Astérisque

MICHAL MISIUREWICZ

A short proof of the variational principle for a \mathbb{Z}^N_+ action on a compact space

Astérisque, tome 40 (1976), p. 147-157

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST 1976 40 147 0>

© Société mathématique de France, 1976, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (http://smf4.emath.fr/ Publications/Asterisque/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

Michał Misiurewicz

O. Introduction. Ruelle in [12] introduced the notion of pressure for an action of the group \mathbf{Z}^{N} on a compact metric space. It is a generalization of the notion of topological entropy. The variational principle (proved in [12] under some strong conditions) is a generalization of the Dinaburg's theorem ([5,8,7]) on a connection between the topological and measure entropies. A general proof of the variational principle was given by Walters [13] (see also Denker [3]) for an action of \mathbf{Z}_{\perp}^{N} .

The first part of the proof given below (an inequality $h_{\mu}\left(T\right)+\mu f\leq P\left(T,f\right) \text{) is a natural generalization of the proof from } \text{[10]. The second part (}\sup_{\mu}\left(h_{\mu}\left(T\right)\,+\,\mu f\right)\geq P\left(T,f\right) \text{) is quite new (although the idea is close to the Ruelle's one).}$

1. Notations.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbf{Z}}_+$ denotes the set of all non-negative integers. Let us fix a positive integer N .

 $G = \textbf{Z}_+^{\ N} \quad \text{is a commutative semigroup with respect to addition.}$ For $n \in G$ we denote by n_i the i-th coordinate of n (i=1,...,N). For $n,m \in G$ let $nm = (n_1m_1,\ldots,n_N^m)$. The relation $\geq (n \geq m)$ iff $n_i \geq m_i$ for i=1,...,N) directs G.

X is a non-empty compact Hausdorff space.

C(X) is the space of all continuous real functions on X with

the norm $||f|| = \sup |f(x)|$.

 $\chi \in X$ is the space of all positive Borel regular normed measures on X. It can be identified with the space of all positive linear functionals on C(X) having norm 1 (therefore we shall write μf instead of $\int_X f d\mu$ for $f \in C(X)$). We consider the weak-* topology on $\mathcal{M}(X)$ (then $\mathcal{M}(X)$ is compact).

T $(n \longmapsto T^n)$ is an action of G on X , i.e. a homomorphism of G into the semigroup of all continuous transformations of X into itself (i.e. $T^n: X \longrightarrow X$, $T^{n+m} = T^n \circ T^m$).

 $T^{\textbf{H}n} : C(X) \longrightarrow C(X) \ (\text{for } n \in G) \ \text{is the operator induced by} \ T^n$ (i.e. $T^{\textbf{H}n}f = f \circ T^n \).$

 $T^{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{n}}: \mathcal{W}_{\bullet}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\bullet}(X) \ \, (\text{for } n \in G) \ \, \text{is a restriction of the operator}$ induced by $T^{\mathbf{x}} \quad (\text{i.e.} \quad T^{\mathbf{x}} = \mu \circ T^{\mathbf{x}}) \ \, \text{to} \, \, \mathcal{M}(X) \ \, . \ \, \text{It is easy to}$ check that indeed $T^{\mathbf{x}} (\mathcal{M}(X)) \subset \mathcal{M}(X) \quad \text{and that} \quad T^{\mathbf{x}} \quad \text{is continuous.}$

Of course $T^{\mathbf{x}}$ and $T^{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}}$ are actions of G on $C\left(X\right)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(X)$, respectively.

 $\mathcal{M}(X,T)$ is a space of all T-invariant measures (i.e. these elements of $\mathcal{M}(X)$ which are fixed points of all $T^{\mathbf{XXN}}$, $n\in G$).

 \mathscr{H} is the set of all neighbourhoods of the diagonal in $X \times X$, directed by the inclusion. It is a uniform structure (uniformity) for X (see [9]).

2. Definitions of pressure and entropy.

We may in the natural way extend Ruelle's definition of pressure of \mathbf{z}^{N} -action ([12]).

Let
$$n \in G$$
, $\delta \in \mathcal{H}$, $f \in C(X)$. We define successively:
$$\Lambda(n) = \{m \in G : m_i < n_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N\} ,$$

$$\lambda(n) = \operatorname{Card} \Lambda(n) = n_1 \cdot \dots \cdot n_N ,$$

$$\delta_n = \bigcap_{k \in \Lambda(n)} (T^k \times T^k)^{-1} \delta ,$$

$$f_n = \sum_{k \in \Lambda(n)} T^{kk} f .$$

Of course $\delta_n \in \mathcal{H}$, $f_n \in C(X)$.

A finite subset e of X is called: (n,δ) -separated, if for any $x,y \in e$, $x \neq y$, we have $(x,y) \notin \delta_n$, (n,δ) -spanning, if for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in e$ such that $(x,y) \in \delta_n$.

We denote $p(f,e) = \log \sum_{x \in e} \exp f(x)$. We define further

(1)
$$P_{n,\delta}(T,f) = \sup\{p(f_n,e) : e \text{ is } (n,\delta)\text{-separated}\}$$

(2)
$$P_{\delta}(T,f) = \lim_{n \in G} \sup_{\lambda (n)} P_{n,\delta}(T,f)$$

Of course, $P_{\delta}(T,f) \geq P_{\epsilon}(T,f)$ for $\delta \subset \epsilon$. Therefore it is possible to define the pressure

(3)
$$P(T,f) = \lim_{\delta \in \mathcal{H}} P_{\delta}(T,f) = \sup_{\delta \in \mathcal{H}} P_{\delta}(T,f)$$

In the sequel we shall use the measure entropy function $H_{ij}(\cdot)$ (for the definition and properties see e.g. [11]) .

For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X,T)$ the entropy $h_{_{11}}(T)$ may be defined in the same way as in [2] for the action of \mathbf{Z}^{N} . For a Borel finite partition A of the space X we define

$$A^n = \bigvee_{k \in \Lambda(n)} (T^k)^{-1} A \text{ for } n \in G$$
,

 $A^n=\bigvee_{\substack{k\in\Lambda\,(n)\\\mu}}(\mathtt{T}^k)^{-1}A\quad\text{for}\quad n\in G\ ,$ $h_{\mu}(\mathtt{T},A)=\lim_{\substack{n\in G}}\frac{1}{\lambda\,(n)}\;\mathrm{H}_{\mu}(A^n)\ ,\ (\text{it is easy to show that the limit exists,}$ cf. [2]).

Finally,

$$h_{U}(T) = \sup\{h_{U}(T,A) : A - Borel finite partition\}$$
.

3. The variational principle.

We shall prove the following variational principle:

$$P\left(T,f\right) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X,T)} (h_{\mu}(T) + \mu f) \text{ . The proof consists of two parts.}$$

Part I.

$$h_{\mu}(T) + \mu f \leq P(T, f)$$
 for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, T)$.

Proof.

Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X,T)$. Let us fix $\xi>0$ and a Borel finite partition A . Take $m \in G$ such that

(4)
$$\log 2 < \xi^* \lambda(m)$$

Let A^m consist of the sets a_1,\dots,a_s . For any of them there exists a compact set $b_i \in a_i$ such that

$$\mu(a_i \setminus b_i) \leq \frac{\xi}{s \log s}.$$

Let $b_0 = X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{S} b_i$. For the partition $B = \{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_s\}$ we have

(5)
$$H_{u}(A^{m}|B) \leq \mu(b_{o}) \cdot \log s \leq \xi$$
.

We take

$$\varepsilon = (x \times x) \setminus \bigcup_{\substack{i,j=1\\i \neq j}}^{s} (b_i \times b_j) \in \mathcal{H}$$

and next $\delta \in \mathscr{U}$ such that $\delta \circ \delta \in \mathbb{C}$ (i.e. if $(x,y), (y,z) \in \delta$, then $(x,z) \in \varepsilon$) and $|f(x) - f(y)| \le \xi$ if $(x,y) \in \delta$.

Let us fix $n\in G$. There exists a maximal $(nm,\delta)\text{-separated}$ (i.e. being also $(nm,\delta)\text{-spanning})$ set ecX .

Denote by
$$C = \bigvee_{k \in \Lambda(n)} (T^{km})^{-1}B$$
.

Further, denote

$$\alpha$$
(b) = $\sup_{x \in b} f_{nm}(x)$ for $b \in C$, $\beta = \sum_{b \in C} \exp \alpha(b)$.

We have

$$\int_{b}^{f} nm \, d\mu \leq \alpha(b) \cdot \mu(b) ,$$

therefore

$$\text{H}_{\mu}\left(\text{C}\right) \; + \; \mu \text{f}_{\text{nm}} \; \leq \; \sum_{b \in \text{C}} \mu\left(b\right) \left(\alpha\left(b\right) \; - \; \text{log}_{\mu}\left(b\right)\right) \; = \; \beta \cdot \sum_{b \in \text{C}} \frac{\exp\alpha\left(b\right)}{\beta} \cdot \eta\left(\frac{\mu\left(b\right)}{\exp\alpha\left(b\right)}\right) \; \text{,}$$

where $\eta(x) = -x \log x$.

The function n is concave, therefore

(6)
$$H_{\mu}(C) + \mu f_{\min} \leq \beta \cdot \eta \left(\sum_{b \in C} \frac{\exp \alpha(b)}{\beta} \cdot \frac{\mu(b)}{\exp \alpha(b)} \right) = \log \beta$$

e is a (nm,δ) -spanning set, hence for every béC there exists a point $z(b) \in e$ such that

$$\alpha$$
(b) = sup{ $f_{nm}(x) : x \in b$, $(x, z(b)) \in \delta_{nm}$ }.

But if

$$(x,z(b)) \in \delta_{nm}$$
.

then for $k \in \Lambda(nm)$

$$(T^k x, T^k z(b)) \in \delta$$
,

thus, in view of the definition of δ ,

$$|(T^{*k}f)(x)-(T^{*k}f)(z(b))| < \xi$$
.

Hence

(7)
$$f_{nm}(z(b)) > \alpha(b) - \xi \cdot \lambda(nm)$$

From the definitions of δ and ϵ we obtain for $y \in e \text{ , } k \in \Lambda(n) \text{ : Card } \{a \in B: \exists_{x \in a} (T^{km}x, T^{km}y) \in \delta\} \leq 2 \text{ , thus for } y \in e \text{ Card } \{b \in C: \exists_{x \in b} (x,y) \in \delta_{nm}\} \leq 2^{\lambda(n)} \text{ , }$ because

$$\delta_{nm} \subset \bigcap_{k \in \Lambda(n)} (T^{km} \times T^{km})^{-1} \delta$$
.

Hence

(8) Card
$$\{b \in C : z(b) = y\} < 2^{\lambda (n)}$$

Hence, from (7) and (8) we get:

$$2^{\lambda (n)} \cdot \sum_{y \in e} \exp f_{nm}(y) \ge \sum_{b \in C} \exp \alpha(b) \cdot \exp (-\xi \cdot \lambda (nm))$$
,

thus,

(9)
$$\lambda(n) \cdot \log 2 + p(f_{nm}, e) \ge \log \beta - \xi \cdot \lambda(nm)$$

But $\mu f_{nm} = \lambda (nm) \cdot \mu f$, so from this, from (1),(4),(6) and (9) we obtain (notice that $\lambda (nm) = \lambda (n) \cdot \lambda (m)$):

(10)
$$\frac{1}{\lambda (nm)} H_{\mu}(C) + \mu f \leq \frac{1}{\lambda (nm)} P_{nm,\delta}(T,f) + 2\xi$$

In view of (5), for $k \in \Lambda(n)$ we have

$$H_{11}((T^{km})^{-1}A^{m}|(T^{km})^{-1}B) \leq \xi$$
,

therefore

$$H_{\mu}(A^{nm}|C) = H_{\mu}(\bigvee_{k \in \Lambda(n)} (T^{km})^{-1}A^{m}|\bigvee_{k \in \Lambda(n)} (T^{km})^{-1}B) \le \xi \cdot \lambda(n)$$
.

Hence

$$H_{11}(A^{nm}) \le H_{11}(C) + H_{11}(A^{nm}|C) \le H_{11}(C) + \xi \cdot \lambda(n)$$
,

thus we obtain from (10):

$$\frac{1}{\lambda (nm)} H_{\mu}(A^{nm}) + \mu f \leq \frac{1}{\mu (nm)} P_{nm,\delta}(T,f) + 3\xi.$$

Taking lim sup with respect to n we get

$$h_{11}(T,A) + \mu f \leq P_{\delta}(T,f) + 3\xi \leq P(T,f) + 3\xi$$
.

But ξ and A were arbitrary, hence

$$h_{ij}(T) + \mu f \leq P(T, f)$$
.

Part II.

$$\sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X,T)} (h_{\mu}(T) + \mu f) \ge P(T,f) .$$

Proof.

Let us fix $\delta \in \mathcal{H}$. For every $n \in G$ we choose such an $(n,\delta)\text{-separated set } e_n \text{ that }$

(11)
$$p(f_n, e_n) \ge P_{n, \delta}(T, f) - 1$$

Let us define a measure σ_n , concentrated on e_n , by a formula $\sigma_n(\{y\}) = \exp (f_n(y) - p(f_n,e_n)) \text{ for } y \in e_n \text{ .}$

We have

$$\sum_{y \in e_n} \sigma_n(\{y\}) = 1 ,$$

therefore

$$\sigma_n \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{X})$$
.

Let

$$\mu_n = \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k \in \Lambda(n)} T^{**k} \sigma_n$$
.

For some sequence $(n_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ cofinal with G we have

(12)
$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(n_i)} P_{n_i, \delta}(T, f) = P_{\delta}(T, f)$$

We choose some cluster point of the sequence $(\mu_n)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and denote it by μ . Of course, μ is also a cluster point of the net $(\mu_n)_{n\in G}$. For $g\in C(X)$ and $k\in G$ fixed, the function

$$\Phi: \mathcal{M}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

given by the formula

$$\Phi v = vg - v(T^{*k}g) ,$$

is continuous, therefore $\Phi\mu$ is a cluster point of the net

 $\left(^{\varphi\mu}_{n}\right)_{n\in G}$. We have

$$|\Phi\mu_n| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \cdot 2 \cdot (\lambda(n) - \lambda(n-k)) \cdot \|g\| \quad (\text{for } n \geq k)$$
,

because

Card $(\Lambda(n) \setminus (k + \Lambda(n))) = Card ((k + \Lambda(n)) \setminus \Lambda(n)) = \lambda(n) - \lambda(n-k)$.

But

$$\lim_{n} \frac{\lambda (n-k)}{\lambda (n)} = 1 ,$$

thus

$$\Phi\mu = 0$$
.

Hence $\mu g = (T^{xxk}_{\mu})g$. But g and k were arbitrary, therefore $u \in \mathcal{M}(X,T)$.

There exists a Borel finite partition A of X such that

a × a C δ for a_A . Then for a_A n a × a C δ_n , therefore ${\sf Card} \ (e_n {\land} a) \ \le \ 1 \ .$

Hence

$$H_{\sigma_n}(A^n) + \sigma_n f_n = \sum_{y \in e_n} \sigma_n(\{y\}) (f_n(y) - \log \sigma_n(\{y\})) = p(f_n, e_n)$$
.

Let us fix $m, n \in G$, n > 2m . For given $j \in \Lambda(m)$ let

$$s(j) = (E(\frac{n_1-j_1}{m_1}), \dots, E(\frac{n_N-j_N}{m_N}))$$
.

We have:

$$A^{n} = \bigvee_{r \in \Lambda(s(j))} (T^{rm+j})^{-1} A^{m} \vee \bigvee_{k \in \Xi} (T^{k})^{-1} A ,$$

where

$$\Xi = \Lambda(n) \setminus (j+\Lambda(ms(j)))$$
.

But

CardE =
$$\lambda$$
 (n) - λ (ms(j)) < λ (n) - λ (n-2m),

thus

$$\begin{split} p(f_n,e_n) &= H_{\sigma_n}(A^n) + \sigma_n f_n \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{r \in \Lambda(s(j))} H_{\sigma_n}((T^{rm+j})^{-1}A^m) + \sigma_n f_n + (\lambda(n) - \lambda(n-2m))\log Card \ A. \end{split}$$

Summing the inequalities obtained for $j \in M(m)$ we get (notice that for $k \in M(n)$ there exists a unique $j \in M(m)$ and a unique $r \in M(s(j))$ such that k = rm + j):

(13)
$$\sum_{k \in \Lambda(n)} H_{\sigma_n}((T^k)^{-1}A^m) + \lambda(m) \cdot \sigma_n f_n \ge$$

$$\geq \lambda(m) \cdot (p(f_n, e_n) - (\lambda(n) - \lambda(n-2m)) \cdot \log \text{ Card A})$$

We have also

(14)
$$\sigma_n f_n = \sigma_n \left(\sum_{k \in \Lambda(n)} T^{*k} f \right) = \left(\sum_{k \in \Lambda(n)} T^{*xk} \sigma_n \right) f = \lambda(n) \cdot \mu_n f$$

From the definition of entropy it follows that

(15)
$$H_{\sigma_n}((\mathbf{T}^k)^{-1}\mathbf{A}^m) = H_{\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}k}\sigma_n}(\mathbf{A}^m)$$

From the definition of entropy and from the concavity of the function $-x \log x$ it follows that

(16)
$$H_{u_n}(A^m) \geq \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k \in \Lambda(n)} H_{T} * k_{\sigma_n}(A^m)$$

The formulas (11) and (13) - (16) give us

(17)
$$\frac{1}{\lambda(m)} H_{\mu_n}(A^m) + \mu_n f \ge \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} P_{n,\delta}(T,f) - \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \cdot ((\lambda(n) - \lambda(n-2m)) \log Card A + 1)$$

The partition A can be chosen in such a way that the boundaries of elements of A have measure μ zero. (see [1], Chapt.IV, §5, exerc. 13 d; see also [10]) . Then A^n has the same property. But for a set a with the boundary of measure μ zero, the function $\mathcal{M}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by $\nu \longmapsto \nu \ (a) \ , \ is \ continuous \ in \ the \ point \ \mu \ .$ Hence the function $\mathcal{M}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by $\nu \longmapsto H_{\nu}(A^m) \ is \ also \ continuous \ at \ the \ point \ \mu \ , \ therefore \ in \ view \ of \ (12), \ (17) \ and \ the \ definition \ of \ \mu \ , \ we \ have$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda (m)} H_{\mu} (A^{m}) + \mu f \geq P_{\delta} (T, f) .$$

Taking the limit with respect to $\,m\,$ and using the inequality $h_{_{11}}(T,A)\,\leq\,h_{_{11}}(T)$, we obtain

$$h_{\mu}(T) + \mu f \geq P_{\delta}(T, f)$$

But δ was arbitrary, hence

$$\sup_{v \in \mathcal{M}(X,T)} (h_v(T) + vf) \ge P(T,f) .$$

M. MISIUREWICZ

4. Remark..

If $h_{\mu}(T) + \mu f = P(T,f)$, then μ is called an equilibrium state for (T,f) (measure with maximal entropy in the case of f=0). The above construction shows that if $P_{\delta}(T,f) = P(T,f)$ for some $\delta \in \mathcal{H}$, then there exists an equilibrium state for (T,f). In the case of N=1, f=0, this can be reformulated as follows:

If there exists an open cover A such that h(T,A) = h(T), then there exists a measure with maximal entropy.

This is a particular case of the theorem of Denker ($\begin{bmatrix} 4 \end{bmatrix}$) , but obtained without assuming X finite dimensional.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Bourbaki, Éléments de Mathématique, Livre VI (Intégration)
 Chap. IV Hermann & C^{ie}, Paris, 1952.
- [2] <u>J.P. Conze</u>, Entropie d'un groupe abélien de transformations, z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb., 25 (1973), 11 - 30.
- [3] M. Denker, Remarques sur la pression pour les transformations continues, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 279 (1974), 967 970.
- [4] M. Denker, Measures with maximal entropy, preprint
- [5] <u>E.I. Dinaburg</u>, СЬЯЗЪ МЕЖДУ различными ЭНТРОПИЙНЫМИ характеристиками динамических систем- N3b. A. H. СССР, 35 (1971), 324 - 366.
- [6] S.A. Elsanousi, A variational principle for the pressure of a continuous \mathbb{Z}^2 -action on a compact metric space, preprint.
- [7] <u>T.N.T. Goodman</u>, Relating topological entropy and measure entropy, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc., 3 (1971), 176 - 180.
- [8] L.W. Goodwyn, Topological entropy bounds measure-theoretic entropy, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 23 (1969), 679 688.
- [9] J.L. Kelley, General Topology, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1955.

VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE FOR A \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{N} ACTION

- [10] M. Misiurewicz, Topological conditional entropy, Studia Math., 55 (1976), 175 200.
- [11] V.A. Rohlin, Лекции по ЭНТропийной Теорый преобразований с инвариантной мерой YMH, 22 (1967), 3 56.

 (Lectures on the entropy theory of measure preserving transformations, Russian Math. Surv. 22,(1967), 1 52)
- [12] D. Ruelle, Statistical mechanics on a compact set with \mathbf{Z}^{\vee} action satisfying expansiveness and specification, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 185 (1973), 237 252.
- [13] P. Walters, A variational principle for the pressure of continuous transformations. preprint.

Mathematical Institute, Univ. of Warsaw PKiN IX p.
00-901 Warszawa Poland.