

Astérisque

REINHARD KNÖRR

WOLFGANG WILLEMS

The automorphism groups of generalized Reed-Muller codes

Astérisque, tome 181-182 (1990), p. 195-207

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1990__181-182__195_0

© Société mathématique de France, 1990, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (<http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

The Automorphism Groups of Generalized Reed-Muller Codes

Reinhard Knörr and Wolfgang Willems

1. Introduction

The generalized Reed-Muller Codes of length p^m over the prime field F_p are the radical powers $J(F_p E)^r$ ($0 \leq r \leq m(p-1)$) of the group algebra $F_p E$ of an elementary abelian p -group E of rank m . To be consistent with the notation in the literature we put

$$GRM(r,m) = J(F_p E)^{m(p-1)-r} \quad (0 \leq r \leq m(p-1)) .$$

Then $GRM(r,m)$ is the r -th order generalized Reed-Muller Code of length p^m over F_p .

In an earlier paper [4] we characterized such codes as those linear codes of length p^m over F_p which contain the affine general linear group $AGL(m,p)$ as a subgroup of their automorphism group.

In the binary case the automorphism group of a generalized Reed-Muller Code - which is the original Reed-Muller Code [6] - has been known for a long time ([5], Chap. 13, §9). Here we prove

Theorem. For any prime p we have

$$\text{Aut}(\text{GRM}(r,m)) = \begin{cases} \text{The full monomial group if } r = m(p-1) \\ \mathbb{F}_p^* \times S_p^m & \text{if } r = 0, m(p-1)-1 \\ \mathbb{F}_p^* \times \text{AGL}(m,p) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Although the result does not depend on whether the prime p is odd or even, the proofs are rather different in the two cases. The difference lies in the fact that only in the binary case a nice geometrical interpretation of the code is available ([5] Chap. 13, §4), from which the crucial point $\text{Aut}(\text{RM}(r,m)) \subseteq \text{Aut}(\text{RM}(r+1,m))$ ($0 < r \leq m-1$) in the proof ([5], Chap. 13, §9) follows. This fails in odd characteristic. The proof we present here heavily depends on the classification of doubly transitive groups.

2. Proof of the Theorem

Let V be a vector space over the field F with basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and let C be a linear code in V . If $g \in \text{Aut}(C)$ then g defines a permutation $\pi = \pi_g \in S_n$ such that

$$v_i g = f_i v_{i\pi} \quad (f_i \in F^*, \quad i = 1, \dots, n) .$$

Thus there is a homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha : \text{Aut}(C) &\longrightarrow S_n \\ g &\longrightarrow \pi_g \end{aligned}$$

and if $P \text{Aut}(C)$ denotes the image of α we obtain an exact sequence

$$(A) \quad 1 \rightarrow D(\text{Aut}(C)) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C) \xrightarrow{\alpha} P \text{Aut}(C) \rightarrow 1$$

where the kernel $D(\text{Aut}(C))$ of α consists of the diagonal automorphisms of $\text{Aut}(C)$.

For the reader's convenience we restate the following well known result:

Lemma 1 [3]. If C is non-trivial (i.e. $0 \neq C \neq V$) and if $P \text{ Aut}(C)$ acts doubly transitively on the coordinate positions then $D(\text{Aut}(C)) = F^* \cdot \text{id}$.

Proof. Let $0 \neq c = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n \in C$ with $w(c)$ minimal where w denotes the weight functions on V and $a_i \in F$. Obviously $w(c) \geq 2$ as $P \text{ Aut}(C)$ acts transitively and C is nontrivial. Now suppose that $d \in D(\text{Aut}(C))$ with

$$v_i d = f_i v_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

where $f_i \in F^*$ and $f_n \neq f_{i_0}$ for a suitable i_0 . As the action of $P \text{ Aut}(C)$ even is doubly transitive we may assume that $a_n \neq 0 \neq a_{i_0}$. It follows

$$C \ni f_n c - cd = \sum_{i=1}^n (f_n - f_i) a_i v_i$$

with $(f_n - f_{i_0}) a_{i_0} \neq 0$ and $w(f_n c - cd) < w(c)$, a contradiction.

As already mentioned, $\text{AGL}(m, p)$ is contained in the automorphism group of $\text{GRM}(r, m)$ for each r . If we write $\text{AGL}(m, p) = E \rtimes \text{GL}(m, p)$ then E acts by right multiplication and $\text{GL}(m, p)$ by conjugation on $F_p E$ and therefore on all the radical powers $J(F_p E)^r$. This action is doubly transitive on the coordinate positions. Then

$$(E) \quad D(\text{GRM}(r, m)) = F_p^*$$

by Lemma 1, provided $r < m(p-1)$.

Lemma 2. $\text{Aut}(\text{GRM}(r, m)) = F_p^* \times S_{p^m}$ for $r = 0$ and $m(p-1) - 1$.

Proof. Obviously, S_{p^m} is contained in the automorphism group of the socle of $F_p E$ and the radical $J(F_p E)$. The

assertion follows now immediately from (A) and (B).

Lemma 3. $\text{Aut}(\text{GRM}(1,m)) = \text{Aut}(\text{GRM}(m(p-1)-2,m)) = \mathbb{F}_p^* \times \text{AGL}(m,p)$
for $m(p-1)-2 \geq 0$.

Proof. Since \mathbb{F}_p^E is a uniserial $\mathbb{F}_p \text{AGL}(m,p)$ -module (see [4]), $\text{GRM}(1,m)$ is the orthogonal of $\text{GRM}(m(p-1)-2,m)$. Thus, by duality, it is sufficient to prove the second equality. Let $J^2 = J(\mathbb{F}_p^E)^2 = \text{GRM}(m(p-1)-2,m)$ and let $g \in \text{Aut}(J^2)$. If $x = \sum_{e \in E} a_e e \in \mathbb{F}_p^E$ then $xg = \sum a_e g(e) (\epsilon \pi_g)$ where $g(e) \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ and π_g is a permutation of E . Via a transformation with a suitable element of $\mathbb{F}_p^* \times \text{AGL}(m,p)$ we may assume that $1g = 1$. Now let $x = (e-1)(e'-1) = ee' - e - e' + 1 \in J^2$ with $e, e' \in E$. Thus $xg = g(ee')(\epsilon \pi_g) - g(e)(\epsilon \pi_g) - g(e')(\epsilon' \pi_g) + 1 \in J^2$. As $xg \in J^2$, we have

$$g(ee') - g(e) - g(e') + 1 = 0.$$

In particular, for $e' = e^i$, this yields

$$g(e^{i+1}) = g(e) + g(e^i) - 1.$$

Inductively, we obtain

$$g(e^i) = 1 + i(g(e) - 1).$$

If $g(e) \neq 1$ then there exists an $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ such that $i(g(e) - 1) = -1$, hence $g(e^i) = 0$, a contradiction. Thus $g(e) = 1$ for all $e \in E$. It follows

$$(ee')\pi_g - e\pi_g - e'\pi_g + 1 \in J^2$$

and obviously also

$$(\epsilon \pi_g)(\epsilon' \pi_g) - \epsilon \pi_g - \epsilon' \pi_g + 1 \in J^2.$$

Thus

$$(\epsilon \pi_g)(\epsilon' \pi_g) - (ee')\pi_g \in J^2.$$

With $a := (ee')\pi_g$ and $b := (\epsilon \pi_g)(\epsilon' \pi_g)$ we obtain

$$a^{-1}(b-a) = a^{-1}b - 1 \in J^2.$$

GENERALIZED REED-MULLER CODES

Suppose $e_1 = a^{-1}b \neq 1$. Then choose e_2, \dots, e_m such that $E = \langle e_1, \dots, e_m \rangle$. Now consider the two-dimensional $F_p E$ -module $M = F_p m_1 \oplus F_p m_2$ with the action

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 e_1 &= m_1 + m_2, & m_2 e_1 &= m_2 \\ m_i e_j &= m_i & (i = 1, 2; j = 2, \dots, m). \end{aligned}$$

It follows $M(e_1 - 1) \neq 0$ but $MJ^2 = 0$ since $\dim M = 2$. Therefore $a^{-1}b = 1$, i.e.

$$(ee')\pi_g = (e\pi_g)(e'\pi_g)$$

and $\pi_g \in GL(m, p)$.

This shows $\text{Aut}(\text{GRM}(m(p-1)-2, m)) \leq F_p^* \times \text{AGL}(m, p)$ and equality holds by a previous remark.

Lemma 4. $\text{Aut}(\text{GRM}(r, 1)) = F_p^* \times \text{AGL}(1, p)$ for $1 \leq r \leq p-3$.

Proof. Put $E = \langle e \rangle$, $\alpha_{ij} = \binom{i}{j} \in F_p$ and $\beta_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \alpha_{ij}$ for $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$. Let $g \in \text{Aut}(J^k)$ with $J^k = J(F_p E)^k$ and $2 \leq k \leq p-2$. Then

$$e^i g = f_i e^{i\pi} \quad (0 \leq i \leq p-1)$$

where $f_i \in F_p^*$ and π is a permutation of $\{0, \dots, p-1\}$.

Again, as $F_p^* \times \text{AGL}(1, p)$ is contained in the automorphism group of $\text{GRM}(r, 1)$, we may assume that

$$1g = 1 \quad (\text{i.e. } f_0 = 1 \text{ and } 0\pi = 0)$$

$$\text{and } eg = f_1 e \quad (\text{i.e. } 1\pi = 1).$$

Now we have to show that $g = 1$ or equivalently by (B) $\pi = \text{id}$. Note that $\{(e-1)^s \mid s \geq k\}$ is a basis for J^k and

$$\begin{aligned} (e-1)^s g &= \sum_i \beta_{si} e^{i\pi} g = \sum_i \beta_{si} f_i e^{i\pi} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \beta_{si} f_i \alpha_{i\pi, j} (e-1)^j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(1) \quad \sum_i \beta_{si} f_i \alpha_{i\pi, j} = 0 \quad \text{for all } s \geq k > j.$$

For an arbitrary t and $j < k$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_t^{\alpha_{t\pi,j}} &= \sum_i \delta_{ti} f_i^{\alpha_{i\pi,j}} = \sum_{s,i} \alpha_{ts} \beta_{si} f_i^{\alpha_{i\pi,j}} \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{s \\ s < k}} \alpha_{ts} \beta_{si} f_i^{\alpha_{i\pi,j}} \\
 &= \sum_i \left(\sum_{\substack{s \\ s < k}} \alpha_{ts} \beta_{si} \right) f_i^{\alpha_{i\pi,j}} .
 \end{aligned}$$

We put

$$(2) \quad \gamma_{ti} := \sum_{\substack{s \\ s < k}} \alpha_{ts} \beta_{si}$$

Obviously

$$\gamma_{ti} = 0 \quad \text{for } i \geq k ,$$

since then $\beta_{si} = 0$ for all $s < k$.

Therefore

$$(3) \quad \sum_{\substack{i \\ i < k}} \gamma_{ti} f_i^{\alpha_{i\pi,j}} = f_t^{\alpha_{t\pi,j}}$$

for all t and all $j < k$.

If $t < k$ then $\gamma_{ti} = \delta_{ti}$ and (3) says really nothing. Thus only the following equations are relevant.

$$(4) \quad \sum_{\substack{i \\ i < k}} (f_t^{-1} \gamma_{ti} f_i)^{\alpha_{i\pi,j}} = \alpha_{t\pi,j} \quad \text{for } j < k \text{ and } t \geq k .$$

For t fixed, (4) is a system of k equations ($j = 0, \dots, k-1$) in the k variables $(f_t^{-1} \gamma_{ti} f_i)$ ($i = 0, \dots, k-1$) with coefficient matrix

$$A := (\alpha_{i\pi,j}) = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0\pi \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0\pi \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} 0\pi \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1\pi \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 1\pi \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} 1\pi \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \\ \begin{bmatrix} (k-1)\pi \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} (k-1)\pi \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} (k-1)\pi \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

Now $\det A$ can be transformed - delete denominators and add columns to later columns - to the Vandermonde determinant

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\pi & (0\pi)^2 & \dots & (0\pi)^{k-1} \\ 1 & 1\pi & (1\pi)^2 & \dots & (1\pi)^{k-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & (k-1)\pi & ((k-1)\pi)^2 & \dots & ((k-1)\pi)^{k-1} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0 .$$

Therefore, we can solve (4) by Cramers's rule, i.e.

$$(5) \quad f_t^{-1} \gamma_{ti} f_i = \frac{\det A_i}{\det A}$$

where the matrix A_i is obtained from A if the i -th row is replaced by $(\alpha_{t\pi,0}, \dots, \alpha_{t\pi,k-1})$.

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (j!) \det A &= \prod_{\substack{r,s \\ r < s < k}} (s\pi - r\pi) \\ &= \prod_{\substack{r < s < k \\ r, s \neq i}} (s\pi - r\pi) \prod_{r < i} (i\pi - r\pi) \prod_{r > i} (r\pi - i\pi) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (j!) \det A_i = \prod_{\substack{r,s < k \\ r, s \neq i}} (s\pi - r\pi) \prod_{r < i} (t\pi - r\pi) \prod_{r > i} (r\pi - t\pi) .$$

Thus

$$(6) \quad f_t^{-1} \gamma_{ti} f_i = \prod_{\substack{r < k \\ r \neq i}} \frac{(r\pi - t\pi)}{(r\pi - i\pi)} .$$

Since $\begin{pmatrix} t \\ s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s \\ i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t-i \\ s-i \end{pmatrix}$ for $t \geq s \geq i$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{ti} &= \sum_{s < k} \alpha_{ts} \beta_{si} = \sum_{s < k} \begin{pmatrix} t \\ s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s \\ i \end{pmatrix} (-1)^{s+i} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} t \\ i \end{pmatrix} \sum_{s < k} \begin{pmatrix} t-i \\ s-i \end{pmatrix} (-1)^{s-i} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} t \\ i \end{pmatrix} \sum_{u \leq k-i-1} \begin{pmatrix} t-i \\ u \end{pmatrix} (-1)^u \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} t \\ i \end{pmatrix} (-1)^{k-i-1} \begin{pmatrix} t-i-1 \\ k-i-1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

(The last equality follows by a trivial induction.)

Insert the value for γ_{ti} in (6) yields

$$(7) \quad (-1)^{k-i-1} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t-i-1 \\ k-i-1 \end{bmatrix} f_t^{-1} f_i = \prod_{\substack{r < k \\ r \neq i}} \frac{r\pi - t\pi}{r\pi - i\pi}$$

for all $t \geq k$ and all $i < k$.

In particular for $i = 0$ (note $k \geq 2$) and $t \geq k$

$$(-1)^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} t-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} f_t^{-1} = \prod_{0 < r < k} \frac{r\pi - t\pi}{r\pi} \quad (\text{note } 0\pi = 0).$$

Insert f_t^{-1} in (7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{k-i-1} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t-i-1 \\ k-i-1 \end{bmatrix} (-1)^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} t-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \left[\prod_{0 < r < k} \frac{r\pi - t\pi}{r\pi} \right] f_i \\ &= \prod_{\substack{r < k \\ r \neq i}} \frac{r\pi - t\pi}{r\pi - i\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

By easy calculations it follows for $i \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^i \frac{t}{t-i} \begin{bmatrix} k-1 \\ i \end{bmatrix} f_i = \prod_{\substack{r < k \\ r \neq i}} \frac{r\pi - t\pi}{r\pi - i\pi} \prod_{0 < r < k} \frac{r\pi}{r\pi - t\pi} \\ &= \left[\prod_{\substack{r < k \\ r \neq 0, i}} \frac{r\pi - t\pi}{r\pi - i\pi} \right] \begin{bmatrix} -t\pi \\ -i\pi \end{bmatrix} \left[\prod_{\substack{r < k \\ r \neq 0, i}} \frac{r\pi}{r\pi - t\pi} \right] \begin{bmatrix} i\pi \\ i\pi - t\pi \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$(8) \quad (-1)^i \begin{bmatrix} k-1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}^{-1} f_i^{-1} \prod_{\substack{r < k \\ r \neq 0, i}} \frac{r\pi}{r\pi - i\pi} = \frac{t}{t-i} \begin{bmatrix} i\pi - t\pi \\ t\pi \end{bmatrix}$$

for all $0 < i < k$ and all $t \geq k$.

Since the left hand side of (8) does not depend on t we obtain

$$(9) \quad \frac{i\pi - t\pi}{i-t} \cdot \frac{t}{t\pi} = \frac{i\pi - k\pi}{i-k} \cdot \frac{k}{k\pi}$$

for all $i < k$ and all $t \geq k$.

Hence

$$t\pi[i(i\pi)k - i(k\pi)k - t(i\pi)k + ti(k\pi)] = (i\pi)t(i-k)k\pi \neq 0$$

for $0 < i < k$ and $t \geq k$.

For $i = 1$ (note $k \geq 2$) we get

$$(10) \quad t\pi = \frac{t(1-k)k\pi}{k(1-k\pi) - t(k-k\pi)}$$

for all $t \geq k$ (observe $1\pi = 1$). Insert in (9) and divide by $t(k\pi) \neq 0$ yields

$$(11) \quad (t-k)i\pi[k(1-k\pi) - i(k-k\pi)] = (t-k)i(k\pi)(1-k).$$

Since $k < p-1$ choose $t > k$ and divide (11) by $t-k$. Observe that the right hand side of (11) is different from 0 for $i \neq 0$. Thus

$$(12) \quad i\pi = \frac{i(1-k)k\pi}{k(1-k\pi) - i(k-k\pi)} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < k$$

This equation also holds for $i = 0$ as $0\pi = 0$. Together with (10) it follows

$$(13) \quad i\pi = \frac{i(1-k)k\pi}{k(1-k\pi) - i(k-k\pi)} \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, p-1.$$

The denominator of (13) is different from zero for $0 \leq i \leq p-1$. Now if $k \neq k\pi$ then

$$i = \frac{k(1-k\pi)}{k-k\pi}$$

annihilates this denominator, a contradiction. Thus $k\pi = k$ and then, by (13), $i = i\pi$ for all i as asserted.

Proposition. Let G be a permutation group of degree p^m where p is an odd prime and $m \geq 2$. Suppose $p \neq 3$ if $m = 2$. If $AGL(m, p) \leq G$ then G is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

$$AGL(m, p), \quad A_{p^m} \quad \text{or} \quad S_{p^m}.$$

Proof. First note that G is doubly transitive since the only faithful permutation representation of $AGL(m, p)$ of degree $\leq p^m$ is the natural one on the vector space $V(m, p)$

(see for instance 1.1 of [4]). Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G . Then by Burnside ([2], Chap. XI, 7.12), N is regular or simple, primitive with $C_G(N) = 1$.

First, suppose that N is regular, hence an elementary abelian p -group of rank m . Furthermore, $G = N \rtimes G_\alpha$ where G_α denotes the stabilizer of a point. $G_\alpha \leq GL(m,p)$ and $AGL(m,p) \leq G$ imply $G = AGL(m,p)$.

Thus we may assume that N is simple, primitive and $C_G(N) = 1$. Write $AGL(m,p) = E \rtimes GL(m,p)$ and note that $G \leq \text{Aut}(N)$. As $m \geq 2$ and $p \geq 5$ in case $m = 2$, the affine special linear group $ASL(m,p)$ is perfect.

Thus $ASL(m,p) \subseteq N$, since $\text{Aut}(N)/N$ is solvable by Schreier's conjecture.

In particular, N is doubly transitive. Now we can use the list in [1] of simple doubly transitive permutation groups.

As $m \geq 2$, only the following possibilities may occur:

N		degree
A_n	$(n \geq 5)$	n
$PSL(d,q)$	$(d \geq 2)$	$(q^d - 1)/(q - 1)$
$PSU(3, q^2)$		$q^3 + 1$
${}^2B_2(q)$		$q^2 + 1$
${}^2G_2(q)$	$(q = 3^u)$	$q^3 + 1$
$PSp(2d, 2)$	$(d > 2)$	$2^{2d-1} + 2^{d-1}$
$PSp(2d, 2)$	$(d > 2)$	$2^{2d-1} - 2^{d-1}$

${}^2G_2(q)$ and $PSp(2d, 2)$ do not appear as their degrees are even.

For the Suzuki groups we have $|{}^2B_2(q)| = (q^2+1)q^2(q-1)$ and $p^m = q^2+1$. Since $p \neq 2$, p does not divide $(q-1)$. Comparing the p -parts of $|{}^2B_2(q)|$ and $|ASL(m,p)|$, a contradiction follows. Suppose $N = PSU(3, q^2)$. Then

$$|N| = (q^3+1)q^3(q^2-1)/(3, q+1) \text{ and } q^3+1 = p^m.$$

Since $|ASL(m,p)|_p = p^{m + \binom{m}{2}}$, this implies

$$p^{\binom{m}{2}} \left| \frac{q^2-1}{(3, q+1)} < q^3+1 = p^m, \text{ so } m = 2. \right.$$

Moreover, $p \mid q^2-1$ and $p \mid q^3+1$, hence $p \mid (q^3+1) + (q^2-1) = q^2(q+1)$, so $p \mid q+1$, in particular $p-1 \leq q$. Hence $(p-1)^3 \leq q^3 = p^2-1 = (p+1)(p-1)$, so $p^2-2p+1 = (p-1)^2 \leq p+1$ and $p(p-3) \leq 0$, i.e. $p \leq 3$, a contradiction again.

Finally, we have to deal with $N = PSL(d, q)$ for $d \geq 2$ and $p^m = \frac{q^d-1}{q-1}$.

If $q = 2$ and $d = 6$ then $\frac{q^6-1}{q-1} = 63 = 3 \cdot 21 \neq p^m$. If $d = 2$ then $|PSL(2, q)| = \frac{(q+1)q(q-1)}{(2, q-1)}$ and $q+1 = p^m$. As $p \neq 2$, p does not divide $q-1$. Then $p^m = |PSL(2, q)|_p < |ASL(m, p)|_p$ yields a contradiction.

Now by a result of Zigmundy ([2], Chap. IX, 8.3)

$$p \mid q^d-1, \text{ but } p \nmid q^i-1 \text{ for } 0 < i < d.$$

In particular

$$\begin{aligned} |PSL(d, q)|_p &= \left| q^{\binom{d}{2}} \cdot \frac{q^d-1}{q-1} \cdot \frac{(q^{d-1}-1) \dots (q-1)}{(d, q-1)} \right|_p \\ &= \frac{q^d-1}{q-1} = p^m < |ASL(m, p)|_p \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete.

The case $r = (m-1)$ is trivial.

Proof of the Theorem. Lemma 2 states the assertion for $r = 0$ and $r = m(p-1)-1$. Lemma 4 deals with the case $m = 1$. By ([5], Chap. 13, §9), the Theorem holds if p is even. For $m = 2$ and $p = 3$ the result is contained in Lemma 3.

Thus we may assume that $0 < r < m(p-1)-1$, that $m \geq 2$ and that p is odd (and $p \neq 3$ if $m = 2$). Since

$$\text{AGL}(m,p) \leq G = P \text{Aut}(\text{GRM}(r,m)) ,$$

the proposition implies that $G = \text{AGL}(m,p)$ or $A_{p^m} \leq G$. In

the second case it follows from ([3], Theorem 4.4) that $\text{GRM}(r,m)$ is isomorphic to the repetition code, its dual or the whole space (as $p^m \geq 7$), i.e. $r = 0$, $m(p-1)-1$ or $m(p-1)$, a contradiction. Therefore, $G = \text{AGL}(m,p)$; by (A) and (B) then

$$\text{Aut}(\text{GRM}(r,m)) = \mathbb{F}_p^* \times \text{AGL}(m,p)$$

as claimed.

GENERALIZED REED-MULLER CODES

References:

- [1] P.J. Cameron, "Finite permutation groups and finite simple groups". Bull. London Math. Soc. 13 (1981), 1 - 22.
- [2] B. Huppert, N. Blackburn, "Finite groups II, III". Springer, Berlin (1982).
- [3] W. Knapp, P. Schmidt, "Codes with prescribed permutation group". J. Alg. 67 (1980), 415 - 435.
- [4] R. Knörr, W. Willems, "A characterization of generalized Reed-Muller codes". Submitted J. Comb. Theory.
- [5] F.J. MacWilliams, N.J.A. Sloane, "The theory of error correcting codes". North Holland, Amsterdam (1977).
- [6] J.H. van Lint, "Coding theory". LNM 201, Springer, Berlin (1973).

Reinhard Knörr
Department of Mathematics
University of Essen
4300 Essen 1
West Germany

Wolfgang Willems
Department of Mathematics
University of Mainz
6500 Mainz
West Germany