# Astérisque

# D. R. HEATH-BROWN The Number of Abelian Groups of Order at Most x

Astérisque, tome 198-199-200 (1991), p. 153-163

<a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST\_1991\_\_198-199-200\_\_153\_0">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST\_1991\_\_198-199-200\_\_153\_0</a>

© Société mathématique de France, 1991, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (http://smf4.emath.fr/ Publications/Asterisque/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

# THE NUMBER OF ABELIAN GROUPS OF ORDER AT MOST x

by

### D.R. HEATH-BROWN

### 1. Introduction

Let a(n) denote the number of isomorphism classes of Abelian groups of order n. The arithmetic function a(n) is multiplicative, and has a generating series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)n^{-s} = \zeta(s)\zeta(2s)\zeta(3s)\cdots$$

We shall be concerned here with the counting function

$$A(x) = \sum_{n \le x} a(n) ,$$

first considered by ERDŐS and SZEKERES [2]. One expects that A(x) will be approximated by  $\sum c_j x^{1/j}$ , where

$$c_j = \prod_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq j}}^{\infty} \zeta(\frac{k}{j}).$$

Indeed, if we write

$$A(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{5} c_j x^{1/j} + \Delta(x), \tag{1.1}$$

then it is known on the one hand that

$$\Delta(x) \ll x^{97/381} (\log x)^{35}$$

(KOLESNIK [8]), and on the other, that

$$\int_{1}^{X} \Delta(x)^{2} dx = \Omega(X^{4/3} \log X) \tag{1.2}$$

S.M.F.

(IVIĆ [7]; see also BALASUBRAMANIAN and RAMACHANDRA [1]). Thus

$$\Delta(x) = \Omega(x^{1/6} (\log x)^{1/2}),$$

so that the extra terms in the sum (1.1) that would correspond to  $j \geq 6$ , cannot be relevent. Note that

$$\frac{97}{381} = 0.25459 \dots > 0.16666 \dots = \frac{1}{6}$$

Our aim is to prove an upper bound corresponding to (1.2).

THEOREM 1. We have

$$\int_{1}^{X} \Delta(x)^{2} dx \ll X^{4/3} (\log X)^{89}$$

for  $X \geq 2$ .

Apart from the exponent of  $\log X$  this is, of course, best possible. IVIĆ [6] has given a weaker estimate with exponent  $\frac{39}{29}$  in place of  $\frac{4}{3}$ . A result similar to Theorem 1 was stated by BALASUBRAMANIAN and RAMACHANDRA [1], but it appears that their claim cannot be substantiated. We have made no attempt to obtain a good exponent for the power of  $\log X$  in Theorem 1.

Our method is an elaboration of that used by the author [4] to estimate

$$\int_0^T \left| \zeta(\frac{5}{8} + it) \right|^8 dt \ .$$

We take this opportunity to point out that exactly the same technique yields:

THEOREM 2. We have

$$\int_0^T \left| \zeta(\frac{11}{20} + it) \right|^{10} dt \ll T^{3/2} (\log T)^{52}$$

and

$$\int_0^T \left| \zeta(\frac{9}{20} + it) \right|^{10} dt \ll T^2 (\log T)^{52}$$

for  $T \geq 2$ . Hence, in the generalized divisor problem, one has  $\beta_5 \leq \frac{9}{20}$ .

These results (with the exponent 52 replaced by 50) have been given without proof by Zhang [11].

Finally we observe that our method for proving Theorem 1 has a little to spare. An examination of the proof shows that the key estimate (3.1) can be obtained with a saving of a power of T, except when M and N differ only by a factor of a small power of T. In this latter case further arguments are available covering all possibilities except that in which M and N are both small powers of T. This argument suggests that one might actually hope to obtain an asymptotic formula for the integral in (1.2).

# 2. Mean-Value Bounds

To estimate the average of  $\Delta(x)^2$  we shall use the analysis of IVIĆ [6; pp.19-21]. After suitable modifications, this leads to

$$\int_{X/2}^{X} \Delta(x)^2 dx \ll X^{4/3} (\log X)^8 \max_{1 \le T \le X} T^{-1} I_T , \qquad (2.1)$$

where

$$I_T = \int_{T/2}^T |\zeta(1-\sigma+it)\zeta(1-2\sigma+2it)\zeta(3\sigma+3it)\zeta(4\sigma+4it)\zeta(5\sigma+5it)|^2 dt ,$$

and

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{\log X}.$$

In view of the inequality  $2|ab| \le a^2 + b^2$ , we have

$$I_T \leq \max(J_T, J_T') , \qquad (2.2)$$

where

$$J_T = \int_{T/2}^{T} |\zeta(3\sigma + 3it)|^2 \zeta(4\sigma + 4it)^4 \zeta(5\sigma + 5it)^4 | dt$$
 (2.3)

and

$$J'_{T} = \int_{T/2}^{T} |\zeta(3\sigma + 3it)|^{2} \zeta(1 - \sigma + it)^{4} \zeta(1 - 2\sigma + 2it)^{4} |dt|.$$

Since the estimation of  $J_T$  and  $J_T'$  is similar, we shall henceforth restrict our attention to  $J_T$ .

We replace the integral in (2.3) by a sum over well-spaced points  $t_n \in [T/2, T]$  for which

$$|t_m - t_n| \ge 1 \quad (m \ne n) . \tag{2.4}$$

Since

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \le K} n^{-s} + 0(1) \quad (T \le K \le 2T)$$

for

$$|\operatorname{Im}(s)| \le 5T$$
,  $\frac{1}{2} \le \operatorname{Re}(s) \le \frac{7}{8}$ ,

by TITCHMARSH [10, Theorem 4.11], we have, for example

$$\zeta(3\sigma + 3it) \ll (\log T) \max_{L \le T} |S_3(L, 3t)|, \qquad (2.5)$$

where L runs over powers of 2, and

$$S_3(L,3t) = \sum_{L < n < 2L} n^{-3\sigma - 3it}$$
.

Of course, for the value of L giving the maximum in (2.5) we will clearly have

$$|S_3(L,3t)| \ge |S_3(1,3t)| \gg 1$$
.

Similarly

$$\zeta(4\sigma + 4it) \ll (\log T) \max_{M \le T} M^{-1/6} |S_4(M, 4t)|$$

with

$$S_4(M,4t) = \sum_{M < n \le 2M} M^{1/6} n^{-4\sigma - 4it} , \qquad (2.6)$$

and

$$\zeta(5\sigma + 5it) \ll (\log T) \max_{N \le T} N^{-1/3} |S_5(N, 5t)|,$$

with

$$S_5(N,5t) = \sum_{N < n < 2N} N^{1/3} n^{-5\sigma - 5it} . {(2.7)}$$

It follows that

$$J_T \ll (\log T)^{13} M^{-2/3} N^{-4/3} \sum_n |S_3(L, 3t_n)^2 S_4(M, 4t_n)^4 S_5(N, 5t_n)^4|$$

for certain fixed L, M, N with

$$|S_3(L,3t_n)|, |S_4(M,4t_n)|, |S_5(N,5t_n)| \gg 1$$
.

We proceed to classify the points  $t_n$  according to the ranges

$$U < |S_3| \le 2U$$
,  $V < |S_4| \le 2V$ 

and

$$W < |S_5| \le 2W$$

in which the relevent sums lie. Here U, V and W run over powers of 2 with

$$1 \ll U \ll L^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
,  $1 \ll V \ll M^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , and  $1 \ll W \ll N^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . (2.8)

If there are N(U, V, W) such points  $t_n$  for each triple (U, V, W) it follows that

$$J_T \ll (\log T)^{13} M^{-2/3} N^{-4/3} \sum_{U,V,W} U^2 V^4 W^4 N(U,V,W)$$

$$\ll (\log T)^{16} M^{-2/3} N^{-4/3} U^2 V^4 W^4 N(U,V,W) , \qquad (2.9)$$

for some particular triple (U, V, W).

In estimating N(U, V, W) we shall illustrate our methods by examining  $S_3$ . We begin by using the mean-value theorem for Dirichlet polynomials due to Montgomery [9; Theorem 7.3], with  $Q = 1, \chi = 1, \delta = 1$ . When applied to  $S_3(L, t)^k$  this yields

$$U^{2k}N(U,V,W) \ll (L^k + T)(\log T) \sum_{L^k < n \le (2L)^k} d_k(n)^2 n^{-6\sigma}$$
$$\ll (L^k + T)(\log T)^{1+k^2}.$$

Similarly we have

$$V^{2k}N(U,V,W) \ll (M^k + T)(\log T)^{1+k^2}$$
(2.10)

and

$$W^{2k}N(U,V,W) \ll (N^k + T)(\log T)^{1+k^2}. \tag{2.11}$$

Notice that our purpose in making the somewhat peculiar definitions (2.6) and (2.7) was to produce bounds for N(U, V, W) which are symmetric in  $S_3$ ,  $S_4$  and  $S_5$ . Our second estimate uses the Halász method, in the form due to HUXLEY [5; p.171] (with a trivial modification to allow for the weaker spacing condition (2.4)). When applied to  $S_3(L, t)^2$  this yields

$$\begin{split} N(U,V,W) & \ll L^2 U^{-4} \left( \sum_{L^2 < n \le 4L^2} d(n)^2 n^{-6\sigma} \right) (\log T) \\ & + T L^2 U^{-12} \left( \sum_{L^2 < n \le 4L^2} d(n)^2 n^{-6\sigma} \right)^3 (\log T)^5 \\ & \ll (L^2 U^{-4} + T L^2 U^{-12}) (\log T)^{17} \ . \end{split}$$

Similarly one finds

$$N(U, V, W) \ll (M^2 V^{-4} + T M^2 V^{-12}) (\log T)^{17}$$
 (2.12)

and

$$N(U, V, W) \ll (N^2 W^{-4} + T N^2 W^{-12}) (\log T)^{17}$$
.

For our remaining estimates we start from Perron's formula (see TITCHMARSH [10; Lemma 3.19]), which yields

$$S_3(L,3t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2}-4iT}^{\frac{1}{2}+4iT} \zeta(s+3\sigma+3it) \frac{(2L)^s - L^s}{s} ds + 0(\log X)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2}-3\sigma-4iT}^{\frac{1}{2}-3\sigma+4iT} \zeta(s+3\sigma+3it) \frac{(2L)^s - L^s}{s} ds + 0(\log X)$$

$$\ll \int_{-7T}^{7T} \left| \zeta(\frac{1}{2}+i\tau) \right| \frac{d\tau}{\frac{1}{\log X} + |\tau-3t|} + \log X.$$

Thus

$$U^{4}N(U, V, W) \leq \sum_{n} |S_{3}(L, 3t_{n})|^{4}$$

$$\ll (\log X)^{4} \sum_{n} \left( 1 + \left\{ \int_{-7T}^{7T} \left| \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau) \right| \frac{d\tau}{1 + |\tau - 3t_{n}|} \right\}^{4} \right)$$

$$\ll (\log X)^{4} \left( T + \sum_{n} \int_{-7T}^{7T} \left\{ \frac{d\tau}{1 + |\tau - 3t_{n}|} \right\}^{3}$$

$$\left\{ \int_{-7T}^{7T} \left| \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau) \right|^{4} \frac{d\tau}{1 + |\tau - 3t_{n}|} \right\} \right)$$

$$\ll (\log X)^{7} \left( T + \int_{-7T}^{7T} \left| \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau) \right|^{4} \left\{ \sum_{n} \frac{1}{1 + |\tau - 3t_{n}|} \right\} d\tau \right)$$

$$\ll (\log X)^{8} \int_{-7T}^{7T} \left| \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau) \right|^{4} d\tau + T(\log X)^{7} .$$

In the final step above we have used the spacing condition (2.4). We can now apply the fourth power moment estimate for the Riemann Zeta-function (see TITCHMARSH [10; (7.6.1)] for example) to give

$$U^4 N(U, V, W) \ll T(\log X)^{12}$$
 (2.13)

An entirely analogous argument based on twelfth power moments, and using the bound

$$\int_0^T \left| \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it) \right|^{12} dt \ll T^2 (\log T)^{17}$$

of HEATH-BROWN [3], produces

$$U^{12}N(U, V, W) \ll T^2(\log X)^{41}$$
 (2.14)

Similarly we obtain

$$V^4 N(U, V, W) \ll T(\log X)^{12}$$
, (2.15)

$$V^{12}N(U, V, W) \ll T^2(\log X)^{41}$$
, (2.16)  
 $W^4N(U, V, W) \ll T(\log X)^{12}$ ,

and

$$W^{12}N(U,V,W) \ll T^2(\log X)^{41}$$
.

# 3. Proof of Theorem 1

We now use our bounds for N(U, V, W) to show that

$$U^2V^4W^4N(U,V,W) \ll TM^{2/3}N^{4/3}(\log X)^{65}$$
 (3.1)

From (2.9) we will conclude that  $J_T \ll T(\log X)^{81}$ , and similarly for  $J_T'$ . The theorem will then follow from (2.1) and (2.2). Because of the symmetry in our bounds for N(U, V, W) it will suffice to prove (3.1) when  $N \leq M$ , since otherwise

$$M^{4/3}N^{2/3} \le M^{2/3}N^{4/3} .$$

We shall therefore consider the following cases:

Case 1 
$$N \leq M \leq T^{1/8}$$
,  
Case 2  $N \leq M^{1/4}$ ,  
Case 3  $M^4N^8 \geq T^3$ ,

and

Case 4 
$$T^{1/32} \le N \le T^{1/4}$$
.

These are readily seen to exhaust all possibilities when  $N \leq M$ . In what follows we shall repeatedly use the principle that

$$\min(A_1,\ldots,A_k) \leq A_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots A_k^{\alpha_k}$$

for  $A_i \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha_i \geq 0$  and  $\sum \alpha_i = 1$ .

Case 1 : Here we use (2.10) and (2.11) with k=8, together with (2.13). Thus

$$\begin{split} N(U,V,W) &\ll (\log X)^{65} \min((M^8+T)V^{-16},(N^8+T)W^{-16},TU^{-4}) \\ &\ll (\log X)^{65} \min(TV^{-16},TW^{-16},TU^{-4}) \\ &\ll (\log X)^{65}(TV^{-16})^{1/4}(TW^{-16})^{1/4}(TU^{-4})^{1/2} \\ &= TU^{-2}V^{-4}W^{-4}(\log X)^{65} \\ &\ll TM^{2/3}N^{4/3}U^{-2}V^{-4}W^{-4}(\log X)^{65} \; . \end{split}$$

The bound (3.1) follows.

Case 2 : Here it is convenient to consider two subcases, in which  $V \ge T^{1/8}$  and  $V \le T^{1/8}$ . If  $V \ge T^{1/8}$  then (2.12) yields

$$N(U, V, W) \ll M^2 V^{-4} (\log X)^{17}$$
 (3.2)

From (2.15), (2.16) and (2.14) we therefore have

$$\begin{split} N(U,V,W) &\ll (\log X)^{41} \min(M^2 V^{-4}, T V^{-4}, T^2 V^{-12}, T^2 U^{-12}) \\ &\ll (\log X)^{41} (M^2 V^{-4})^{1/4} (T V^{-4})^{1/2} (T^2 V^{-12})^{1/12} (T^2 U^{-12})^{1/6} \\ &= (\log X)^{41} T M^{1/2} U^{-2} V^{-4} \ . \end{split}$$

On the other hand, if  $V \leq T^{1/8}$ , we deduce from (2.12) that

$$N(U, V, W) \ll (\log X)^{17} T M^2 V^{-12}$$
 (3.3)

Now (2.15) and (2.13) yield

$$\begin{split} N(U,V,W) &\ll (\log X)^{17} \min(TM^2V^{-12},TV^{-4},TU^{-4}) \\ &\ll (\log X)^{17} (TM^2V^{-12})^{1/4} (TV^{-4})^{1/4} (TU^{-4})^{1/2} \\ &= (\log X)^{17} TM^{1/2} U^{-2} V^{-4} \ . \end{split}$$

In either case we conclude that

$$\begin{split} U^2 V^4 W^4 N(U,V,W) &\ll (\log X)^{41} T M^{1/2} W^4 \\ &\ll (\log X)^{41} T M^{1/2} N^2 \\ &\ll (\log X)^{41} T M^{2/3} N^{4/3} \; , \end{split}$$

as required. Here we have used (2.8) together with the condition  $N \leq M^{1/4}$ .

Case 3: Here we use (2.11) with k=4, together with (2.14) and (2.16). Then

$$\begin{split} N(U,V,W) &\ll (\log X)^{41} \min(\max(T,N^4)W^{-8},T^2U^{-12},T^2V^{-12}) \\ &\ll (\log X)^{41} (\max(T,N^4)W^{-8})^{1/2} (T^2U^{-12})^{1/6} (T^2V^{-12})^{1/3} \\ &= (\log X)^{41} \max(T^{3/2},TN^2)U^{-2}V^{-4}W^{-4} \ . \end{split}$$

However  $T^{3/2} \leq TM^{2/3}N^{4/3}$  providing that  $M^4N^8 \geq T^3$ , and  $TN^2 \leq TM^{2/3}N^{4/3}$ , since  $N \leq M$ . The bound (3.1) therefore follows in this case.

Case 4: Again we shall consider separately the cases  $V \geq T^{1/8}$  and  $V \leq T^{1/8}$ . If  $V \geq T^{1/8}$  we have (3.2) just as in Case 2. Then (2.11), with k = 8, together with (2.14), (2.15) and (2.16), yield

$$\begin{split} N(U,V,W) &\ll (\log X)^{65} \min(M^2 V^{-4}, \max(T,N^8) W^{-16}, \\ & T^2 U^{-12}, T V^{-4}, T^2 V^{-12}) \\ &\ll (\log X)^{65} (M^2 V^{-4})^{1/3} (\max(T,N^8) W^{-16})^{1/4} (T^2 U^{-12})^{1/6} \\ & \times (T V^{-4})^{1/24} (T^2 V^{-12})^{5/24} \\ &= (\log X)^{65} M^{2/3} \max(T^{25/24}, T^{19/24} N^2) U^{-2} V^{-4} W^{-4} \; . \end{split}$$

On the other hand, if  $V \leq T^{1/8}$ , then (3.3) holds, as in Case 2. The bound (2.11), with k = 8, in conjunction with (2.13) and (2.14) now produces

$$\begin{split} N(U,V,W) &\ll (\log X)^{65} \min(TM^2V^{-12}, \max(T,N^8)W^{-16}, TU^{-4}, T^2U^{-12}) \\ &\ll (\log X)^{65} (TM^2V^{-12})^{1/3} (\max(T,N^8)W^{-16})^{1/4} (TU^{-4})^{3/8} \\ &\qquad \times (T^2U^{-12})^{1/24} \\ &= (\log X)^{65} M^{2/3} \max(T^{25/24}, T^{19/24}N^2) U^{-2}V^{-4}W^{-4} \ . \end{split}$$

We therefore get the same estimate whether  $V \geq T^{1/8}$  or not. To prove (3.1) it remains to observe that

$$\max(T^{25/24}, T^{19/24}N^2) \le TN^{4/3}$$

when  $T^{1/32} \le N \le T^{1/4}$ .

We have now proved (3.1) in each of the four cases. This completes the treatment of Theorem 1.

# 4. Proof of Theorem 2

To prove Theorem 2 we adopt the procedure of Section 2, using the sum

$$S(t) = \sum_{M < m \le 2M} M^{1/20} m^{-11/20 - it} , \quad (1 \ll M \ll T) .$$

We deduce that

$$\int_{T/2}^{T} \left| \zeta(\frac{11}{20} + it) \right|^{10} dt \ll (\log T)^{11} M^{-1/2} N(V) V^{10} \tag{4.1}$$

for some V in the range  $1 \ll V \ll M^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , where N(V) is the number of well spaced points  $t_n \in [T/2, T]$  at which

$$V < |S(t)| \le 2V .$$

If  $V \geq T^{1/8}$  then (2.12) yields

$$N(V) \ll M^2 V^{-4} (\log T)^{17}$$
.

From (2.16), adjusted by replacing  $\log X$  by  $\log T$ , we therefore deduce that

$$\begin{split} N(V) & \ll (\log T)^{41} \min(M^2 V^{-4}, T^2 V^{-12}) \\ & \ll (\log T)^{41} (M^2 V^{-4})^{1/4} (T^2 V^{-12})^{3/4} \\ & = (\log T)^{41} T^{3/2} M^{1/2} V^{-10} \ . \end{split} \tag{4.2}$$

Similarly, if  $V \leq T^{1/8}$  then (2.12) produces

$$N(V) \ll TM^2V^{-12}(\log T)^{17}$$
.

Hence (2.15) and (2.16) yield

$$\begin{split} N(V) & \ll (\log T)^{41} \min(TM^2V^{-12}, TV^{-4}, T^2V^{-12}) \\ & \ll (\log T)^{41} (TM^2V^{-12})^{1/4} (TV^{-4})^{1/4} (T^2V^{-12})^{1/2} \\ & = (\log T)^{41} T^{3/2} M^{1/2} V^{-10} \ . \end{split} \tag{4.3}$$

The bounds (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) lead to

$$\int_{T/2}^{T} \left| \zeta(\frac{11}{20} + it) \right|^{10} dt \ll T^{3/2} (\log T)^{52} ,$$

#### THE NUMBER OF ABELIAN GROUPS

which gives the first statement of Theorem 2. The second part needs only an application of the functional equation, and the remark about  $\beta_5$  follows from TITCHMARSH [10; Theorem 12.5].

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. BALASUBRAMANIAN and K. RAMACHANDRA, Some problems of analytic number theory III, *Hardy-Ramanujan J.*, 4 (1981), 13-40.
- [2] P. Erdős and G. Szekeres, Über die Anzahl Abelscher Gruppen gegebener Ordnung und über ein verwandtes zahlentheoretisches Problem, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 7 (1935), 95-102.
- [3] D.R. HEATH-BROWN, The twelfth power moment of the Riemann zeta-function, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2), 29 (1978), 443-462.
- [4] D.R. HEATH-BROWN, Mean values of the zeta-function and divisor problems, *Recent progress in analytic number theory*, 115-119, (Academic Press, London, 1981).
- [5] M.N. HUXLEY, The distribution of prime numbers, (Oxford, 1972).
- [6] A. IVIĆ, The number of finite non-isomorphic Abelian groups in mean square, *Hardy-Ramanujan J.*, 9 (1986), 17-23.
- [7] A. IVIĆ, The general divisor problem, J. Number Theory, 26 (1987), 73-91.
- [8] G. KOLESNIK, On the number of Abelian groups of a given order, J. Reine Angew. Math., 329 (1981), 164-175.
- [9] H.L. Montgomery, Topics in multiplicative number theory, (Springer, Berlin, 1971).
- [10] E.C. TITCHMARSH, The theory of the Riemann zeta-function, 2nd Edition (Oxford, 1986).
- [11] W.-P. ZHANG, On the divisor problem, Kexue Tongbao, 33 (1988), 1484-1485.

Roger HEATH-BROWN Magdalen College Oxford OX1 4AU