Astérisque

## M. S. BAOUENDI LINDA PREISS ROTHSCHILD

# Analytic approximation for homogeneous solutions of invariant differential operators on Lie groups

Astérisque, tome 131 (1985), p. 189-199

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST\_1985\_131\_189\_0>

© Société mathématique de France, 1985, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (http://smf4.emath.fr/ Publications/Asterisque/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

### $\mathcal{N}$ umdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

#### ANALYTIC APPROXIMATION FOR HOMOGENEOUS SOLUTIONS OF INVARIANT DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON LIE GROUPS

M. S. Baouendi\*

Linda Preiss Rothschild\*\*

#### 0. Introduction and Statements of Results.

A classical result by Malgrange [3] states that if P(D) is a differential operator with constant coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then any solution u of the homogeneous equation P(D)u = 0 is a limit of exponential-polynomials solutions of the same equation.

Suppose now that P(x,D) is a differential operator with analytic coefficients in an open set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Assume that the principal symbol is nowhere identically zero. It is natural to ask the following question:

Is it true that any solution of P(x,D)u = 0 is locally a limit of real analytic solutions of the same equation?

The answer to this question is not known. However an affirmative answer is given in Baouendi-Treves [2] when P has <u>simple</u> (complex) characteristics. (See also [1] for first order overdetermined systems). We prove in this paper that the answer is also affirmative for left invariant operators defined on a general Lie group.

Theorem 1. Let L be a left invariant differential operator defined on a Lie group G. For every open set  $U \subset G$ , neighborhood of the identity  $e \in G$ , there exists another open neighborhood of e,  $W \subset G$ , such that if u is a distribution on G ( $u \in \mathcal{D}'(G)$ ) satisfying Lu = 0 in U, then there exists a sequence  $u_v$  of real analytic functions defined in W and satisfying:

(i)  $Lu_v = 0$  <u>in</u> W (ii)  $\lim u_v = u$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(W)$ .

\* Partially supported by NSF Grant MCS-8105627.

\*\* Partially supported by NSF Grant MCS-8203949.

Furthermore if u is of class  $C^k$ ,  $k \ge 0$ , then the convergence in (ii) is in  $C^k(W)$ .

Let  $X_1,\ldots,X_n$  be a basis of  $\bm{g},$  the Lie algebra of G. If  $\alpha$  is a multi-index,  $\alpha \in \bm{Z}_+^n$  , as usual set

$$|\alpha| = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j$$
,  $x^{\alpha} = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ 

Note that a left invariant differential operator on  $\mbox{ G}$  is of the form

(0.1) 
$$L = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} a_{\alpha} x^{\alpha} , \qquad a_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C} .$$

We can state a somewhat more general result than Theorem 1. Consider a differential operator on (-T,T)  $\times$  G, (T > 0), of the form

$$P = \partial_t^m + \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+j \le m \\ j \le m}} a_{j,\alpha}(t) x^{\alpha} \partial_t^j,$$

where  $a_{j,\alpha}$  are real analytic functions defined on (-T,T).

<u>Theorem 2.</u> Let P be a differential operator on  $(-T,T) \times G$  of the form (0.2). For every open set  $U \subset G$ , neighborhood of e, there exists W, another open neighborhood of e, and  $\varepsilon \in (0,T)$ , such that if  $u \in \mathcal{D}'((-T,T) \times G)$  and satisfies Pu = 0 in  $(-T,T) \times U$ , then there exists a sequence  $u_{v}$  of real analytic functions in  $(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon) \times W$  satisfying

(i) 
$$Pu_{v} = 0$$
 in  $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times W$ ,  
(ii) lim  $u_{v} = u$  in  $\mathcal{D}'((-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times W)$ .

Furthermore if u is of class  $C^k$ , then the convergence in (ii) is in  $C^k((-\varepsilon,\varepsilon) \times W)$ .

#### I. Proof of Theorem 1.

Before starting the proof we need to introduce some notation. Denote by dg a <u>right</u> Haar measure on G. If f,  $h \in L^{1}(G,dg)$  define the convolution f\*h by the integral

190

(I.1) 
$$(f*h)(x) = \int_{G} f(xg^{-1})h(g)dg.$$

If we set

(1.2) 
$$\check{f}(x) = f(x^{-1}), \quad \forall x \in G,$$

then making the change of variable  $g' = gx^{-1}$ , we also get

(I.3) 
$$(f \star h)(x) = \int_{G} \check{f}(g)h(gx)dg.$$

Note that if f is a smooth function defined in an open neighborhood V of the identity e, and h is a distribution with compact support in  $V^{-1}$  then (I.1) (or (I.3)) is defined for x in an open neighborhood W of e (depending only on V and the support of h, we may take W satisfying W(supp h)<sup>-1</sup>  $\subset$  V).

If L is a left invariant operator on G, using (I.3) we see that

(1.4) 
$$L(f*h) = f*(Lh).$$

Recall that  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  is a basis of **g**. Let V be a sufficiently small open neighborhood of the identity in G such that the exponential map Exp is an analytic diffeomorphism from a neighborhood of 0 in **g** onto V. For simplicity we assume  $V = V^{-1}$ . For  $x \in V$  we may write

$$x = \exp(s_1 X_1 + \ldots + s_n X_n) = \exp(s \cdot X)$$

with  $s = (s_1, \ldots, s_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The map

(1.5) 
$$S: V \to \mathbb{R}^n$$
,  $S(x) = s$ ,

is then an analytic diffeomorphism of V onto a neighborhood  $\stackrel{\sim}{V}$  of the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^n.$ 

There exists an analytic function  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma \neq 0$ , defined in  $\widetilde{V}$  such that if u is, say a continuous function with compact support in V, then

(I.6) 
$$\int_{G} u(g) dg = \int_{R^{n}} u(S^{-1}(t)) \sigma(t) dt.$$

For  $v \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $x \in V$ , set

(1.7) 
$$f_{\nu}(x) = \left(\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^n \sigma(0)^{-1} e^{-\nu^2 (S(x))^2}$$

(If  $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $s^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^2$ ). Note that  $f_v$  is an analytic function defined in V and satisfies  $f_v = f_v$ .

Lemma 1. Let h be a distribution with compact support in V. There is an open neighborhood of e,  $W \subset G$ , depending only on the support of h, such that

$$\lim_{v \to \infty} (f_v \star h) |_{W} = h |_{W} \qquad \underline{in} \quad \mathcal{D}'(W) .$$

Moreover if h is in 
$$C^k$$
 then the convergence is in  $C^k(W)$ 

 $\underline{\texttt{Proof}} \colon \texttt{Let } \mathtt{W}_1$  be an open neighborhood of the support of h satisfying

$$\overline{w}_1 \subset v.$$

We may choose an open neighborhood W of e in G satisfying

$$(I.8) W.W_1^{-1} \subset V.$$

(Recall that  $V = V^{-1}$ ).

Assume first that h is a continuous function (with compact support in  $W_1$ ). Using (I.3), (I.7) and the fact that  $\check{f}_{v} = f_{v}$  we get for  $x \in \overline{W}$ .

$$(f_{v}\star h)(x) = \left(\frac{v}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{n} \sigma(0)^{-1} \int_{G} e^{-v^{2}(S(g))^{2}} h(gx) dg ,$$

and making use of (I.5) and (I.6), we obtain for  $x \in \overline{W}$ 

$$(f_{v}\star h)(x) = \left(\frac{v}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{n} \sigma(0)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-v^{2}s^{2}} h((Exp s.X)x)\sigma(s) ds.$$

Changing variables in the latter (vs = t) yields

(I.9) 
$$(f_{v}\star h)(x) = \frac{\sigma(0)^{-1}}{\pi^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-t^{2}} h((\operatorname{Exp} \frac{t}{v} . X)x)\sigma(\frac{t}{v}) dt .$$

A limiting argument in (I.9) easily shows that  $(f_v \star h) \mid_{\widetilde{W}}$  converges uniformly to  $h \mid_{\widetilde{W}}$ .

If in addition h is of class  $C^k$ , k > 0, since we have

$$X^{\alpha}(f_{v} \star h) = f_{v} \star (X^{\alpha} h), \qquad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n},$$

we also get the convergence in  $C^k(\overline{W})$ .

Assume now that h is a distribution with compact support in  $W_1$ . Let  $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(W)$ . Since  $V = V^{-1}$  we get from (I.8)

$$w_1 \cdot w^{-1} \subset v$$

Therefore it follows from the first part of the proof of this lemma that  $f_{\nu} \star \phi$  converges to  $\phi$  in  $C^{\infty}(W_{1})$ . On the other hand, using (I.1) and (I.3) we have

$$\int_{G} (f_{v} \star h)(x) \phi(x) dx = \int_{G} h(g) (f_{v} \star \phi)(g) dg.$$

This shows that  $f_{U} \star h$  converges to h in  $\mathcal{J}'(W)$ . Q.E.D.

Lemma 2. If the open set V in (I.5) is small enough then for every pair of open neighborhoods of e, V<sub>0</sub> and V<sub>1</sub>, V<sub>1</sub>  $\subset V_0 \subset V$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $\emptyset$  of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that if h is a distribution with compact support in V<sub>0</sub>, and h  $\equiv 0$  in V<sub>1</sub>, then for every  $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,

$$(f_v * h) \circ S^{-1}$$

extends holomorphically to  $\mathcal{O}$ , and converges uniformly to zero in  $\mathcal{O}$  as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ .

<u>Proof</u>: Let us first state the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula in a form which will be needed further (see Varadarajan [4] for example). For s,  $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$  sufficiently small we have

$$(I.10) \qquad \qquad \operatorname{Exp}(s.X) \cdot \operatorname{Exp}(-t.X) = \operatorname{Exp}(u.X)$$

with  $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and for  $j = 1, \ldots, n$ ,

(I.11) 
$$u_{j} = u_{j}(s,t) = s_{j} - t_{j} + \sum_{|\alpha| \ge 1} c_{\alpha,\beta,j} t^{\alpha} s^{\beta},$$
$$|\beta| \ge 1$$

where  $c_{\alpha,\beta,j} \in \mathbb{R}$  and satisfy

$$|c_{\alpha,\beta,j}| \leq M^{|\alpha|+|\beta|+1}$$

Let V be the open set in (I.5)  $(V = V^{-1})$ . We may assume that V is small enough so that for all x,  $g \in V$ , if

$$S(x) = s$$
,  $S(g) = t$ ,

then the power series (I.11) is absolutely convergent.

Now let  $h \in \mathcal{E}'(V_0)$ ,  $h \equiv 0$  in  $V_1$ , with  $V_1 \subset V_0 \subset V$ . Using (I.1) and (I.7) we get, for x near e

$$h_{v}(x) = (f_{v} \star h)(x) = C_{v} \int_{G} e^{-v^{2} (S(xg^{-1}))^{2} h(g) dg}$$

with  $C_{\nu} = \left(\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{n} \sigma(0)^{-1}$ . Writing  $x = \exp(s.X)$ ,  $g = \exp(t.X)$  $\tilde{h} = h \circ S^{-1}$ ,  $\tilde{h}_{\nu} = h_{\nu} \circ S^{-1}$  and using (I.6) we obtain

$$\widetilde{h}_{v}(s) = C_{v} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-v^{2} \left[ S\left( \exp\left( s \cdot X \right) \exp\left( - t \cdot X \right) \right) \right]^{2}} \widetilde{h}(t) \sigma(t) dt.$$

Making use of (I.10) yields

(I.12) 
$$\widetilde{h}_{v}(s) = C_{v} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-v^{2}u^{2}} \widetilde{h}(t)\sigma(t)dt ,$$

where  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$  is given by (I.11). Since h vanishes in  $V_1$ , we may assume that

$$\operatorname{supp} \widetilde{h} \subset \{ t \in \mathbb{R}^n, A < |t| < B \}, \qquad A > 0.$$

We must show that  $\tilde{h}_{\nu}$  defined by (I.12) extends holomorphically to a neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (independent of  $\nu$ ), and there converges to 0 as  $\nu \neq \infty$ .

Indeed for s,  $\tilde{s} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , sufficiently small, we get from (I.12)

(I.13) 
$$\widetilde{h} (s + i\widetilde{s}) = C_{v} \int e^{-v^{2}v^{2}} \widetilde{h}(t)\sigma(t)dt,$$
$$A \leq |t| \leq B$$

with  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ , and  $v_j$  is the expression obtained by putting  $s_j + i\tilde{s}_j$  instead of  $s_j$  in (I.11), i.e.

(I.14) 
$$v_{j} = s_{j} + i\tilde{s}_{j} - t_{j} + \sum_{|\alpha| \ge 1} c_{\alpha,\beta,j} t^{\alpha} (s + i\tilde{s})^{\beta}$$
$$|\beta| \ge 1$$

Note that the latter is absolutely convergent for  $|t| \le B$  and s and s sufficiently small. Set

$$Q = Re v^2 = Re \begin{pmatrix} n \\ \Sigma & v_j^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to check that there is  $\delta_0 > 0$  and C > 0 such that if  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$  then for  $|s| \le \delta$ ,  $|\tilde{s}| \le \delta$  and  $A \le |t| \le B$  we have

$$Q \geq (A - \delta)^2 - C\delta.$$

Choosing  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$  small enough we get

(1.15) 
$$Q \ge \frac{A^2}{2}$$
.

Since  $\tilde{h}$  is a distribution with compact support in {A < |t| < B} it follows from (I.13) that there exists C > 0 and  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that for  $|s| \le \delta$ ,  $|\tilde{s}| \le \delta$ 

$$(I.16) \qquad |\widetilde{h}_{v}(s+i\widetilde{s})| \leq CC_{v} \sup_{|\alpha| \leq \ell} |\partial_{t}^{\alpha}e^{-v^{2}v^{2}}|.$$
$$A \leq |t| \leq B$$
$$|s|, |\widetilde{s}| \leq \delta$$

It is clear that the right hand side of (I.16) may be bounded by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C'\nu^{N} & \sup & (e^{-\nu^{2}Q}) \\ & A \leq |t| \leq B \\ & |s|, |\tilde{s}| \leq \delta \end{array}$$

where C' > 0 and N  $\in \mathbf{Z}_+$  are independent of v. Therefore (I.15) and (I.16) imply that for  $|\mathbf{s}| \leq \delta$ ,  $|\mathbf{s}'| \leq \delta$ 

(I.17) 
$$|\tilde{h}_{v}(s+i\tilde{s})| \leq C' v^{N} e^{-v^{2} A^{2}/2}$$

(I.17) yields the desired result by taking

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = \{ \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{i} \mathbf{\tilde{s}} \in \mathbf{c}^n, |\mathbf{s}| < \delta |\mathbf{\tilde{s}}| < \delta \}. \qquad Q.E.D.$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1. Let u be as in Theorem 1 i.e.

$$u \in \mathcal{J}'(G)$$
,  $Lu = 0$  in  $U$ ,  $e \in U \subset G$ .

Let V be a sufficiently small open neighborhood of e, V  $\subset$  G, in which Lemmasl and 2 are valid. Take  $\zeta \in C_0^{\infty}(V), \zeta \equiv 1$  near e. Set

(I.18) 
$$h = \zeta u, r = Lh.$$

Both h and r are distributions with compact supports in V. Furthermore  $r \equiv 0$  in some neighborhood  $V_1$  of e,  $V_1 \subset V$ . Since L commutes with the convolution with  $f_1$  we get from (I.18).

(I.19) 
$$L(f_{1}*h) = f_{1}*r$$
.

By Lemma 1, we know that  $f_{\nu} *h$  converges to h in a neighborhood W of e. Lemma 2 implies that  $f_{\nu} *r$  extends holomorphically to a complex neighborhood of e (independent of h and  $\nu$ ) and there converges to zero. By the Cauchy-Kovalevski theorem and by shrinking W if needed, we may find a sequence  $k_{\nu}$  of analytic functions in W converging to 0 (in the space of analytic functions in W) and satisfying

$$(1.20)$$
 Lk<sub>1</sub> = f<sub>1</sub>\*r.

[In fact we can require that the Cauchy data of  $k_v$  be zero on a non-characteristic analytic hypersurface passing through e].

Put

$$u_{ij} = f_{ij} \star h - k_{ij}$$

It follows from (I.19) and (I.20) that

$$Lu_{i} = 0$$
 in W.

On the other hand

(I.21)  $\lim u_{y} = h \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}'(W) ;$ 

since h = u near e (where  $\zeta \equiv 1$ , see (I.8)), the proof of Theorem 1, when u is a distribution, is complete.

If u is of class  $C^k$ , it follows from Lemma 1 that the convergence in (I.21) is in  $C^k(W)$ . Q.E.D.

II. Proof of Theorem 2.

The proof of Theorem 2 is similar to the proof of Theorem 1. Let  $u \in \mathcal{D}'((-T,T) \times G)$  satisfying

$$Pu = 0$$
 in  $(-T,T) \times U$ ,  $e \in U \subset G$ .

Without loss of generality, by shrinking U and the interval (-T,T) if needed, we may assume

(II.1) 
$$u \in C^{m}((-T,T); H^{-N}(U))$$

 $(N \in \mathbf{Z}_{\perp}, H^{-N}(U))$  is the usual negative Sobolev space in U).

Let V be an open neighborhood of e in which Lemmas 1 and 2 are valid. Take  $\zeta \in C_0^{\infty}(V)$ ,  $\zeta \equiv 1$  near e, and set

(II.2) 
$$\zeta u = h$$
,  $Ph = r$ .

It follows from (II.1) and (II.2) that we have

$$h \in C^{m}((-T,T),H_{comp}^{-N}(V)), r \in C^{0}((-T,T),H_{comp}^{-N-m}(V)),$$

furthermore

$$r(t, \cdot) \equiv 0$$
 near e.

Let  $f_v$  be defined by (I.7), since P (defined by (0.2)) commutes with the convolution with  $f_v$  (convolution on G, t being a parameter) we get from (II.2)

(II.3) 
$$P(f_v * h) = f_v * r$$
.

Inspection of the proofs of Lemmas 1 and 2 shows that

(II.4) 
$$\lim f_{M} \star h = h \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'((-T,T) \times W),$$

~

and that f\_\*r extends as an element of

$$C^{\vee}((-T,T),\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{O}))$$

and converges to 0 in this space  $(\mathscr{K}(\ell))$  is the space of bounded holomorphic functions in  $\ell \subset \mathfrak{C}^n$ ).

Using a refinement of the Cauchy-Kovalevsky theorem, and contracting W if needed, we may find  $\epsilon > 0$  (independent of h and  $\nu$ ) and a sequence

$$k_{v} \in C^{m}((-\varepsilon, \varepsilon), \mathcal{A}(W))$$

 $(\mathscr{A}(W))$  is the space of real analytic functions in W) converging to zero in that space and satisfying

(II.5) 
$$\begin{cases} Pk_{v} = f_{v} \star r & \text{in } (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times W \\ \\ \partial_{t}^{j}k_{v} \big|_{t=0} = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, m - 1. \end{cases}$$

If we set

$$u_v = f_v \star h - k_v$$
,

it follows from (II.3) and (II.5) that we have

(II.6) 
$$Pu_v = 0$$
 in  $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times W$ .

On the other hand we have

$$u_{v} \in C^{m}((-\varepsilon, \varepsilon), \mathcal{A}(W)).$$

Since  $\partial_t^j u_v \Big|_{t=0} = f_v \star (\partial_t^j h) \Big|_{t=0} \in \mathcal{A}(W)$ , uniqueness for the Cauchy problem, in conjunction with (II.6), implies that  $u_v$  is analytic in  $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times W$ . Q.E.D.

#### REFERENCES

- M. S. Baouendi and F. Treves, Approximation of Solutions of Linear PDE With Analytic Coefficients, Duke Math. J. 50 (1983) 285-301.
- [2] M. S. Baouendi and F. Treves, A property of the functions and distributions annihilated by a locally integrable system of complex vector fields, Annals of Math. 113 (1981) 387-421.
- [3] B. Malgrange, Existence et approximation des solutions des équations aux dérivées partielles et des équations de convolution. Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble 6 (1955-56) 271-355.
- [4] V. S, Varadarajan, Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and their Representations, Prentice Hall Series in Modern Analysis, (1974).

M. S. Baouendi Department of Mathematics Purdue University West Lafayette, IN 47907 USA

Linda Preiss Rothschild Department of Mathematics University of California San Diego, CA 92023 USA