller than the smallest ordinate of possible extremals L_1 and L_2) there is one and only one curve $L^{(h)}$ of the class C' , belonging to Γ , having the smallest ordinate h (tangent to the straight line $y = h$) and whose arcs of ordinate greater than h are extremals; this curve is composed of two extremal arcs from A and B , which are joined by a segment $y = h$, and is concave in the positive y - direction;

if $\nu < 0$, supposing $a < b$, for every h sufficiently large (greater, let us say, than a certain $h' > 0$, which in turn is chosen greater than the greatest ordinate of the extremal L_1) there exists no curve of the class C' , belonging to Γ , with the greatest ordinate h (tangent to the straight line $y = h$) whose arcs of ordinate less than h are extremals;

f) under the hypothesis of the first clause of e), if A' and B' are the respective projections of the points A and B on the x -axis, the broken line $L_3 \equiv AA'B'B$ (belonging to Γ) has a length greater than all the curves $L^{(h)}$, as well as possible extremals L_1 and L_2 .

2. On any curve L of Γ , directed from A to B , let us put A as origin of the curvilinear abscissas s , which increase from zero to $\lambda(L)$, the length of the curve; with L referred to the parameter

$t = \frac{s}{\lambda(L)}$, its parametric equations can be written as

$$z = z_L(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

where z is the vector with the components (x, y) . It is well known that the vector valued function $z_L(t)$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition, having a Lipschitz number $\lambda(L)$.

Now let us consider the totality Γ^* of the vector valued functions $z(t) \equiv [x(t), y(t)]$ which are continuous and of bounded variation within the interval $(0, 1)$; Γ^* can be considered a metric space, with the distance between two of its elements $z'(t)$ and $z''(t)$ defined as $\max [z'(t) - z''(t)]$. The class Γ of curves (where each curve L is identified with its corresponding function $z_L(t)$ or with the right hand side of any other of its parametric equations of base on the interval $(0,1)$) is thus a set of elements of the metric space Γ^* , in which, as classical results have shown, the integral $F(L)$ is lower semi-continuous.⁶⁾

3. Let us now study the case when $\nu > 0$. If the points A and B have the same abscissa, the inequalities

$$\int_L y^{1/\nu} ds \geq \int_L y^{1/\nu} \left| \frac{dy}{ds} \right| ds \geq \left| \int_c^a y^{1/\nu} dy \right|$$

show that the integral $F(L)$ allows for a minimum in Γ , and that the segment AB is the only minimal curve. If both the points A and B have ordinate zero, every curve traced on the x -axis, and only those curves, make the integral zero giving it a minimum value: among these we will admit only the segment AB .

If one of the two points, for example B , has a zero ordinate, and the other point has a positive one, the preceding considerations show that the integral has a minimum and that the unique⁷⁾ minimizing curve is the broken line $AA'B'B$.

Apart from these trivial cases, let us consider the case of sec. 1. *e)*: here, the possible minimizing curve can only be the broken line L_3 and (if they exist) the extremals L_1, L_2 . Let us consider the following classes of curves in Γ :

a) the class $\Gamma^{(h)}$ of all the curves of Γ traced in the half-plane $y \geq h$ (with $0 < h < h'$);

b) the class Γ_n of all the curves of Γ having a length not greater than n (where $n = \lambda(L_3) + 1, \lambda(L_3) + 2, \lambda(L_3) + 3, \dots$);

c) the class $\Gamma_n^{(h)}$, the intersection of the two classes Γ_n and $\Gamma^{(h)}$.

In the class $\Gamma^{(h)}$ is found the curve $L^{(h)}$ and (if they exist) the

⁶⁾ Cf. op. cit. in note ²⁾, theorem XV.

⁷⁾ Here as elsewhere I exclude from consideration curves with arcs analytically distinct (or corresponding to different intervals of the t -axis), but geometrically coincident in the same segment of the x -axis.

extremals L_1 L_2 ; in the class L_n is found the broken line L_3 , and hence (by sec. 1. e) the infinite curves $L^{(h)}$ as well as (if they exist) the extremals L_1 and L_2 .

Obviously, for every fixed h , the sequences $\{\Gamma_n^{(h)}\}$ and $\{\Gamma_n\}$ invade respectively $\Gamma^{(h)}$ and Γ ; and it is well known that the sets $\Gamma_n^{(h)}$ and Γ_n are closed and compact in Γ^{*8} , and hence the integral $F(L)$ has a minimum in them, equal respectively to $m_n^{(h)}$ and m_n .

We can now apply the procedure indicated in the first paragraph.

3.1. Consider the integral $F(L)$ in the class $\Gamma^{(h)}$; it is well known that it has a minimum $m^{(h)}$, which can also be seen from the following. The curves of $\Gamma^{(h)}$, which give a value not greater than $F(L^{(h)})$ to the integral, make up a subclass of $\Gamma^{(h)}$ which is contained in Γ_n , for every n greater than $F(L^{(h)}) \cdot h^{-1/\nu}$: in fact in such a subclass we have

$$F(L^{(h)}) \geq \int_L y^{1/\nu} ds \geq h^{1/\nu} \cdot \lambda(L).$$

Hence, for a certain n , we have $m_n^{(h)} = m_{n+1}^{(h)} = \dots$

The minimum $m^{(h)}$ of the integral in $\Gamma^{(h)}$ can be assumed only corresponding to one of the curves $L^{(h)}$ and (if they exist) L_1 and L_2 : these last are the only possible extremals of the class $\Gamma^{(h)}$; the first is the only *boundary curve* which could satisfy the Weierstrass boundary conditions (necessary for the minimum ⁹) and in which the arcs *internal* to $\Gamma^{(h)}$ are extremals.

Since the curves L_1 , L_2 , $L^{(h)}$ belong to all the sets $\Gamma_n^{(h)}$ only in correspondence to one of these curves $F(L)$ can assume its minimum value in $\Gamma_n^{(h)}$; we would have then $m_n^{(h)} = m^{(h)}$ in any case.

3.2. Now consider the integral $F(L)$ in the class Γ_n . We will show that in this class the only admissible minimal arcs are the broken line L_3 and (if they exist) the extremals L_1 and L_2 . Let L' be a minimal curve in the class Γ_n .

If L' has points on the x -axis, it must coincide with L_3 , otherwise we would have $F(L') > F(L_3)$, for the reasons stated in sec. 3. ¹⁰)

If L' has a smallest positive ordinate y' , then it must belong to

⁸) Cf. for example, op. cit. in ²), no. 7, or also M. Picone, *Lezioni di Analisi funzionale*, Rome 1946, nos. 79—80.

⁹) Cf. for example, op. cit. in ¹), p. 127.

¹⁰) See note ⁷).

the class $\Gamma_n^{(h)}$, with $h > 0$, less than y' and h' , and must also be a minimal curve of the integral in $\Gamma_n^{(h)}$. But it cannot coincide with the curve $L^{(h)}$, which has a smallest ordinate h ; it must hence coincide with L_1 or else L_2 . The proposition is then proved.

In conclusion, the minimum of $F(L)$ in Γ_n does not depend on n , and hence the integral $F(L)$ allows a minimum in Γ , where the only minimal curves can be L_1 , L_2 , and L_3 .

4. Consider now the case $\nu < 0$, always excluding the case that A and B have the same abscissa, because then there exist a trivial minimum and the only minimal curve is the segment AB . We also suppose here that $cd > 0$. In this case, too, the only admissible regular minimal curve is the extremal L_1 (cfr. sec. 1. *d*). Consider the following classes of curves in Γ :

a) the class $\Gamma^{(h)}$ of all the curves of Γ traced in the strip $1/h \leq \hat{y} \leq h$ (h greater than the three numbers h' , $1/c$, $1/d$);

b) the class $\Gamma_n^{(h)}$ of all the curves of $\Gamma^{(h)}$ having a length not greater than n (n greater than $\lambda(L_1)$).

Evidently the sequence $\{\Gamma_n^{(h)}\}$ invades $\Gamma^{(h)}$: the sequence $\{\Gamma^{(h)}\}$ invades Γ only if $-1 \geq \nu > 0$, while if $\nu < -1$ it invades the set of curves of Γ which have no points on the x -axis, a set which I will call Γ' . That the integral $F(L)$ has a minimum in $\Gamma^{(h)}$ and that this minimum is given only by the extremal L_1 , can be shown in a way similar to that used in sec. 3. Since there are no *boundary curves* in $\Gamma^{(h)}$ satisfying the necessary Weierstrass conditions — it follows that the integral $F(L)$ has a minimum also in Γ' and that the only minimal curve is the extremal L_1 ¹¹⁾.

In the case that Γ' does not coincide with Γ , it is easy to see from simple limit considerations that $F(L_1)$ represents the greatest lower bound of the integral in Γ , and hence the minimum. Other minimals distinct from L_1 are not possible.

5. Finally, suppose that one (at least) of the two points A and B lies on the x -axis (still with $\nu < -1$): for example, let $c = 0 < d$, $a < b$.

Compare the extremal L_1 with an arbitrary curve L of Γ . It is well known that if L is not concave in the negative y -direction, there is a curve L' in Γ concave in the negative y -direction, such that $F(L') \leq F(L)$ ¹²⁾. We can suppose that L has such a property.

¹¹⁾ See note 7).

¹²⁾ This elementary property is proved in the article cited in note 4).

Consider the straight line $y = h$, with $0 < h < d$: it intersects the extremal L_1 in a point P_1 with abscissa x_1 , and the curve L in a point P on it with the abscissa x . We can evidently write

$$(L) \int_A^B y^{1/\nu} ds - (L_1) \int_A^B y^{1/\nu} ds = (L) \int_P^B y^{1/\nu} ds - (L_1) \int_{P_1}^B y^{1/\nu} ds + \\ + h^{1/\nu} |x_1 - x| - h^{1/\nu} |x_1 - x| + (L) \int_A^P y^{1/\nu} ds - (L_1) \int_A^{P_1} y^{1/\nu} ds;$$

the last two integrals written tend to zero when h is infinitesimal; because of the concavity of the two curves L_1 and L we have

$$|x_1 - x| \leq \frac{h \cdot (b - a)}{d}$$

and hence also $h^{1/\nu} |x_1 - x|$ is infinitesimal; on the other hand, from the preceding results,

$$(L) \int_P^B y^{1/\nu} ds - (L_1) \int_P^B y^{1/\nu} ds + h^{1/\nu} |x_1 - x| \geq 0,$$

and hence $F(L_1) \leq F(L)$. In this last relationship the equal sign can be suppressed if the two curves L_1 and L do not coincide, for the reason that L_1 is the only possible minimal curve in Γ .

In a similar way this result can be extended to include the case when $c = d = 0$.

(Oblatum 26-5-52).