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## Invariant Functionals and Polynomial Growth

> by
J. W. Jenkins

Recall that a locally compact group $G$ is said to be amenable if there is an element $p \in L^{\infty}(G)^{*}$ such that i) $p \geqslant 0$,
ii) $\left\langle p, \delta_{g} * \psi\right\rangle=\langle p, \psi\rangle$ for all $g \in G$ and $\psi \in L^{\infty}(G)$ (here $\delta_{g}$ is the unit mass at $g$ and $*$ denotes convolution), and iii) $<p, X_{G}>=1$, where $X_{A}$ denotes the characteristic function of a subset $A \subseteq G$. In this note we consider the situation in which the "normalization condition" iii) is replaced by iii)' < p, $\theta>=1$, where $\theta$ is a given non zero, non negative element of $L^{\infty}(G)$. The first results on this problem were obtain by Rosenblatt [6] .

We begin with the following observation : if $G$ is not compact and $K$ is a compact subset of $G$ then there is an infinite sequence of elements $\left\{g_{j}\right\} \subseteq G$ such that for any positive integer $N$,

$$
x_{G} \geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{g_{i}} * x_{K}
$$

If $p$ is an element of $L^{\infty}(G)^{*}$ that satisfies $\left.i\right)$, ii), iii)' for $\theta=X_{K}$, then for all positive integers $N$,

$$
<p, x_{G}>\geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{N}<p, \delta_{g_{i}} * x_{K}>=N .
$$

This contradiction shows that, in general, given a $\theta$, the desired functional can exist only on a proper subspace of $L^{\infty}(G)$. Hence we begin by considering the smallest translation invariant subspace of $L^{\infty}(G)$ containing $\theta$.

Definition 1.- A locally compact group is said to be type A if for any non zero, non negative $\theta \in L^{\infty}(G)$, there is a linear functional $p$
defined on

$$
S_{\theta}=\left\{\Sigma \alpha(g) \delta_{g} * \theta \mid \text { supp } \alpha \text { finite }\right\}
$$

such that i) $p \geqslant 0, i i)<p, \delta_{g} * \psi>=<p, \psi>$ for $g \in G$, $\left.\psi \in S_{\theta}, i i i\right)<p, \theta>=1$.

In order to state our first theorem we need to recall the notion of polynomial growth. Fix a left Haar measure on $G$ and denote the measure of a subset $A$ of $G$ by $|A|$. For any positive integer $n$, let $A^{n}=\left\{a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n} \mid a_{i} \in A\right\} \quad . \quad G$ is said to have polynomial growth if for every compact neighborhood of $e$ in $G, U$, there is a polynomial $p$ such that $\left|U^{n}\right| \leqslant p(n)$ for $n=1,2,3, \ldots$. The following theorem was first proved in [6] for discrete groups and in [3] for arbitrary groups.

Theorem 2.- A locally compact group with polynomial growth is type A.

Proof. We define a linear functional $p$ on $S_{\theta}$ by setting $<\mathrm{p}, \Sigma \alpha(\mathrm{g}) \delta_{\mathrm{g}} * \theta>=\Sigma \alpha(\mathrm{g})$. It is obvious that p satisfies conditions ii) and iii) of definition 1. It only remains to show that $p$ is positive, i.e. if $\Sigma \alpha(g) \delta_{g} * \theta \geqslant 0$ then $\Sigma \alpha(g) \geqslant 0$. For this purpose, let $U=U^{-1}$ be a compact neighborhood of $e$ in $G$ containing the support of $\alpha$ and such that $\left\langle X_{U}, \theta \gg 0\right.$. Set

$$
A_{n}=\int_{U^{n}} \theta(s) d s
$$

and note that $0<A_{n} \leqslant\|\theta\|_{\infty}\left|U^{n}\right|$. Hence $\underline{\lim \left(A_{n}\right)^{1 / n}=1 \text {. Thus there }}$ is a subsequence $\left\{n_{k}\right\}$ such that $A_{n_{k}-1} / A_{n_{k}+1} \rightarrow 1$. Now if $g \in \operatorname{supp} \alpha$ then $U^{n-1} \subseteq g U^{n} \subseteq U^{n+1}$, and hence, for such $g$,

$$
A_{n-1} \leqslant \int_{g U^{n}} \theta(s) d s \leqslant A_{n+1}
$$

Recalling that $\Sigma \alpha(g) \delta_{\mathrm{g}} * \theta \geqslant 0$, we have

$$
0 \leqslant \int_{U} n_{k} \Sigma \alpha(g) \delta_{g} * \theta(s) d s=\Sigma \alpha(g) \int_{g U} n_{k} \theta(s) d s
$$

Dividing the inequality by $A_{n_{k}}$ and taking the limit on $k$ we have, since supp $\alpha$ is finite, $\Sigma \alpha(g) \geqslant 0$.

There is a particial converse to theorem 2 .

Theorem 3.- Suppose that $G$ is a finitely generated, discrete, solvable group or that $G$ is a connected group, and that $G$ is type $A$. Then $G$ has polynomial growth.

Proof. Suppose that $G$ a finitely generated, discrete, solvable group or that $G$ is a connected group, and that $G$ does not have polynomial growth. Then by [4] and [6], there exist elements $a, b \in G$ and $a$ compact neighborhood $U$ of $e$ in $G$ such that if $[a, b]$ denotes the semi group generated by $a$ and $b$, we have $i$ ) $a[a, b] \cap b[a, b]=\emptyset$ and ii) $s U \cap t U=\emptyset$ if $s, t \in[a, b], s \neq t$. Let $V=[a, b] U$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\operatorname{supp} \delta_{a} * X_{V} \cap \operatorname{supp} \delta_{b} & * X_{V} \subseteq a V \cap b V \\
& =(a[a, b] \cap b[a, b]) U=\varnothing
\end{array}
$$

Thus $x_{V} \geqslant \delta_{a} * x_{V}+\delta_{b} * x_{V}$. Hence there can not be a functional $p$ on $S_{X_{V}}$ satisfying the conditions of definition 1.
$S_{\theta}$ is the smallest subspace of $L^{\infty}(G)$ on which we might hope to find a translation invariant positive functional normalized with respect to $\theta$. By contrast, the largest subspace is

$$
L_{\theta}=\left\{\psi \in L^{\infty}(G)| | \psi \mid \leqslant \mu * \theta, \mu \in M(G)\right\}
$$

where $M(G)$ denotes the algebra of all bounded, regular Borel measures on $G$. Further, instead of asking only for invariance with respect to convolution by point masses, one could require that $<\mathrm{p}, \mu * \psi>=\mu(G)<\mathrm{p}, \psi>$ for all $\psi \in L_{\theta}$ and all $\mu \in M(G)$. We will construct such functionals $p$, inductively, obtaining at each stage a
functional invariant with respect to a larger subalgebra of $M(G)$. This construction also requires continuity of the functionals with respect to the following norm, $\|\cdot\|_{\theta}$, on $L_{\theta}$.

$$
\|\psi\|_{\theta}=\inf \{\|\mu\|| | \psi \mid \leqslant \mu * \theta, \mu \in M(G)\}
$$

Definition 4.- Let $A$ be a subalgebra of $M(G)$. $G$ is said to have A-invariance if for all $0 \neq \theta \in L^{\infty}(G)$ for which $0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant f * \theta$ for some $f \in L^{1}(G)$, there is a $p \in L_{\theta}^{*}$ such that
i) $p \geqslant 0$,
$\mathrm{ii})<\mathrm{p}, \mu * \psi>=\mu(\mathrm{G})<\mathrm{p}, \psi>, \mu \in \mathrm{A}, \psi \in \mathrm{L}_{\theta}$,
iii) $<p, \mu * \psi>=\int<p, \delta_{X} * \psi>d \mu(X), \mu \in M(G), \psi \in L_{\theta}$, $\mathrm{iv})<\mathrm{p}, \theta>=1$.

The following theorem and proof were inspired by Ludwig [5] .

Theorem 5.- Suppose $G$ is a connected group with polynomial growth or that $G$ is a compact extension of a nilpotent group. Then $G$ has M(G)-invariance.

The proof of this theorem requires the following.

Lemma 6.- Suppose $G$ has polynomial growth and that $G$ contains normal subgroups $H$ and $K$ with $K \subseteq H$. Suppose further that each element of $H / K$ is contained in a compact neighborhood that is invariant under the innerautomorphisms from $G / K$. Then, if $G$ has $M(K)$-invariance it has $M(H)$ invariance.

Proof. Let $\theta$ be a non zero, non negative element of $L^{\infty}(G)$ and let $p \in L_{\theta}^{*}$ satisfying $\left.i\right)$ - iv) of definition 4 with respect to $M(K)$.

Let $\dot{U}$ be a compact neighborhood of $e$ in $H / K$ that is invariant under inner-automorphism from $G / K$. Given $\varepsilon>0$, we define $f \varepsilon$, $\dot{U}$ on $H / K$ by $f_{\varepsilon, \dot{U}}(\dot{x})=(1+\varepsilon)^{-1}$ if $\dot{x} \in \dot{U}, f_{\varepsilon, \dot{U}}(\dot{x})=(1+\varepsilon)^{-n}$ if $^{\varepsilon}$ $\dot{x} \in \dot{U}^{n}-\dot{U}^{n-1}, n \geqslant 2$, and $f_{\varepsilon, \dot{U}}(\dot{x})=0$ if $\dot{x} \notin<\dot{U}>$, the closed
(and open) subgroup of $H / K$ generated by $\dot{U}$. Since $H / K$ has polynomial growth

$$
\left\|f_{\varepsilon, \dot{U}}\right\|_{1}=(1+\varepsilon)^{-1}|\dot{U}|+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(1+\varepsilon)^{-n}\left|\dot{u}^{n}-\dot{u}^{n-1}\right|<\infty .
$$

Furthermore, for $\dot{y} \in \dot{U}$ and $\dot{x} \in<\dot{U}>$

$$
\left|f_{\varepsilon,} \dot{U}(\dot{x} \dot{y})-f_{\varepsilon, \dot{U}}(\dot{x})\right| \leqslant \varepsilon f_{\varepsilon,} \dot{U}(\dot{x})
$$

Since $\theta \leqslant f_{o} * \theta \quad$ for some $f_{o} \in L^{1}(G)$,

$$
\left.\left.1=\langle p, \theta\rangle \leqslant<p, f_{o} * \theta\right\rangle=\int_{G} f_{o}(x)<p, \delta_{x} * \theta\right\rangle d x .
$$

Thus, $<\mathrm{p}, \delta_{\mathrm{x}} * \theta \gg 0$ on some open subset of $G$. Hence, for some $a \in G,<p, \delta_{a x} * \theta \gg 0$ for $x$ is some open subset of $<\dot{U}>$.

Since $\left\langle\mathrm{p}, \delta_{\mathrm{k}} * \psi\right\rangle=\langle\mathrm{p}, \psi\rangle$ for all $\mathrm{k} \in \mathrm{K}$, we can define $\left\langle\mathrm{p}, \delta_{\dot{x}} * \psi\right\rangle$ for $\dot{x} \in H / K$ by setting $\left\langle\mathrm{p}, \delta_{\dot{x}} * \psi\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathrm{p}, \delta_{x} * \psi\right\rangle$. We define $p_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$, $\dot{U}$ on $L_{\theta}$ by

$$
\left\langle p_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, \dot{U}, \psi>=\int_{H / K} f_{\varepsilon}, \dot{U}(\dot{x})<p, \delta_{\ddot{a} \dot{x}} * \psi>d \dot{x}\right.
$$

Fix $\dot{B} \in H / K$ so that

$$
(1-\varepsilon)^{-1}<p_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, \dot{U}, \delta_{\dot{b}} * \theta>\geqslant \sup _{\dot{y}}<p_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, \dot{U}, \delta_{\dot{y}} * \theta>:=\alpha,
$$

and define $p_{\varepsilon}, \dot{U}$ on $L_{\theta}$ by setting

$$
<p_{\varepsilon}, \dot{U}, \psi>=\alpha^{-1}<p_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, \dot{U}, \delta_{\dot{b}} * \psi>
$$

for all $\psi \in \mathrm{L}_{\theta}$.
We first show that $\left\|p_{\varepsilon}, \dot{U}\right\|_{\theta} \leqslant 1$. For this, suppose that $\psi \in L_{\theta}$ and that $|\psi| \leqslant \mu * \theta$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|<p_{\varepsilon}, \dot{U}, \psi>\left|\leqslant<p_{\varepsilon}, \dot{U},|\psi|>=\alpha^{-1}<p_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, \dot{U}, \delta_{b} *\right| \psi\right|> \\
& =\alpha^{-1} \int_{H / K} f_{\varepsilon, \dot{U}}(\dot{x})<p, \delta_{a \times b} *|\psi|>d \dot{x} \\
& \leqslant \alpha^{-1} \int_{H / K} f_{\varepsilon, \dot{U}}(\dot{x})<p, \delta_{a \times b} * \mu * \theta>d \dot{x} \\
& =\alpha^{-1} \int_{G} \int_{H / K} f_{\varepsilon, \dot{U}}(\dot{x})<p, \delta_{a \times b z} * \theta>d \dot{x} d \mu(z) \\
& =\alpha^{-1} \int_{G}<p_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, \dot{U}, \delta_{b z} * \theta>d_{\mu}(z) \\
& \leqslant \int_{G} d \mu(z) \leqslant\|\mu\| . \\
& \text { Also note that if } \dot{y} \in \dot{U}, \psi \in L_{\theta} \text {, then } \\
& 1<\mathrm{p}_{\varepsilon}, \dot{U}, \delta_{y} * \psi>-<\mathrm{p}_{\varepsilon}, \dot{U}, \psi>1 \\
& \leqslant \alpha^{-1}\left|\int f_{\varepsilon, \dot{U}}(\dot{x})<p, \delta_{a x b y} * \psi-\delta_{a x b} * \psi>d \dot{x}\right| \\
& =\alpha^{-1} \int\left|f_{\varepsilon, \dot{U}}\left(\dot{x} \bar{b} \dot{y}^{-1} \dot{b}^{-1}\right)-f_{\varepsilon, \dot{U}}(\dot{x})\right|<p, \delta_{a \times b} * \psi>d \dot{x} \\
& \leqslant \varepsilon \alpha^{-1} \int f_{\varepsilon, \dot{U}}(x)<p, \delta_{a x b} * \psi>d \dot{x} \\
& =\varepsilon<\mathrm{p}_{\varepsilon}, \dot{U}, \psi>.
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose a sequence of compact neighborhoods of $e$ in $H / K$, $\dot{U}_{n}$, that are invariant under the inner-automorphisms from $G / K$ and such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \dot{U}_{n}=H / K$. For each positive integer $n$, let $p_{n}=p_{1 / n}$, $\dot{U}_{n}$. By $\omega^{*}$-compactness of the unit ball in $L_{\theta}^{*}$, the sequence $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ has a cluster point $p_{\infty}$. Clearly $p_{\infty} \geqslant 0$ and, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 \geqslant<p_{n}, \theta> & =\left\langle p_{1 / n}, \dot{U}_{n}, \theta\right\rangle \\
& =\alpha^{-1}<p_{1 / n}^{\prime}, \dot{U}_{n}, \delta_{a_{n}} * \theta> \\
& \geqslant 1-1 / n,
\end{aligned}
$$

$<p_{\infty}, \theta>=1$. It is also clear that for $k \in K$ and $\psi \in L_{\theta}$, $<p_{\infty}, \delta_{k} * \psi>=<p_{\infty}, \psi>$ and hence that $<p_{\infty}, \delta_{\dot{y}} * \psi>=\left\langle p_{\infty}, \delta_{y} * \psi\right\rangle$ $=\left\langle p_{\infty}, \psi\right\rangle$ for $\dot{y} \in H / K$.

If $\psi \in L_{\theta}$ and $\mu \in M(G)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
<p_{\infty}, \mu & * \psi>=1 i m<p_{1 / n_{\alpha}}, \dot{U}_{n_{\alpha}}, \mu * \psi> \\
& =1 i m \int_{G}<p_{1 / n_{\alpha}}, \dot{U}_{n_{\alpha}}, \delta_{x} * \psi>d \mu(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\left|<p_{1 / n}, \dot{U}_{n}, \delta_{x} * \psi>\right| \leqslant\|\psi\|_{\theta}
$$

the dominated convergence theorem gives

$$
<p_{\infty}, \mu * \psi>=\int_{G}<p_{\infty}, \delta_{x} * \psi>d \mu(x)
$$

Finally, note that if $\mu \in M(H), \psi \in L_{\theta}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
<p_{\infty}, \mu * \psi> & =\int_{H}<p_{\infty}, \delta_{x} * \psi>d \mu(x) \\
& =\mu(G)<p_{\infty}, \psi>
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $p_{\infty}$ satisfies $\left.i\right)$ - iv) of definition 4.
Proof of theorem 5. If $N$ is a normal, nilpotent subgroup of $G$ such that $G / N$ is compact and if $N=N_{1} \supset \ldots \supset N_{k}=\{e\}$ is the lower center series for $N$, then for each $i=1, \ldots, k-1, N_{i} / N_{i+1}$ has large compact neighborhoods invariant under the inner-automorphisms form $G / N_{i+1}$. It $G$ is a connected Lie group with polynomial growth then the eigenvalues of Ads are of modulus 1 for all $s \in G$ (see [4] or [1], where the following fact was first pointed out). Let $S$ be the solu-radical of $G$, LS its Lie algebra and $L S_{\mathbb{C}}$, the complexification of $L S$. By Lie's theorem, there is an ordered basis for $L_{\mathbb{C}},\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right\}$ so that the matrix
representation for Ads with respect to this basis is upper triangular for all $s \in S$. Let $V_{j}$ be the subspace spanned by $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{j}\right\}$. Then the action of Ads on $V_{j} / V_{j-1}$ is multiplication by $\alpha_{j}(s)$ where $\left|\alpha_{j}(s)\right|=1$, i.e. Ads acts by rotation on each space $v_{j} / V_{j-1}$. Thus we can find subspaces $\{0\}=W_{0} \subset W_{1} \subset \ldots \subset W_{n}$ of $L S$, each invariant under Ads with $\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{j} / V_{j-1}\right) \leqslant 2$, and with Ads acting by rotation on each space $W_{j} / W_{j-1}, j=1,2, \ldots, n$. Thus $W_{j}$ is an ideal in LS and if $S_{j}$ is the correspondinc closed normal subgroup of $S$, each element of $S_{j} / S_{j-1}$ has large compact neighborhoods invariant under the inner-automorphisms from $S / S_{j-1}$, and also $G / S$, since, $G / S$ is compact.

If $G$ is a connected group with polynomial growth, then there is a compact, normal subgroup $K$ of $G$ such that $G / K$ is a Lie group with polynomial growth. The above argument applied to $G / K$ produced the desired series of subgroups.

In order to show that polynomial growth is not sufficient to imply $M(G)$-invariance, as is the case with being type $A$, we prove the following.

Theorem 7.- Let $A$ be a subalgebra of $M(G)$ that contains the point masses and is closed with respect to involution. If $G$ has A-invariance then for each $f \in A \cap L^{1}(G),-1 \notin s p\left(f * f^{*}\right)$.

Proof. Assume there is an $f \in A \cap L^{1}(G)$ such that $-1 \in \operatorname{sp}\left(f * f^{*}\right)$. Then for all $g \in L^{1}(G),\left\|g * f * f^{*}+g+f * f^{*}\right\| \geqslant 1$, for other wise,

$$
\left[(g-1)\left(-f * f^{*}-1\right)\right]^{-1}=\left\{1+\left[(g-1)\left(-f * f^{*}-1\right)-1\right]\right\}^{-1}
$$

exists in $\mathbb{C} 1 \oplus L^{1}(G)$, contradicting the assumption that $-1 \in \operatorname{sp}\left(f * f^{*}\right)$. Hence, there is a $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(G)$ such that $\left.<g * f * f^{*}+g, \varphi\right\rangle=0$ for all $g \in L^{1}(G)$ and $<f * f^{*}, \varphi>=1$. Thus $f * f^{*} * \varphi=-\varphi$. Let $\theta=\|\varphi\|^{2}$. Note that for $h \in L^{1}(G)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|h * \varphi|^{2}(s) & =\left|\int h(t) \varphi\left(t^{-1} s\right) d t\right|^{2} \\
& \leqslant\left[\int|h(t)|^{1 / 2}|h(t)|^{1 / 2}\left|\varphi\left(t^{-1} s\right)\right| d t\right]^{2} \\
& \leqslant \int|h(t)| d t \int|h(t)|\left|\varphi\left(t^{-1} s\right)\right|^{2} d t \\
& =\|h\|_{1}|h| *|\varphi|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\theta=|\varphi|^{2}=\left|f * f^{*} * \varphi\right|^{2} \leqslant\left\|f * f^{*}\right\|\left|f * f^{*}\right| * \theta$.
Thus, there is a functional $p$ on $h_{\theta}$ satisfying $\left.i\right)$ - iv) of definition 4 with respect to $A$. Now, if $g, h \in L^{1}(G)$,

$$
(g * \varphi)(\overline{h * \varphi}) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left\{|g * \varphi|^{2}+|h * \varphi|^{2}\right\} \in L_{\theta} .
$$

We define a bilinear form $B$ on $A \cap L^{1}(G)$ by

$$
B(g, h)=\langle p,(g * \varphi)(\overline{h * \varphi})\rangle
$$

Clearly $B(g, g) \geqslant 0$ for $g \in A \cap L^{1}(G)$ and $\left.B\left(f * f^{*}, f * f^{*}\right)=\left.\langle p,| \varphi\right|^{2}\right\rangle=1$. Also, for $g \in A \cap L^{1}(G)$,
$B(\mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~g})=<\mathrm{p},|\mathrm{g} * \varphi|^{2}>\leqslant\|\mathrm{g}\|_{1}<\mathrm{p},|\mathrm{g}| * \theta>=\|\mathrm{g}\|_{1}^{2}$.
Thus $B$ is bounded, and if $g, h \in A \cap L^{1}(G), s \in G$,

$$
B\left(\delta_{S} * g, \delta_{S} * h\right)=\left\langle p, \delta_{S} *[(g * \varphi)(\overline{h * \varphi})]\right\rangle=B(g, h)
$$

Hence, for $g, h, k \in A \cap L^{1}(G)$

$$
B(g * h, k)=B\left(h, g^{*} * k\right) .
$$

Recalling that $f * f^{*} * \varphi=-\varphi$, we have

$$
0 \leqslant B\left(f^{*}, f^{*}\right)=-B\left(f^{*} * f * f^{*}, f^{*}\right)=-B\left(f * f^{*}, f * f^{*}\right)=-1 .
$$

This contradiction implies that $-1 \notin \operatorname{sp}\left(f * f^{*}\right)$.

A Banach *-algebra $A$ is said to be symmetric if $-1 \notin \operatorname{sp}\left(a a^{*}\right)$ for all $a \in A$. Theorems 5 et 7 show that $L^{1}(G)$ is symmetric if $G$ is a connected group with polynomial growth or if $G$ is a compact extension of a nilpotent group. This was first proved by Ludwig [ 5 ].

Hulanicki [2], considered the following group : let $D$ be a countable direct sum of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$, and let

$$
\underline{D}=\prod_{d \in D}^{T}(D)_{d}=\left\{\left(X_{d}\right)_{d \in D} \mid X_{d} \in D\right\}
$$

be the direct product of $D$ copies of $D$. Define $\tau: D \rightarrow$ Aut( $\underline{D}$ ) by $t(c)\left(X_{d}\right)_{d \in D}=\left(X_{d+c}\right)_{d \in D}$. Then $D \alpha_{t} \underline{D}$ is a solvable group and for each $s \in D \propto_{t} \underline{D}, s^{4}=e$. Hulanicki showed that $\ell^{1}\left(D \propto_{\uparrow} \underline{D}\right)$ is not symmetric, hence $D \propto_{\epsilon} \underline{D}$ is a solvable group with polynomial growth that does not have $M\left(D \propto_{t} \underline{D}\right)$-invariance. Hence even for solvable groups being type $A$ is a weaker condition that having $\mathbb{M}(G)$-invariance.

Let $F(G)$ denote the subalgebra of $M(G)$ consisting of all measures with finite support.

Using the Krein extension theorem, one can easily see that $G$ is type $A$ if and only if $G$ has $F(G)$-invariance. Hence polynomial growth implies $F(G)$-invariance. Using the same techniques as in the proof of theorem 2, on can show that polynomial growth implies $K(G)$-invariance where $K(G)$ denotes the measures with compact support, or even invariance with respect to algebras of measures that vanish sufficiently rapidly at infinitly. (In Hulanicki's example, for instance, one selects a sequence of subsets $U_{n} \subset U_{n+1}$ such that $U U_{n}=D \ltimes_{t} \underline{D}$ and requires the measure $\mu$ to satisfies

$$
\operatorname{Lim}_{n}\left|<u_{n}>\left||\mu| \quad\left(D \propto_{t} \underline{D} \ll u_{n}>\right)=0\right) .\right.
$$

It is not known how large a subalgebra will give invariance for arbitrary groups with polynomial growth.
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