Astérisque

# HANSPETER KRAFT Conjugacy classes and Weyl group representations

Astérisque, tome 87-88 (1981), p. 191-205

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST\_1981\_87-88\_191\_0>

© Société mathématique de France, 1981, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (http://smf4.emath.fr/ Publications/Asterisque/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

# $\mathcal{N}$ umdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

## CONJUGACY CLASSES AND WEYL GROUP REPRESENTATIONS

Hanspeter Kraft

In the celebrated paper [14] T.A.Springer gives an interesting relation between nilpotent conjugacy classes in semisimple Lie algebras and representations of the corresponding Weyl group (cf.also [6],[15]). In this short note we want to describe another construction of Weyl group representations, again starting with nilpotent conjugacy classes, which seems to be strongly related to Springer's construction. Up to now only a few general results are known about these representations; for  $gl_n$  we have a series of precise conjectures which have been checked for  $n \leq 5$ .<sup>(\*)</sup>

The starting point of these considerations was a question asked by B. Kostant. I would like to thank him and also W. Borho, C. Procesi and T.A. Springer for helpful discussions.

# 1. The construction

Let  $\underline{g}$  be a complex reductive Lie algebra and G the adjoint group. We consider the adjoint action of G on  $\underline{g}$ , indicated by  $(g,x) \mapsto gx$  for  $g \in G$ ,  $x \in \underline{g}$ . If X is a variety (or an affine scheme) we denote by  $\mathfrak{G}(X)$  the  $\mathfrak{C}$ -algebra of global regular functions on X (or the coordinate ring of X). Let  $\underline{h} \subset \underline{g}$  be a Cartan subalgebra and W the corresponding Weyl group.

If C is a conjugacy class in  $\underline{g}$  and  $\overline{C}$  its (Zariski-)closure, we denote by  $R_{\overline{C}}^{\underline{g}}$  or simply by  $R_{\underline{C}}$  <u>the coordinate ring of the</u> schematic intersection  $\overline{C} \cap h$  (a finite scheme!):

$$R_{\Gamma} = \Theta(\overline{C} \cap \underline{h}) = \Theta(\underline{h})/I_{\Gamma},$$

I<sub>C</sub> the image of the ideal

$$J_c := \{f \in \mathfrak{G}(g) | f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \overline{C} \}$$

(\*) cf. remark at the end of the paper.

of  $\mathfrak{G}(g)$  under the canonical projection  $\mathfrak{G}(g) \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}(h)$ . Clearly the ideal  $I_r$  is stable under W acting in the usual way on  $\mathfrak{G}(h)$ . In the proposition we collect some properties of this construction; the proofs are easy and left to the reader. Proposition 1 :(a)  $R_c$  is a finite dimensional C - algebra on which W acts by algebra automorphism. (b) If C is nilpotent the ideal  $I_C$  is homogeneous and  $R_C$ has a natural W - stable graduation:  $R_C = \bigoplus_{i \ge 0} (R_C)_i$ . (c) If C is semisimple (i.e  $\overline{C} = C$ ), C = Gs for some  $s \in h$ , <u>the intersection</u>  $\overline{C} \cap \underline{h}$  is reduced and  $R_{C} \stackrel{\sim}{W} Ind_{W_{L}}^{W} \mathfrak{C}$ , the representation induced from the trivial representation of  $W_s := \{ w \in W | ws = s \}$ . (d) If  $C' \subset \overline{C}$  we have a canonical surjective W - equivariant map  $R_{C} \rightarrow R_{C'}$ , which is homogeneous in case C <u>nilpotent</u>. Example: 1) If  $C = \{0\}$  (or  $C = \{z\}$ ,  $z \in zent \underline{g}$ ) then  $R_{\Gamma} = \mathbf{C}$ , the trivial representation of W . 2) If C is the regular nilpotent class (i.e the nilpotent class of maximal dimension), the ideal  $I_{\rm C}$  is generated by

the homogeneous W - invariants and  $R_{C} =: R_{reg}$  is the <u>regular</u> representation of W ([3] V, § 5, Théorème 2(ii)).

 $\label{eq:Remark_1} \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Remark_1}: \mbox{The construction above works over } \mathbb{Q} \ . \ If the conjugacy class C is defined over <math>\mathbb{Q}$  then  $R_C$  is also defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . In particular for any nilpotent class C the representation of W on  $R_C$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

## 2. Macdonald representations

Let  $\underline{m} \subset \underline{g}$  be a reductive subalgebra containing  $\underline{h}$ . Consider a system  $\Delta^+$  of positive roots of  $\underline{m}$ . Then the homogeneous function

$$f_{\underline{m}} := \pi_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{G}(\underline{h})$$

of degree

$$d(\underline{m}) := \# \Delta^+ = \frac{1}{2} (\dim \underline{m} - rk \underline{m})$$

generates an irreducible W-module

$$\mathsf{M}_{\underline{\mathsf{m}}} = \langle \mathsf{w} \cdot \mathsf{f}_{\underline{\mathsf{m}}} \mid \tilde{\mathsf{w}} \in \mathsf{W} \rangle \subset \mathfrak{G}(\underline{\mathsf{h}}),$$

the Macdonald module associated to  $\underline{m}$  (cf.[11]). Its character will be denoted by  $\mu(\underline{m})$ .

 $\begin{array}{cccc} \underline{Proposition \ 2}: \ \underline{Let} & C_{\underline{m}} & \underline{be \ the \ nilpotent \ conjugacy \ class \ in} \\ \underline{g} & \underline{generated \ by \ the \ regular \ nilpotent \ class \ in} & \underline{m} & \cdot \ \underline{Then} \\ & & \\$ 

<u>Proof</u>: The anti-invariant elements of  $\mathfrak{G}(\underline{h})$  with respect to W', the Weyl group of  $\underline{m}$ , are given by  $f_{\underline{m}} \cdot \mathfrak{G}(\underline{h})^{W'}$  and the image of  $f_{\underline{m}}$  in  $R_{\overline{reg}}^{\underline{m}}$  is not zero (cf. [11]). The claim now follows since the canonical map  $R_{\underline{c}}^{\underline{g}} \rightarrow R_{\underline{reg}}^{\underline{m}}$  is W'-equivariant and surjective.

In case  $\underline{g} = \underline{gl}_n$  the nilpotent conjugacy classes are in one-to-one correspondence with the partitions of n. If  $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$  is a partition of n, i.e.  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda_0 \ge \lambda_1 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_s$  and  $\sum_i \lambda_i = n$ , the corresponding class  $C_{\lambda}$  is the conjugacy class of a nilpotent element  $x \in \underline{gl}_n$  in Jordan normal form with Jordan blocks of sizes  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$ . Clearly each class  $C_{\lambda}$  is of the form  $C_{\underline{m}}$ : choose  $\underline{m} = \underline{m}_{\lambda} := \underline{gl}_{\lambda_0} \bigoplus \underline{gl}_{\lambda_1} \bigoplus \dots \bigoplus \underline{gl}_{\lambda_s} \subset \underline{gl}_n$ .

Furthermore it is know that the associated Macdonald module  $M := M_{\underline{m}}$  is the Specht module  $S^{\hat{\lambda}}, \hat{\lambda} = (\hat{\lambda}_0, \hat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_t)$  the dual partition to  $\lambda$ , i.e.  $\hat{\lambda}_j = \#\{j|\lambda_j > i\}(cf.[11])$ . In addition we have

$$d(\lambda) := d(\underline{m}_{\lambda}) = \sum_{i} {\binom{\lambda_{i}}{2}} = \sum_{i} i \cdot \hat{\lambda}_{i}$$

<u>Proof</u>: It remains to prove the first assertion, i.e to show that the ideal  $I_{\mathbb{C}}$  contains all monomials of degree > d( $\lambda$ ). We have  $\operatorname{rk} x^{k} \leq n_{k} := \sum_{i \geq k} \widehat{\lambda}_{i}$  for  $x \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  and all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , hence the  $(n_{k} + 1) \times (n_{k} + 1)$  - minors of the matrix  $x^{k}$  vanish. Restricting these functions to <u>h</u> we obtain some elements in

 $I_{C}$  and one easily checks that each monomial of degree > d( $\lambda$ ) occurs in the ideal generated by these elements.

This holds for  $n \le 5$  (cf. tables) and some other classes (e.g. for partitions of type  $(\lambda_0, 1, 1, ..., 1)$  or (2, 2, 2, ..., 1, 1, ...1)).

<u>Remark 2</u>: The conjecture above implies that the irreducible representations of  $S_n$  are defined over Q (cf.remark 1). <u>Remark 3</u>: For  $\underline{g} = \underline{sp}_{2n}$  each nilpotent conjugacy class C is of the form  $C_{\underline{m}}$  for some suitable reductive subalgebra  $\underline{m} \ge \underline{h}$ , but there can be different choices for  $\underline{m}$  giving different Macdonald modules in different degrees. E.g. in  $\underline{sp}_4$  the class  $C_{(2,2)}$  is obtained from  $\underline{m}_1 \simeq \underline{gl}_2$  and  $\underline{m}_2 \simeq \underline{sp}_2 \bigoplus \underline{sp}_2$ ;  $\underline{M}_{\underline{m}_1}$  is the natural representation of W (in degree 1) and  $\underline{M}_{\underline{m}_2}$  is a one dimensional representation (in degree 2). 3. Associated cones and induced representations

Let  $p \subset g$  be a parabolic subalgebra with  $h \subset p$  and  $n \subset p$  the nilradical. Since  $Gn = \{gx | g \in G, x \in n\}$  is an irreducible subset of nilpotent elements it contains a dense conjugacy class  $C_p$  , the <u>Richardson class</u> associated to <u>p</u> . This class depends only on the Levi part  $\underline{m}$  of  $\underline{p}$ . Furthermore the connected component  $G_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathbf{0}}$  of the stabilizer of an element  $x \in C_n \cap \underline{n}$  is contained in the parabolic subgroup P with Lie P =  $\frac{F}{p}$ . Let us denote by W<sub>p</sub> the subgroup of W corresponding to <u>p</u> (i.e the Weyl group of the Levi part  $m \supset h$  of p).

Proposition 4 : With the notations above assume that

(i)  $\overline{C}_{\underline{p}}$  is a normal variety and (ii)  $G_{\underline{x}} \subset P$  for  $\underline{x} \in C_{\underline{p}} \cap \underline{n}$ .

 $\frac{\text{Then}}{\text{C}_{p}} \xrightarrow{\text{contains the induced representation}} \text{Ind}_{W_{D}}^{W} \quad \text{C} \quad \underline{\text{of}}$ <u>the trivial representation of</u>  $W_p$ .

<u>Proof</u>: Consider the Levi decomposition  $\underline{p} = \underline{m} \bigoplus \underline{n}$  with  $\underline{h} \subset \underline{m}$  and the center  $\underline{z}$  of  $\underline{m}$ . For a generic element  $h \in \underline{h}$ we have  $W_{h} = W_{\underline{p}}$ , hence  $R_{C'} = O(\underline{h})/I_{C'} \simeq Ind_{W}^{W} C$  for the conjugacy class C' of h (Proposition 1(c)).<sup>F</sup> Let  $J' \subset \mathfrak{G}(g)$  be the ideal of functions vanishing on C' and denote by grJ' the ideal generated by the terms of maximal degree of the elements of J'. Then it follows from [2] (Zusatz 3.8 and Theorem A1) that under the assumptions (i) and (ii) gr J' is the ideal J of functions vanishing on  $C_{D}$  . Now I' := I<sub>C</sub> is the image of J = grJ'. This clearly implies that  $I \subseteq grI'$  (where grI' is defined in a similar way as grJ'), hence we have a surjective W-equivariant map  $\mathfrak{G}(\underline{h})/I = \mathbb{R}_{C_n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}(\underline{h})/grI'$ . Now  $\mathfrak{G}(\underline{h})/grI'$  is the associated graded algebra with respect to the ascending filtration induced by the degree, hence as W-modules  $R_{r_{i}}$  and  $O(\underline{h})/grI'$ are isomorphic.

Remark 4 : In [5] Hesselink describes the Richardson classes

in classical Lie algebras and the numbers  $[G_y : P_y]$ . The papers [8],[13] and [9] are concerned with the normality problem of closures of conjugacy classes in classical Lie algebras. The result for  $g = gl_n$  is the following (cf.[7]): (a) For any element  $x \in \underline{gl}_n$  the stabilizer  $\operatorname{Stab}_{GL_n} x$  is connected and the conjugacy class C of x has a normal closure  $\overline{C}$ . (b) If  $p \in gl_n$  is a parabolic with Levi part  $\underline{\mathbf{m}} \simeq \underline{\mathbf{gl}}_{\lambda_0} \bigoplus \underline{\mathbf{gl}}_{\lambda_1} \bigoplus \dots \bigoplus \underline{\mathbf{gl}}_{\lambda_s} , \ \lambda = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s) \ \underline{\mathbf{a} \ partition} \\ \underline{\mathbf{of}} \ \mathbf{n} \ , \ \underline{\mathbf{then}} \ \mathbf{C}_{\underline{p}} = \mathbf{C}_{\underline{\lambda}} \ \underline{\mathbf{and}} \ \mathbf{W}_{\underline{p}} = \mathbf{S}_{\underline{\lambda}} :=$  $S_{\lambda_0} \times S_{\lambda_1} \times \ldots \times S_{\lambda_s} \subseteq S_n$ . From this we get the following corollary. <u>Corollary</u>: Let  $C \subset gl_n$  be a nilpotent conjugacy class with partition  $\lambda$ . Then  $R_c$  contains the induced representation <sup>, S</sup>n (C. Ind S'  $\frac{\text{Conjecture 2}}{\text{Conjecture 2}}: \quad R_{C_{\lambda}} \simeq \text{Ind}_{S_{\lambda}}^{S_{n}} \mathbb{C}$ Again this holds for  $n \leq 5$  and some other classes. On the other hand the class  $C_{(3,3)}$  of  $\underline{sp}_6$  is the Richardson class associated to the parabolic p with Levi part isomorphic to  $\underline{gl}_2 \oplus \underline{sp}_2$  and satisfies the assumptions of proposition 4 , but  $R_{C}(3,3)$  is <u>strictly bigger</u> than the induced representation  $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_p}^{W} \mathbb{C}$  (see tables). <u>Remark 5</u>: For two nilpotent conjugacy classes  $C_{\chi}$  and  $C_{\chi}$ in <u>gl</u> one has  $\overline{C}_{\lambda} \supset C_{\mu}$  if and only if  $\lambda \ge \mu$  i.e  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i \geq \sum_{i=0}^{\mu} i$  for all k (cf.[5]Theorem 3.10). The conjecture above would imply one implication of the following know result (sometimes called Snapper conjecture) :

4. Relation with the theory of Springer([6],[14],[15])

Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be the variety of Borel subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$  and  $x \in \underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ a nilpotent element. Springer's construction yields a representation of W on the cohomology  $\operatorname{H}^{*}(\mathfrak{G}_{x}, \mathfrak{C})$ , where

 $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{x}} := \{ \mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{Q} \mid \text{Lie } \mathbf{B} \ni \mathbf{x} \}$ 

There is a canonical isomorphism

 $R_{reg}^{\underline{g}} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C})$ 

of graded C-algebras, hence by restriction a C-algebra homomorphism

 $\varphi_{\chi} : \mathbb{R}^{\underline{g}}_{\operatorname{reg}} \to \operatorname{H}^{*}(\mathcal{G}_{\chi}, \mathfrak{C}).$ 

It turns out that after twisting Springer's representation with the sign representation  $\epsilon$  this map becomes W-equivariant (cf.[6]). The twisted representation will be denoted by  $H^*(\Omega_x, \mathfrak{c})_c$ .

In case  $\underline{g} = \underline{gl}_n$  the map  $\varphi_x$  is always surjective and, as remarked by Macdonald,  $H^*(\mathfrak{B}_x,\mathfrak{c})_{\varepsilon}$  is an induced representation.

<u>Remark 7</u>: There is some duality between the Springer representation  $\rho_x$  on  $H^*(\mathfrak{G}_x, \mathfrak{C})$  and the representations considered here. E.g.  $\rho_x \otimes \varepsilon$  is the trivial representations for a regular nilpotent element x and  $\rho_x \otimes \varepsilon$  is the regular representation. The conjecture above states that for  $\underline{g} = \underline{gl}_n$  this duality comes from the duality on the nilpotent conjugacy classes given by  $C_\lambda \mapsto C_\lambda$ . It is not clear to me what happens for the other simple Lie algebras, since there is no such duality on the nilpotent classes.

# 5. Some tables

In the following tables we list the irreducible representations of W and give the decomposition of the representations  $R_{\lambda} = R_{C_{\lambda}}$ ,  $\lambda$  a partition, in the various degrees  $(R_{\lambda})_{i}$  for  $\underline{gl}_{n}$  (n  $\leq 5$ ) and  $sp_{2m}$  (m  $\leq 3$ ). A reductive subalgebra  $\underline{m} \subseteq \underline{g}$  of the same rank as  $\underline{g}$  is given by its type X (e.g. X =  $A_{1} \times A_{2}$  or  $C_{1} \times A_{2}$ ) and  $\mu(X)$  denotes the character of the (irreducible) Macdonald representation  $M_{\underline{m}}(cf.$  section 2). The character of the sign representation is indicated by  $\varepsilon$ . The tables have been calculated using the decomposition of

 $R_{reg}^{g}$  (for <u>gl</u><sub>n</sub>, n  $\leq$  6, given in [12] p. 126-127) and the results of section 2 and 3.

Type A <sub>1</sub> =	с <sub>1</sub>	( <u>g1</u> 2	and	<u>sp</u> )
$R_{\lambda}$ deg	0	1		
(2)	1	ε		
(1,1)	1			

Type 
$$A_2$$
 (gl)

 $\chi = \mu(A_1)$  natural representation, dim  $\chi = 2$ deg 0 1 2 3 Rλ x (3) 1 х ε (2,1) 1 х  $(1^{3})$ 1

Type A<sub>3</sub> (<u>g1</u>4)

deg R <sub>λ</sub>				3	4		
(4)	1	x <sub>1</sub>	x <sub>1</sub> +x <sub>2</sub>	x <sub>1</sub> +x <sub>3</sub>	x <sub>2</sub> +x <sub>3</sub>	х <sub>з</sub>	ε
(3,1)	1	x <sub>1</sub>	$x_{1}^{+}x_{2}^{-}$	х <sub>з</sub>			
(2,2)	1	x <sub>1</sub>	x <sub>2</sub>				
(2,1,1)	1	x <sub>1</sub>					
(4) (3,1) (2,2) (2,1,1) (1 <sup>4</sup> )	1						

 $\frac{\text{Type } A_4}{\text{x}_1} = \mu(A_1) \qquad \text{natural representation, } \dim_{\chi_1} = 4$   $\chi_2 = \mu(A_1 \times A_1), \quad \dim_{\chi_2} = 5$   $\chi_3 = \mu(A_2) = \epsilon_{\chi_3}, \quad \dim_{\chi_3} = 6$   $\chi_4 = \mu(A_1 \times A_2) = \epsilon_{\chi_2}, \quad \dim_{\chi_4} = 5$  $\chi_5 = \mu(A_3) = \epsilon_{\chi_1}, \qquad \dim_{\chi_5} = 4$ 

R	0		5	ε	4	പ	و	٢	ω	σ	10
(2)	н	×1	x <sub>1</sub> +x <sub>2</sub>	$x_1^+x_2  x_1^+x_2^+x_3  x_1^+x_2  x_2^{+2}x_3^+x_4  x_2^{+x_3}  x_3^+x_4^+x_5  x_4^{+x_5}  x_4^{+x_5}  x_4^{+x_5}  x_4^{+x_5}  x_5^{+x_4}  x_5^{+x_5}  x_5^{+x_$	X1+X2 ) +X3+X4	x2+2x3+x <sub>4</sub>	x <sub>2</sub> +x <sub>3</sub> +x <sub>4</sub> +x <sub>5</sub>	x <sub>3</sub> +x <sub>4</sub> +x <sub>5</sub>	X4 +X5	x <sub>5</sub>	ω
(4,1)	<del>,</del> 1	x <sub>1</sub>	x <sub>1</sub> +x <sub>2</sub>	X <sub>1</sub> <sup>+</sup> X <sub>2</sub> X <sub>1</sub> <sup>+</sup> X <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup> X <sub>3</sub> X <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup> X <sub>3</sub> <sup>+</sup> X <sub>4</sub> X <sub>3</sub> <sup>+</sup> X <sub>4</sub>	х <sup>2+х</sup> 3 <sup>+х</sup> 4	x <sub>3</sub> +x <sub>4</sub>	x <sub>5</sub>				
(3,2)	н	X <sub>1</sub>	x <sub>1</sub> +x <sub>2</sub>	x2+x3	X <sub>4</sub>						
(3,1,1)		X <sub>1</sub>	x <sub>1</sub> +x <sub>2</sub>	× 3							
(2,1,1)		×1	x 2								
(2,1 <sup>3</sup> )		x <sub>1</sub>									
(1 <sup>5</sup> )											
-	_										

$R_{\lambda}$	0	1	2	3	4			
(4)		x <sub>1</sub>	x <sub>2</sub> +x <sub>3</sub>	× <sub>1</sub>	ε			
(2,2) (2,1,1)	1	x <sub>1</sub>	x <sub>2</sub>					
(2,1,1)	1	x <sub>1</sub>						
(1 <sup>4</sup> )	1							
$\begin{array}{rcl} x_2 &=& \mu ( \\ x_3 &=& \mu ( \end{array} \end{array}$	$(A_2) = \frac{1}{2}$							
ρ = μ( ερ <b>nat</b> τ = μ(	$C_1 \times C_2$ ural i $C_1 \times C_1$	<sup>ex</sup> 1 , d ), d represe	lim χ <sub>4</sub> lim ρ = entatio lim τ =	= 3 2 n of W 1		3, di	im ερ =	2
ρ = μ( ερ <b>nat</b> τ = μ(	C <sub>1</sub> ×C <sub>2</sub> ural n C <sub>1</sub> ×C <sub>1</sub> n rep : тр =	εχ <sub>1</sub> , d ), d represe «C <sub>1</sub> ), d resenta = ερ ,	$\lim_{t \to \infty} \chi_{4}$ $\lim_{t \to \infty} \rho = 0$ $\lim_{t \to \infty} \tau = 0$ $\tau \chi_{1} = 0$	= 3 2 n of W 1 f W/ $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ $X_2$ ;		-		2
$\rho = \mu ($ $\varepsilon \rho  nat$ $\tau = \mu ($ $\varepsilon \tau  sg$ <u>One has</u> Diagram o	$C_1 \times C_2$ $ural$ $C_1 \times C_1$ $rep$ $Trop = \epsilon \rho$ $f inc$	εχ <sub>1</sub> , d represe «C <sub>1</sub> ), d resenta = ερ, ετ no	$\lim_{\tau \to 0} \chi_{4}$ $\lim_{\tau \to 0} \rho = 0$ $\lim_{\tau \to 0} \tau = 0$ $\tau \chi_{1} = 0$ $\int \chi_{1} = 0$ $\int \chi_{1} = 0$	= 3 2 n of W 1 f W/ $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ $X_2$ ;	≝ S <sub>3</sub>	-		2
ρ = μ( ερ nat τ = μ( ετ Sg	$C_1 \times C_2$ ural n $C_1 \times C_1$ n rep : $\tau \rho =$ $\epsilon \rho$ , f inc es of	εχ <sub>1</sub> , d represe «C <sub>1</sub> ), d resenta = ερ, ετ no lusions	$\lim_{\tau \to 0} \chi_{4}$ $\lim_{\tau \to 0} \rho = 0$ $\lim_{\tau \to 0} \tau = 0$ $\tau \chi_{1} = 0$ $\int \chi_{1} = 0$ $\int \chi_{1} = 0$	= 3 2 n of W 1 f W/ $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ $X_2$ ;	≝ S <sub>3</sub>	ntati	ions	

6	а <sup>†</sup> Х	$\label{eq:remark} \begin{array}{l} \hline Remark : \\ The classes  C_{(6)}, \ C_{(4,2)}, \ C_{(3,3)}, \ C_{(2,2,1)}, \ C_{(2,1,1)}, \ C_{(2,1^4)} \ are \ Richardson \ classes; \\ R_{(6)}, \ R_{(4,2)}, \ R_{(2,2,2)}, \ R_{(2,1^4)} \ are \ induced \ representations, \ R_{(2,2,1,1)} \ does \ not \ contain \\ the \ corresponding \ induced \ representation \ (ii) \ of \ proposition \ 4 \ is \ not \ satisfied), \\ R_{(3,3)} \ is \ strictly \ bigger \ than \ the \ corresponding \ induced \ representation \ (here \ condition \ (i) \ and \ (ii) \ are \ both \ satisfied). \end{array}$
7	α + ε χ	are Ri ,2,1,1) osition intation
9	X <sub>2</sub> +X <sub>4</sub> +ετ	,1) <sup>, C</sup> (2,1 <sup>4</sup> ) tations, R <sub>(2</sub> (ii) of prop iced represe
٦	х <sub>1</sub> +х <sub>3</sub> +р р	, <sup>C</sup> (2,2,1, 1 represent condition ( onding indu
4	x2+x4+ερ x2+x4 x4	) <sup>, C</sup> (2,2,2) are inducec entation (c he correspo
e	х <sub>1</sub> +х <sub>3</sub> +т х <sub>1</sub> +х <sub>3</sub> +т х <sub>3</sub> +т х <sub>1</sub> +х <sub>3</sub> +т т	t,2)° <sup>C</sup> (3,3) )° <sup>R</sup> (2,1 <sup>4</sup> ) Jeed repres gger than t isfied).
5	Х2 + ЕР Х2 + ЕР Х2 + ЕР Х2 + ЕР Х2 Х2 Х2	<pre>ces C(6), C(4,2), C( 1,2), R(2,1), R(2,1) csponding induced rep cstrictly bigger tha are both satisfied).</pre>
1		ses C 1,2)° sspond s stri are b
0		Remark:The classR(6) * R(4the correthe correR(3,3) isand (ii)
$R_{\lambda}$ deg	<pre>(6) (4,2) (3,3) (3,3) (4,1,1) (2,2,2) (2,2,1,1) (2,1<sup>4</sup>) (1<sup>6</sup>)</pre>	$\frac{Rema}{1}$

# References

[1]	Borho,W.:	Über Schichten halbeinfacher Lie-Algebren. Preprint (1978).
[2]	Borho,W.,K	raft,H.: Über Bahnen und deren Deformation bei linearen Aktionen reduktiver Gruppen. Comment.Math.Helv. <u>54</u> (1979),61-104.
[3]	Bourbaki,N	l.: Groupes et algébres de Lie Chap. 4,5,6. Hermann, Paris (1968).
[4]	Hesselink,	W.: Singularities in the nilpotent scheme of a classical group. Trans.Amer.Math.Soc., <u>222</u> (1976),1-32.
[5]	Hesselink,	W.: Polarizations in the Classical Groups. Math.Z. <u>160</u> (1978),217-234.
[6]	Hotta,R.,S	pringer,T.A.: A speci lisation theorem for certain Weyl group representations and an application to the Green polynomials of unitary groups. Invent.math. <u>41</u> (1977),113-127.
[7]	Kraft,H.:	Parametrisierung von Konjugationsklassen in <u>sl</u> n. Math.Ann. <u>234</u> ,209-220 (1978).
[8]	Kraft,H.,F	rocesi,C.: Closures of Conjugacy Classes of Matrices are Normal. Invent.math. <u>53</u> (1979), 227-247.
[9]	Kraft,H.,F	rocesi,C.: On the geometry of conjugacy classes in classical groups. Preprint Rom/Bonn 1980.
[10]	Lusztig,G.	,Spaltenstein,N.: Induced unipotent classes. J.London math.Soc. <u>19</u> (1979), 41-52.
[11]	Macdonald,	I.G.: Some irreducible representations of Weyl groups. Bull.London Math.Soc. <u>4</u> (1972), 148-150.
[12]	Macdonald,	I.G.: Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials. Clarendon press, Oxford 1979
[13]	Procesi,C.	,Kraft,H.: Classi coniugate in GL(n,C). Rend.Sem.Mat.Univ. Padova <u>59</u> (1978)209-222.
[14]	Springer,1	A.: Trigonometric Sums, Green Functions of Finite groups and Representations of Weyl Groups Invent.math. <u>36</u> (1976),173-207.
[15]	Springer,T	.A.: A construction of representations of Weyl groups. Invent.math. <u>44</u> (1978),279-293.

## CONJUGACY CLASSES AND WEYL GROUP

After the preparations of this manuscript I was informed by C. De Concini and C. Procesi that they have proved all the conjectures stated in this paper.

De Concini, C., Procesi,C.: Symmetric functions, conjugacy classes and the flag variety. Inventiones <u>64</u> (1981) 203-220

Hanspeter Kraft Mathematisches Seminar der Universität Hamburg Bundesstraße 55 D – 2000 Hamburg 13 (Westgermany)