

Astérisque

JEAN-BENOÎT BOST

KLAUS KÜNNEMANN

**Hermitian vector bundles and extension groups on
arithmetic schemes II. The arithmetic Atiyah extension**

Astérisque, tome 327 (2009), p. 361-424

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_2009__327__361_0

© Société mathématique de France, 2009, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (<http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

HERMITIAN VECTOR BUNDLES AND EXTENSION GROUPS ON ARITHMETIC SCHEMES II. THE ARITHMETIC ATIYAH EXTENSION

by

Jean-Benoît Bost & Klaus Künnemann

Pour Jean-Michel Bismut

Abstract. — In a previous paper, we have defined arithmetic extension groups in the context of Arakelov geometry. In the present one, we introduce an arithmetic analogue of the Atiyah extension that defines an element — the arithmetic Atiyah class — in a suitable arithmetic extension group. Namely, if \bar{E} is a hermitian vector bundle on an arithmetic scheme X , its arithmetic Atiyah class $\widehat{\text{at}}_{X/\mathbb{Z}}(\bar{E})$ lies in the group $\widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(E, E \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}}^1)$, and is an obstruction to the algebraicity over X of the unitary connection on the vector bundle $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ over the complex manifold $X(\mathbb{C})$ that is compatible with its holomorphic structure.

In the first sections of this article, we develop the basic properties of the arithmetic Atiyah class which can be used to define characteristic classes in arithmetic Hodge cohomology.

Then we study the vanishing of the first Chern class $\hat{c}_1^H(\bar{L})$ of a hermitian line bundle \bar{L} in the arithmetic Hodge cohomology group $\widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(\theta_X, \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}}^1)$. This may be translated into a concrete problem of diophantine geometry, concerning rational points of the universal vector extension of the Picard variety of X . We investigate this problem, which was already considered and solved in some cases by Bertrand, by using a classical transcendence result of Schneider-Lang, and we derive a finiteness result for the kernel of \hat{c}_1^H .

In the final section, we consider a geometric analog of our arithmetic situation, namely a smooth, projective variety X which is fibered on a curve C defined over some field k of characteristic zero. To any line bundle L over X is attached its relative Atiyah class $\text{at}_{X/C}L$ in $H^1(X, \Omega_{X/C}^1)$. We describe precisely when $\text{at}_{X/C}L$ vanishes. In particular, when the fixed part of the relative Picard variety of X over C is trivial, this holds iff some positive power of L descends to a line bundle over C .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. — 14G40; 11J95, 14F05, 32L10.

Key words and phrases. — Arakelov geometry, hermitian vector bundles, extension groups, Atiyah class, transcendence and algebraic groups.

Résumé (Fibrés vectoriels hermitiens et groupes d’extensions sur les schémas arithmétiques II. La classe d’Atiyah arithmétique)

Dans un précédent article, nous avons défini des groupes d’extensions arithmétiques dans le contexte de la géométrie d’Arakelov. Dans le présent travail, nous introduisons un analogue arithmétique de l’extension d’Atiyah; sa classe dans un groupe d’extensions arithmétiques convenable définit la classe d’Atiyah arithmétique. Plus précisément, pour tout fibré vectoriel hermitien \bar{E} sur un schéma arithmétique X , sa classe d’Atiyah arithmétique $\widehat{at}_{X/\mathbb{Z}}(\bar{E})$ appartient au groupe $\widehat{Ext}_X^1(E, E \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}}^1)$ et constitue une obstruction à l’algébricité sur X de l’unique connection unitaire sur la fibré vectoriel $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ sur la variété complexe $X(\mathbb{C})$ qui soit compatible avec sa structure holomorphe.

Dans les premières sections de cet article, nous présentons la construction et les propriétés de base de la classe d’Atiyah, qui permettent notamment de définir des classes caractéristiques en cohomologie de Hodge arithmétique.

Nous étudions ensuite l’annulation de la première classe de Chern $\widehat{c}_1^H(\bar{L})$ d’un fibré en droites hermitien \bar{L} dans le groupe de cohomologie de Hodge arithmétique $\widehat{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}}^1)$. La détermination de tels fibrés en droites hermitiens se traduit en une question de géométrie diophantienne, concernant les points rationnels de l’extension vectorielle universelle de la variété de Picard de X . Nous étudions ce problème — qui a déjà été considéré, et résolu dans certains cas, par Bertrand — au moyen d’un classique résultat de transcendance dû à Schneider et Lang, et nous en déduisons un théorème de finitude sur le noyau de \widehat{c}_1^H .

Dans la dernière section, nous étudions un analogue géométrique de la situation arithmétique précédente. A savoir, nous considérons une variété projective lisse X fibrée sur une courbe C , au dessus d’un corps de base k de caractéristique nulle et nous attachons à tout fibré en droites L sur X sa classe d’Atiyah relative $at_{X/C}L$ dans $H^1(X, \Omega_{X/C}^1)$. Nous déterminons quand cette classe $at_{X/C}L$ s’annule. Notamment, lorsque la variété de Picard relative de X sur C n’a pas de partie fixe, cela se produit précisément lorsque une puissance non-nulle de L descend en un fibré en droites sur C .

0. Introduction

0.1. — This paper is a sequel to [7], where we have defined and investigated arithmetic extensions on arithmetic schemes, and the groups they define.

Recall that if X is a scheme over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$, separated of finite type, whose generic fiber $X_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is smooth, then an arithmetic extension of vector bundles over X is the data (\mathcal{E}, s) of a short exact sequence of vector bundles (that is, of locally free coherent sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules) on the scheme X ,

$$(0.1) \quad \mathcal{E} : 0 \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} F \longrightarrow 0,$$

and of a \mathcal{C}^∞ -splitting

$$s : F_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow E_{\mathbb{C}},$$

invariant under complex conjugation, of the extension of \mathcal{C}^∞ -complex vector bundles on the complex manifold $X(\mathbb{C})$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}} : 0 \longrightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{ic} E_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{pc} F_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow 0$$

that is deduced from \mathcal{E} by the base change from \mathbb{Z} to \mathbb{C} and analytification.

For any two given vector bundles F and G over X , the isomorphism classes of the so-defined arithmetic extensions of F by G constitute a set $\widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(F, G)$ that becomes an abelian group when equipped with the addition law defined by a variant of the classical construction of the Baer sum of 1-extensions of (sheaves of) modules ⁽¹⁾.

Recall that a hermitian vector bundle \overline{E} over X is a pair $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ consisting of a vector bundle E over X and of a \mathcal{C}^∞ -hermitian metric, invariant under complex conjugation, on the holomorphic vector bundle $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ over $X(\mathbb{C})$. Examples of arithmetic extensions in the above sense are provided by admissible extensions

$$(0.2) \quad \overline{\mathcal{E}} : 0 \longrightarrow \overline{G} \xrightarrow{i} \overline{E} \xrightarrow{p} \overline{F} \longrightarrow 0$$

of hermitian vector bundles over X , namely from the data of an extension

$$\mathcal{E} : 0 \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} F \longrightarrow 0$$

of the underlying \mathcal{O}_X -modules such that the hermitian metrics $\|\cdot\|_{\overline{G}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\overline{F}}$ on $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $F_{\mathbb{C}}$ are induced (by restriction and quotients) by the metric $\|\cdot\|_{\overline{E}}$ on $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ (by means of the morphisms $i_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $p_{\mathbb{C}}$). Indeed, to any such admissible extension is naturally attached its orthogonal splitting, namely the \mathcal{C}^∞ -splitting

$$s_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}} : F_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow E_{\mathbb{C}}$$

that maps $F_{\mathbb{C}}$ isomorphically onto the orthogonal complement $i_{\mathbb{C}}(G_{\mathbb{C}})^\perp$ of the image of $i_{\mathbb{C}}$ in $E_{\mathbb{C}}$. This splitting is invariant under complex conjugation, and $(\mathcal{E}, s_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}})$ is an arithmetic extension of F by G . For any two hermitian vector bundles \overline{F} and \overline{G} over X , this construction establishes a bijection from the set of isomorphism classes of admissible extension of the form (0.2) to the set $\widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(F, G)$.

In [7] we studied basic properties of the so-defined arithmetic extension groups. In particular, we introduced the following natural morphisms of abelian groups:

- the “forgetful” morphism

$$\nu : \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(F, G) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(F, G),$$

which maps the class of an arithmetic extension (\mathcal{E}, s) to the one of the underlying extension \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{O}_X -modules;

⁽¹⁾ Consider indeed two arithmetic extensions of F by G , say $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha := (\mathcal{E}_\alpha, s_\alpha)$, $\alpha = 1, 2$, defined by extensions of vector bundles $\mathcal{E}_\alpha : 0 \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{i_\alpha} E_\alpha \xrightarrow{p_\alpha} F \rightarrow 0$ and \mathcal{C}^∞ -splittings $s_\alpha : F_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow E_{\alpha, \mathbb{C}}$. We may define a vector bundle $E := \frac{\text{Ker}(p_1 - p_2 : E_1 \oplus E_2 \rightarrow F)}{\text{Im}((i_1, -i_2) : G \rightarrow E_1 \oplus E_2)}$ over X . The Baer sum of $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_1$ and $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_2$ is the arithmetic extension $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ defined by the usual Baer sum of \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 — namely $\mathcal{E} : 0 \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} F \rightarrow 0$ where the morphisms $i : G \rightarrow E$ and $p : E \rightarrow F$ are defined by $p([(g_1, g_2)]) := p_1(f_1) = p_2(f_2)$ and $i(g) := [(i_1(g), 0)] = [(0, i_2(g))]$ — equipped with the \mathcal{C}^∞ -splitting $s : F_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow E_{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by $s(e) := [(s_1(e), s_2(e))]$.

– the morphism

$$b : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_{X(\mathbb{C})}^\infty} (F_{\mathbb{C}}, G_{\mathbb{C}})^{F_\infty} \longrightarrow \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(F, G),$$

defined on the real vector space $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_{X(\mathbb{C})}^\infty} (F_{\mathbb{C}}, G_{\mathbb{C}})^{F_\infty}$ of \mathcal{E}^∞ -morphisms of vector bundles over $X(\mathbb{C})$ from $F_{\mathbb{C}}$ to $G_{\mathbb{C}}$, invariant under complex conjugation; it sends an element T in this space to the class of the arithmetic extension (\mathcal{E}, s) where \mathcal{E} is the trivial algebraic extension, defined by (0.1) with $E := G \oplus F$ and i and p the obvious injection and projection morphisms, and where s is given by $s(f) = (T(f), f)$;

– the morphism

$$\iota : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X} (F, G) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_{X(\mathbb{C})}^\infty} (F_{\mathbb{C}}, G_{\mathbb{C}})^{F_\infty}$$

which sends a morphism $\varphi : F \rightarrow G$ of vector bundles over X to the morphism of \mathcal{E}^∞ -complex vector bundles $\varphi_{\mathbb{C}} : F_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}$ deduced from φ by base change from \mathbb{Z} to \mathbb{C} and analytification;

– the morphism

$$\Psi : \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(F, G) \longrightarrow Z_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,1}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, F^\vee \otimes G),$$

that takes values in the real vector space

$$Z_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,1}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, F^\vee \otimes G) := Z_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,1}(X(\mathbb{C}), F_{\mathbb{C}}^\vee \otimes G_{\mathbb{C}})^{F_\infty}$$

of $\bar{\partial}$ -closed forms of type $(0, 1)$ on $X(\mathbb{C})$ with coefficients in $F_{\mathbb{C}}^\vee \otimes G_{\mathbb{C}}$, invariant under complex conjugation. It maps the class of an arithmetic extension (\mathcal{E}, s) to its “second fundamental form” $\Psi(\mathcal{E}, s)$ defined by

$$i_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \Psi(\mathcal{E}, s) = \bar{\partial}_{F_{\mathbb{C}}^\vee \otimes G_{\mathbb{C}}}(s).$$

We also established the following basic exact sequence:

$$(0.3) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X} (F, G) \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_{X(\mathbb{C})}^\infty} (F_{\mathbb{C}}, G_{\mathbb{C}})^{F_\infty} \xrightarrow{b} \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(F, G) \xrightarrow{\Psi} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(F, G) \rightarrow 0,$$

which displays the arithmetic extension group $\widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(F, G)$ as an extension of the “classical” extension group $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(F, G)$ by a group of analytic type.

The sequel of [7] was devoted to the study of the groups $\widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(F, G)$ when the base scheme is an arithmetic curve, that is, the spectrum $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$ of the ring of integers of some number field K . In this special case, these extension groups appear as natural tools in geometry of numbers and reduction theory in their modern guise, namely the study of hermitian vector bundles over arithmetic curves and their admissible extensions.

In the present paper, we focus on a natural construction of arithmetic extensions attached to hermitian vector bundles over an arithmetic scheme X as above, their

arithmetic Atiyah extensions. In contrast with the arithmetic extensions over arithmetic curves investigated in [7], for which the interpretation as admissible extensions was crucial, the arithmetic Atiyah extensions are genuine examples of arithmetic extensions constructed as pairs (\mathcal{E}, s) — where s is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -splitting of an extension \mathcal{E} of vector bundles over X — and not derived from an admissible extension.

0.2. — Atiyah extensions of vector bundles were initially introduced by Atiyah [2] in the context of complex analytic geometry.

Namely, for any holomorphic vector bundle E over a complex manifold X , Atiyah introduces the holomorphic vector bundle $P_X^1(E)$ of jets of order one of sections of E , whose fiber $P_X^1(E)_x$ at a point x of X is by definition the space of sections of E over the first order thickening $x_1 := \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x^2$ of x in X . Here, as usual, \mathcal{O}_X denotes the sheaf of holomorphic functions over X , and \mathfrak{m}_x the maximal ideal of its stalk $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ at x .

The vector bundle $P_X^1(E)$ fits into a short exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles

$$(0.4) \quad \mathcal{U}t_X E : 0 \longrightarrow E \otimes \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{i} P_X^1(E) \xrightarrow{p} E \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the morphisms i and p are defined as follows: for any point x in X , the map $i_x : E_x \otimes \Omega_{X,x}^1 \rightarrow P_X^1(E)_x$ maps an element v in $E_x \otimes \Omega_{X,x}^1 \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(T_{X,x}, E_x)$ to the section of E over x_1 that vanishes at x and admits v as differential, while the map $p_x : P_X^1(E)_x \rightarrow E_x$ is simply the evaluation at x .

The Atiyah extension of E is precisely the extension $\mathcal{U}t_X E$ of E by $E \otimes \Omega_X^1$ so-defined. According to its very definition, its class $\text{at}_X E$ in the group $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(E, E \otimes \Omega_X^1)$ which classifies extensions of holomorphic vector bundles of E by $E \otimes \Omega_X^1$ is the obstruction to the existence of a holomorphic connection

$$\nabla : E \longrightarrow E \otimes \Omega_X^1$$

on the vector bundle E .

The point of Atiyah’s article [2] is that the class $\text{at}_X E$ also leads to a straightforward construction of characteristic classes of E with values in the so-called Hodge cohomology groups of X

$$(0.5) \quad H^{p,p}(X) := H^p(X, \Omega_X^p).$$

For instance, Atiyah defines a first Chern class $c_1^H(E)$ in $H^{1,1}(X) = H^1(X, \Omega_X^1)$ as the image of $\text{at}_X E$ by the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(E, E \otimes \Omega_X^1) &\simeq \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{E}nd E \otimes \Omega_X^1) \\ &\quad \downarrow (\text{Tr}_E \otimes \text{id}_{\Omega_X^1}) \circ - \\ \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \Omega_X^1) &\simeq H^1(X, \Omega_X^1) \end{aligned}$$

deduced from the canonical trace morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_E : \mathcal{E}nd E &\simeq E^\vee \otimes E \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X, \\ \lambda \otimes v &\mapsto \lambda(v). \end{aligned}$$

Higher degree characteristic classes are constructed by means of the successive powers $(at_X E)^p$ in $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^p(\mathcal{O}_X, (\mathcal{E}nd E)^{\otimes p} \otimes \Omega_X^p)$, where p denotes a positive integer. For instance, the p -th Segre class, associated to the p -th Newton polynomial $X_1^p + \dots + X_{\mathrm{rk} E}^p$, may be constructed in the Hodge cohomology group $H^p(X, \Omega_X^p)$ as

$$s_p^H(E) := (\mathrm{Tr}_E^p \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\Omega_X^p}) \circ (at_X E)^p,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_E^p : (\mathcal{E}nd E)^{\otimes p} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X, \\ T_1 \otimes \dots \otimes T_p &\mapsto \mathrm{Tr}_E(T_1 \dots T_p). \end{aligned}$$

When the manifold X is compact and Kähler (e.g., projective), the Hodge cohomology group $H^p(X, \Omega_X^p)$ may be identified with a subspace of the complex de Rham cohomology group $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{2p}(X, \mathbb{C})$ of X , and Atiyah’s construction of characteristic classes is compatible (up to normalization) to classical topological constructions.

The definition of the Atiyah class and the construction of the associated characteristic classes obviously make sense in a purely algebraic context, say over a base field k of characteristic zero. If X is a smooth algebraic scheme over k , for any vector bundle E over X , its Atiyah class $at_{X/k} E$ is constructed as above, *mutatis mutandis*, as an element of the k -vector space $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(E, E \otimes \Omega_{X/k}^1)$, and the associated characteristic classes are elements of the Hodge cohomology groups of X defined similarly to (0.5), but now using the Zariski topology of X instead of the analytic one, and the sheaf of Kähler differentials $\Omega_{X/k}^p$ instead of the holomorphic differential forms Ω_X^p .

These constructions are especially suited to smooth algebraic schemes X that are proper over k . In this case, the “Hodge to de Rham” spectral sequence degenerates, and the Hodge group $H^{p,p}(X)$ gets identified to a subquotient of the Hodge filtration of the algebraic de Rham cohomology group $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{2p}(X/k) := H^{2p}(X, \Omega_{X/k}^p)$. Moreover, when X is proper over $k = \mathbb{C}$, this algebraic construction is compatible with the previous analytic one, as a consequence of the GAGA principle.

This algebraic version of Atiyah’s constructions has been considerably extended by Illusie [25]. Instead of a smooth algebraic scheme over a field k , he considers a suitable morphism of ringed topoi $f : X \rightarrow S$, and associates Atiyah classes and characteristic classes to perfect complexes of sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules; his definitions involve the cotangent complex $\mathbb{L}_{X/S}$ of X over S , which in this general setting plays the role of the sheaf $\Omega_{X/k}^1$ attached to a smooth scheme X over the field k . Let us also mention the presentation of this “algebraic” theory and of some of its developments in

the monograph of Angéniol and Lejeune-Jalabert [1], and the analytic construction of Buchweitz and Flenner [8], [9] ⁽²⁾.

0.3. — Let us briefly describe our construction of arithmetic Atiyah classes.

Let $\bar{E} := (E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a hermitian vector bundle over a scheme X which is separated and of finite type over \mathbb{Z} , and which for simplicity will be assumed smooth over \mathbb{Z} in this introduction. The relative version of the exact sequence (0.4) defines the Atiyah extension of E over \mathbb{Z} :

$$(0.6) \quad \mathcal{A}t_{X/\mathbb{Z}}E : 0 \longrightarrow E \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}}^1 \xrightarrow{i} P_{X/\mathbb{Z}}^1(E) \xrightarrow{p} E \longrightarrow 0.$$

Besides, according to a classical result of Chern and Nakano ([10, 36]), the holomorphic vector bundle $E_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{hol}}$ over the complex manifold $X(\mathbb{C})$, seen as \mathcal{C}^∞ -vector bundle, admits a unique connection $\nabla_{\bar{E}}$ that is unitary with respect to the hermitian metric $\|\cdot\|_E$, and moreover is compatible with its holomorphic structure in the sense that its component $\nabla_{\bar{E}}^{0,1}$ of type $(0, 1)$ coincides with the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator $\bar{\partial}_{E_{\mathbb{C}}}$ with coefficients in the holomorphic vector bundle $E_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{hol}}$. The component $\nabla_{\bar{E}}^{1,0}$ of type $(1, 0)$ of $\nabla_{\bar{E}}$ defines a \mathcal{C}^∞ -splitting $s_{\bar{E}}$ of the Atiyah extension of the holomorphic vector bundle $E_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{hol}}$:

$$\mathcal{A}t_{X(\mathbb{C})}E_{\mathbb{C}} : 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{X(\mathbb{C})}^1 \otimes E_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{ic} P_{X(\mathbb{C})}^1(E_{\mathbb{C}}) \xrightarrow{pc} E_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Namely, for any point x in $X(\mathbb{C})$ and any e in E_x , $s_{\bar{E}}(e)$ is the section of E over x_1 that takes the value e at x and is killed by $\nabla_{\bar{E}}^{1,0}$.

Since the above analytic Atiyah extension $\mathcal{A}t_{X(\mathbb{C})}E_{\mathbb{C}}$ is precisely the extension deduced from $\mathcal{A}t_{X/\mathbb{Z}}E$ by the base change from \mathbb{Z} to \mathbb{C} and analytification, the pair $(\mathcal{A}t_{X/\mathbb{Z}}E, s_{\bar{E}})$ defines an arithmetic extension, the *arithmetic Atiyah extension* $\widehat{\mathcal{A}t}_{X/\mathbb{Z}}\bar{E}$ of the hermitian vector bundle \bar{E} . Its class $\widehat{\text{at}}_{X/\mathbb{Z}}\bar{E}$ in $\widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(E, E \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}}^1)$ — the *arithmetic Atiyah class* of \bar{E} — is mapped by the forgetful morphism ν to the “algebraic” Atiyah class $\text{at}_{X/\mathbb{Z}}E$ of E in $\text{Ext}_{\theta_X}^1(E, E \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}}^1)$ (defined by the extension $\mathcal{A}t_{X/\mathbb{Z}}E$) and by the “second fundamental form” morphism Ψ to the curvature form of the Chern-Nakano connection $\nabla_{\bar{E}}$ (up to a sign).

0.4. — In the first section of this article, we begin by reviewing the constructions of the Atiyah extension in the classical \mathbb{C} -analytic and algebraic frameworks. For the sake of simplicity, we deal with locally free coherent sheaves only, and follow a naive approach — we work with relative differentials, and not with their “correct” derived version defined by the cotangent complex. This naive approach is sufficient when one considers — as we shall in the sequel — relative situations $f : X \rightarrow S$ where X is

⁽²⁾ These authors work in an analytic context as the original article [2], but extend the construction of Atiyah classes to complex of coherent analytic sheaves over possibly singular complex spaces. Like Illusie’s construction, this requires to deal with the cotangent complex, now in an analytic context.

integral, and f is l.c.i. and generically smooth, in which case $\mathbb{L}_{X/S}$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\Omega^1_{X/S}$.

Then, in Section 2, we construct the arithmetic Atiyah class in the following relative situation, which extends the one considered in the previous paragraphs. Consider arithmetic schemes X and S , flat over an arithmetic ring (R, Σ, F_∞) (in the sense of [17, 3.1.1]; see also [7, 1.1]), and a morphism of R -schemes $\pi : X \rightarrow S$, smooth over the fraction field K of R . Then, to any hermitian vector bundle \overline{E} over X , we attach a class $\widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S} \overline{E}$ in $\widehat{\text{Ext}}^1_X(E, E \otimes \Omega^1_{X/S})$. Applying a trace morphism to this class, we define the *first Chern class* $\widehat{c}_1^H(\overline{E})$ of \overline{E} in *arithmetic Hodge cohomology*, that lies in the group

$$\widehat{H}^{1,1}(X/S) := \widehat{\text{Ext}}^1_X(\mathcal{O}_X, \Omega^1_{X/S}).$$

The class $\widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S} \overline{E}$ and its trace $\widehat{c}_1^H(\overline{E})$ satisfy compatibility properties with pull-back and tensor operations on hermitian vector bundles that extend well-known properties of the classical Atiyah and first Chern classes. In particular we construct a functorial homomorphism

$$\widehat{c}_1^H : \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X) \longrightarrow \widehat{H}^{1,1}(X/S)$$

from the group of isomorphism classes of hermitian line bundles over X to the arithmetic Hodge cohomology group.

In the last sections of this article, we investigate the kernel of this morphism. It trivially vanishes on the image of

$$\pi^* : \widehat{\text{Pic}}(S) \longrightarrow \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X),$$

and we may wonder “how large” this image $\pi^*(\widehat{\text{Pic}}(S))$ is in $\ker \widehat{c}_1^H$.

This question becomes a concrete problem of Diophantine geometry when the base arithmetic ring is a number field K equipped with a non-empty set Σ of embeddings $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ stable under complex conjugation, and when S is $\text{Spec } K$ and X is projective over K . Indeed, in this case, the class of a hermitian line bundle $\overline{L} = (L, \|\cdot\|_L)$ over X lies in the kernel of \widehat{c}_1^H precisely when L admits an algebraic connection $\nabla : L \rightarrow L \otimes \Omega^1_{X/K}$, defined over K , such that the induced holomorphic connection $\nabla_{\mathbb{C}} : L_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \Omega^1_{X_{\Sigma}(\mathbb{C})}$ on the holomorphic line bundle $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ over

$$X_{\Sigma}(\mathbb{C}) := \coprod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} X_{\sigma}(\mathbb{C})$$

is unitary with respect to the hermitian metric $\|\cdot\|_L$.

One easily checks that, if L has a torsion class in $\text{Pic}(X)$ and if the metric $\|\cdot\|_L$ has vanishing curvature on $X_{\Sigma}(\mathbb{C})$, then there exists such a connection. Moreover the converse implication, namely

II $_{X,\Sigma}$: *if a hermitian line bundle $\overline{L} = (L, \|\cdot\|_L)$ over X admits an algebraic connection ∇ defined over K such that the connection $\nabla_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ over $X_{\Sigma}(\mathbb{C})$ is unitary with*

respect to $\|\cdot\|_L$, then L has a torsion class in $\text{Pic}(X)$ and the metric $\|\cdot\|_L$ has vanishing curvature,

turns out to be equivalent with the following condition, where π denotes the structural morphism from X to $\text{Spec } K$:

I2_{X,Σ}: the image of $\pi^* : \widehat{\text{Pic}}(\text{Spec } K) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)$ has finite index in the kernel of

$$\hat{c}_1^H : \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X) \longrightarrow \widehat{H}^{1,1}(X/K).$$

The equivalent assertions **I1_{X,Σ}** and **I2_{X,Σ}** may be translated in terms of K -rational points of the universal vector extension of the Picard variety of X . In this formulation, their validity has been established by Bertrand [4, 5] when Σ has a unique element (necessarily a real embedding of K) and when this Picard variety admits “real multiplication”⁽³⁾ as a consequence of the analytic subgroup theorem of Wüstholz ([44]).

Inspired by [4, 5] — which we tried to understand in more geometric terms, avoiding the explicit use of differential forms and their periods, but working with algebraic groups and their exponential maps— we establish in Section 3 the validity of **I1_{X,Σ}** and **I2_{X,Σ}** when Σ is arbitrary without any assumption on the Picard variety of X . The proof proceeds by reducing to the case where X is an abelian variety, and Σ has a unique or two conjugate elements. To handle this case, we use a classical transcendence theorem of Schneider-Lang characterizing Lie algebras of algebraic subgroups of commutative algebraic groups over number fields. Our argument is presented in the first part of Section 3, and may be read independently of the rest of the article.

The validity of **I1_{X,Σ}** and **I2_{X,Σ}** demonstrates that the first Chern class $\hat{c}_1^H(\bar{L})$ in the group $\widehat{H}^{1,1}(X/K)$ encodes quite non-trivial Diophantine informations. In a later part of this work, we plan to study characteristic classes of higher degree, with values in the arithmetic Hodge cohomology groups

$$\widehat{H}^{p,p}(X/S) := \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^p(\mathcal{O}_X, \Omega_{X/S}^p)$$

defined as special instances of the higher arithmetic extension groups introduced in [7, 0.1], that are deduced from the powers of the arithmetic Atiyah class $\widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S} \bar{E}$ using suitably defined products on the higher arithmetic extension groups.

Let us also indicate that, starting from the results in Section 3, one may derive finiteness results on $\ker \hat{c}_1^H / \pi^*(\widehat{\text{Pic}}(S))$ for more general smooth projective morphisms $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ of arithmetic schemes over arithmetic rings, by considering the restriction of π over points of S rational over some number field. We leave this to the interested reader.

⁽³⁾ Namely, if this Picard variety A has dimension g , the \mathbb{Q} -algebra $\text{End}(A/K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is assumed to be a totally real field of degree g over \mathbb{Q} . Actually, Bertrand establishes a more precise result, concerning g independent extensions of A by the additive group \mathbb{G}_a ; see [5, Theorem 3, pages 13-14].

In the final section of the article, we establish a geometric analogue of condition $\mathbf{II}_{X,\Sigma}$. We consider a smooth, projective, geometrically connected curve C over some field k of characteristic zero, its function field $K := k(C)$, and a smooth projective variety X over k equipped with a dominant k morphism $f : X \rightarrow C$, with geometrically connected fibers. To any line bundle L over X is attached its relative Atiyah class at X/C in $H^1(X, \Omega_{X/C}^1)$. We show that, when the fixed part of the abelian variety $\text{Pic}_{X_K/K}^0$ is trivial, the class at X/C vanishes iff some positive power of L is isomorphic to a line bundle of the form f^*M , where M is a line bundle over C . The proof relies on the Hodge Index Theorem expressed in the Hodge cohomology groups of X .

Considering the classical analogy between number fields and function fields, it may be interesting to observe that, when investigating the kernel of the relative Atiyah class of line bundles, a transcendence result — in the guise of a criterion for a subspace of the Lie algebra of a commutative algebraic group to define an algebraic subgroup — plays a key role in the “number field case”, while our main tool in the “function field case” is intersection theory in Hodge cohomology.

In Appendix A, we describe arithmetic extension groups in terms of Čech cocycles. Based on this description, in the main part of the paper we calculate explicit Čech cocycles which represent the arithmetic Atiyah class and the first Chern class in arithmetic Hodge cohomology. Finally Appendix B summarizes basic facts concerning universal vector extensions of Picard varieties that are used in Sections 3 and 4.

It is a pleasure to thank A. Chambert-Loir and D. Bertrand for helpful discussions, and S. Kudla, M. Rapoport and J. Schwermer for invitations to the ESI in Vienna where part of the work on this paper was done. We are grateful to the TMR network ‘Arithmetic geometry’ and the DFG-Forschergruppe ‘Algebraische Zykel und L -Funktionen’ for their support and to the universities of Regensburg and Paris-Sud (Orsay) for their hospitality. Finally we wish to thank the referee for his careful reading and his helpful suggestions for improving the exposition.

1. Atiyah extensions in algebraic and analytic geometry

1.1. Definition and basic properties. — We consider simultaneously the algebraic and the analytic situation where $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ is a morphism of locally ringed spaces which is either

- a) a separated morphism of finite presentation between schemes, or
- b) an analytic morphism between complex analytic spaces.

We denote in both cases by \mathcal{O}_X the structure sheaf of regular resp. holomorphic functions on X . Let I denote the ideal sheaf and

$$\Delta^{(1)} : X^{(1)} \longrightarrow X \times_S X$$

the first infinitesimal neighborhood of the diagonal $\Delta: X \rightarrow X \times_S X$. For $i = 1, 2$, let $q_i: X^{(1)} \rightarrow X$ denote the composition of $\Delta^{(1)}$ with the i -th projection. We identify $(\Omega_{X/S}^1, d)$ with the \mathcal{O}_X -module I/I^2 and the universal derivation

$$(1.1) \quad d: \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow I/I^2, \quad d(\lambda) = q_2^*(\lambda) - q_1^*(\lambda).$$

The \mathcal{O}_X -modules $q_{1*}\mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}}$ and $q_{2*}\mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}}$ are canonically isomorphic as sheaves of \mathcal{O}_S -modules. We denote this \mathcal{O}_S -module by $P_{X/S}^1$ and observe that $P_{X/S}^1$ carries two natural \mathcal{O}_X -module structures via the left and right projection q_1 and q_2 . The canonical extension

$$0 \longrightarrow I/I^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times_S X}/I^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times_S X}/I \longrightarrow 0$$

yields an exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_X -modules

$$(1.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^1 \longrightarrow P_{X/S}^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0$$

for both \mathcal{O}_X -module structures. The left and right \mathcal{O}_X -module structures yield canonical but different \mathcal{O}_X -linear splittings of (1.2) which map $1 \bmod I$ to $1 \bmod I^2$.

1.1.1. — Let F denote a vector bundle (that is, a locally free coherent sheaf) on X . We obtain from (1.2) an exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_X -modules

$$\mathcal{J}et_{X/S}^1(F): 0 \longrightarrow F \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1 \xrightarrow{i_F} P_{X/S}^1(F) \xrightarrow{p_F} F \longrightarrow 0$$

where

$$(1.3) \quad P_{X/S}^1(F) = q_{1*}q_2^*F.$$

Indeed we have

$$P_{X/S}^1(F) = P_{X/S}^1 \otimes F$$

where the tensor product is taken using the right \mathcal{O}_X -module structure on $P_{X/S}^1$, and then the sequence is viewed as sequence of \mathcal{O}_X -modules via the left \mathcal{O}_X -module structure. The canonical splitting of (1.2) for the right \mathcal{O}_X -module structure induces a canonical \mathcal{O}_S -linear splitting of $\mathcal{J}et_{X/S}^1(F)$. We obtain a canonical direct sum decomposition

$$(1.4) \quad P_{X/S}^1(F) = F \oplus (F \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1)$$

of \mathcal{O}_S -modules. We use squared brackets $[,]$ when we refer to this decomposition. A straightforward calculation shows that, in terms of this decomposition, the left \mathcal{O}_X -module structure of $P_{X/S}^1(F)$ is given by

$$(1.5) \quad \lambda \cdot [f, \omega] = [\lambda \cdot f, \lambda \cdot \omega - f \otimes d\lambda]$$

for local sections λ of \mathcal{O}_X , f of F , and ω of $F \otimes \Omega^1_{X/S}$. It follows that there is a one-to-one correspondence

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{O}_X\text{-linear splittings} \\ s: F \rightarrow P^1_{X/S}(F) \text{ of } \mathcal{J}et^1_{X/S}(F) \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{algebraic resp. holomorphic} \\ \text{connections } \nabla: F \rightarrow F \otimes \Omega^1_{X/S} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Under this correspondence, a connection ∇ corresponds to the splitting s_∇ of $\mathcal{J}et^1_{X/S}(F)$ given by the formula

$$(1.6) \quad s_\nabla: F \longrightarrow P^1_{X/S}(F) = F \oplus (F \otimes \Omega^1_{X/S}), \quad f \longmapsto [f, -\nabla(f)].$$

For instance, the “trivial” connection $\nabla := d$ on $E = \mathcal{O}_X$ is associated to the canonical left \mathcal{O}_X -linear splitting of (1.2).

1.1.2. — The extension $\mathcal{J}et^1_{X/S}(F)$ is called the *extension given by the 1-jets or principal parts of first order associated with F* . We denote the class of $\mathcal{J}et^1_{X/S}(F)$ in $\text{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_X}(F, F \otimes \Omega^1_{X/S})$ by $\text{jet}^1_{X/S}(F)$ and abbreviate $\text{jet}(F) = \text{jet}^1_{X/S}(F)$ if X/S is clear from the context. We have followed in (1.1), (1.3), and (1.6) the conventions fixed in [23, 16.7], [25, III. (1.2.6.2)], and [13, (2.3.4)].

1.1.3. — We recall from [2, Propositions 6, 7 and 8] that the assignment

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{vector bundles on } X\} &\longrightarrow \{\text{short exact sequences of } \mathcal{O}_X\text{-modules}\} \\ F &\longmapsto \mathcal{J}et^1_{X/S}(F) \end{aligned}$$

defines an additive, exact functor. Furthermore $\mathcal{J}et^1_{X/S}(F)$ is a short exact sequence of vector bundles if π is smooth.

The following Lemma is a slight refinement of [2, Proposition 10].

Lemma 1.1.4. — *Let E and F denote vector bundles on X .*

i) *Let*

$$B = \frac{\text{Ker}(p_E \otimes \text{id}_F - \text{id}_E \otimes p_F : P^1_{X/S}(E) \otimes F \oplus E \otimes P^1_{X/S}(F) \rightarrow E \otimes F)}{\text{Im}((i_E \otimes \text{id}_F, -\text{id}_E \otimes i_F) : E \otimes F \otimes \Omega^1_{X/S} \rightarrow P^1_{X/S}(E) \otimes F \oplus E \otimes P^1_{X/S}(F))}.$$

denote the Baer sum of the extensions $\mathcal{J}et^1_{X/S}(E) \otimes F$ and $E \otimes \mathcal{J}et^1_{X/S}(F)$. There exists a canonical isomorphism

$$(1.7) \quad \varphi : P^1_{X/S}(E \otimes F) \longrightarrow B$$

which fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E \otimes F \otimes \Omega^1_{X/S} & \longrightarrow & P^1_{X/S}(E \otimes F) & \longrightarrow & E \otimes F \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \varphi & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E \otimes F \otimes \Omega^1_{X/S} & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E \otimes F \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Consequently we have

$$\text{jet}_{X/S}^1(E \otimes F) = \text{jet}_{X/S}^1(E) \otimes F + E \otimes \text{jet}_{X/S}^1(F)$$

in $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(E \otimes F, E \otimes F \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1)$.

ii) Let ∇_E and ∇_F denote connections on E and F . We equip the tensor product $E \otimes F$ with the product connection

$$(1.8) \quad \nabla_{E \otimes F} = \nabla_E \otimes \text{id}_F + \text{id}_E \otimes \nabla_F.$$

The connections ∇_E, ∇_F , and $\nabla_{E \otimes F}$ induce sections s_E, s_F , and $s_{E \otimes F}$ of $\mathcal{J}et_{X/S}^1(E), \mathcal{J}et_{X/S}^1(F)$, and $\mathcal{J}et_{X/S}^1(E \otimes F)$ respectively. We have

$$\varphi \circ s_{E \otimes F} = (s_E \otimes \text{id}_F, \text{id}_E \otimes s_F)$$

where the notation on the right hand side refers to the description of the Baer sum given above.

Proof. — i) Let $IM = \text{Im}(i_E \otimes \text{id}_F, -\text{id}_E \otimes i_F)$. Recall that

$$P_{X/S}^1(E \otimes F) = (E \otimes F) \oplus (E \otimes F \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1).$$

There exists a unique \mathcal{O}_S -linear map (1.7) which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi([e_0 \otimes f_0, e_1 \otimes f_1 \otimes \alpha]) &= ([e_0, 0] \otimes f_0 + [0, e_1 \otimes \alpha] \otimes f_1) \oplus (e_0 \otimes [f_0, 0]) \text{ mod } IM \\ &= ([e_0, 0] \otimes f_0) \oplus (e_0 \otimes [f_0, 0] + e_1 \otimes [0, f_1 \otimes \alpha]) \text{ mod } IM \end{aligned}$$

for local sections e_0, e_1 of E, f_0, f_1 of F and α of $\Omega_{X/S}^1$. It is straightforward to check that φ is well defined and makes our diagram commutative. It remains to show that φ is also \mathcal{O}_X -linear. This follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\lambda \cdot [e_0 \otimes f_0, 0]) &= \varphi([\lambda \cdot e_0 \otimes f_0, -e_0 \otimes f_0 \otimes d\lambda]) \\ &= ([\lambda \cdot e_0, 0] \otimes f_0 - [0, e_0 \otimes d\lambda] \otimes f_0) \oplus (\lambda \cdot e_0 \otimes [f_0, 0]) \text{ mod } IM \\ &= \lambda \cdot \varphi([e_0 \otimes f_0, 0]) \end{aligned}$$

as φ induces the identity on $\Omega_{X/S}^1 \otimes E \otimes F$.

ii) For local sections e of E and f of F , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \circ s_{E \otimes F}(e \otimes f) &= ([e, -\nabla e] \otimes f) \oplus (e \otimes [f, -\nabla f]) \text{ mod } IM \\ &= (s_E \otimes \text{id}_F, \text{id}_E \otimes s_F)(e \otimes f) \end{aligned}$$

which proves ii). □

Corollary 1.1.5. — *Let E be a vector bundle on X and denote*

$$j_E : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow E \otimes E^\vee \simeq \text{End}(E)$$

the canonical morphism of vector bundles which maps 1 to id_E . The Baer sum of $\mathcal{J}et_{X/S}^1(E) \otimes E^\vee$ and $E \otimes \mathcal{J}et_{X/S}^1(E^\vee)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{J}et_{X/S}^1(E \otimes E^\vee)$.

The pullback $\mathcal{J}et^1_{X/S}(E \otimes E^\vee) \circ j_E$ of $\mathcal{J}et^1_{X/S}(E \otimes E^\vee)$ along j_E — defined as the upper extension in the commutative diagram

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & E \otimes E^\vee \otimes \Omega^1_{X/S} & \rightarrow & Q & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow j_E \\ 0 & \rightarrow & E \otimes E^\vee \otimes \Omega^1_{X/S} & \rightarrow & P^1_{X/S}(E \otimes E^\vee) & \rightarrow & E \otimes E^\vee \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

whose righthand square is cartesian (compare [7, App. A.4.2]) — admits a canonical splitting.

Proof. — The first statement follows from Lemma 1.1.4. The map j_E induces by functoriality a morphism from $\mathcal{J}et^1_{X/S}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ to $\mathcal{J}et^1_{X/S}(E \otimes E^\vee)$. Since the righthand side in (1.9) is cartesian, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega^1_{X/S} & \longrightarrow & P^1_{X/S}(\mathcal{O}_X) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow j_E \otimes \text{id}_{\Omega^1_{X/S}} & & \downarrow \varphi & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E \otimes E^\vee \otimes \Omega^1_{X/S} & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The canonical splitting s_d of $\mathcal{J}et^1_{X/S}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ (that correspond to the connection d on \mathcal{O}_X) induces via (1.10) the requested canonical splitting $\varphi \circ s_d$ of $\mathcal{J}et^1_{X/S}(E \otimes E^\vee) \circ j_E$. \square

Lemma 1.1.6. — Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ \downarrow \tilde{\pi} & & \downarrow \pi \\ \tilde{S} & \xrightarrow{g} & S \end{array}$$

in the category of locally ringed spaces where $\tilde{\pi}$ and π are morphisms as in situation 1.1, a) or b). Let E be a vector bundle on X and denote by f^* the canonical map $f^* \Omega^1_{X/S} \rightarrow \Omega^1_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}$.

i) There exists a canonical $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ -linear map

$$\phi : f^* P^1_{X/S}(E) \longrightarrow P^1_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}(f^* E)$$

which makes the diagram

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & f^* E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}} f^* \Omega^1_{X/S} & \longrightarrow & f^* P^1_{X/S}(E) & \longrightarrow & f^* E \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id}_{f^* E} \otimes f^* & & \downarrow \phi & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & f^* E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}} \Omega^1_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}} & \longrightarrow & P^1_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}(f^* E) & \longrightarrow & f^* E \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

commutative. Consequently we have

$$(\text{id}_{f^* E} \otimes f^*) \circ \text{jet}^1_{X/S}(E) = \text{jet}^1_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}(f^* E)$$

in $\text{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}}(f^* E, f^* E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}} \Omega^1_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}})$.

ii) A connection ∇_E on E induces a splitting s_E of $\mathcal{J}et^1_{X/S}(E)$. The splitting

$$s_{f^*E} := \phi \circ f^*(s_E)$$

induces a connection $f^*\nabla_E$ on f^*E which is uniquely determined by

$$(1.12) \quad (f^*\nabla_E)(f^*s) = f^*(\nabla_E s) := (\text{id}_{f^*E} \otimes f^*)(f^{-1}(\nabla_E s))$$

for local sections s of E .

Notice that the case where $\tilde{\pi}$ is as in situation 1.1, b) and π as in situation 1.1, a) is allowed.

Proof. — i) Observe that the upper sequence in (1.11) is exact as E is locally free. Recall that

$$(1.13) \quad f^*P^1_{X/S}(E) = [f^{-1}E \oplus f^{-1}(E \otimes_{\theta_X} \Omega^1_{X/S})] \otimes_{f^{-1}\theta_X} \theta_{\tilde{X}}$$

and

$$(1.14) \quad P^1_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}(f^*E) = f^*E \oplus f^*E \otimes_{\theta_{\tilde{X}}} \Omega^1_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}.$$

By the very definitions of f^*E and $f^*(E \otimes_{\theta_X} \Omega^1_{X/S})$, we have $f^{-1}\theta_X$ -linear canonical maps

$$f^{-1}E \longrightarrow f^*E$$

and

$$f^{-1}(E \otimes_{\theta_X} \Omega^1_{X/S}) \rightarrow f^*(E \otimes_{\theta_X} \Omega^1_{X/S}) \xrightarrow{\sim} f^*E \otimes_{\theta_{\tilde{X}}} f^*\Omega^1_{X/S} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{f^*E} \otimes f^*} f^*E \otimes_{\theta_{\tilde{X}}} \Omega^1_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}.$$

The direct sum of these maps induces a $g^{-1}\theta_S$ -linear morphism

$$[f^{-1}E \oplus f^{-1}(E \otimes_{\theta_X} \Omega^1_{X/S})] \longrightarrow f^*E \oplus f^*E \otimes_{\theta_{\tilde{X}}} \Omega^1_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}.$$

It is straightforward to check that this morphism is $f^{-1}\theta_X$ -linear for the module structure given by formula (1.5). Via (1.13) and (1.14), we obtain the desired morphism ϕ which fits by construction in the diagram (1.11).

ii) is a straightforward consequence of the construction of ϕ in the proof of i). \square

1.2. Cotangent complex and Atiyah class. — In situation 1.1, a) resp. b), the cotangent complex $\mathbb{L}_{X/S}$ is constructed in [25, II.1.2] resp. [9, 2.38] as an object in the derived category $D(\theta_X\text{-mod})$ of θ_X -modules. Consider $\Omega^1_{X/S}$ as a complex concentrated in degree zero. The cotangent complex $\mathbb{L}_{X/S}$ comes with a natural morphism

$$(1.15) \quad \mathbb{L}_{X/S} \longrightarrow \Omega^1_{X/S}$$

in $D(\mathcal{O}_X\text{-mod})$ which is a quasi-isomorphism if X is smooth over S . Given a vector bundle E over X , the *Atiyah class* of E is defined in [25, IV.2.3] resp. [9, §3] as an element

$$\text{at}_{X/S}(E) \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(E, E \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}_{X/S}) = \text{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{O}_X\text{-mod})}(E, E \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}_{X/S}[1]).$$

If $X \xrightarrow{\pi} S$ is a morphism of schemes, the Atiyah class of Illusie maps under the morphism induced by (1.15) to the class (compare [25, Cor. IV.2.3.7.4])

$$\text{jet}_{X/S}^1(E) \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(E, E \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1).$$

Furthermore, according to [25, Prop. II.1.2.4.2], (1.15) induces an isomorphism

$$(1.16) \quad H_0(\mathbb{L}_{X/S}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{X/S}^1.$$

If $X \xrightarrow{\pi} S$ is a smooth morphism of complex analytic spaces, the Atiyah class of Buchweitz and Flenner maps under the morphism induced by (1.15) to the *opposite* class of $\text{jet}_{X/S}^1(E)$ ([9, 3.27]).

If the canonical morphism (1.15) is a quasi-isomorphism, we call $\mathcal{J}et_{X/S}^1(E)$ the *Atiyah extension associated with E* and denote it by $\mathcal{A}t_{X/S}(E)$.

The associated extension class $\text{at}_{X/S}(F)$ equals the opposite of the Atiyah classes $\text{At}(F)$ in [9] and $b(F)$ in [2, Section 4]. It coincides with the Atiyah class defined in [1]. Compare also [9, 2.4 and Rem. 3.17] for a discussion of signs related to the Atiyah class.

The following Lemma implies in particular that (1.15) is a quasi-isomorphism in the situations considered in the next sections.

Lemma 1.2.1. — *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be a locally complete intersection (l.c.i.) morphism of schemes such that X is integral and π is generically smooth, in the sense that the smooth locus of π is dense in X . Then the morphism (1.15) is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Proof. — It is sufficient to show our claim locally on X as the formation of (1.15) is compatible with restrictions to open subsets. Hence we may assume that π admits a factorization

$$X \xrightarrow{j} Q \xrightarrow{q} S$$

where j is a regular immersion defined by some regular ideal sheaf J and q is smooth. We obtain an exact sequence

$$(1.17) \quad 0 \longrightarrow J/J^2 \xrightarrow{\phi} j^* \Omega_{Q/S}^1 \xrightarrow{\psi} \Omega_{X/S}^1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

This is well known up to the injectivity of ϕ which holds as ϕ is a morphism of locally free sheaves which is injective over the smooth locus of π . The complex

$$J/J^2 \xrightarrow{\phi} j^* \Omega_{Q/S}^1$$

concentrated in degrees minus one and zero is a cotangent complex for f by [25, Cor. III.3.2.7]. Therefore it follows from the exactness of (1.17) on the left and the isomorphism (1.16) that (1.15) is in fact a quasi-isomorphism. \square

1.3. \mathcal{C}^∞ -connections compatible with the holomorphic structure. — Let E denote a holomorphic vector bundle on a complex manifold X . Recall that a \mathcal{C}^∞ -connection

$$\nabla : A^0(X, E) \longrightarrow A^1(X, E)$$

on E is called *compatible with the holomorphic structure* if its $(0, 1)$ -part coincides with the Dolbeault operator, i.e. $\nabla^{0,1} = \bar{\partial}_E$. Consider the Atiyah extension associated with E

$$\mathcal{A}t_X(E) : 0 \longrightarrow E \otimes \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{i_E} P_{X/\mathbb{C}}^1(E) \xrightarrow{p_E} E \longrightarrow 0.$$

In the same way as before, we obtain a one-to-one correspondence

$$\nabla \longleftrightarrow s_{\nabla^{1,0}}$$

between \mathcal{C}^∞ -connections on the vector bundle E which are compatible with the holomorphic structure and \mathcal{C}^∞ -splittings

$$(1.18) \quad s_{\nabla^{1,0}} : E \longrightarrow P_{X/\mathbb{C}}^1(E), f \longmapsto [f, -\nabla^{1,0}(f)]$$

of the extension $\mathcal{A}t_X(E)$.

It is straightforward to check that this correspondence satisfies compatibility properties with tensor operations and pull back similar to the ones established in Lemma 1.1.4, Corollary 1.1.5, and Lemma 1.1.6 above.

The one-to-one correspondence described above extends in a straightforward way to the relative situation where X/S is a holomorphic family of complex manifolds. We leave the details of this construction to the interested reader.

Assume that E carries a hermitian metric h . A \mathcal{C}^∞ -connection ∇ on $\bar{E} = (E, h)$ is called *unitary* if and only if it satisfies

$$dh(s, t) = h(\nabla s, t) + h(s, \nabla t) \quad \text{for all } s, t \in A^0(X, E).$$

Recall that a hermitian holomorphic vector bundle $\bar{E} = (E, h)$ carries a unique unitary connection $\nabla_{\bar{E}}$ which is compatible with the holomorphic structure ([10], [36]; see also [20, Ch. 0.5] or [43, Sect. II.2]; this connection is sometimes called the *Chern connection* of (E, h)). Moreover the assignment $\bar{E} \mapsto \nabla_{\bar{E}}$ is compatible with direct sums, tensor products, duals and pull-backs.

Lemma 1.3.1. — *Let $\bar{E} = (E, h)$ be a hermitian holomorphic vector bundle on X . Let $\nabla = \nabla_{\bar{E}}$ denote the unitary \mathcal{C}^∞ -connection on E which is compatible with the complex structure. The curvature form*

$$\nabla^2 \in A^{1,1}(X, \mathcal{E}nd(E))$$

and the second fundamental form

$$\alpha \in A^{0,1}(X, \mathcal{E}nd(E) \otimes \Omega_X^1)$$

associated with $\mathcal{U}t_X(E)$ and its \mathcal{C}^∞ -splitting $s_{\nabla^{1,0}}$ as in [7, A.5.2] satisfy

$$(1.19) \quad \alpha = -\nabla^2$$

where we read the canonical isomorphism

$$A^{1,1}(X, \mathcal{E}nd(E)) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^{0,1}(X, \mathcal{E}nd(E) \otimes \Omega_X^1), \quad f \otimes (\alpha \wedge \beta) \mapsto (f \otimes \alpha) \wedge \beta.$$

(compare [7, 1.1.5]) as an identification.

Proof. — Recall from [7, A.5.2] that α is determined by

$$\bar{\partial}_{P_{X/C}^1(E) \otimes E^\vee}(s_{\nabla^{1,0}}) = (i_E \otimes \text{id}_{A_X^{0,1}})(\alpha).$$

It is sufficient to verify (1.19) locally on X . Hence we may assume that E admits a holomorphic frame. We describe ∇ and ∇^2 with respect to this frame by the connection matrix θ and the curvature matrix Θ . Following the conventions in [43, Ch. III], we have

$$\Theta_{ik} = d\theta_{ik} + \sum_j \theta_{ij} \wedge \theta_{jk}.$$

The connection matrix θ has type $(1, 0)$ and the curvature matrix Θ has type $(1, 1)$ by *loc. cit.* Hence the equality above becomes

$$(1.20) \quad \Theta = \bar{\partial}\theta.$$

Let $\tilde{\nabla}$ denote the connection on E whose connection matrix is zero. The associated splitting $s_{\tilde{\nabla}^{1,0}}$ of $\mathcal{U}t_X(E)$ is holomorphic. Hence (1.6) and (1.20) give

$$\bar{\partial}_{P_{X/C}^1(E) \otimes E^\vee}(s_{\nabla^{1,0}}) = \bar{\partial}_{P_{X/C}^1(E) \otimes E^\vee}(s_{\nabla^{1,0}} - s_{\tilde{\nabla}^{1,0}}) = -\bar{\partial}(\theta) = -\Theta = -\nabla^2. \quad \square$$

2. The arithmetic Atiyah class of a vector bundle with connection

In this section we fix an arithmetic ring $R = (R, \Sigma, F_\infty)$ in the sense of [17, 3.1.1]. We denote K the fraction field of R , and we let $S := \text{Spec } R$.

2.1. Definition and basic properties. — Let X be an integral arithmetic scheme over R (in the sense of [17], or [7, 1.1]) with a flat, l.c.i. structural morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow S$. Recall that the generic fiber X_K of X is smooth (by the very definition of an arithmetic scheme in *loc. cit.*), and observe that π satisfies the assumptions in Lemma 1.2.1.

Let E be a vector bundle on X . We consider the commutative square

$$\begin{CD} (X_\Sigma(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{O}_{X_\Sigma}^{\text{hol}}) @>j>> (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \\ @V\pi_{\mathbb{C}}VV @VV\pi V \\ (S_\Sigma(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{O}_{S_\Sigma}^{\text{hol}}) @>j_0>> (S, \mathcal{O}_S). \end{CD}$$

Lemma 1.1.6 implies that the formation of the Atiyah extension of E is compatible with base change with respect to this diagram. More precisely, we have a canonical analytification isomorphism

$$P^1_{X/S}(E)_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{hol}} \xrightarrow{\sim} P^1_{X_\Sigma(\mathbb{C})/S_\Sigma(\mathbb{C})}(E_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{hol}})$$

where we put $F_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{hol}} = j^*F$ for every \mathcal{O}_X -module F .

2.1.1. — We have seen in 1.3 that there is a one-to-one correspondence between \mathcal{C}^∞ -connections

$$\nabla : A^0(X_\Sigma(\mathbb{C}), E_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow A^1(X_\Sigma(\mathbb{C}), E_{\mathbb{C}})$$

which are compatible with the holomorphic structure and commute with the action of F_∞ , and sections

$$s_\nabla : E_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow P^1_{X/S}(E)_{\mathbb{C}}$$

such that $(\mathcal{U}t_{X/S}E, s_\nabla)$ is an arithmetic extension. This correspondence allows us to associate its *arithmetic Atiyah extension* $(\mathcal{U}t_{X/S}E, s_\nabla)$ and its *arithmetic Atiyah class*

$$\widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S}(E, \nabla) \in \widehat{\text{Ext}}^1_X(E, E \otimes \Omega^1_{X/S})$$

to any vector bundle E on X equipped with an F_∞ -invariant \mathcal{C}^∞ -connection ∇ on $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ that is compatible with the holomorphic structure.

If \overline{E} is a hermitian vector bundle over X , we obtain the *arithmetic Atiyah extension* $(\mathcal{U}t_{X/S}E, s_{\nabla_{\overline{E}}})$ of \overline{E} and its *arithmetic Atiyah class*

$$\widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S}(\overline{E}) := \widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S}(E, \nabla_{\overline{E}}) \in \widehat{\text{Ext}}^1_X(E, E \otimes \Omega^1_{X/S}),$$

where $\nabla_{\overline{E}}$ denotes the unitary connection on $E_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{hol}}$ over $X_\Sigma(\mathbb{C})$ that is compatible with the complex structure. As a direct consequence of this definition and Lemma 1.3.1, we get a formula for the “second fundamental form” (compare the introduction and [7, 2.3.1])

$$\Psi(\widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S}(\overline{E})) \in A^{0,1}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{E}nd(E) \otimes \Omega^1_{X/S}).$$

Namely

$$(2.1) \quad \Psi(\widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S}(\overline{E})) = -R_{\overline{E}},$$

under the canonical identification

$$A^{1,1}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{E}nd(E)) = A^{0,1}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{E}nd(E) \otimes \Omega^1_{X/S}),$$

where $R_{\overline{E}} := \nabla^2_{\overline{E}}$ denotes the curvature of \overline{E} .

In particular, when \overline{E} is a hermitian line bundle over X ,

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \Psi(\widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S}(\overline{E})) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} R_{\overline{E}} =: c_1(\overline{E})$$

is the first Chern form of \overline{E} .

We collect basic properties of the arithmetic Atiyah class.

Proposition 2.1.2. — i) Let (E, ∇_E) and (F, ∇_F) be vector bundles on X equipped with F_∞ -invariant \mathcal{C}^∞ -connections compatible with the holomorphic structure. We equip the tensor product $E \otimes F$ with the product connection. Then the equality

$$\widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S}(E \otimes F, \nabla_{E \otimes F}) = \widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S}(E, \nabla_E) \otimes F + E \otimes \widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S}(F, \nabla_F)$$

holds in $\widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(E \otimes F, E \otimes F \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1)$.

ii) Let \overline{E} and \overline{F} be hermitian vector bundles on X , and $\overline{E} \otimes \overline{F}$ their tensor product equipped with the product hermitian metric. Then the equality

$$\widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S}(\overline{E} \otimes \overline{F}) = \widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S}(\overline{E}) \otimes F + E \otimes \widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S}(\overline{F})$$

holds in $\widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(E \otimes F, E \otimes F \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1)$.

iii) Let \overline{E} be a hermitian vector bundle on X , and \overline{E}^\vee the dual hermitian vector bundle. Then the equality

$$(2.3) \quad \widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S}(\overline{E}) = -\widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S}(\overline{E}^\vee)$$

holds in

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(E, E \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1) &\simeq \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_X, E^\vee \otimes E \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1) \\ &\simeq \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_X, (E^\vee)^\vee \otimes E^\vee \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1) \simeq \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(E^\vee, E^\vee \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1), \end{aligned}$$

where the first and last isomorphisms in (2.4) are the canonical isomorphisms in [7, 2.4.6], and the second one is deduced from the isomorphism $E^\vee \otimes E \simeq E \otimes E^\vee$ exchanging the two factors and the canonical biduality isomorphism $E \simeq (E^\vee)^\vee$.

iv) Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of integral arithmetic schemes which are generically smooth l.c.i. over S . Let (E, ∇_E) be a vector bundle on Y with F_∞ -invariant \mathcal{C}^∞ -connection which is compatible with the holomorphic structure. The canonical map $f^* : f^*\Omega_{Y/S}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^1$ induces a homomorphism

$$\widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(f^*E, f^*E \otimes f^*\Omega_{Y/S}^1) \longrightarrow \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(f^*E, f^*E \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1)$$

by pushout along $\text{id}_{f^*E} \otimes f^*$. We still denote the image of $f^*\widehat{\text{at}}_{Y/S}(E, \nabla_E)$ under this map by $f^*\widehat{\text{at}}_{Y/S}(E, \nabla_E)$ and equip f^*E^{hol} with the connection $f^*\nabla_E$ described in (1.12). Then we have the equality

$$f^*\widehat{\text{at}}_{Y/S}(E, \nabla_E) = \widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S}(f^*E, f^*\nabla_E).$$

in $\widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(f^*E, f^*E \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1)$.

v) Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of integral arithmetic schemes which are generically smooth l.c.i. over S . Let \overline{E} denote a hermitian vector bundle on Y , and $f^*\overline{E}$ its pull-back on X . Then the inverse image $f^*\widehat{\text{at}}_{Y/S}(\overline{E})$ may be defined in $\widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(f^*E, f^*E \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1)$ as in iv) and satisfies

$$f^*\widehat{\text{at}}_{Y/S}(\overline{E}) = \widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S}(f^*\overline{E}).$$

Proof. — Assertion i) follows from Lemma 1.1.4 and its variant for \mathcal{C}^∞ -connections compatible with the holomorphic structure, and assertion ii) is a direct consequence of i) and of the fact that the Chern connection of a tensor product of hermitian vector bundles coincides with the tensor product of their Chern connections. To establish iii), observe that Corollary 1.1.5 and the compatibility of the canonical splitting given there with holomorphic and hermitian structures leads to the equality

$$(E^\vee \otimes \widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S}(\overline{E})) \circ j_E = -(\widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S}(\overline{E}^\vee) \otimes E) \circ j_E$$

in $\widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \text{End}(E) \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1)$ where $\cdot \circ j_E$ denotes the pushout along j_E . Equality (2.3) then follows from the very definitions of the isomorphisms in (2.4) in [7, Prop. 2.4.6]. Assertions iv) and v) follow from 1.1.6. \square

Let \overline{E} be a hermitian line bundle on X . We give a cocycle description of $\widehat{\text{at}}(\overline{E})$ based on the description of arithmetic extension groups by Čech cocycles given in Appendix A.

Proposition 2.1.3. — Let $\overline{E} = (E, h)$ be a hermitian vector bundle of rank n on X . Choose an affine, open cover $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i \in I}$ of X such that E admits a frame

$$f_i : \mathcal{O}_{U_i}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} E|_{U_i}$$

over each U_i . For $i \in I$, we define

$$h_i := h(f_{i,C}, f_{i,C}) = (h(f_{i,C}(e_l), f_{i,C}(e_k)))_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathcal{C}^\infty(U_i, \Sigma(\mathbb{C})), \mathbb{C})^{F_\infty},$$

where $e_l := (\delta_{\alpha l})_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n}$, and

$$\partial \log h_i := f_i \circ h_i^{-1} \circ (\partial h_i) \circ f_i^{-1} \in A^0(U_i, \mathbb{R}, \text{End}(E) \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1).$$

For $i, j \in I$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} f_{ij} &:= f_j^{-1} \circ f_i \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathcal{O}_X(U_{ij})) \\ \text{dlog } f_{ij} &:= f_j \circ (df_{ij}) \circ f_i^{-1} \in \Gamma(U_{ij}, \text{End}(E) \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1). \end{aligned}$$

Then the isomorphism

$$\hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{U}, E, E \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1} : \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(E, E \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1) \rightarrow \check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, C(\text{ad}_{\text{End}(E)} \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1))$$

constructed in Lemma A.0.1 maps $\widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S}(\overline{E})$ to the class of

$$((-d\log f_{ij})_{i,j \in I}, (-\partial \log h_i)_{i \in I}).$$

Proof. — Let ∇ denote the unitary connection on E_C which is compatible with the holomorphic structure. We compute a cocycle $((\alpha_{ij})_{i,j}, (\beta_i)_i)$ which represents the image of the arithmetic extension $(\mathcal{U}(E), s_\nabla)$ under $\hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{U}, E, E \otimes \Omega^1_{X/S}}$. We follow the construction of $\hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{U}, E, E \otimes \Omega^1_{X/S}}$ given in Appendix A. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{End}(E) \otimes \Omega^1_{X/S} & \longrightarrow & W & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow j_E \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E \otimes \Omega^1_{X/S} \otimes E^\vee & \longrightarrow & P^1_{X/S}(E) \otimes E^\vee & \longrightarrow & E \otimes E^\vee \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

where the lower exact sequence is the extension $\mathcal{U}(E) \otimes E^\vee$ and the upper exact sequence is the pullback $(\mathcal{U}(E) \otimes E^\vee) \circ j_E$ of the lower exact sequence by j_E . There is a unique connection $\nabla_i: E|_{U_i} \rightarrow E|_{U_i} \otimes \Omega^1_{U_i/S}$ such that $\nabla_i(f_i) = 0$. It satisfies

$$\nabla_j(f_i) = \nabla_j(f_j \cdot f_{ij}) = f_j \cdot df_{ij},$$

where the frames f_i and f_j are seen as “line vectors” with entries sections of E . The connection ∇_i determines an \mathcal{O}_{U_i} -linear splitting s_{∇_i} of $\mathcal{U}(E)$ over U_i as in (1.6). We write $j_E(1_X) = f_i \otimes f_i^\vee$, where f_i^\vee denotes the dual frame of E^\vee — which we may see as a “column vector” with entries sections of E^\vee — and get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{ij} &= (s_{\nabla_j} \otimes \text{id}_{E^\vee} - s_{\nabla_i} \otimes \text{id}_{E^\vee}) \circ j_E(1_X) \\ &= (-\nabla_j + \nabla_i) f_i \otimes f_i^\vee \\ &= (-f_j \cdot (df_{ij})) \otimes f_i^\vee \\ &= -d\log f_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that we have

$$\nabla^{1,0}(f_i) = f_i \cdot h_i^{-1} \cdot (\partial h_i)$$

by [43, III.2, eq. (2.1)]. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_i &= (s_{\nabla^{1,0}} \otimes \text{id}_{E^\vee} - s_{\nabla_i} \otimes \text{id}_{E^\vee}) \circ j_E(1_X) \\ &= -f_i \circ h_i^{-1} \circ (\partial h_i) \circ f_i^{-1} \\ &= -\partial \log h_i. \end{aligned}$$

Our claim follows. □

The properties of the arithmetic Atiyah class in Proposition 2.1.2 may be recovered by straightforward cocycle computations using Proposition 2.1.3.

2.1.4. — Let us indicate that there is a straightforward generalization of the construction of the arithmetic extension class $\text{at}_{X/S}(E, \nabla)$ in $\widehat{\text{Ext}}^1_X(E, E \otimes \Omega^1_{X/S})$ given above when S is a flat arithmetic scheme over $\text{Spec } R$, X an integral arithmetic scheme equipped with a l.c.i. morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow S$, smooth over K , and ∇ is a relative \mathcal{C}^∞ -connection for $X_\Sigma(\mathbb{C})/S_\Sigma(\mathbb{C})$.

If the relative connection ∇ is induced by an absolute connection ∇_X via the canonical map

$$(2.5) \quad \Omega^1_{X/\text{Spec } R} \rightarrow \Omega^1_{X/S},$$

the relative and the absolute Atiyah class are related as follows. The commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} & X \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \\ S & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } R \end{array}$$

induces by Lemma 1.1.6 a commutative diagram

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E \otimes \Omega^1_{X/\text{Spec } R} & \longrightarrow & P^1_{X/\text{Spec } R}(E) & \longrightarrow & E \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E \otimes \Omega^1_{X/S} & \longrightarrow & P^1_{X/S}(E) & \longrightarrow & E \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

which identifies $\mathcal{A}t_{X/S}(E)$ with the pushout of $\mathcal{J}et^1_{X/\text{Spec } R}(E)$ along the canonical map (2.5). We have $s_\nabla = \phi_{\mathbb{C}} \circ s_{\nabla_X}$. Hence the pushout of the arithmetic extension $(\mathcal{J}et^1_{X/\text{Spec } R} E, s_\nabla)$ along the canonical map (2.5) is by its very definition in [7, 2.4.1] the arithmetic extension $(\mathcal{A}t_{X/S}(E), s_\nabla)$.

2.2. The first Chern class in arithmetic Hodge cohomology

2.2.1. — For a hermitian vector bundle \overline{E} on an arithmetic scheme X , flat and l.c.i. over $S = \text{Spec } R$, we put

$$\hat{c}_1^H(\overline{E}) := \hat{c}_1^H(X/S, \overline{E}) := \text{tr}_E \circ (\widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S}(\overline{E}) \otimes E^\vee) \circ j_E \in \widehat{\text{Ext}}^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \Omega^1_{X/S})$$

where $\text{tr}_E : E \otimes E^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ and $j_E : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \text{End}(E) \simeq E \otimes E^\vee$ denote the canonical morphisms. We call $\hat{c}_1^H(\overline{E})$ the *first Chern class of \overline{E} in arithmetic Hodge cohomology*.

When \overline{E} is a hermitian line bundle, tr_E and j_E are the “obvious” isomorphisms, and $\hat{c}_1^H(\overline{E})$ is nothing else than $\widehat{\text{at}}_{X/S}(\overline{E})$ in

$$\widehat{\text{Ext}}^1_X(E, E \otimes \Omega^1_{X/S}) \simeq \widehat{\text{Ext}}^1_X(\mathcal{O}_X, E^\vee \otimes E \otimes \Omega^1_{X/S}) \simeq \widehat{\text{Ext}}^1_X(\mathcal{O}_X, \Omega^1_{X/S}).$$

Using the the description of the arithmetic Atiyah class in terms of Čech cocycles in Proposition 2.1.3, and the expression of the differential of the determinant in terms

of the trace, we obtain, after a straightforward computation:

$$(2.7) \quad \hat{c}_1^H(\bar{E}) = \hat{c}_1^H(\det \bar{E}).$$

Proposition 2.1.3 also leads immediately to the following description of the first Chern class in arithmetic Hodge cohomology for hermitian line bundles:

Lemma 2.2.2. — *Let \bar{L} be a hermitian line bundle on an arithmetic scheme X . Choose an affine, open cover $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i \in I}$ of X such that L admits a trivialization $l_i \in \Gamma(U_i, L)$ over U_i . Put*

$$f_{ij} := l_j^{-1} \cdot l_i \in \Gamma(U_{ij}, \mathcal{O}^*).$$

Then

$$\hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{U}, \Omega_{X/S}^1}(\hat{c}_1^H(\bar{L})) = [(-\text{dlog } f_{ij})_{i,j \in I}, (-\partial \log \|l_i\|^2)_{i \in I}].$$

2.2.3. — Let $\widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)$ denote the group of isometry classes of hermitian line bundles on X . It follows immediately from Proposition 2.1.2 that the map

$$\hat{c}_1^H : \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X) \longrightarrow \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \Omega_{X/S}^1)$$

is a group homomorphism which satisfies

$$\hat{c}_1^H(X/S, \cdot) \circ f^* = f^* \circ \hat{c}_1^H(Y/S, \cdot)$$

for every morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of integral, flat, l.c.i, arithmetic S -schemes.

2.2.4. — We consider the diagrams

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{O}(X)^* & \xrightarrow{\log |\cdot|^2} & A^{0,0}(X_{\mathbb{R}}) & \xrightarrow{a} & \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X) & \rightarrow & \text{Pic}(X) \\ \downarrow -\text{dlog} & & \downarrow -\partial & & \downarrow \hat{c}_1^H & & \downarrow c_1^H \\ \Gamma(X, \Omega_{X/S}^1) & \rightarrow & A^0(X_{\mathbb{R}}, \Omega_{X/S}^1) & \xrightarrow{b} & \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \Omega_{X/S}^1) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \Omega_{X/S}^1). \end{array}$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X) & \xrightarrow{c_1} & A^{1,1}(X_{\mathbb{R}}) \\ \downarrow \hat{c}_1^H & & \downarrow \iota \\ \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \Omega_{X/S}^1) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & A^{0,1}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, \Omega_{X/S}^1). \end{array}$$

Here $A^{p,p}(X_{\mathbb{R}})$ is by definition the space of real (p, p) -forms α on the complex manifold $X_{\Sigma}(\mathbb{C})$ which satisfy $F_{\infty}(\alpha) = (-1)^p \alpha$ (compare [17, 3.2.1]). The monomorphism ι is defined by

$$A^{p,p}(X_{\mathbb{R}}) \hookrightarrow A^{0,p}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, \Omega_{X/S}^p), \quad \alpha \mapsto (2\pi i)^p \alpha$$

(compare [7, 1.1.5]). Furthermore we have used the following morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log |\cdot|^2 : \mathcal{O}(X)^* &\longrightarrow A^{0,0}(X_{\mathbb{R}}), f \longmapsto \log |f|^2, \\
 \text{dlog} : \mathcal{O}(X)^* &\longrightarrow \Gamma(X, \Omega_{X/S}^1), f \longmapsto f^{-1}df, \\
 \Gamma(X, \Omega_{X/S}^1) &\longrightarrow A^0(X_{\mathbb{R}}, \Omega_{X/S}^1), \alpha \longmapsto \alpha_{\mathbb{C}}, \\
 \partial : A^{0,0}(X_{\mathbb{R}}) &\longrightarrow A^0(X_{\mathbb{R}}, \Omega_{X/S}^1), f \longmapsto \partial f, \\
 a : A^{0,0}(X_{\mathbb{R}}) &\longrightarrow \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X), f \longmapsto [(\mathcal{O}_X, \|\cdot\|_f)] \text{ with } \|1_X\|_f^2 = \exp f, \\
 b : A^0(X_{\mathbb{R}}, \Omega_{X/S}^1) &\longrightarrow \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \Omega_{X/S}^1), T \longmapsto \left[0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^1 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \text{id} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} \Omega_{X/S}^1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_X \right. \\
 &\qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0, \text{id} \end{pmatrix}} \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0, s := \begin{pmatrix} T \\ \text{id} \end{pmatrix} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

(compare the introduction and [7, 2.2]),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X) &\longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X), [(L, \|\cdot\|)] \longmapsto [L], \\
 \nu : \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \Omega_{X/S}^1) &\longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \Omega_{X/S}^1), [(\mathcal{E}, s)] \longmapsto [\mathcal{E}], \\
 c_1^H : \text{Pic}(X) &\longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \Omega_{X/S}^1), [L] \longmapsto [\text{tr}_L \circ \text{at}_{X/S}(L) \circ i_L], \\
 c_1 : \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X) &\longrightarrow A^{1,1}(X_{\mathbb{R}}), [\bar{L} = (L, \|\cdot\|)] \longmapsto -(2\pi i)^{-1} \nabla_{\bar{L}}^2, \\
 \Psi : \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \Omega_{X/S}^1) &\longrightarrow A^{0,1}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, \Omega_{X/S}^1), \text{ defined in [7, 2.3.1]}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The horizontal lines in (2.8) are exact by [18, (2.5.2)] and [7, 2.2.1]. Observe the analogy between (2.8) and [18, (2.5.2)].

Proposition 2.2.5. — *The diagrams (2.8) and (2.9) are commutative.*

Proof. — For f in $\mathcal{O}(X)^*$, we have

$$(2.10) \qquad \partial \log |f|^2 = \frac{\partial(f\bar{f})}{f\bar{f}} = \frac{\partial f}{f} = \frac{df}{f} = \text{dlog } f$$

which shows the commutativity of the left square in (2.8). The unitary connection ∇_f on $(\mathcal{O}_X, \|\cdot\|_f)$ that is compatible with the holomorphic structure is given according to [43, III.2 formula (2.1)] by the formula

$$\nabla_f^{1,0}(1) = \partial f \in A^0(X_{\mathbb{R}}, \Omega_{X/S}^1).$$

Taking into account the correspondence between connections and splittings in 1.3 above (and notably the sign in (1.18)), it follows that the middle square commutes. The commutativity of the right square holds by definition. The square (2.9) is commutative by formula (2.2). □

3. Hermitian line bundles with vanishing arithmetic Atiyah class

This section is devoted to the proof of assertions $\mathbf{I1}_{X,\Sigma}$ and $\mathbf{I2}_{X,\Sigma}$ in the Introduction (see 0.4 *supra*).

In the first part of the section, we establish the special case of $\mathbf{I1}_{X,\Sigma}$ where X is an abelian variety and Σ has a unique or two conjugate elements. As mentioned in the Introduction, the validity of $\mathbf{I1}_{X,\Sigma}$ in this case has been established by Bertrand ([4, 5]) under suitable hypotheses of “real multiplication”.

In the second part of the section, we use some classical properties of Picard varieties to extend $\mathbf{I1}_{X,\Sigma}$ to arbitrary smooth projective varieties X over number fields. Finally we establish $\mathbf{I2}_{X,\Sigma}$, which describes the kernel of the first class Chern in arithmetic Hodge cohomology \hat{c}_1^H “up to a finite group”.

3.1. Transcendence and line bundles with connections on abelian varieties.

— The next paragraphs are devoted to the proof of the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1.1. — *Let A be an abelian variety over a number field K , and (L, ∇) a line bundle over A equipped with a connection (defined over K).*

If there exists a field embedding $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and a hermitian metric $\|\cdot\|$ on the complex line bundle L_σ on $A_\sigma(\mathbb{C})$ such that the connection ∇_σ is unitary with respect to $\|\cdot\|$, then L has a torsion class in $\text{Pic}(A)$, and the metric $\|\cdot\|$ has vanishing curvature.

Actually this implies that the connection ∇ is the unique one on L such that (L, ∇) has a torsion class in the group of isomorphism classes of line bundles with connections over A (see 3.2 *infra*).

Let us indicate that this result admits an alternative formulation in terms of universal vector extensions of abelian varieties and their maximal compact subgroups, in the spirit of Bertrand’s articles [4, 5]:

Theorem 3.1.2. — *Let B be an abelian variety over a number field K , $B^\#$ the universal vector extension of B , and P a point in $B^\#(K)$.*

If there exists a field embedding $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the point P_σ belongs to the maximal compact subgroup of $B_\sigma^\#(\mathbb{C})$, then P is a torsion point in $B^\#(K)$.

Actually, for any given K and σ , the implications in the statement of Theorems 3.1.1 and 3.1.2 are equivalent when the abelian varieties A and B are dual to each other. This follows from the description of the universal vector extension $B^\#$ and of the maximal compact subgroup of $B_\sigma^\#(\mathbb{C})$ recalled in Appendix B (see notably B.6 applied to $k = K$ and $X = A$, in which case $E_{X/k} = B^\#$, and B.7 applied to $X = A_\sigma$, in which case $E_{X/\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}) = B_\sigma^\#(\mathbb{C})$).

The formulation in Theorem 3.1.1 turns out to be more convenient for the proof, which will proceed along the following lines.

Firstly, the data (L, ∇) in Theorem 3.1.1 may be “translated” in terms of algebraic groups: the total space of the \mathbb{G}_m -torsor associated to L defines a commutative algebraic group L^\times , and the connection ∇ an hyperplane in its Lie algebra $\text{Lie } L^\times$. Then an application of the theorem of Schneider-Lang to this situation will show that, *if there exists a family $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_g)$ of points in the lattice of periods Γ_{A_σ} of A_σ which constitutes a \mathbb{C} -basis of $\text{Lie } A_\sigma$ such that the monodromy of the complex line bundle with connection $(L_\sigma, \nabla_\sigma)$ along each γ_i lies in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$, then L is torsion.*⁽⁴⁾

This criterion easily leads to a derivation of Theorem 3.1.1 when the image of the embedding σ lies in \mathbb{R} . Indeed a simple “reality” argument then shows that the monodromy of $(L_\sigma, \nabla_\sigma)$ along the “real periods” of A_σ lies in $\{1, -1\}$.

When the image of σ does not lie in \mathbb{R} , we may assume that K is Galois over \mathbb{Q} , and consider the involution τ of K such that $\sigma \circ \tau = \bar{\sigma}$. It will turn out that we may apply the above criterion to the line bundle with connection on $A \times_K A_\tau$ defined as the external tensor product of (L, ∇) and (L_τ, ∇_τ) to establish that $L \boxtimes L_\tau$, hence L , is torsion.

3.1.3. Line bundles with connections on abelian varieties. — Let A be an abelian variety over a field k of characteristic zero, and L a line bundle over A . We may choose a rigidification of L , namely a trivialization $\phi : k \simeq L_e$ of its fiber at the zero element e of $A(k)$, or equivalently the vector $\ell := \phi(1)$ in $L_e \setminus \{0\}$.

In the sequel, we shall assume that the following equivalent⁽⁵⁾ conditions are satisfied:

- (i) *the line bundle L is algebraically equivalent to the trivial line bundle;*
- (ii) *the Atiyah class $\text{at}_{A/k} L (= \text{jet}_{A/k}^1 L)$ of L vanishes;*
- (iii) *the line bundle L may be equipped with an algebraic connection ∇ .*

⁽⁴⁾ *Added in proof.* After the acceptance of this article, we realized that related results had been obtained by Simpson, [40], Section 3. Namely Theorem 1 of *loc. cit.* establishes the validity of the previous criterion under the stronger assumption that the monodromy of $(L_\sigma, \nabla_\sigma)$ along any γ in Γ belongs to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$. Simpson’s proof relies on transcendence results of Waldschmidt [42] concerning exponentials of abelian integrals, which themselves are deduced from the Theorem of Schneider-Lang. The derivation of the previous criterion in 3.1.5 *infra* may be seen as a refined geometric variant of the arguments of Waldschmidt and Simpson.

⁽⁵⁾ Indeed (ii) and (iii) are equivalent by the very definition of $\text{at}_{A/k} L$, (ii) is equivalent to the rational vanishing of the first Chern class of L (hence (i) implies (ii)), and if the first Chern class of L vanishes rationally, one gets (i) from [28, II.2 Cor. 1 to Th. 2], as $\text{Pic}_{A/k}^0 = \text{Pic}_{A/k}^\tau$ by [35, Cor. 6.8].

Observe that the connection ∇ is necessarily flat ⁽⁶⁾ and that the set of connections on A is a torsor under the k -vector space $\Gamma(A, \Omega^1_{A/k}) \simeq (\text{Lie } A)^\vee$ of regular 1-forms on A , which acts additively on this set.

Beside, the \mathbb{G}_m -torsor L^\times defined by deleting the zero section from the total space ⁽⁷⁾ $\mathbb{V}(L^\vee)$ of L admits a unique structure of commutative algebraic group over k such that the diagram

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \xrightarrow{\phi} L^\times \xrightarrow{\pi} A \longrightarrow 0,$$

— where ϕ denotes the composite morphism $\mathbb{G}_{m,k} \xrightarrow{\simeq} L^\times_e \hookrightarrow L^\times$ and π the restriction of the “structural morphism” from $\mathbb{V}(L^\vee)$ to A — becomes a short exact sequence of commutative algebraic groups. Its zero element is the k -point $\epsilon \in L^\times(k)$ defined by ℓ . (See for instance [39], VII.3.16.)

From (3.1), we derive a short exact sequence of k -vector spaces:

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Lie } \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \xrightarrow{\text{Lie } \phi} \text{Lie } L^\times \xrightarrow{\text{Lie } \pi} \text{Lie } A \longrightarrow 0.$$

Recall that a connection over a vector bundle on a smooth algebraic variety may be described *à la* Ehresmann as an equivariant splitting of the differential of the structural morphism of its frame bundle (see for instance [30], Chapter II, or [41], Chapter 8; the constructions of *loc. cit.* in a differentiable setting can be immediately transposed in the algebraic framework of smooth algebraic varieties). In the present situation, a connection ∇ on L may thus be seen as a $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ -equivariant splitting of the surjective morphism of vector bundles over L^\times defined by the differential of π :

$$D\pi : T_{L^\times} \longrightarrow \pi^*T_A.$$

In particular, its value at the unit element ϵ of L^\times defines a k -linear splitting

$$\Sigma : \text{Lie } A \longrightarrow \text{Lie } L^\times$$

of (3.2).

Conversely, from any k -linear right inverse Σ of $\text{Lie } \pi$, we deduce a \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant splitting of $D\pi$ by constructing its L^\times -equivariant extension to L^\times .

Through these constructions, connections on L and k -linear splittings of (3.2) correspond bijectively. Indeed, by means of the identification

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lie } \mathbb{G}_{m,k} &\xrightarrow{\sim} k \\ \lambda \cdot X \frac{\partial}{\partial X} &\longmapsto \lambda, \end{aligned}$$

⁽⁶⁾ To establish this, we may reduce to the case $k = \mathbb{C}$ and use transcendental arguments. We may also assume that k is algebraically closed, and observe that the curvature ∇^2 of an algebraic connection on L depends only on the isomorphism class of L and defines a morphism of algebraic groups over k from the dual abelian variety A^\vee to the additive group $\Gamma(A, \Omega^2_{A/k}) (\simeq \wedge^2(\text{Lie } A)^\vee)$. Since A is proper and connected, any such morphism is zero.

⁽⁷⁾ Namely, the spectrum of the quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_A -algebra $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L^{\vee \otimes n}$.

the set of k -linear splittings of (3.2) becomes naturally a torsor under $(\text{Lie } A)^\vee$, and the above constructions are compatible with the (additive) actions of $(\text{Lie } A)^\vee$ on the set of these splittings and on the set of connections on L .

This correspondence may also be described as follows. A linear splitting Σ as above may also be seen as a morphism $\tilde{\ell} : A_{e,1} \rightarrow L_{\epsilon,1}^\times$ from the first infinitesimal neighbourhood $A_{e,1}$ of e in A to the first infinitesimal neighbourhood $L_{\epsilon,1}^\times$ of ϵ in L^\times which is a right inverse of the map $\pi_{\epsilon,1} : L_{\epsilon,1}^\times \rightarrow A_{e,1}$ deduced from π . In other words, $\tilde{\ell}$ is a section of L over $A_{e,1}$ such that $\tilde{\ell}(e) = l$. The connection ∇ associated to Σ is the unique one such that $\nabla \tilde{\ell}(e) = 0$.

3.1.4. *The complex case.* — If G is a commutative algebraic group over \mathbb{C} , its exponential map will be denoted \exp_G . It is the unique morphism of \mathbb{C} -analytic Lie groups

$$\exp_G : \text{Lie } G \longrightarrow G(\mathbb{C})$$

whose differential at $0 \in \text{Lie } G$ is $\text{Id}_{\text{Lie } G}$. Its kernel

$$\Gamma_G := \ker \exp_G$$

is a discrete additive subgroup of $\text{Lie } G$. When G is connected, \exp_G is a universal covering of $G(\mathbb{C})$, and Γ_G may be identified with the fundamental group $\pi_1(G(\mathbb{C}), 0_G)$, or with the homology group $H_1(G(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$.

Let us go back to the situation considered in paragraph 3.1.3, in the case where the base field k is \mathbb{C} , and fix the algebraic connection ∇ on L .

Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Lie } L^\times & \xrightarrow{D\pi} & \text{Lie } A \\ \downarrow \exp_{L^\times} & & \downarrow \exp_A \\ L^\times(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & A(\mathbb{C}). \end{array}$$

is commutative. Consequently the morphism of groups

$$\exp_{L^\times} \circ \Sigma : \Gamma_A \longrightarrow L^\times(\mathbb{C})$$

takes its value in $\ker \pi \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$. It coincides with the monodromy representation

$$\rho : \Gamma_A = H_1(A(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$$

of the line bundle with flat connection (L, ∇) — or more properly of the corresponding objects in the analytic category — over $A(\mathbb{C})$. Indeed, the horizontal $\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}$ -equivariant foliation on $L^\times(\mathbb{C})$ defined by ∇ is translation invariant, and its leaves are precisely the translates in $L^\times(\mathbb{C})$ of the image of $\exp_{L^\times} \circ \Sigma$.

3.1.5. *An application of the Theorem of Schneider-Lang.* — To establish Theorem 3.1.1, we shall use the following classical transcendence result on commutative algebraic groups:

Theorem 3.1.6. — *Let K be a number field and $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a field embedding, and let G be a commutative algebraic group over K , and V a K -vector subspace of $\text{Lie } G$.*

If there exists a basis $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_v)$ of the complex vector space V_σ such that, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, v\}$, $\exp_{G_\sigma}(\gamma_i)$ belongs to $G(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, then V is the Lie algebra of some algebraic subgroup H of G .

We have denoted $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{C} . By means of the embedding σ , it may be seen as an algebraic closure of K , and the group $G(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -rational points of G becomes a subgroup of the group $G_\sigma(\mathbb{C})$ of its complex points.

Observe also that the subgroup H whose existence is asserted in Theorem 3.1.6 may clearly be chosen connected, and then H is clearly unique, defined over K , and the group $H_\sigma(\mathbb{C})$ of its complex points coincides with $\exp_{G_\sigma}(V_\sigma)$.

Theorem 3.1.6 has been established by Lang ([31], IV.4, Theorem 2), who elaborated on some earlier work of Schneider on abelian functions and the transcendence of their values [38]. We refer the reader to [42] (where it appears as Théorème 5.2.1) for more details on Theorem 3.1.6 and its classical applications.

Let us point out that Theorem 3.1.6 is now subsumed by various renowned more recent results — namely, the transcendence criterion of Bombieri and the analytic subgroup theorem of Wüstholz. The reader may find a recent survey of these and related transcendence results on commutative algebraic groups in the monograph [3].

We now return to the situation considered in paragraph 3.1.3, where we assume that the base field k is a number field K .

Taking into account the relation in the complex case between the monodromy of connections on L and the exponential map of the algebraic group L^\times described in 3.1.4, we may derive from the theorem of Schneider-Lang (Theorem 3.1.6 above) applied to the algebraic group $G = L^\times$:

Corollary 3.1.7. — *Let A be an abelian variety of dimension g over a number field K , and (L, ∇) a line bundle over L equipped with a flat connection (defined over K).*

Let $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a field embedding, and let $\rho_\sigma : \Gamma_{A_\sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^$ denote the monodromy representation attached to the flat complex line bundle $(L_\sigma, \nabla_\sigma)$ over $A_\sigma(\mathbb{C})$.*

If there exists $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_g$ in Γ_{A_σ} such that $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_g)$ is a basis of the \mathbb{C} -vector space $\text{Lie } A_\sigma$ and such that, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, g\}$, $\rho_\sigma(\gamma_i)$ belongs to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^$, then L has a torsion class in $\text{Pic}(A)$.*

Observe that conversely, if n is a positive integer such that $L^{\otimes n} \simeq \mathcal{O}_A$, the unique connection ∇_L^{tor} on L such the n -th tensor power of the line bundle with connection

$(L, \nabla_L^{\text{tor}})$ is isomorphic to (\mathcal{O}_A, d) is such that, for any $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the image of the monodromy ρ_σ of $(L_\sigma, \nabla_{L,\sigma}^{\text{tor}})$ lies in the n -th roots of unity, hence in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$. By elaborating slightly on the proof below, one may show that, with the notation of Corollary 3.1.7, the connection ∇ necessarily coincides with the connection ∇_L^{tor} so defined. We leave this to the interested reader.

Proof. — We consider the K -linear map $\Sigma : \text{Lie } A \longrightarrow \text{Lie } L^\times$ associated to the connection ∇ as in 3.1.3, and its image $V := \Sigma(\text{Lie } A)$. The vectors $\tilde{\gamma}_i := \Sigma_\sigma(\gamma_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq g$, constitute a basis of the \mathbb{C} -vector space V_σ . Moreover the image $\exp_{L^\times}(\tilde{\gamma}_i)$ of $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ by the exponential map of L^\times is the point of $L_{\sigma,e}^\times \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$ defined by the monodromy $\rho_\sigma(\gamma_i)$ of γ_i . According to our assumption, these images belong to $L^\times(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$.

The theorem of Schneider-Lang now shows that V is the Lie algebra of a connected algebraic subgroup H of L^\times , defined over K . Since $\text{Lie } \pi_{|H} : \text{Lie } H = V \rightarrow \text{Lie } A$ is an isomorphism of K -vector spaces, the morphism of algebraic groups $\pi_{|H} : H \rightarrow A$ is étale, and consequently H is an abelian variety over K and $\pi_{|H}$ an isogeny.

By the very construction of H as a subscheme of L^\times , the inverse image $\pi_{|H}^* L$ of L on H is trivial. If N denotes the degree of $\pi_{|H}$, it follows that $L^{\otimes N}$ — which is isomorphic to the norm, relative to $\pi_{|H}$, of $\pi_{|H}^* L$ — is a trivial line bundle. \square

3.1.8. *Reality I.* — Let us keep the framework of paragraph 3.1.3, and suppose now that the base field k is \mathbb{R} .

The line bundle with connection (L, ∇) defines a real analytic line bundle with flat connection $(L^\mathbb{R}, \nabla^\mathbb{R})$ over the compact real analytic Lie group $A(\mathbb{R})$. Its monodromy defines a representation $\rho_\mathbb{R}$ of the fundamental group $\pi_1(A(\mathbb{R}), 0_A)$, or equivalently of the homology group $H_1(A(\mathbb{R})^\circ, \mathbb{Z})$ of the connected component of 0_A , with values in \mathbb{R}^* .

Actually the inclusion $\iota : A(\mathbb{R})^\circ \hookrightarrow A(\mathbb{C})$ defines an injective map of free abelian groups, of respective ranks g and $2g$,

$$\iota_* : H_1(A(\mathbb{R})^\circ, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_1(A(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}),$$

and the monodromy representation $\rho_\mathbb{R}$ coincides with the restriction $\rho_\mathbb{C} \circ \iota_*$ of the monodromy representation

$$\rho_\mathbb{C} : H_1(A(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$$

defined by the \mathbb{C} -analytic line bundle with flat connection $(L_\mathbb{C}, \nabla_\mathbb{C})$ over the compact \mathbb{C} -analytic Lie group $A(\mathbb{C})$. Moreover any \mathbb{Z} -basis of $\iota_*(H_1(A(\mathbb{R})^\circ, \mathbb{Z}))$ is a \mathbb{C} -basis of $H_1(A(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}) \simeq \text{Lie } A_\mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 3.1.9. — *The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *There exists a hermitian metric $\|\cdot\|$ on the complex line bundle $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $A(\mathbb{C})$ such that the connection $\nabla_{\mathbb{C}}$ is unitary with respect to $\|\cdot\|$ ⁽⁸⁾.*

(ii) *The monodromy representation $\rho_{\mathbb{R}}$ takes its values in $\{1, -1\}$.*

Clearly Condition (i) is equivalent to:

(i') *The monodromy representation $\rho_{\mathbb{C}}$ takes its values in $U(1) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$.*

In the sequel, we shall only use the implications (i) \Rightarrow (i') \Rightarrow (ii), which are straightforward. To show (ii) \Rightarrow (i'), let $\Gamma^+ := \iota_*(H_1(A(\mathbb{R})^\circ, \mathbb{Z}))$, and observe that the elements of $\Gamma_{A_{\mathbb{C}}}$ which are “purely imaginary” in $\text{Lie } A_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq (\text{Lie } A) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ constitute a subgroup Γ^- of rank g such that $\Gamma^+ \cap \Gamma^- = \{0\}$, that $\Gamma/\Gamma^+ \oplus \Gamma^-$ is a 2-torsion group, and that the image $\rho_{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma^-)$ of Γ^- by the monodromy representation lies in $U(1)$. We leave the details to the reader.

3.1.10. *Reality II.* — In this paragraph, we still keep the framework of the paragraph 3.1.3, and we now assume that the base field k is \mathbb{C} . We may apply the considerations of the last paragraph to the abelian variety over \mathbb{R} deduced from A by Weil restriction of scalar from \mathbb{C} to \mathbb{R} . This leads to the following results, that we formulate without explicit reference to Weil restriction.

Let A_-, L_-, ∇_- be respectively the complex abelian variety, the line bundle over A_- , and the connection over L_- deduced from $A, L,$ and ∇ by the base change $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$ defined by complex conjugation.

Let us consider the complex abelian variety

$$B := A \times A_-,$$

the two projections

$$\text{pr} : B \longrightarrow A \quad \text{and} \quad \text{pr}_- : B \longrightarrow A_-,$$

and $(\tilde{L}, \tilde{\nabla})$ the line bundle with connection over B defined as the tensor product of $\text{pr}^*(L, \nabla)$ and $\text{pr}_-^*(L_-, \nabla_-)$.

Let $j : \text{Lie } A \rightarrow \text{Lie } A_-$ denote the canonical \mathbb{C} -antilinear isomorphism. It maps bijectively Γ_A onto Γ_{A_-} , and we may introduce the diagonal embedding

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta : \Gamma_A &\longrightarrow \Gamma_A \oplus \Gamma_{A_-} \simeq \Gamma_B \\ \gamma &\longmapsto (\gamma, j(\gamma)). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that any \mathbb{Z} -basis $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{2g})$ of Γ_A is a \mathbb{R} -basis of $\text{Lie } A$, and consequently its image $(\Delta(\gamma_1), \dots, \Delta(\gamma_{2g}))$ by Δ is a \mathbb{C} -basis of $\text{Lie } B$.

Let ρ (resp. $\rho_-, \tilde{\rho}$) be the monodromy representation of Γ_A (resp. Γ_{A_-}, Γ_B) defined by the line bundle with connection (L, ∇) (resp. $(L_-, \nabla_-), (\tilde{L}, \tilde{\nabla})$).

⁽⁸⁾ Or, equivalently, such that $\nabla_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the Chern connection associated to $\|\cdot\|$.

It is straightforward that, for any γ in Γ_A , the following relations hold:

$$\rho_-(j(\gamma)) = \overline{\rho(\gamma)},$$

and

$$\tilde{\rho}(\Delta(\gamma)) = \rho(\gamma) \cdot \rho_-(j(\gamma)) = |\rho(\gamma)|^2.$$

These observations establish:

Lemma 3.1.11. — *If there exists a hermitian metric $\|\cdot\|$ on the complex line bundle L on $A(\mathbb{C})$ such that the connection ∇ is unitary with respect to $\|\cdot\|$, then the image $\Delta(\Gamma)$ of the diagonal embedding Δ contains a \mathbb{C} -basis of $\text{Lie } B$, and is included in the kernel of the monodromy representation $\tilde{\rho}$ of $(\tilde{L}, \tilde{\nabla})$.*

3.1.12. *Conclusion of the proof of Theorem 3.1.1.* — The following statement is a straightforward consequence of Corollary 3.1.7 to the Theorem of Schneider-Lang, combined with Lemma 3.1.9 above:

Corollary 3.1.13. — *Let A be an abelian variety over a number field K , and (L, ∇) a line bundle over A equipped with a flat connection defined over K , and let $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a field embedding that is real, namely such that its image $\sigma(K)$ lies in \mathbb{R} .*

If there exists a hermitian metric $\|\cdot\|$ on the complex line bundle L_σ on $A_\sigma(\mathbb{C})$ such that the connection ∇_σ is unitary with respect to $\|\cdot\|$, then L has a torsion class in $\text{Pic}(A)$.

If we use Lemma 3.1.11 instead of Lemma 3.1.9, we may prove:

Corollary 3.1.14. — *Let A be an abelian variety over a number field K , and (L, ∇) a line bundle over A equipped with a flat connection defined over K .*

Let $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a field embedding, and let τ be a (necessarily involutive) automorphism of the field K such that $\sigma \circ \tau = \bar{\sigma}$.

If there exists a hermitian metric $\|\cdot\|$ on the complex line bundle L_σ on $A_\sigma(\mathbb{C})$ such that the connection ∇_σ is unitary with respect to $\|\cdot\|$, then L has a torsion class in $\text{Pic}(A)$.

Observe that when $\tau = \text{Id}_K$ Corollary 3.1.14 reduces to Corollary 3.1.13 above. We have however chosen to present explicitly the statement of Corollary 3.1.13 and its proof above, since the basic idea behind the proofs of Corollaries 3.1.13 and 3.1.14 appears more clearly in the first one, which indeed has been inspired by Bertrand's proof in [4] and [5].

Proof of Corollary 3.1.14. As usual we denote A_τ , L_τ , and ∇_τ respectively the abelian variety over K , the line bundle over A_τ , and the connection over L_τ deduced from A ,

L , and ∇ by the base change $\text{Spec } K \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$ defined by τ . We may also introduce the abelian variety over K

$$B := A \times A_\tau,$$

the two projections

$$\text{pr} : B \longrightarrow A \quad \text{and} \quad \text{pr}_\tau : B \longrightarrow A_\tau,$$

and $(\tilde{L}, \tilde{\nabla})$ the line bundle with connection over B defined as the tensor product of $\text{pr}^*(L, \nabla)$ and $\text{pr}_\tau^*(L_\tau, \nabla_\tau)$.

Lemma 3.1.11 applied to $(A_\sigma, L_\sigma, \nabla_\sigma)$ shows that the hypotheses of Corollary 3.1.7 are satisfied by the abelian variety B over K , and the line bundle with connection $(\tilde{L}, \tilde{\nabla})$ over B . Consequently \tilde{L} has a torsion class in $\text{Pic}(B)$, and so L itself — which is isomorphic to the restriction of \tilde{L} to $A \times \{e\} \simeq A$ — has a torsion class in $\text{Pic}(A)$. \square

Finally consider $K, A, (L, \nabla), \sigma$ and $\|\cdot\|$ as in the statement of Theorem 3.1.1.

Let us first show that L has a torsion class in K . To achieve this, let us choose a finite field extension K' of K admitting an automorphism τ and an embedding σ' in \mathbb{C} that extends σ and satisfies $\sigma' \circ \tau = \overline{\sigma'}$ — for instance the subfield K' of \mathbb{C} generated by $\sigma(K)$ and its image by complex conjugation. We may apply Corollary 3.1.14 to the number field K' equipped with the complex embedding σ' , and to the abelian variety $A_{K'}$ and the line bundle with connection $(L_{K'}, \nabla_{K'})$ deduced from A and (L, ∇) by the base change $\text{Spec } K' \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$. Therefore $L_{K'}$ has a torsion class in $\text{Pic}(A_{K'})$. Since the base change morphism

$$\text{Pic}(A) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(A_{K'})$$

is injective, this indeed implies that L has a torsion class in $\text{Pic}(A)$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 3.1.1, it is sufficient to observe that the curvature of $\|\cdot\|$ — or equivalently, of the \mathcal{C}^∞ -connection $\nabla_{\mathcal{C}^\infty} = \nabla_\sigma + \bar{\partial}_{L_\sigma}$ on L_σ — vanishes for reason of type ⁽⁹⁾: it is a 2-form on $A_\sigma(\mathbb{C})$ of type $(2, 0)$, since ∇_σ is holomorphic, and purely imaginary, since $\nabla_{\mathcal{C}^\infty}$ is unitary.

3.2. Hermitian line bundles with vanishing arithmetic Atiyah class on smooth projective varieties over number fields. — Let K be a number field, and Σ a non-empty set of field embeddings of K in \mathbb{C} , stable under complex conjugation.

To these data is naturally attached the arithmetic ring in the sense of Gillet-Soulé ([17], 3.1.1) defined as the triple (K, Σ, F_∞) where F_∞ denotes the conjugate linear involution of \mathbb{C}^Σ defined by $F_\infty(a_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma} := (\overline{a_{\bar{\sigma}}})_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$.

⁽⁹⁾ One could also argue that this curvature coincides with the one of the holomorphic connection ∇_σ , which vanishes, as recalled above.

3.2.1. — Recall that, for any line bundle M over a smooth projective connected variety V over \mathbb{C} , the following conditions are equivalent, as a consequence of the GAGA principle and Hodge theory:

- (a1) *the Atiyah class at V/\mathbb{C} of M vanishes in $H^{1,1}(V/\mathbb{C}) := \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_V}^1(\mathcal{O}_V, \Omega_{V/\mathbb{C}}^1)$;*
- (a2) *the first Chern class $c_1(M^{\text{hol}})$ of the holomorphic line bundle M^{hol} over $V(\mathbb{C})$ deduced from M vanishes rationally (that is, in $H^2(V(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$, or equivalently in $H^2(V(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})$);*
- (a3) *there exists a \mathcal{C}^∞ -hermitian metric $\|\cdot\|$ with vanishing curvature on M^{hol} .*

Moreover, when they are satisfied, the metric $\|\cdot\|$ is unique up to a constant factor in \mathbb{R}_+^* , and the $(1, 0)$ -part $\nabla^{1,0}$ of the \mathcal{C}^∞ -connection ∇ on M^{hol} that is unitary (for $\|\cdot\|$) and compatible with the holomorphic structure is the unique integrable holomorphic connection ∇_M^u whose monodromy lies in $U(1) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$. Observe also that ∇_M^u algebraizes, and may be seen as an “algebraic” connection on the line bundle M on the algebraic variety V over \mathbb{C} .

3.2.2. — Let X be a smooth, projective, geometrically connected scheme over K , and $E_{X/K}$ the universal vector extension of $\text{Pic}_{X/K}^0$ (see Appendix B for basic facts on Picard varieties and their universal vector extensions).

In the sequel, we shall consider X and $\text{Spec } K$ as arithmetic schemes over the arithmetic ring (K, Σ, F_∞) .

In particular, a hermitian line bundle \bar{L} over X is the data of a line bundle L over X and of a \mathcal{C}^∞ -hermitian metric $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{L}}$, invariant under complex conjugation, on the holomorphic line bundle $L_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{hol}}$ over $X_\Sigma(\mathbb{C}) = \coprod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} X_\sigma(\mathbb{C})$.

According to the observations in 3.2.1, for any line bundle L over X , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (b1) *the Atiyah class at X/K of L in $H^{1,1}(X/K) := \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \Omega_{X/K}^1)$ vanishes;*
- (b2) *there exists a \mathcal{C}^∞ -hermitian metric $\|\cdot\|$ with vanishing curvature, invariant under complex conjugation, on the holomorphic line bundle $L_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{hol}}$ over $X_\Sigma(\mathbb{C})$.*

When (b1) and (b2) are realized, the metric $\|\cdot\|$ is unique, up to some multiplicative constant, on every component $X_\sigma(\mathbb{C})$ of $X_\Sigma(\mathbb{C})$.

Observe also that these conditions hold precisely when some positive power of the line bundle L is algebraically equivalent to zero ⁽¹⁰⁾ (see for instance [28, II.2 Cor. 1 to Th. 2]).

3.2.3. — Consider now a line bundle L on X satisfying Conditions (b1) and (b2) above, and let us choose a \mathcal{C}^∞ hermitian metric $\|\cdot\|$ on $L_{\mathbb{C}}$, as in Condition (b1) above.

⁽¹⁰⁾ By definition a line bundle on X is algebraically equivalent to zero if and only if its restriction to the geometric fiber $X_{\bar{K}}$ is algebraically equivalent to zero.

We shall denote \bar{L} the hermitian line bundle $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ over X , and $\nabla_{\bar{L}}$ the unitary connection on $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ which is compatible with the holomorphic structure. It does not depend on the actual choice of $\|\cdot\|$. Indeed, for any σ in Σ , the $(1, 0)$ -part $\nabla_{\bar{L}}^{1,0}$ of $\nabla_{\bar{L}}$ coincides with $\nabla_{L_{\sigma}}^u$ over $X_{\sigma}(\mathbb{C})$.

It is a straightforward consequence of our definitions that the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) *the line bundle L admits a connection $\nabla: L \rightarrow L \otimes \Omega_{X/K}^1$ (over K) such that the induced holomorphic connection $\nabla_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ over $X_{\Sigma}(\mathbb{C})$ equals $\nabla_{\bar{L}}^{1,0}$, or equivalently such that for any σ in Σ the induced holomorphic connection ∇_{σ} on L_{σ} over X_{σ} equals $\nabla_{L_{\sigma}}^u$;*
- (2) *the class $\hat{c}_1^H(\bar{L}) := \hat{c}_1^H(X/\text{Spec } K, \bar{L})$, or in other words the arithmetic Atiyah class $\widehat{\text{at}}_{X/K}(\bar{L})$, vanishes in $\hat{H}^{1,1}(X/K) := \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \Omega_{X/K}^1)$;*

Observe also that, when L is algebraically equivalent to zero, the pair $(L_{\mathbb{C}}, \nabla_{\bar{L}}^{1,0})$ — or equivalently the family $(L_{\sigma}, \nabla_{L_{\sigma}}^u)_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ — determines a point $P = P_{\bar{L}}$ in the maximal compact subgroup of

$$E_{X/K}(\mathbb{R}) := \left[\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} E_{X/K}(\mathbb{C}) \right]^{F_{\infty}}$$

(details of this construction may be found in the Appendix in B.7 and B.8), and Conditions (1) and (2) are also equivalent to:

- (3) *the point $P_{\bar{L}}$ in the maximal compact subgroup of $E_{X/K}(\mathbb{R})$ is the image of a K -rational point of $E_{X/K}$.*

We claim that, if a line bundle L over X defines a torsion point in $\text{Pic}(X)$, then Conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied.

Indeed, if n is a positive integer and $\alpha: \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow L^{\otimes n}$ is an isomorphism of line bundles over X , we may introduce the connection ∇_L^{tor} on L , defined over K , such that the connection $\nabla_{L^{\otimes n}}^{\text{tor}}$ on $L^{\otimes n}$ deduced from ∇_L^{tor} by taking its n -th tensor power makes α an isomorphism of line bundles with connections from (\mathcal{O}_X, d) to $(L^{\otimes n}, \nabla_{L^{\otimes n}})$ ⁽¹¹⁾. For any σ in Σ , the two connections $\nabla_{L_{\sigma}}^{\text{tor}}$ and $\nabla_{L_{\sigma}}^u$ on L_{σ} coincide, since the monodromy of $\nabla_{L_{\sigma}}^{\text{tor}}$ lies in the n -th roots of unity. Consequently Condition (1) is satisfied by $\nabla := \nabla_L^{\text{tor}}$.

⁽¹¹⁾ More generally, for any two line bundles L and M over X , any connection ∇_M on M and any isomorphism $\alpha: M \rightarrow L^{\otimes n}$, there exists a unique connection ∇_L on L such that the connection $\nabla_{L^{\otimes n}}$ on $L^{\otimes n}$ deduced from ∇_L by taking its n -th tensor power makes α an isomorphism of line bundles with connections from (M, ∇_M) to $(L^{\otimes n}, \nabla_{L^{\otimes n}})$. It may be defined by the following identity, valid for any local regular section l of L : $n \cdot l^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \nabla_L l = (\alpha \otimes \text{Id}_{\Omega_{X/K}^1}) \nabla_M(\alpha^{-1}(l^{\otimes n}))$.

3.2.4. — It turns out that, *conversely*, if Conditions (1) and (2) hold, then L has a torsion class in $\text{Pic}(X)$ and the connection ∇ , uniquely defined by (1), necessarily coincides with ∇_L^{tor} . This is basically the content of Theorems 3.1.1 and 3.1.2 when X is an abelian variety and Σ has one or two conjugate elements. It holds more generally for any X as above:

Theorem 3.2.5. — *Let X be a smooth, projective, geometrically connected variety over K , and let $\pi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$ its structural morphism, that we consider as a morphism of arithmetic schemes over the arithmetic ring (K, Σ, F_∞) .*

(i) *Let $\bar{L} = (L, \|\cdot\|_L)$ be a hermitian line bundle over X . If L admits an algebraic connection $\nabla : L \rightarrow L \otimes \Omega_{X/K}^1$ such that $\nabla_{\mathbb{C}}$ is unitary with respect to $\|\cdot\|_L$, then L has a torsion class in $\text{Pic}(X)$, the metric $\|\cdot\|_L$ has vanishing curvature, and ∇ coincides with ∇_L^{tor} .*

(ii) *For any hermitian line bundle \bar{L} on X , if the first Chern class $\hat{c}_1^H(\bar{L})$ in $\hat{H}^{1,1}(X/K) := \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \Omega_{X/K}^1)$ vanishes, then there exists a positive integer n such that $\bar{L}^{\otimes n}$ is isometric to the trivial bundle \mathcal{O}_X equipped with a metric constant on every component $X_\sigma(\mathbb{C})$ of $X_\Sigma(\mathbb{C})$ — or equivalently, such that the class of $\bar{L}^{\otimes n}$ in $\widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)$ belongs to the image of $\pi^* : \widehat{\text{Pic}}(\text{Spec } K) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)$.*

(iii) *Let $P \in E_{X/K}(K)$ be a K -rational point of the universal vector extension $E_{X/K}$ that belongs to the maximal compact subgroup of $E_{X/K}(\mathbb{R})$. Then P is a torsion point in $E_{X/K}(K)$.*

Proof. — We prove below that the assertions (i)–(iii) are equivalent for any given variety X as above. The isomorphism (B.9) will then show that it is sufficient to show (iii), hence any of the assertions (i)–(iii), for abelian varieties. In order to prove (i), we may choose σ in Σ and replace the set of embeddings Σ by $\{\sigma\}$ (resp. $\{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}\}$) if σ is a real (resp. complex) embedding. In this situation, (i) has been proved for abelian varieties as Theorem 3.1.1 in Section 3.1 *supra*.

For any given hermitian line bundle \bar{L} , the equivalence of the implications in (i) and (ii) is a straightforward consequence of the observations in 3.2.3 and of the implication

$$\hat{c}_1^H(\bar{L}) = 0 \Rightarrow c_1(\bar{L}) = 0,$$

which follows from the commutativity of (2.9).

To establish the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (iii), consider P in $E_{X/K}(K)$ a K -rational point of the universal vector extension that belongs to the maximal compact subgroup of $E_{X/K}(\mathbb{R})$. Replacing K by a finite extension, we may assume that P is represented by a line bundle L algebraically equivalent to zero with an integrable connection ∇ . If P belongs to the maximal compact subgroup of $E_{X/K}(\mathbb{R})$, we have $\nabla_{\mathbb{C}} = \nabla_{\bar{L}}^{1,0}$ where \bar{L} carries a hermitian metric with curvature zero. As observed in 3.2.3 above, this implies that $\hat{c}_1^H(\bar{L}) = 0$. According to (ii), there exists some integer $m > 0$ such

that $\bar{L}^{\otimes m}$ is isometric to the trivial bundle \mathcal{O}_X with a constant metric. It follows that $(L, \nabla)^{\otimes m}$ is isomorphic to the trivial bundle \mathcal{O}_X with the trivial connection, and consequently that P belongs to the m -torsion of $E_{X/K}(K)$.

Finally, we show the implication (iii) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $\bar{L} = (L, \|\cdot\|_L)$ be a hermitian line bundle over X such that the class $\hat{c}_1^H(\bar{L}) := \widehat{\text{at}}_{X/K}(\bar{L})$ vanishes. Then $\text{at}_{X/K}(L)$ vanishes too, and there exists a positive integer m such that $L^{\otimes m}$ is algebraically equivalent to zero. By replacing \bar{L} by $\bar{L}^{\otimes m}$, we may therefore assume that L is algebraically equivalent to zero. As observed in 3.2.3, the point $P_{\bar{L}}$ associated to $(L_{\mathbb{C}}, \|\cdot\|_L)$ lies in the maximal compact group of $E_{X/K}(\mathbb{R})$, and is the image of a K -rational point of $E_{X/K}$. According to (iii), it is a torsion point. This implies that L has a torsion class in $\text{Pic}(X)$, and that $\nabla_{\bar{L}}$ coincides with the connection $\nabla_{L, \mathbb{C}}^{\text{tor}}$. This establishes that \bar{L} satisfies the conclusion of (i), and consequently, as observed above, of (ii). □

3.3. Finiteness results on the kernel of \hat{c}_1^H . — We may use Theorem 3.2.5 to investigate the kernel of the first Chern class in arithmetic Hodge cohomology. Indeed this Theorem easily leads to a derivation of the assertion **I2** $_{X, \Sigma}$ in the Introduction (which conversely contains Part (ii) of Theorem 3.2.5):

Corollary 3.3.1. — *The image of*

$$\pi^* : \widehat{\text{Pic}}(\text{Spec } K) \longrightarrow \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)$$

has finite index in the kernel of

$$\hat{c}_1^H : \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X) \longrightarrow \widehat{H}^{1,1}(X/K).$$

Proof. — A hermitian metric with curvature zero on the trivial line bundle on X is constant on every component $X_\sigma(\mathbb{C})$ of $X_\Sigma(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore, if we introduce the canonical map

$$w : \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \hookrightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/K}(K),$$

then we have:

$$\text{Ker}(\hat{c}_1^H) \cap \text{Ker}(w) = \text{Im}(\pi^* : \widehat{\text{Pic}}(S) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)).$$

Hence the map w induces an injection of

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{\text{Ker}(\hat{c}_1^H : \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \Omega_{X/K}^1))}{\text{Im}(\pi^* : \widehat{\text{Pic}}(\text{Spec } K) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X))}$$

into $\text{Pic}_{X/K}(K)$. Theorem 3.2.5 (iii) implies that the image of (3.3) is contained in the torsion subgroup of $\text{Pic}_{X/K}(K)$ ⁽¹²⁾. This is a finite group as the Néron-Severi

⁽¹²⁾ Actually this morphism factorizes through the torsion subgroup $\text{Pic}(X)_{\text{tor}}$ of $\text{Pic}(X)$, and one may easily show that the so defined injection $\text{Ker}(\hat{c}_1^H) / \text{Im}(\pi^*) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X)_{\text{tor}}$ is an isomorphism.

group

$$NS_{X/K}(\overline{K}) = \text{Pic}_{X/K}(\overline{K})/\text{Pic}_{X/K}^0(\overline{K})$$

and $\text{Pic}_{X/K}^0(K)$ are finitely generated abelian groups by [29, Th. 5.1] and the theorem of Mordell-Weil. □

We may also establish a similar finiteness result where the base scheme $\text{Spec } K$ is replaced by an “arithmetic curve”:

Corollary 3.3.2. — *Let \mathcal{O}_K denote the ring of integers in a number field K , and let us work over the arithmetic ring $(\mathcal{O}_K, \Sigma, F_\infty)$. Let S denote a non-empty open subset of $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$, and let X be a smooth projective S -scheme with geometrically connected fibers. Then*

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\text{Ker}(\hat{c}_1^H : \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X) \longrightarrow \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \Omega_{X/S}^1))}{\text{Im}(\pi^* : \widehat{\text{Pic}}(S) \longrightarrow \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X))}$$

is a finite group.

Proof. — Let X_K denote the fiber of X over $\text{Spec } K$. We consider X_K as an arithmetic scheme over the arithmetic field $K = (K, \Sigma, F_\infty)$. There is a canonical restriction map

$$\nu : \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X) \longrightarrow \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X_K).$$

Any element in $\text{Ker } \nu \cap \text{Ker } \hat{c}_1^H(X/S, \cdot)$ is generically trivial and carries a constant metric. The sequence

$$\text{Pic}(S) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X_K)$$

is exact as the fibers of X/S are integral. Hence

$$\text{Ker}(\nu) \cap \text{Ker } \hat{c}_1^H(X/S, \cdot) \subseteq \text{Im}(\pi^* : \widehat{\text{Pic}}(S) \longrightarrow \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)).$$

Moreover ν maps $\text{Im}(\pi^* : \widehat{\text{Pic}}(S) \longrightarrow \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X))$ onto $\text{Im}(\pi^* : \widehat{\text{Pic}}(\text{Spec } K) \longrightarrow \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X_K))$. Consequently it induces an embedding of (3.4) into (3.3). The latter group is finite by Theorem 3.2.5. Our claim follows. □

4. A geometric analogue

4.1. Line bundles with vanishing relative Atiyah class on fibered projective varieties

4.1.1. *Notation.* — In this section, we consider a smooth projective geometrically connected curve C over a field k of characteristic 0, and a smooth projective variety V over k equipped with a dominant k -morphism $\pi : V \rightarrow C$, with geometrically connected fibers.

Observe that the morphism π is flat, and smooth over an open dense subscheme of C , namely over the complement of the finite set Δ of closed points P in C such that the (scheme theoretic) fiber $\pi^*(P)$ is not smooth over k .

Let $K := k(C)$ denote the function field of C . The generic fiber V_K of π is a smooth projective geometrically connected variety over K . Conversely, according to Hironaka’s resolution of singularities, any such variety over K may be constructed from the data of a k -variety V and of a k -morphism $\pi : V \rightarrow C$ as above.

Recall also that a divisor E in V is called *vertical* if it belongs to the group of divisors generated by components of closed fibers of π , or equivalently, if its restriction E_K to the generic fiber V_K of V vanishes.

In the sequel, we assume that the dimension n of V is at least 2. Moreover we choose an ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over V , we denote H its first Chern class in the Chow group $CH^1(X)$, and for any integral subscheme D of positive dimension in V and any line bundle L over V , we let:

$$\deg_{H,D} L := \deg_k(c_1(L) \cdot H^{\dim D-1} \cdot [D]).$$

Actually, we shall use this definition only when D is a vertical divisor in V . Consequently, we could require $\mathcal{O}(1)$ to be ample relatively to π only. Besides, when $\dim D = 1$ the choice of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is immaterial.

Observe that, if $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is very ample and defines a projective embedding $\iota : V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^N$, then, for any general enough $(\dim D - 1)$ -tuple $(H_1, \dots, H_{\dim D-1})$ of projective hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}_k^N , the subscheme

$$C := D \cap \iota^{-1}(H_1) \cap \dots \cap \iota^{-1}(H_{\dim D-1})$$

in \mathbb{P}_k^N is integral, one-dimensional, and projective over k , and its class $[C]$ in $CH_1(X)$ coincides with $H^{\dim D-1} \cdot [D]$. Consequently $\deg_{H,D} L$ is nothing but the degree $\deg_k c_1(L) \cdot [C]$ of the restriction of L to the “general linear section” C of D .

Let us recall that, if M is a smooth projective geometrically connected scheme over some field k_0 of characteristic zero, then the Picard functor Pic_{M/k_0} is representable by a separated group scheme over k_0 , and that its identity component Pic_{M/k_0}^0 is an abelian variety over k_0 . A line bundle L over M is algebraically equivalent to zero⁽¹³⁾ when the point in $\text{Pic}_{M/k_0}(k_0)$ it defines belongs to $\text{Pic}_{M/k_0}^0(k_0)$, or equivalently, if its

⁽¹³⁾ The reader should beware that, here as in the previous section, we use a “geometric” definition of “algebraically equivalent to zero”, related as follows to the one occurring in [16], 10.3: for any divisor D in M and any algebraic closure \bar{k}_0 of k_0 , the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(D)$ is algebraically equivalent to zero in our “geometric” sense iff the divisor $D_{\bar{k}_0}$ on $M_{\bar{k}_0}$ is algebraically equivalent to zero in Fulton’s sense. Also observe that (the first Chern class of) a line bundle on M algebraically equivalent to zero in the above sense is numerically equivalent to zero in the sense of Fulton [16], 19.1. In particular, with the notation of the previous paragraphs, for any line bundle L algebraically equivalent to zero over V , $\deg_{H,D} L$ vanishes.

class in the Néron-Severi group of M over k_0 — defined as $\text{Pic}_{M/k_0}(k_0)/\text{Pic}_{M/k_0}^0(k_0)$ — vanishes.

In particular, we may consider the identity component $\text{Pic}_{V_K/K}^0$ of the Picard variety of the generic fiber V_K of π ; it is an abelian variety over K , and we shall denote (B, τ) its K/k -trace. By definition, B is an abelian variety over k , and τ is a morphism of abelian varieties over K :

$$\tau : B_K \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_{V_K/K}^0.$$

Since the base field k is assumed to be of characteristic zero, this morphism is actually a closed immersion. We refer the reader to Section 4.6 *infra* for a discussion and references concerning the definition of $\text{Pic}_{V_K/K}^0$ and (B, τ) .

4.1.2. — The following theorem may be seen as a geometric counterpart, valid over the function field $K := k(C)$, of the characterization of hermitian line bundles with vanishing arithmetic Atiyah class in Theorem 3.2.5 ii).

Theorem 4.1.3. — *With the above notation, for any line bundle L over V , the following three conditions are equivalent:*

VA1 *The relative Atiyah class $\text{at}_{V/C}(L)$ vanishes in*

$$\text{Ext}_{\theta_X}^1(L, L \otimes \Omega_{V/C}^1) \simeq H^1(V, \Omega_{V/C}^1).$$

VA2 *There exist a positive integer N and a line bundle M over C such that the line bundle $L^{\otimes N} \otimes \pi^*M$ is algebraically equivalent to zero.*

VA3 *There exists a positive integer N such that the line bundle $L_K^{\otimes N}$ on V_K is algebraically equivalent to zero, and the attached K -rational point of the Picard variety $\text{Pic}_{V_K/K}^0$ is defined by a k -rational point of the K/k -trace of $\text{Pic}_{V_K/K}^0$. Moreover, for any component D of a closed fiber of π , the degree $\text{deg}_{H,D} L$ vanishes.*

Observe that, for any closed point P of $C \setminus \Delta$, its fiber $D := \pi^*(P)$ is a divisor in V , smooth and geometrically connected over $k(P)$, and that, according to the projection formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{deg}_{H,D} L &= \text{deg}_k(c_1(L) \cdot H^{n-2} \cdot [\pi^*(P)]) \\ &= \text{deg}_k(\pi_*(c_1(L) \cdot H^{n-2}) \cdot [P]) \\ &= [k(P) : k] \cdot \text{deg}_K(c_1(L_K) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{O}(1)_K)^{\dim V_K - 1} \cdot [V_K]). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if some positive power $L_K^{\otimes N}$ of L_K is algebraically equivalent to zero, then $\text{deg}_{H,D} L$ vanishes. Consequently, in condition **VA3**, we may require the vanishing of $\text{deg}_{H,D} L$ only for components D of the supports of the singular fibers $\pi^*(P)$, where P varies in Δ .

The proof of the equivalence of conditions **VA1** and **VA2**, which uses the Hodge index theorem and basic properties of Hodge cohomology groups, will be presented in

Sections 4.4 and 4.5 below. Then in Section 4.6 and 4.7 we shall recall some classical facts concerning the Picard variety $\text{Pic}_{V_K/K}^0$ and its K/k -trace, and establish the equivalence of conditions **VA2** and **VA3**.

4.2. Variants and complements. — Before we enter into the proof of Theorem 4.1.3, we discuss some variants and related statements. Observe that the variants in 4.2.1 make Theorem 4.1.3 more similar to its "arithmetic counterpart" in Theorem 3.2.5 ii), whereas Proposition 4.2.4 would rather make less convincing the analogy between the arithmetic framework in Section 3 and the geometric framework of the present section.

4.2.1. — Recall that the following conditions are equivalent — when they hold, the Picard variety $\text{Pic}_{V_K/K}^0$ will be said to have *no fixed part*:

NFP1 *The K/k -trace of $\text{Pic}_{V_K/K}^0$ vanishes, or in other terms, for any abelian variety A over k , there is no non-zero morphism of abelian varieties over K from A_K to $\text{Pic}_{V_K/K}^0$.*

NFP2 *The morphism of k -abelian varieties naturally deduced from $\pi : V \rightarrow C$*

$$\pi^* : \text{Pic}_{C/k}^0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{V/k}^0$$

— which has a finite kernel — *is an isogeny.*

NFP3 *The injective morphism of k -vector spaces*

$$\pi^* : H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow H^1(V, \mathcal{O}_V)$$

is an isomorphism.

NFP4 *The injective morphism of k -vector spaces*

$$\pi^* : H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1) \rightarrow H^0(V, \Omega_{V/k}^1)$$

is an isomorphism.

A few comments on these conditions may be appropriate.

The finiteness of the kernel of π^* in **NFP2** may be derived by considering a smooth projective geometrically connected curve C' in V such that the morphism $\pi|_{C'} : C' \rightarrow C$ is finite. Let $i : C' \hookrightarrow V$ denote the inclusion morphism. The norm with respect to $\pi|_{C'}$ defines a morphism $\pi|_{C'*} : \text{Pic}_{C'/k}^0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ of abelian varieties over k , and the morphisms of abelian varieties π^* , $\pi|_{C'*}$, $\pi|_{C'}^* : \text{Pic}_{C/k}^0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C'/k}^0$, and $i^* : \text{Pic}_{V/k}^0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ satisfy the relations

$$\pi|_{C'}^* = i^* \circ \pi^*$$

and

$$\pi|_{C'*} \circ \pi|_{C'}^* = [\delta],$$

where $[\delta]$ denotes the morphism of multiplication by the degree δ of $\pi|_{C'}$ in $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$. This immediately implies that the kernel of π^* is a subgroup of the δ -torsion in $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$.

The injectivity of π^* in **NFP4** is a consequence of the generic smoothness of the dominant morphism π (recall that the base field k is assumed to have characteristic zero). The injectivity of π^* in **NFP3** and the equivalence of **NFP3** and **NFP4** follows from Hodge theory when $k = \mathbb{C}$, and therefore, by a standard base change argument, for any base field k of characteristic zero.

The equivalence of **NFP1** and **NFP2** follows from the description of the K/k -trace of $\text{Pic}_{V_K/K}^0$ recalled in Proposition 4.6.1 below. Finally, the equivalence of **NFP2** and **NFP3** follows from the identification of $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ (resp. $H^1(V, \mathcal{O}_V)$) with $\text{Lie Pic}_{C/k}^0$ (resp. $\text{Lie Pic}_{V/k}^0$).

As demonstrated by the theorem of Mordell-Weil-Lang-Néron, it is natural to require a no fixed part condition when searching for statements valid over function fields that are as close as possible to their arithmetic counterparts. This is indeed the case with Theorem 4.1.3. Namely, when $\text{Pic}_{V_K/K}^0$ has no fixed part, Conditions **VA1-3** are also equivalent to the following ones, which look more closely like the conditions appearing in i) and ii) of the “arithmetic” Theorem 3.2.5:

VA2' *There exists a positive integer N and a line bundle M over C such that the line bundle $L^{\otimes N}$ is isomorphic to π^*M .*

VA3' *The class of L_K in the abelian group $\text{Pic}_{V_K/K}(K)$ is torsion. Moreover, for any component D of a closed fiber of π , the degree $\text{deg}_{H,D} L$ vanishes.*

Indeed, the equivalence of **VA3** and **VA3'** when **NFP1** holds is straightforward, and the equivalence of **VA2** and **VA2'** easily follows from **NFP2**.

4.2.2. — Generalizations of Theorem 4.1.3 concerning a smooth projective variety V over k fibered over a projective variety C of dimension > 1 may be deduced from its original version with C a curve by means of standard techniques, as in the proof of the Mordell-Weil-Lang-Néron theorem (cf. [32]). We leave this to the interested reader.

4.2.3. — Finally observe that when the base C is assumed to be affine instead of projective, the determination of line bundles with vanishing relative Atiyah class becomes a rather straightforward issue. For instance, we have:

Proposition 4.2.4. — *Let C be an affine integral scheme of finite type over a field k of characteristic zero, and let $K := k(C)$ denote its function field. Let $\pi : V \rightarrow C$ be a smooth projective morphism, L a line bundle over V , and L_K the restriction of L to the generic fibre V_K of π . The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *the relative Atiyah class $\text{at}_{V/C}(L)$ vanishes in*

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_V}^1(L, L \otimes \Omega_{V/C}^1) \simeq H^1(V, \Omega_{V/C}^1);$$

(ii) *the Atiyah class $\text{at}_{V_K/K}(L_K)$ vanishes in $H^1(V_K, \Omega_{V_K/K})$;*

(iii) *some positive power of L_K is algebraically equivalent to zero over V_K .*

Proof. — The equivalence (i)⇔(ii) follows from the identification

$$H^1(V, \Omega^1_{V/C}) \simeq H^0(C, R^1\pi_*\Omega^1_{V/C})$$

and from the fact that, since the base field has characteristic zero, by Hodge theory the coherent sheaf $R^1\pi_*\Omega^1_{V/C}$ is a locally free sheaf over C , the formation of which is actually compatible with any base change.

The equivalence (ii)⇔(iii) holds since the base field K has characteristic zero (see for instance 4.3.2 below). □

4.3. Hodge cohomology and first Chern class. — In this section, we review some basic properties of the Hodge cohomology of smooth projective varieties over fields of characteristic zero. These properties are consequence of the duality theory for coherent sheaves on projective varieties, as explained in [21], exposé 149.

4.3.1. *Hodge cohomology groups.* — Let k be a field of characteristic zero, and \mathbf{SmPr}_k the full subcategory of the category of k -schemes whose objects are smooth projective schemes V over k .

To any object V in \mathbf{SmPr}_k are attached his *Hodge cohomology groups*:

$$H^{p,q}(V/k) := H^q(V, \Omega^p_{V/k}).$$

These are finite dimensional k -vector spaces, and vanish if $\max(p, q) > d := \dim V$. Moreover, the cup products

$$\begin{aligned} H^{p,q}(V/k) \times H^{p',q'}(V/k) &\longrightarrow H^{p+p',q+q'}(V/k) \\ (\alpha, \alpha') &\longmapsto \alpha.\alpha', \end{aligned}$$

— defined as the compositions of the products

$$H^q(V, \Omega^p_{V/k}) \times H^{q'}(V, \Omega^{p'}_{V/k}) \longrightarrow H^{q+q'}(V, \Omega^p_{V/k} \otimes \Omega^{p'}_{V/k})$$

and of the mappings

$$H^{q+q'}(V, \Omega^p_{V/k} \otimes \Omega^{p'}_{V/k}) \longrightarrow H^{q+q'}(V, \Omega^{p+p'}_{V/k})$$

deduced from the exterior product $\wedge : \Omega^p_{V/k} \otimes \Omega^{p'}_{V/k} \longrightarrow \Omega^{p+p'}_{V/k}$ — make the direct sum $H^{*,*}(V/k) := \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in \mathbb{N}^2} H^{p,q}(V/k)$ a bigraded commutative ⁽¹⁴⁾ k -algebra.

Moreover, the “top-dimensional” Hodge cohomology group $H^{d,d}(V/k)$ is equipped with a canonical k -linear form:

$$\int_{V/k} \cdot : H^{d,d}(V/k) \longrightarrow k,$$

⁽¹⁴⁾ Namely, for any α (resp. α') in $H^q(V, \Omega^p_{V/k})$ (resp. in $H^{q'}(V, \Omega^{p'}_{V/k})$), we have $\alpha.\alpha' = (-1)^{pp'+qq'}\alpha' . \alpha$.

and the attached k -bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H^{*,*}(V/k) \times H^{*,*}(V/k) &\longrightarrow k \\ (\alpha, \beta) &\longmapsto \int_{V/k} \alpha \cdot \beta \end{aligned}$$

is a perfect pairing.

In particular, when V is a geometrically connected k -scheme, or equivalently when the linear map

$$\begin{aligned} k &\longrightarrow \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_V) = H^{0,0}(V/k) \\ \lambda &\longmapsto \lambda \cdot 1_V \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism, then the “residue map” also is:

$$\int_{V/k} \cdot : H^{d,d}(V/k) \xrightarrow{\sim} k.$$

Then we denote μ_V the unique element in $H^{d,d}(V/k)$ such that

$$\int_{V/k} \mu_V = 1.$$

These constructions are compatible in an obvious sense with extensions of the base field k . Let us also indicate that, when $k = \mathbb{C}$, the trace map

$$\int_{V/\mathbb{C}} \cdot : H^{d,d}(V/\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

satisfies the following compatibility relation with the Dolbeault isomorphism

$$\text{Dolb}_{\Omega_{V/\mathbb{C}}^d} : H^d(V, \Omega_{V/\mathbb{C}}^d) \longrightarrow H_{\text{Dolb}}^d(V, \Omega_{V/\mathbb{C}}^d)$$

(we follow the notation of [7], A.5.1) and the integration of top degree forms:

$$\int_{V(\mathbb{C})} \cdot : A^{d,d}(V(\mathbb{C})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

For any α in $A^{d,d}(V(\mathbb{C}))$, of class $[\alpha]$ in $H_{\text{Dolb}}^d(V, \Omega_{V/\mathbb{C}}^d)$, we have:

$$\int_{V/\mathbb{C}} \text{Dolb}_{\Omega_{V/\mathbb{C}}^d}^{-1}([\alpha]) = \varepsilon_d \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^d} \int_{V(\mathbb{C})} \alpha,$$

where ε_d denotes a sign, function of d only, depending on the sign conventions followed in duality theory (we refer the reader to [15], Appendice, and [37] for discussions of this delicate issue).

4.3.2. *The first Chern class in Hodge cohomology.* — Any line bundle L over some V in \mathbf{SmPr}_k admits a first Chern class $c_1(L)$ in $H^{1,1}(V/k)$. It may be defined as the class

$$\text{at}_{X/k} L = \text{jet}_{X/k}^1 L$$

in

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_V}^1(L, \Omega_{V/k}^1 \otimes L) \simeq \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_V}^1(\mathcal{O}_V, \Omega_{V/k}^1)$$

$$(4.2) \quad \simeq H^1(V, \Omega_{V/k}^1).$$

of the extension given by the principal parts of first order associated with L

$$\text{Jet}_{X/k}^1 L : 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/k}^1 \otimes L \longrightarrow P_{X/k}^1(L) \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$$

(see Section 1.2 above). The isomorphism (4.1) is the (inverse of the) one defined by applying the functor $\cdot \otimes L$ to complexes of \mathcal{O}_V -modules, without intervention of signs. The isomorphism (4.2) is the one discussed in [7], A.2 and A.4.

The so-defined first Chern class defines a morphism of abelian groups:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pic}(V) &\longrightarrow H^1(V, \Omega_{V/k}^1) =: H^{1,1}(V/k) \\ [L] &\longmapsto c_1(L). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, this morphism factorizes through the Néron-Severi group

$$\text{NS}_{V/k}(k) = \text{Pic}_{V/k}(k) / \text{Pic}_{V/k}^0(k);$$

the induced morphism on $\text{NS}_{V/k}(k)$ vanishes precisely on its torsion subgroup $\text{NS}_{V/k}(k)_{\text{tor}}$ (compare for example [28, II.2 Cor. 1 to Th. 2]), and consequently defines an injective morphism of groups

$$c_1 : \text{NS}_{V/k}(k) / \text{NS}_{V/k}(k)_{\text{tor}} \longrightarrow H^{1,1}(V/k).$$

In other words, for any line bundle L on V , the following two conditions are equivalent:

- (i) the first Chern class $c_1(L)$ in $H^{1,1}(V/k)$ vanishes;
- (ii) for some positive integer N , the line bundle $L^{\otimes N}$ over V is algebraically equivalent to zero.

Let us also recall that the construction of the first Chern class in Hodge cohomology is compatible with pull-back by k -morphisms. It is also compatible with intersection theory. In particular, we have:

Proposition 4.3.3. — *For any d -tuple D_1, \dots, D_d of divisors in some d -dimensional variety V in \mathbf{SmPr}_k , the following formula holds:*

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{V/k} c_1(\mathcal{O}(D_1)) \cdots c_1(\mathcal{O}(D_d)) = \text{deg}_k([D_1] \cdots [D_d]),$$

where $[D_i]$ denotes the class of D_i in the Chow group $CH^1(V)$, $[D_1] \cdots [D_d]$ their product in $CH^d(V) = CH_0(V)$ and

$$\text{deg}_k : CH_0(V) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} CH_0(\text{Spec } k) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$$

the degree map, attached to the structural morphism $\pi : V \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ of V .

In particular, if $d = 1$ and V is geometrically irreducible, then

$$c_1(\mathcal{O}(D)) = \text{deg}_k D \cdot \mu_V.$$

To establish the equality (4.3), one easily reduces to the case where k is algebraically closed and V is connected. Then it follows from [21], exposé 149 (Théorème 1, Théorème 2, and its proof) when moreover the divisors D_1, \dots, D_n and their successive intersections $D_1 \cap D_2, D_1 \cap D_2 \cap D_3, \dots, D_1 \cap D_2 \cap \dots \cap D_n$ are smooth. Together with the invariance of both sides of (4.3) by linear equivalence of D_1, \dots, D_n and Bertini theorem, this shows that (4.3) holds when D_1, \dots, D_n are very ample. The general case of (4.3) follows by multilinearity.

4.4. An application of the Hodge Index Theorem. — Our proof of Theorem 4.1.3 will rely on an application of Hodge Index Theorem to projective varieties fibered over curves that we discuss in the present Section.

4.4.1. *The Hodge Index Theorem in Hodge cohomology.* — Let V be a smooth, projective, geometrically connected scheme over k , and let h be the first Chern class $c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))$ in $H^{1,1}(V/k)$ of some ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on V .

We shall use the following straightforward consequence of the Hodge Index Theorem (as formulated in [29], Appendix 7) and of the compatibility of intersection theory and products in Hodge cohomology stated in Proposition 4.3.3:

Proposition 4.4.2. — *When $d := \dim V \geq 2$, for any class α of $H^{1,1}(V/k)$ in the image of $c_1 : \text{Pic}(V) \rightarrow H^{1,1}(V/k)$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\alpha = 0$;
- (ii) $\alpha^2 \cdot h^{d-2} = \alpha \cdot h^{d-1} = 0$ in $H^{d,d}(V/k) \simeq k$.

4.4.3. *An application to projective varieties fibered over curves.* — We keep the notation of the previous paragraph, and assume that $d := \dim V$ is at least 2. Moreover, we consider a smooth geometrically connected projective curve C over k , and a dominant k -morphism $\pi : V \rightarrow C$. We shall denote K the function field $k(C)$ of C , $V_K := V \times_C \text{Spec } K$ the generic fiber of π , and $\mathcal{O}(1)_K$ the pull-back of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ to V_K .

Let us introduce the following class in $H^{1,1}(V/k)$:

$$F := \pi^* \mu_C.$$

Observe that $\mu_C^2 = 0$ for dimension reasons, and that consequently $F^2 = 0$. Moreover Proposition 4.3.3 and the naturality of c_1 show that, for any divisor E on C ,

$$c_1(\mathcal{O}(E)) = \text{deg}_k E \cdot \mu_C$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad c_1(\mathcal{O}(\pi^*(E))) = \text{deg}_k E \cdot F.$$

Lemma 4.4.4. — 1) For any divisor D on V , $\int_{V/k} c_1(\mathcal{O}(D)).h^{d-1}$ coincides with the intersection number $\text{deg}_k([D].[H]^{d-1})$, where H denotes the divisor of some non-zero rational section of $\mathcal{O}(1)$. In particular, it is an integer.

2) We have:

$$\int_{V/k} F.h^{d-1} = \text{deg}_{\mathcal{O}(1)_K} V_K.$$

In particular, the class F is not zero, and the image of $\pi^* : H^{1,1}(C/k) \rightarrow H^{1,1}(V/k)$ is precisely the k -line $k.F$.

Proof. — Assertion 1) is a special case of Proposition 4.3.3.

To establish 2), let us choose a divisor E with positive degree on C . We have

$$(4.5) \quad \text{deg}_k([\pi^*(E)].[H]^{d-1}) = \text{deg}_k([E].\pi_*([H]^{d-1})) = \text{deg}_k E \cdot \text{deg}_{\mathcal{O}(1)_K} V_K,$$

by basic intersection theory. Besides, according to Proposition 4.3.3 and (4.4), the left-hand side of (4.5) is also equal to

$$\int_{V/k} c_1(\mathcal{O}(\pi^*(E))).c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))^{d-1} = \text{deg}_k E \cdot \int_{V/k} F.h^{d-1}.$$

Together with (4.5), this establishes the announced relation. □

Proposition 4.4.5. — With the above notation, for any class β of $H^{1,1}(V/k)$ in the image of c_1 , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) β belongs to $\mathbb{Q}.F$;
- (ii) β belongs to $k.F$;
- (iii) $\beta.\beta = \beta.F = 0$ in $H^{2,2}(V/k)$;
- (iv) $\beta^2.h^{d-2} = \beta.F.h^{d-2} = 0$ in $H^{d,d}(V/k) \simeq k$.

Proof. — The implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) are straightforward. To establish the converse implications, observe that $\int_{V/k} \beta.h^{d-1} / \int_{V/k} F.h^{d-1}$ is a well defined rational number by Lemma 4.4.4, and consider the class

$$\alpha := \beta - \frac{\int_{V/k} \beta.h^{d-1}}{\int_{V/k} F.h^{d-1}}.F$$

in $H^{1,1}(V/k)$. It satisfies $\alpha.h^{d-1} = 0$ by its very definition (recall that $\int_{V/k}$ maps isomorphically $H^{d,d}(V/k)$ onto k). Moreover (4.4) shows that some positive multiple

of α lies in the image of c_1 . Finally, when condition (iv) holds, then α also satisfies $\alpha^2.h^{d-2} = 0$. Then, according to Proposition 4.4, α vanishes, or equivalently:

$$\beta = \frac{\int_{V/k} \beta.h^{d-1}}{\int_{V/k} F.h^{d-1}}.F.$$

This establishes (i). □

4.5. The equivalence of VA1 and VA2. — We keep the notation of the previous paragraph 4.4.3. In other words, the same hypotheses as in Theorem 4.1.3 are supposed to hold, except the connectedness of the geometric fibers of π .

The following result contains the equivalence of Conditions **VA1** and **VA2** in Theorem 4.1.3:

Theorem 4.5.1. — *For any line bundle L over V , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The relative Atiyah class $\text{at}_{V/C}L$ vanishes in $H^{1,1}(V, \Omega_{V/C}^1)$.*
- (ii)' *$c_1(L)$ belongs to $\mathbb{Q}.F$.*
- (ii)'' *There exists a positive integer N and a line bundle M over C such that $c_1(L^{\otimes N} \otimes \pi^*M)$ vanishes.*

Proof. — The equivalence (ii)' \Leftrightarrow (ii)'' is straightforward.

To establish the implication (ii)' \Rightarrow (i), consider the canonical exact sequence of sheaves of Kähler differentials on V ,

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi^*\Omega_{C/k}^1 \xrightarrow{i} \Omega_{V/k}^1 \xrightarrow{p} \Omega_{V/C}^1 \longrightarrow 0,$$

and the associated exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$H^1(V, \pi^*\Omega_{C/k}^1) \xrightarrow{H^1(i)} H^1(V, \Omega_{V/k}^1) \xrightarrow{H^1(p)} H^1(V, \Omega_{V/C}^1).$$

As a special case of Lemma 1.1.6, i), we have

$$(4.6) \quad \text{at}_{V/C}L = H^1(p)(\text{at}_{V/k}L).$$

Since F belongs to the image of $H^1(i)$, hence to the kernel of $H^1(p)$, this establishes the implication (ii)' \Rightarrow (i).

The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii)' will follow from the implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) in Proposition 4.4.5 (applied to $\beta := c_1(L)$) combined with the following:

Lemma 4.5.2. — *For any line bundle L over V , if the relative Atiyah class $\text{at}_{V/C}L$ vanishes in $H^1(V, \Omega_{V/C}^1)$, then $c_1(L).F$ and $c_1(L)^2$ vanish in $H^2(V, \Omega_{V/k}^2)$.*

To establish this lemma, observe that the cup product

$$(4.7) \quad H^{1,1}(V/k) \otimes H^{1,1}(V/k) \longrightarrow H^{2,2}(V/k)$$

vanishes on $\text{im } H^1(i) \otimes \text{im } H^1(i)$. Indeed the map of sheaves of \mathcal{O}_V -modules defined as the composition

$$\pi^* \Omega_{C/k}^1 \otimes \pi^* \Omega_{C/k}^1 \xrightarrow{i \otimes i} \Omega_{V/k}^1 \otimes \Omega_{V/k}^1 \xrightarrow{\wedge} \Omega_{V/k}^2$$

vanishes by functoriality of the exterior product, since $\Omega_{C/k}^2 = 0$. This entails the vanishing of the cup product (4.7) on $\ker H^1(p) \otimes \ker H^1(p)$ and on $\ker H^1(p) \otimes \text{im } \pi^*$, where π^* denotes the pull-back map in Hodge cohomology $\pi^* : H^{1,1}(C/k) \rightarrow H^{1,1}(V/k)$.

According to (4.6), $\text{at}_{V/C} L$ vanishes precisely when $c_1(L) = \text{at}_{V/k} L$ belongs to $\ker H^1(p)$, in which case $c_1(L)^2$ and $c_1(L) \cdot F$ vanish in $H^2(V, \Omega_{V/k}^2)$ by the observation above. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.5.2, hence of Theorem 4.5.1. \square

4.6. The Picard variety of a variety over a function field. — In this paragraph, we recall some classical facts concerning the relations between the Picard varieties of C and V , and the K/k -trace of the Picard variety of the generic fiber V_K of V . (For modern presentations of Chow’s classical theory of the K/k -trace of abelian varieties over K , we refer to [11] and Hindry’s Appendix A in [26].)

Let (B, τ) be the K/k -trace of $\text{Pic}_{V_K/K}^0$. By construction, B is an abelian variety over k , and τ is a morphism of abelian varieties over K

$$\tau : B_K \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_{V_K/K}^0.$$

The pair (B, τ) is characterized by the following universal property: for any abelian variety \tilde{B} over k and any morphism of abelian varieties over K

$$\psi : \tilde{B}_K \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_{V_K/K}^0,$$

there exists a unique morphism

$$\beta : \tilde{B} \longrightarrow B$$

such that

$$\psi = \tau \circ \beta_K.$$

Actually, since our base field k has characteristic zero, τ is an embedding.

The inclusion $V_K \hookrightarrow V$ induces a morphism of abelian varieties over K

$$\phi : \text{Pic}_{V/k,K}^0 \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_{V_K/K}^0.$$

According to the universal property above, there exists a unique morphism of abelian varieties over k

$$\alpha : \text{Pic}_{V/k}^0 \longrightarrow B$$

such that

$$\phi = \tau \circ \alpha_K.$$

Besides, we may consider the morphism

$$\pi^* : \text{Pic}_{C/k}^0 \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_{V/k}^0$$

defined by functoriality from $\pi : V \rightarrow C$.

The following Proposition is established as Proposition 3.3 in [24], where references are made to similar earlier results due to Tate, Shioda, and Raynaud.

Proposition 4.6.1. — *The morphism α is surjective, and the morphism π^* is an isogeny from $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ onto the abelian variety $(\ker \alpha)^\circ$ defined as the identity component of the k -group scheme $\ker \alpha$.*

In brief, the following diagram of abelian varieties over k

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_{C/k}^0 \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \text{Pic}_{V/k}^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \longrightarrow 0$$

is “exact up to some finite group schemes”. Together with Poincaré’s reducibility theorem, this implies that the diagram of abelian groups

$$(4.8) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_{C/k}^0(k) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \text{Pic}_{V/k}^0(k) \xrightarrow{\alpha} B(k) \longrightarrow 0$$

is “exact up to some finite groups.”

Corollary 4.6.2. — *For any line bundle L over V , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a positive integer N such that the class of $L_K^{\otimes N}$ in $\text{Pic}_{V_K/K}(K)$ belongs to $\tau(B(k))$.*
- (ii) *There exist a positive integer N and a line bundle L' over V , algebraically equivalent to zero, such that, over V_K ,*

$$L_K^{\otimes N} \simeq L'_K.$$

- (iii) *There exist a positive integer N , a line bundle L' over V , algebraically equivalent to zero, and a vertical divisor E over V such that, over V ,*

$$L^{\otimes N} \simeq L' \otimes \mathcal{O}(E).$$

Proof. — The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) is straightforward. The one of (i) and (ii) follows from the “almost exactness” of (4.8) and the fact that any element of the group $\text{Pic}_{V/k}^0(k)$ has a positive multiple that may be represented by an actual line bundle ⁽¹⁵⁾ over V , algebraically equivalent to zero. □

⁽¹⁵⁾ Indeed the functor $\text{Pic}_{V/k}^0$ may be introduced via sheafification for the étale topology, hence given any α in $\text{Pic}_{V/k}^0(k)$, we can find a finite (separable) extension k' of k and a line bundle M' on $V' := V \otimes_k k'$ that represents the image of α in $\text{Pic}_{V/k}^0(k')$. Then $[k' : k].\alpha$ is represented by the line bundle $M := N_{V'/V}(M')$ on V defined as the norm of M' .

4.7. The equivalence of VA2 and VA3. — In this section, we complete the proof of Theorem 4.1.3 by establishing the equivalence of conditions **VA2** and **VA3**.

The implication **VA2**⇒**VA3** follows from the implication (ii)⇒(i) in Corollary 4.6.2 and from the invariance of $\text{deg}_{H,D} L$ under algebraic equivalence of line bundles.

Conversely let us consider a line bundle L over V that satisfies **VA3**.

According to the implication (i)⇒(iii) in Corollary 4.6.2, we may find a positive integer N , a line bundle L' over V , algebraically equivalent to zero, and a vertical divisor E in V such that $L^{\otimes N} \simeq L' \otimes \mathcal{O}(E)$.

Moreover, for every vertical integral divisor D in V , we have

$$\text{deg}_{H,D} L^{\otimes N} = N \cdot \text{deg}_{H,D} L = 0$$

by **VA3**, and

$$\text{deg}_{H,D} L' = 0$$

since L' is algebraically equivalent to zero. Therefore,

$$\text{deg}_{H,D} \mathcal{O}(E) = 0.$$

Lemma 4.7.1 below shows that, after possibly replacing L and L' by some positive power, the divisor E is of the form $\pi^*(E')$ for some divisor E' on C . Consequently,

$$L^{\otimes N} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}(-E') \simeq L'$$

is algebraically equivalent to zero, and L satisfies **VA2**.

Lemma 4.7.1. — *For any vertical divisor E on V , the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *For every vertical divisor D on V ,*

$$\text{deg}_{H,D} \mathcal{O}(E) = 0.$$

(ii) *There exist a divisor E' on C and a positive integer N such that*

$$N \cdot E = \pi^* E'.$$

This is well known, at least when $n = 2$ and k is algebraically closed, in which case it is traditionally attributed to Zariski. We refer to [14] for a discussion of related results concerning intersection theory on surfaces, and to [24], Lemme 2.1 for a similar result. We sketch a proof below for the sake of completeness.

Proof. — To establish the implication (ii)⇒(i), observe that, for any integral vertical divisor D on V , the following equality holds in the Chow group $CH^0(C)$

$$(4.9) \quad \pi_*(H^{n-2}.D) = 0.$$

(Indeed the class in $CH_1(V)$ of $H^{n-2}.D$ may be represented by a cycle in $Z_1(D)$, and consequently the left-hand side of (4.9) may be represented by a cycle in $Z_1(C)$)

supported by $\pi(D)$. Since the latter is zero-dimensional, any such cycle vanishes.) Consequently, by the projection formula, for any divisor E' in C , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_{H,D} \mathcal{O}(\pi^* E') &= \deg_k(H^{n-2}.D.\pi^* E') \\ &= \deg_k(\pi_*(H^{n-2}.D).E') \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

To establish the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii), we may assume that E is supported by the fiber $\pi^*(P)$ of some closed point P of C . Let D_1, \dots, D_r be the components of $|\pi^*(P)|$, and let n_1, \dots, n_r be the positive integers defined by the equality of divisors in V :

$$\pi^* P = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i.D_i.$$

We want to prove that if some divisor supported by $\pi^*(P)$, $E := \sum_{i=1}^r m_i.D_i$, satisfies

$$\deg_{H,D_j} \mathcal{O}(E) = 0,$$

for every $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, then E is a rational multiple of $\pi^*(P)$, that is, there exists m in \mathbb{Q} such that

$$(m_1, \dots, m_r) = m(n_1, \dots, n_r).$$

In other words, we want to establish that the kernel of the symmetric quadratic form attached to the matrix $(q_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}$ defined by

$$q_{ij} := \deg_k(H^{n-2}.D_i.D_j)$$

is included in the line $\mathbb{Q}.(n_1, \dots, n_r)$.

To establish this inclusion, observe that the converse implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i), applied to $D = D_i$ and $E = \pi^* P$, shows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^r q_{ij} n_j = 0$$

for every $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. This yields the following expression for the quadratic form defined by the q_{ij} 's:

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^r q_{ij} m_i m_j = - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} q_{ij} n_i n_j \left(\frac{m_i}{n_i} - \frac{m_j}{n_j} \right)^2.$$

The required property now follows from the following two observations:

1) For any two distinct elements i and j in $\{1, \dots, r\}$, the cycle theoretic intersection $D_i.D_j$ of the Cartier divisors D_i and D_j is the cycle attached to the intersection scheme $D_i \cap D_j$, which is either empty or purely $(n-2)$ -dimensional, and consequently, by the ampleness of H , the degree $q_{ij} := \deg_k(H^{n-2}.[D_i \cap D_j])$ is non-negative, and positive if $D_i \cap D_j$ is not empty.

2) The scheme $\pi^*(P)$ is connected, and consequently *there is no partition of* $\{1, \dots, r\}$ *in two non-empty subsets* I *and* J *such that* $(i, j) \in I \times J \Rightarrow q_{ij} = 0$. \square

Appendix A

Arithmetic extensions and Čech cohomology

Let X be an arithmetic scheme over an arithmetic ring $R = (R, \Sigma, F_\infty)$, E a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module on X , and $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i \in I}$ an affine, open covering of X . We fix a well ordering on I and consider the (alternating) Čech complex $(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}, E), \delta)$ where

$$\mathcal{C}^p(\mathcal{U}, E) := \prod_{i_0 < \dots < i_p} E(U_{i_0 \dots i_p}),$$

with the usual notation

$$U_{i_0 \dots i_p} = U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_p},$$

and where the differential $\delta : \mathcal{C}^p(\mathcal{U}, E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{p+1}(\mathcal{U}, E)$ is given by the formula

$$(\delta\alpha)_{i_0, \dots, i_{p+1}} := \sum_{k=0}^{p+1} (-1)^k \alpha_{i_0, \dots, \widehat{i_k}, \dots, i_{p+1}} \Big|_{U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_{p+1}}}.$$

Recall from [7, 2.5] that we have a natural morphism of locally ringed spaces

$$\rho : (X_\Sigma(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{O}_{X_\Sigma}^\infty) \longrightarrow (X_\Sigma(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{O}_{X_\Sigma}^{\text{hol}}) \longrightarrow (X, \mathcal{O}_X),$$

and that, if

$$E_C := \rho^* E$$

denotes the $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Sigma}^\infty$ -module over $X_\Sigma(\mathbb{C})$ deduced from E ⁽¹⁶⁾, there is a natural morphism of \mathcal{O}_X -modules, given by adjunction,

$$\text{ad}_E : E \longrightarrow (\rho_* E_C)^{F_\infty}.$$

It induces a morphism of Čech complexes

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}, \text{ad}_E) : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}, E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}, (\rho_* E_C)^{F_\infty}).$$

Concerning cone constructions, in the sequel we use the sign conventions discussed in [7, A.1].

We consider the Čech hypercohomology $\check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, C(\text{ad}_E))$ of the cone $C(\text{ad}_E)$ of ad_E with respect to the covering \mathcal{U} , namely the cohomology in degree zero of the cone $C(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}, \text{ad}_E))$. This cone is a complex of R -modules which starts as

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, E) \xrightarrow{\binom{-\delta}{\text{ad}_E}} \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, E) \oplus \mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, (\rho_* E_C)^{F_\infty}) \xrightarrow{\binom{-\delta}{\text{ad}_E \delta}} \mathcal{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, E) \oplus \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, (\rho_* E_C)^{F_\infty})$$

⁽¹⁶⁾ Namely, when E is coherent and locally free, the sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Sigma}^\infty$ -sections over $X_\Sigma(\mathbb{C})$ of the holomorphic vector bundle E_C^{hol} deduced from E .

where $\mathcal{E}^0(\mathcal{U}, E)$ sits in degree -1 . Hence $\check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, C(\text{ad}_E))$ is the quotient

$$(A.1) \quad \frac{\left\{ (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{E}^1(\mathcal{U}, E) \oplus \mathcal{E}^0(\mathcal{U}, (\rho_* E_{\mathbb{C}})^{F_\infty}) \mid \delta\alpha = 0 \wedge \text{ad}_E(\alpha) = -\delta(\beta) \right\}}{\left\{ (-\delta(\gamma), \text{ad}_E(\gamma)) \mid \gamma \in \mathcal{E}^0(\mathcal{U}, E) \right\}}.$$

According to the standard properties of the cone construction (in the category of R -modules) and the very definition of Čech cohomology as cohomology of the Čech complex, this group fits into a natural exact sequence:

$$(A.2) \quad \check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, E) \longrightarrow \check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, (\rho_* E_{\mathbb{C}})^{F_\infty}) \longrightarrow \check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, C(\text{ad}_E)) \\ \longrightarrow \check{H}^1(\mathcal{U}, E) \longrightarrow \check{H}^1(\mathcal{U}, (\rho_* E_{\mathbb{C}})^{F_\infty}).$$

Lemma A.0.1. — *Let E be quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module. There exists a canonical commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \Gamma(X, E) & \rightarrow & A^0(X_{\mathbb{R}}, E) & \rightarrow & \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_X, E) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_X, E) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{U}, E} & & \downarrow \rho_{\mathcal{U}, E} & & \\ \check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, E) & \rightarrow & \check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, (\rho_* E_{\mathbb{C}})^{F_\infty}) & \rightarrow & \check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, C(\text{ad}_E)) & \rightarrow & \check{H}^1(\mathcal{U}, E) & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with exact horizontal lines where all vertical maps are isomorphisms.

Proof. — The upper exact sequence is established in [7, 2.2].

We have

$$\check{H}^1(\mathcal{U}, (\rho_* E_{\mathbb{C}})^{F_\infty}) = \check{H}^1(\rho^{-1}\mathcal{U}, (E_{\mathbb{C}})^{F_\infty}),$$

and the latter group is zero as Čech cohomology of a fine sheaf with respect to an open covering vanishes (see for instance [19, II.3.7 and II.5.2.3 (b)]). Consequently we obtain the lower exact sequence from (A.2).

The two left vertical maps are given by the natural isomorphisms induced by the restriction maps of the sheaves E and $(\rho_* E_{\mathbb{C}})^{F_\infty}$.

We now define $\rho_{\mathcal{U}, E}$. Let

$$\mathcal{E} : 0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow F \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0$$

be an extension of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. The map π admits a section φ_i over each affine scheme U_i . The difference $\alpha_{ij} = \varphi_j|_{U_{ij}} - \varphi_i|_{U_{ij}}$ determines an element in $\Gamma(U_{ij}, E)$. The family $(\alpha_{ij})_{ij}$ defines a 1-cocycle in $\mathcal{E}^1(\mathcal{U}, E)$ whose class in $\check{H}^1(\mathcal{U}, E)$ does not depend on the choices of the φ_i . One obtains a canonical isomorphism (compare for example [2, Prop. 2])

$$\rho_{\mathcal{U}, E} : \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(\mathcal{O}_X, E) \longrightarrow \check{H}^1(\mathcal{U}, E), \quad [\mathcal{E}] \longmapsto [(\alpha_{ij})_{ij}].$$

Finally we define $\hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{U}, E}$. Let (\mathcal{E}, s) be an arithmetic extension with \mathcal{E} as above. Choose the φ_i as before and define

$$\beta_i = s|_{U_i} - \text{ad}_E(\varphi_i) \in A^{0,0}(U_{i,\mathbb{R}}, E).$$

We have $\text{ad}_E(\alpha_{ij}) = \beta_i|_{U_{ij}} - \beta_j|_{U_{ij}}$. Hence the pair $((\alpha_{ij})_{ij}, (\beta_i)_i)$ determines an element $\hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{U}, E}(\mathcal{E}, s)$ in (A.1), i.e. in $\check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, C(\text{ad}_E))$. This class does not depend on the choices of the φ_i . Given different sections $\tilde{\varphi}_i$ which lead to cocycles $((\tilde{\alpha}_{ij})_{ij}, (\tilde{\beta}_i)_i)$ as above, we consider

$$\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, E), \quad \gamma_i = \varphi_i - \tilde{\varphi}_i$$

and get

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\delta \\ \text{ad}_E \end{pmatrix}(\gamma) = (\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) - (\alpha, \beta).$$

It is straightforward to check that

$$\hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{U}, E} : \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_X, E) \longrightarrow \check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, C(\text{ad}_E)), \quad [(\mathcal{E}, s)] \longmapsto [(\alpha_{ij}), (\beta_i)]$$

is a group homomorphism which fits into the above commutative diagram. The five lemma implies that the map $\hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{U}, E}$ is an isomorphism. \square

Corollary A.0.2. — *Let F, G be quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules such that F is a vector bundle on X . There exists a canonical isomorphism*

$$\hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{U}, F, G} : \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(F, G) \longrightarrow \check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, C(\text{ad}_{\mathcal{H}om(F, G)}))$$

which identifies $\widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(F, G)$ with the quotient (A.1) for $E = \mathcal{H}om(F, G)$.

Proof. — It is proved in [7, 2.4.6] that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$(A.3) \quad \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(F, G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\text{Ext}}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{H}om(F, G))$$

which maps the class of an arithmetic extension (\mathcal{E}, s) to the pushout of $(\mathcal{E}, s) \otimes F^\vee$ along the canonical map $j_F : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F \otimes F^\vee$. Let $E = \mathcal{H}om(F, G)$. We define $\hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{U}, F, G}$ as the composition of the isomorphisms (A.3) and $\hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{U}, E}$ in Lemma A.0.1. \square

Appendix B

The universal vector extension of a Picard variety

In this Appendix, we recall some basic facts concerning universal vector extensions of Picard varieties, which are essentially due to Messing and Mazur ([34], [33]). We show in particular that the universal vector extension of the Picard variety $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$ of a smooth projective variety X over a field k of characteristic zero classifies line bundles with integrable connections (see (B.12) *infra*; this is certainly well-known but, to our knowledge, only the case where X is an abelian variety is treated in the literature). We also describe the maximal compact subgroups of the Lie groups defined by real and complex points of universal vector extensions.

B.1. Let S be a locally noetherian scheme. In the sequel, we consider a morphism $f : X \rightarrow S$ of schemes which satisfies the following assumptions:

- i) The morphism f is projective, smooth with geometrically connected fibers.
- ii) The Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = R^q f_* \Omega_{X/S}^p \Rightarrow R^{p+q} f_* \Omega_{X/S}$$

degenerates at E_1 and the sheaves $R^q f_* \Omega_{X/S}^p$ are locally free.

- iii) The identity component $\text{Pic}_{X/S}^0$ of the Picard scheme $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$ is an abelian scheme.

We observe that i) implies that $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$ is representable by a S -group scheme [21, n.232, Thm. 3.1] and that $f_* \theta_X = \theta_S$ holds universally [22, 7.8.6]. Furthermore i) implies ii) if S is of characteristic zero [12, Th. 5.5] and i) implies iii) if S is the spectrum of a field of characteristic zero [6, 8.4]. It is shown in [27, 8.3] that the formation of the coherent sheaves $R^q f_* \Omega_{X/S}^p$ and $R^n f_* \Omega_{X/S}$ commutes with arbitrary base change if they are locally free for all $p, q \geq 0$ and all $n \geq 0$.

B.2. We consider the complex

$$\Omega_{X/S}^\times : 0 \longrightarrow \theta_X^* \xrightarrow{\text{dlog}} \Omega_{X/S}^1 \xrightarrow{\text{d}} \Omega_{X/S}^2 \xrightarrow{\text{d}} \dots$$

where θ_X^* sits in degree zero. The group

$$\text{Pic}^\#(X/S) := H^1(X_{\text{fppf}}, \Omega_{X/S}^\times)$$

classifies isomorphism classes of pairs (L, ∇) where L is a line bundle on X and ∇ is an integrable connection

$$\nabla : L \longrightarrow L \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1$$

relative to S [34, (2.5.3)]. We denote by

$$\text{Pic}_{X/S}^\# := R^1 f_{\text{fppf}*} \Omega_{X/S}^\times$$

the fppf-sheaf on the category of S -schemes associated to the presheaf

$$T \mapsto \text{Pic}^\#(X \times_S T/T)$$

(see for instance [6, 8.1]). If $X_T = X \times_S T$ admits a section over T , we have [34, (2.6.4)]

$$(B.1) \quad \text{Pic}_{X/S}^\#(T) = \text{Coker}(\text{Pic}(T) = \text{Pic}^\#(T/T) \xrightarrow{f^*} \text{Pic}^\#(X \times_S T/T)).$$

B.3. If T/S is a fpqc-morphism, we have

$$(B.2) \quad \text{Pic}_{X/S}^\# \times_S T = \text{Pic}_{X_T/T}^\#.$$

Indeed, this is obvious if T/S is fppf. Hence we may assume without loss of generality that X/S admits a section ε . This allows us to describe elements in $\text{Pic}_{X/S}^\#(T)$ as isomorphism classes of triples (L, ∇, r) where L is a line bundle on X_T , ∇ is an integrable connection relative to T , and

$$r : \varepsilon^* L \xrightarrow{\sim} \theta_T$$

is a rigidification. It follows from fpqc-descent that $\text{Pic}^\#_{X/S}$ is in fact an fpqc-sheaf on S , which implies (B.2).

We will apply (B.2) in the situation where S is the spectrum of an arithmetic ring and T is the spectrum of \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .

B.4. The exact sequence of complexes

$$(B.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \tau_{\geq 1}\Omega_{X/S} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^\times \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^* \longrightarrow 0$$

induces an exact sequence

$$(B.4) \quad H^1(X_{\text{fppf}}, \tau_{\geq 1}\Omega_{X/S}) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}^\#(X/S) \longrightarrow H^1(X_{\text{fppf}}, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \longrightarrow H^2(X_{\text{fppf}}, \tau_{\geq 1}\Omega_{X/S}).$$

Observe also that the first map in (B.4) is injective: this follows from the long exact sequence of H^0 's and H^1 's associated with (B.3), from the vanishing of the map

$$\text{dlog} : \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, \Omega_{X/S}^1)$$

(implied by Assumption B.1 i)), and the fppf-descent isomorphisms $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \simeq \Gamma(X_{\text{fppf}}, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$ and $\Gamma(X, \Omega_{X/S}^1) \simeq \Gamma(X_{\text{fppf}}, \Omega_{X/S}^1)$.

Using fppf-descent and Assumption B.1 ii), one also gets:

$$H^1(X_{\text{fppf}}, \mathcal{O}_X^*) = \text{Pic}(X),$$

$$H^2(X_{\text{fppf}}, \tau_{\geq 1}\Omega_{X/S}) = H^2(X_{\text{Zar}}, \tau_{\geq 1}\Omega_{X/S}),$$

and

$$H^1(X_{\text{fppf}}, \tau_{\geq 1}\Omega_{X/S}) = \ker(H^0(X_{\text{fppf}}, \Omega_{X/S}^1) \longrightarrow H^0(X_{\text{fppf}}, \Omega_{X/S}^2)) = \Gamma(S, f_*\Omega_{X/S}^1).$$

Sheafification of the exact sequence (B.4) and the injectivity of its first map yields an exact sequence of fppf-sheaves of abelian groups over S :

$$0 \longrightarrow f_*\Omega_{X/S}^1 \longrightarrow \text{Pic}^\#_{X/S} \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/S} \xrightarrow{c} R^2f_*\tau_{\geq 1}\Omega_{X/S}.$$

As there are no non-trivial homomorphisms from the abelian scheme $\text{Pic}^0_{X/S}$ to the coherent sheaf $R^2f_*\tau_{\geq 1}\Omega_{X/S}$ by [33, Lemma p.9], we have $\text{Pic}^0_{X/S} \subseteq \ker(c)$. Finally we obtain an extension of fppf-sheaves of abelian groups over S

$$(B.5) \quad 0 \longrightarrow f_*\Omega_{X/S}^1 \longrightarrow \text{Pic}^{\#,0}_{X/S} \longrightarrow \text{Pic}^0_{X/S} \longrightarrow 0$$

where

$$\text{Pic}^{\#,0}_{X/S} := \text{Pic}^\#_{X/S} \times_{\text{Pic}_{X/S}} \text{Pic}^0_{X/S}.$$

B.5. The *universal vector extension* of the abelian scheme $\text{Pic}^0_{X/S}$ is a group scheme $E_{X/S}$ which fits into an exact sequence of fppf-sheaves

$$(B.6) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}_{A/S} \longrightarrow E_{X/S} \longrightarrow \text{Pic}^0_{X/S} \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{A/S}$ denotes the Hodge bundle of the dual abelian scheme

$$A := (\text{Pic}^0_{X/S})^\vee \xrightarrow{\pi_A} S,$$

namely

$$\mathbb{E}_{A/S} := \pi_{A*} \Omega_{A/S}^1.$$

The universal vector extension may be characterized by its universal property: given an abelian fppf-sheaf E' and a vector group scheme M which fit into an extension of fppf-sheaves of abelian groups

$$(B.7) \quad 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow E' \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/S}^0 \longrightarrow 0,$$

there exists a unique \mathcal{O}_S -linear morphism $\phi : \mathbb{E}_{A/S} \rightarrow M$ such that (B.7) is isomorphic to the pushout of (B.6) along ϕ .

By the universal property there exist unique morphisms α and β (of \mathcal{O}_S -modules and S -group schemes respectively) such that

$$(B.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{E}_{A/S} & \rightarrow & E_{X/S} & \rightarrow & \text{Pic}_{X/S}^0 \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta & & \parallel \\ 0 & \rightarrow & f_* \Omega_{X/S}^1 & \rightarrow & \text{Pic}_{X/S}^{\#,0} & \rightarrow & \text{Pic}_{X/S}^0 \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

is a pushout diagram. The biduality of abelian schemes

$$\text{Pic}_{X/S}^0 \simeq (\text{Pic}_{X/S}^0)^{\vee\vee} = A^\vee := \text{Pic}_{A/S}^0$$

(see for instance [6, 8.1, Theorem 5]) yields a canonical isomorphism

$$(B.9) \quad E_{X/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{A/S}.$$

It is furthermore shown in [33] and [34] that (B.8) with X replaced by A induces a canonical isomorphism

$$E_{A/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}_{A/S}^{\#,0}.$$

Assume that X/S admits a section ϵ . There exists a canonical morphism of S -schemes, the Albanese morphism of X over S relative to the “base point” ϵ ,

$$\varphi : X \longrightarrow A,$$

that is characterized by the fact that the pullback of a Poincaré bundle for A over S (rigidified along 0) is isomorphic to a Poincaré bundle for X (rigidified along ϵ). The pullback along φ induces morphisms

$$\varphi^* : \mathbb{E}_{A/S} \longrightarrow f_* \Omega_{X/S}^1, \quad \gamma \longmapsto \varphi^* \gamma$$

and (using description (B.1))

$$\varphi^* : \text{Pic}_{A/S}^{\#,0} \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/S}^{\#,0}, \quad [L, \nabla] \longmapsto [\varphi^* L, \varphi^* \nabla]$$

such that the diagram

$$(B.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{E}_{A/S} & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{A/S}^{\#,0} & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{X/S}^0 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \varphi^* & & \downarrow \varphi^* & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & f_* \Omega_{X/S}^1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{X/S}^{\#,0} & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{X/S}^0 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

is commutative. The uniqueness assertion in the universal property implies that the maps α and β in (B.8) are given under the canonical identifications

$$E_{X/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{A/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}_{A/S}^{\#,0}$$

by pullback along φ .

B.6. Let S be the spectrum of a field k of characteristic zero. For a projective, smooth, geometrically connected S -scheme X , our assumptions i)-iii) are satisfied, as explained in B.1.

Furthermore the morphism α becomes an isomorphism

$$(B.11) \quad \alpha : \mathbb{E}_{A/k} := \Gamma(A, \Omega_{A/k}^1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(X, \Omega_{X/k}^1)$$

of k -vector spaces. Indeed, to establish that α is an isomorphism, we may replace k by a finite field extension, and therefore assume that $X(k)$ is not empty. If $\varphi : X \rightarrow A$ denotes the Albanese morphism associated to some base point ϵ in $X(k)$, α is given by pull back along φ , and is injective as X generates A as an abelian variety, and bijective for dimension reasons (compare for example [6, 8.4 Th. 1 b)).

It follows that β is an isomorphism of k -group schemes

$$(B.12) \quad \beta : E_{X/k} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}_{X/k}^{\#,0}.$$

In other words, $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^{\#,0}$ becomes canonically isomorphic to the universal vector extension $E_{X/k}$ of $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$.

When $X(k)$ is not empty, this isomorphism may be described as above, by means of the pull back along the Albanese map φ associated to any base point ϵ in $X(k)$, and using (B.1) we get a canonical isomorphism of abelian groups:

$$(B.13) \quad E_{X/k}(k) \simeq \left\{ (L, \nabla) \left| \begin{array}{l} L \text{ line bundle algebraically equivalent to zero on } X \\ \nabla \text{ integrable connection on } L \end{array} \right. \right\} / \sim,$$

where \sim denotes the obvious isomorphism relation between pairs (L, ∇) .

In general, when $X(k)$ is possibly empty, we may choose a Galois extension k'/k with Galois group Γ such that $X(k') \neq \emptyset$ and use the obvious identification

$$(B.14) \quad E_{X/k}(k) = E_{X_{k'}/k'}(k')^\Gamma$$

to reduce to the previous case.

B.7. If $k = \mathbb{C}$, the extension of commutative complex Lie groups

$$(B.15) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \Omega_{X/\mathbb{C}}^1) \rightarrow E_{X/\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/\mathbb{C}}^0(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow 0,$$

deduced from (B.6) by considering the complex points, admits the following description in the complex analytic category (compare [34, ex.(1.4)]).

The Lie algebra of $\text{Pic}_{X/\mathbb{C}}^0$, hence of the complex Lie group $\text{Pic}_{X/\mathbb{C}}^0(\mathbb{C})$, may be identified with $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, that is, by GAGA, with $H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{O}_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{\text{hol}})$. By considering the exact sequence of sheaves over $X(\mathbb{C})$

$$0 \longrightarrow 2\pi i\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{\text{hol}} \xrightarrow{\text{exp}} \mathcal{O}_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{\text{hol},*} \longrightarrow 0$$

and using GAGA, one obtains that the exponential map of $\text{Pic}_{X/\mathbb{C}}^0$ defines an isomorphism of commutative complex Lie groups:

$$(B.16) \quad \frac{H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{O}_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{\text{hol}})}{H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), 2\pi i\mathbb{Z})} \simeq \text{Pic}_{X/\mathbb{C}}^0(\mathbb{C}).$$

The group of isomorphism classes of pairs (L, ∇) where L is an algebraic line bundle over X and ∇ an integrable algebraic connection on L — or equivalently by GAGA, of pairs $(L^{\text{hol}}, \nabla^{\text{hol}})$ where L^{hol} is a holomorphic line bundle on the complex manifold $X(\mathbb{C})$ and ∇^{hol} an integrable, complex analytic connection on L^{hol} — may be identified with $H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}^*)$, by sending $[(L^{\text{hol}}, \nabla^{\text{hol}})]$ to the class of the rank one local system $\text{Ker}(\nabla^{\text{hol}})$. By considering the exponential sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow 2\pi i\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\text{exp}} \mathbb{C}^* \longrightarrow 0,$$

one sees that the group of classes of such pairs (L, ∇) with L algebraically equivalent to zero may be identified with the subgroup of $H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}^*)$ that is the isomorphic image under the exponential map of

$$\frac{H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})}{H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), 2\pi i\mathbb{Z})}.$$

Using the identification (B.13), we finally obtain an isomorphism

$$(B.17) \quad \frac{H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})}{H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), 2\pi i\mathbb{Z})} \simeq E_{X/\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}).$$

The analytic de Rham isomorphism

$$H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), \Omega_{X/\mathbb{C}}^{\text{hol}})$$

and the Hodge filtration give rise to a short exact sequence of finite dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector spaces

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma(X(\mathbb{C}), \Omega_{X/\mathbb{C}}^1) \longrightarrow H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{O}_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{\text{hol}}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and then, by quotienting its second and third terms by $H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), 2\pi i\mathbb{Z})$, to a short exact sequence of commutative complex Lie groups:

$$(B.18) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma(X(\mathbb{C}), \Omega_{X/\mathbb{C}}^1) \longrightarrow \frac{H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})}{H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), 2\pi i\mathbb{Z})} \longrightarrow \frac{H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{O}_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{\text{hol}})}{H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), 2\pi i\mathbb{Z})} \longrightarrow 0,$$

It turns out that it coincides with the short exact sequence (B.15) when we take the GAGA isomorphism $\Gamma(X, \Omega_{X/\mathbb{C}}^1) \simeq \Gamma(X(\mathbb{C}), \Omega_{X/\mathbb{C}}^1)$ and the “exponential” isomorphisms (B.16) and (B.17) into account.

Observe that the maximal compact subgroup of the Lie group $E_{X/\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C})$ is precisely

$$(B.19) \quad \frac{H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), 2\pi i\mathbb{R})}{H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), 2\pi i\mathbb{Z})} \hookrightarrow \frac{H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})}{H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), 2\pi i\mathbb{Z})} \simeq E_{X/\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}).$$

It is a “real torus”, of dimension the first Betti number of $X(\mathbb{C})$. Moreover, as a consequence of Hodge theory, the canonical morphism $E_{X/\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/\mathbb{C}}^0(\mathbb{C})$ in (B.15) maps this subgroup isomorphically (in the category of real Lie groups) onto $\text{Pic}_{X/\mathbb{C}}^0(\mathbb{C})$.

In this way, we define a canonical splitting

$$(B.20) \quad \varsigma : \text{Pic}_{X/\mathbb{C}}^0(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow E_{X/\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}).$$

of (B.15) in the category of commutative real Lie groups, characterized by the fact that its image lies in — or equivalently, is — the maximal compact subgroup of $E_{X/\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C})$.

The injection $U(1) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ determines an injective morphism $H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), U(1)) \hookrightarrow H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}^*)$, and the maximal compact group (B.19) coincides with the preimage of $H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), U(1))$ under the exponential map. Consequently this group classifies the pairs (L, ∇) as above, with L algebraically equivalent to zero, such that the monodromy of ∇^{hol} lies in $U(1)$. This shows that the real analytic splitting ς may also be described as follows: for any line bundle L over X that is algebraically equivalent to zero, we may equip $L_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{hol}}$ with its unique integrable, holomorphic connection ∇_L^u with unitary monodromy (cf. 3.2.1 *supra*); it algebraizes uniquely by GAGA, and the assignment

$$[L] \longmapsto [(L, \nabla_L^u)]$$

defines the group homomorphism (B.20).

B.8. If $k = \mathbb{R}$, the extension

$$(B.21) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, \Omega_{X/\mathbb{R}}^1) \longrightarrow E_{X/\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/\mathbb{R}}^0(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow 0$$

is obtained from the extension (B.15) by taking invariants under complex conjugation. We obtain again a canonical splitting

$$\varsigma_{\mathbb{R}} : \text{Pic}_{X/\mathbb{R}}^0(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow E_{X/\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R})$$

since the splitting (B.20) is invariant under complex conjugation. The image of $\varsigma_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the unique maximal compact subgroup of $E_{X/\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R})$.

References

- [1] B. ANGÉNIOL & M. LEJEUNE-JALABERT – *Calcul différentiel et classes caractéristiques en géométrie algébrique*, Travaux en Cours, vol. 38, Hermann, 1989.
- [2] M. F. ATIYAH – “Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1957), p. 181–207.

- [3] A. BAKER & G. WÜSTHOLZ – “Logarithmic forms and Diophantine geometry”, New Mathematical Monographs, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2007.
- [4] D. BERTRAND – “Points rationnels sur les sous-groupes compacts des groupes algébriques”, *Experiment. Math.* **4** (1995), p. 145–151.
- [5] ———, “Relative splitting of one-motives”, in *Number theory (Tiruchirapalli, 1996)*, Contemp. Math., vol. 210, Amer. Math. Soc., 1998, p. 3–17.
- [6] S. BOSCH, W. LÜTKEBOHMERT & M. RAYNAUD – *Néron models*, Ergebnisse Math. Grenzg. (3), vol. 21, Springer, 1990.
- [7] J.-B. BOST & K. KÜNNEMANN – “Hermitian vector bundles and extension groups on arithmetic schemes. I. Geometry of numbers”, preprint arXiv:math.NT/0701343, to appear in *Adv. in Math.*
- [8] R.-O. BUCHWEITZ & H. FLENNER – “The Atiyah-Chern character yields the semiregularity map as well as the infinitesimal Abel-Jacobi map”, in *The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles (Banff, AB, 1998)*, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, vol. 24, Amer. Math. Soc., 2000, p. 33–46.
- [9] ———, “A semiregularity map for modules and applications to deformations”, *Compositio Math.* **137** (2003), p. 135–210.
- [10] S.-S. CHERN – “Characteristic classes of Hermitian manifolds”, *Ann. of Math.* **47** (1946), p. 85–121.
- [11] B. CONRAD – “Chow’s K/k -image and K/k -trace, and the Lang-Néron theorem”, *Enseign. Math.* **52** (2006), p. 37–108.
- [12] P. DELIGNE – “Théorème de Lefschetz et critères de dégénérescence de suites spectrales”, *Publ. Math. I.H.É.S.* **35** (1968), p. 259–278.
- [13] ———, *Équations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 163, Springer, 1970.
- [14] ———, “Intersections sur les surfaces régulières”, in *Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique (SGA 7 II)*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 340, 1973, p. 1–38.
- [15] ———, “Intégration sur un cycle évanescant”, *Invent. Math.* **76** (1984), p. 129–143.
- [16] W. FULTON – *Intersection theory*, second ed., Ergebnisse Math. Grenzg.. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, vol. 2, Springer, 1998.
- [17] H. GILLET & C. SOULÉ – “Arithmetic intersection theory”, *Publ. Math. I.H.É.S.* **72** (1990), p. 93–174.
- [18] ———, “Characteristic classes for algebraic vector bundles with Hermitian metric. I”, *Ann. of Math.* **131** (1990), p. 163–203.
- [19] R. GODEMENT – *Topologie algébrique et théorie des faisceaux*, Hermann, 1973.
- [20] P. GRIFFITHS & J. HARRIS – *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Wiley-Interscience, 1978.
- [21] A. GROTHENDIECK – *Fondements de la géométrie algébrique. [Extraits du Séminaire Bourbaki, 1957–1962.]*, Secrétariat mathématique, 1962.
- [22] ———, “Éléments de géométrie algébrique. III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents. II”, *Publ. Math. I.H.É.S.* **17** (1963).
- [23] ———, “Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV”, *Publ. Math. I.H.É.S.* **32** (1967).
- [24] M. HINDRY, A. PACHECO & R. WAZIR – “Fibrations et conjecture de Tate”, *J. Number Theory* **112** (2005), p. 345–368.
- [25] L. ILLUSIE – *Complexe cotangent et déformations. I*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 239, Springer, 1971.
- [26] B. KAHN – “Sur le groupe des classes d’un schéma arithmétique”, with an appendix by M. Hindry, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **134** (2006), p. 395–415.

- [27] N. M. KATZ – “Nilpotent connections and the monodromy theorem: Applications of a result of Turrittin”, *Publ. Math. I.H.É.S.* **39** (1970), p. 175–232.
- [28] S. L. KLEIMAN – “Toward a numerical theory of ampleness”, *Ann. of Math.* **84** (1966), p. 293–344.
- [29] ———, “Les théorèmes de finitude pour le foncteur de Picard”, in *Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique (SGA 6)*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 225, 1971, p. 616–666.
- [30] S. KOBAYASHI & K. NOMIZU – *Foundations of differential geometry. Vol I*, Interscience Publishers, a division of John Wiley & Sons, New York-London, 1963.
- [31] S. LANG – *Introduction to transcendental numbers*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1966.
- [32] S. LANG & A. NÉRON – “Rational points of abelian varieties over function fields”, *Amer. J. Math.* **81** (1959), p. 95–118.
- [33] B. MAZUR & W. MESSING – *Universal extensions and one dimensional crystalline cohomology*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 370, Springer, 1974.
- [34] W. MESSING – “The universal extension of an abelian variety by a vector group”, in *Symposia Mathematica, Vol. XI (Convegno di Geometria, INDAM, Rome, 1972)*, Academic Press, 1973, p. 359–372.
- [35] D. MUMFORD & J. FOGARTY – *Geometric invariant theory*, second ed., Ergebnisse Math. Grenzg., vol. 34, Springer, 1982.
- [36] S. NAKANO – “On complex analytic vector bundles”, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **7** (1955), p. 1–12.
- [37] P. SASTRY & Y. L. L. TONG – “The Grothendieck trace and the de Rham integral”, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **46** (2003), p. 429–440.
- [38] T. SCHNEIDER – “Zur Theorie der Abelschen Funktionen und Integrale”, *J. reine angew. Math.* **183** (1941), p. 110–128.
- [39] J-P. SERRE – *Groupes algébriques et corps de classes*, Publications de l’institut de mathématique de l’université de Nancago, VII. Hermann, Paris, 1959.
- [40] C. T. SIMPSON – “Transcendental aspects of the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence”, *Illinois J. Math.* **34** (1990), p. 368–391.
- [41] M. SPIVAK – *A comprehensive introduction to differential geometry. Vol. II*, published by M. Spivak, Brandeis Univ., Waltham, Mass., 1970.
- [42] M. WALDSCHMIDT – “Nombres transcendants et groupes algébriques”, *Astérisque* **69-70** (1987).
- [43] R. O. J. WELLS – *Differential analysis on complex manifolds*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 65, Springer, 1980.
- [44] G. WÜSTHOLZ – “Algebraische Punkte auf analytischen Untergruppen algebraischer Gruppen”, *Ann. of Math.* **129** (1989), p. 501–517.

J.-B. BOST, Département de Mathématiques, Université Paris-Sud, Bâtiment 425, 91405 Orsay cedex, France • E-mail : jean-benoit.bost@math.u-psud.fr

K. KÜNNEMANN, Mathematik, Universität Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg, Germany
E-mail : klaus.kuennemann@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de