Astérisque

O. A. Oleinik

On the analyticity of solutions of partial differential equations and systems

ON THE ANALYTICITY OF SOLUTIONS

OF PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND SYSTEMS

O.A. OLEINIK

━━━━━━

The problem of the analyticity of solutions of partial differential equations is one of the oldest in the theory of partial differential equations. This problem is connected with the 19 th HILBERT problem [1]. It is well-known that all solutions of linear elliptic equations and systems with analytic coefficients are analytic functions (see [2], [3] and a survey in [1]). If a system has constant coefficients and all solutions of this system are analytic functions, then the system is elliptic [3]. It is of great interest to find non elliptic classes of differential equations and systems which have only analytical solutions and to describe classes which do not have such a property. Some results in this direction are given in the papers [3]–[8].

We offer here an approach for the study of the problem of the analyticity of solutions of differential equations and systems. We prove here some a priori estimates in a complex domain for solutions of partial differential equations and systems which have only analytical solutions. Using these estimates we can find classes of partial differential equations and systems which have non analytic solutions.

Let Ω be a bounded domain in R^{m+1}, $x = (x_o, \ldots . x_m)$

DEFINITION 1.-

A function $u(x)$ defined in Ω is called a function of the class $A(\Omega)$ if

for any subdomain G with $\overline{G} \subset \Omega$ there exists a constant $\delta(G) > 0$ such that the

function $u(x)$ can be extended analytically with respect to all variables x as a

function $u(x_o + iy_c, \ldots . , x_m + iy_m)$ in a domain $Q_\delta^{2m+2} = \{x \subset G, -\delta(G) < y_j < \delta,(G)$

$j = 0,1,\ldots . , m\}$ and also u is bounded in Q_δ^{2m+2}.

We denote by $V(u)$ an analytical extension of u in the domain Q_δ^{2m+2}.

DEFINITION 2.-

A function $u(x)$ defined in Ω is called a function of the class $A_j(\Omega)$,

if for any subdomain G with $\overline{G} \subset \Omega$ there exists a constant $\delta(G)$ such that the

function $u(x)$ can be extended analytically with respect to the variable x_j as

a function $u(x_o, \ldots . , x_j + iy_j, \ldots . x_m)$ in a domain :

$$Q_\delta^{m+2} = \{x \subset G , - \delta(G) < y_j < \delta(G)\}$$

and also $\qquad u$ and $-\dfrac{\partial u}{\partial x_k}, k \neq j$, are bounded in Q_δ^{m+2} ; $j = 0,1,\ldots,m$.

We denote by $V_j(u)$ an analytical extension of u in the domain Q_δ^{m+2}.

If all components of a vector-function $u = (u_1, \ldots . , u_N)$ belong to a linear space

B , then we say that $w \in B^N$.

DEFINITION 3.-

A linear system of partial differential equations with analytic coefficients

(1) $\qquad L(u) = f$ in Ω , $u = (u_1, \ldots . , u_N)$, $f = (f_1, \ldots . , f_N)$

is called analytical in Ω , if, for any of its weak solution $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ the

condition $f \in A(\Omega)$ implies $u \in A(\Omega)$.

DEFINITION 4.-

System (1) is called analytical with respect to the variable x_j in Ω , if for any of its weak solution $u \in \mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$, the condition $f \in A_j(\Omega)$ implies $u \in A_j(\Omega)$.

THEOREM 1.

Let $B^N(\Omega)$ be a BANACH space consisting of weak solution $u \in (\mathscr{D}'(\Omega))^N$ of the system

(2)
$$L(u) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega$$

with the norm $\|u\|_{BN}$ and also the convergence of a sequence in the norm $B^N(\Omega)$ implies its convergence in $\mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$. If system (2) is analytical in Ω , then for any subdomain G with $\bar{G} \subset \Omega$ there exists a constant $\delta(G) > 0$ such that for any solution $u \in B^N(\Omega)$ of system (2) the following estimate is valid :

(3)
$$\sup_{Q_\delta^{2m+2}} |V(u)| \leqslant C_1 \|u\|_{BN} ,$$

where
$$|V(u)| = \sum_{k=1}^{N} |V(u_k)|, \quad C_1 = \text{const.}$$

If system (2) is analytical in Ω with respect to x_j , then for any subdomain G with $\bar{G} \subset \Omega$ there exists a constant $\delta(G) > 0$ such that for any solution $u \in B^N(\Omega)$ of system (2) the following estimate is valid :

(4)
$$\sup_{Q_\delta^{m+2}} |V_j(u)| \leqslant C_2 \|u\|_{BN} ;$$

where
$$|V_j(u)| = \sum_{k=1}^{N} |V_j(u_k)|, \quad C_2 = \text{Const.}$$

PROOF. -

We prove first the estimate (3). Let G be a fixed subdomain with $\bar{G} \subset \Omega$. We set :

$$M_{\gamma,R} = \{u ; u \in B^N(\Omega) , \sup_{Q_\gamma^{2m+2}} |V(u)| \leqslant R \}$$

where $R = 1, 2, \ldots$; $\frac{1}{\gamma} = 1, 2, \ldots$

Since system (2) is analytical, it means that any $u \in B^N(\Omega)$ belongs at least to one of the sets $M_{\gamma,R}$. We show that $M_{\gamma,R}$ are closed in $B^N(\Omega)$.

Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence, $u_n \in M_{\gamma,R}$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ and $\|u_n - u_0\|_{B^N(\Omega)} \to 0$ for $n \to \infty$. We shall prove that $u_0 \in M_{\gamma,R}$. Since u_n are uniformly bounded in Q_γ^{2m+2}, there exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ which uniformly converges at any compact set $K \subset Q_\gamma^{2m+2}$ towards an analytic vector-function $\tilde{u}$ in Q_γ^{2m+2}.

The uniform convergence at any compact set $K \subset Q_\gamma^{2m+2}$ of the bounded sequence in Q_γ^{2m+2} implies its convergence in $\mathcal{D}'(G)$. It means that $\tilde{u} = u_0$ in G and therefore u_0 can be extended analytically in Q_γ^{2m+2} with the estimate $|V(u_0)| \leqslant R$. It implies that $u_0 \in M_{\gamma,R}$. Since $B^N(\Omega)$ is a Banach space and

$$B^N(\Omega) = \bigcup_{\gamma,R} M_{\gamma,R} \ .$$

according to BAIRE's category theorem [9] - (if B is a complete, it is the second category) - there exists positive constants ε, δ, R_0 and a vector-function $u^*(x) \in M_{\delta,R_0}$ such that if $u(x) \in B^N(\Omega)$ and

(5)
$$\|u - u^*\|_{B^N} \leqslant \varepsilon \ ,$$

then $u(x) \in M_{\delta,R_0}$. That means :

(6)
$$\sup_{Q_\delta^{2m+2}} |V(u)| \leqslant R_0 \ .$$

Let u be any element of $B^N(\Omega)$. Then for

$$u^* + \frac{\varepsilon}{\|u\|_{B^N}} \, u$$

the inequality (5) is satisfied and therefore according to (6) :

(7)
$$\sup_{Q_\delta^{2m+2}} \left| V(u^*) + \frac{\varepsilon}{\|u\|_{B^N}} V(u) \right| \leqslant R_0 \ .$$

It follows from (7) that :

$$\sup_{Q_\delta^{2m+2}} |V(u)| < 2 R_0 \cdot \epsilon^{-1} \|u\|_{BN} = C_1 \|u\|_{BN}$$

The proof is complete. The inequality (4) can be proved similarly. In this case,

the uniform convergence of a subsequence of u_n at a compact $K \subset Q_\gamma^{m+2}$ follows

from the analyticity u_n with respect to $x_j + iy_j$ in Q_γ^{m+2} and from the bounded-

ness of u_n and their derivatives $\dfrac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_\ell}$, $\ell \neq j$, in case Q_γ^{m+2}. In this case,

we set :

$$M_{\gamma,R} = \{u ; u \in B^N(\Omega) , \sup [|V_j(u)| + \sum_{\ell \neq j} |\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\ell} V_j(u)|] < R \} .$$

Remark :

Theorem 1 is walid for any BANACH space $B(\Omega)$ such that :

$$B \subset \mathscr{D}'(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad B \subset A(\Omega) \quad \text{or} \quad B \subset A_j(\Omega) .$$

The following theorem is a consequence of theorem 1.

THEOREM 2

Suppose that in Ω there exists a family of solutions $u_\rho \in \mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$

of system (2) of the form :

(8)
$$u_\rho(x) = e^{i\rho x_j} v_\rho(x) , \quad \rho \in R^1 , \quad \rho > 1 ;$$

such that u_ρ for any $\rho \geqslant 1$ can be analytically extended with

respect to x_j in a domain $Q_{\delta_1}^{m+2}$ for some fixed domain G with

$\bar{G} \subset \Omega$, where δ_1 does not depend on ρ, but $|V_1(v_\rho)|, |\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\ell} V_j(v_\rho)|$,

$\ell \neq j$, are bounded in $Q_{\delta_1}^{m+2}$ by a constant which can depend on ρ.

Suppose that there exists a BANACH space $B^N(\Omega)$ defined in theorem

1, such that

(9)
$$\|u_\rho\|_{BN} \leqslant \exp (C_3 \rho^\mu)$$

where the constants μ and $C_3 > 0$ do not depend on ρ and $\mu < 1$.

Let there exist a sequence of points $x(\rho) \in G_1$ such that for the

points

$$Z_{\rho,h} = (x_0(\rho), \ldots, x_j(\rho) + ih \ldots x_m(\rho)),$$

$-\delta_1 \leqslant h \leqslant 0$, of $Q_{\delta_1}^{m+2}$, the inequality

(10)
$$|v_j(v_\rho(z_{\rho,h}))| > \exp(-c_4\rho^{+\sigma})$$
is fulfilled, where $c_4 > 0$ ans $\sigma < 1$ do not depend on ρ and h .
Then system (2) is not analytical with respect to x_j in Ω .

In order to prove theorem 2 , we show that for solutions u_ρ the estimate

(4) is not valid for the large ρ because of (9) and (10).

Example :

As an example of the application of theorems 1 and 2 , let us prove that the

BOUENDI-GOULAOUIC equation [6]

(11)
$$u_{tt} + t^2 u_{yy} + u_{zz} = 0$$

is not analytical with respect to t and y .

It is easy to see that equation (11) has the family of solutions :

$$u_\rho(x) = \exp\left[i\rho y - \rho\frac{t^2}{2} + \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(z-1)\right]$$

which do not satisfy the inequality (4) with respect to variables y and t

for the large ρ , if $\|u\|_{B^1} = \sup_\Omega |u|$. One can prove, using similar methods

than those of [3] and [10] that all solutions of (11) are analytical with respect

to z .

In what follows, we shall prove a more general theorem for equations of

any order, which includes equation (11).

As a second example of the application of theorem 2, we consider a system

with constant coefficient

(12)
$$L(u) = f \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{N} a^{kj} u_j = f_k \quad , \quad K=1,\ldots,N .$$

where $a^{kj}(\mathscr{D}) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant \gamma_{kj}} a_\alpha^{kj} \mathscr{D}^\alpha$ is a differential operator of the order

γ_{kj} with constant coefficients ; $\mathscr{D}^\alpha = \mathscr{D}_o^{\alpha_o} \ldots \mathscr{D}_m^{\alpha_m}$, $\mathscr{D}_j = -i\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$.

We set $r = \sup \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \gamma_{\ell,k_\ell}$,

where χ is any substitution of the form :

$$\chi = \begin{pmatrix} 1, \ldots, N \\ k_1, \ldots k_N \end{pmatrix}$$

We suppose that the order of the polynomial $P(\xi) = \det \| a^{kj}(\xi) \|$ is equal

to r . For such system of differential equations, it is proved [11] that there

exists two systems of the integers $(s_1, \ldots, s_N)$ and $(t_1, \ldots t_N)$ such that

for any $k, j = 1, \ldots N$:

(13)
$$s_k + t_j \geqslant \gamma_{kj}$$

(14)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} (s_k + t_k) = r$$

Let $a_{kj}^0 = \sum_{|\alpha| = s_k + t_j} a_\alpha^{kj} \xi^\alpha$.

It is evident that : $\det \| a_{kj}^0(\xi) \| = P^0(\xi)$

where $P^0(\xi)$ is the principal part of the polynomial $P(\xi)$.

If $P^0(\xi) \neq 0$ for any $\xi \in R^{m+1}$ with $|\xi| \neq 0$, then the system (12)

is called elliptic in the sense of DOUGLIS-NIRENBERG [12] .

If $\gamma_{kj} = m_k$ for $j = 1, \ldots, N$ and $P^0(\xi) \neq 0$ for $\xi \neq 0$, then the

system (12) is elliptic in the sense of PETROVSKY [3] .

It is proved that such elliptic systems are analytical in any domain

$\Omega \subset R^{m+1}$, [3][13].

THEOREM 3.-
    If the equation $P^0(\xi) = 0$ has a real solution
$$\hat\xi = (\hat\xi_0, \ldots, \hat\xi_m) \text{ and } \hat\xi_j \neq 0 ,$$
then system (12) is not analytical with respect to x_j .

Proof. -

The system (12) for $f = 0$ has a family of solutions of the form :

$$u_\rho(x) = \exp\{ip(\hat{\xi}, x) + i\lambda(\rho)(\eta, x)\} \, Rp$$

$$\lambda(\rho) = \rho^{\frac{p}{q}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \rho^{\frac{-i}{q}} \quad,$$

c_j = constant, p, q are positive integers, $p < q$, η is a vector of R^{m+1} ,

(ξ, η) is a scalar product in R^{m+1} .

It is easy to see that the function u_ρ satisfy the conditions of theorem 2

if $\|u\|_B = \sup_\Omega |u|$ for the BANACH space B .

In the case $\gamma_{kj} = m_k$, a similar theorem was proved by I. PETROVSKY [3].

Let us consider now a system of first order partial differential equations

with analytic coefficients of the form :

$$(15) \qquad L(u) = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_o} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} + B(x) u = 0 \quad.$$

where A_j , B are matrixes of the order $N \times N$. Let us denote :

$$x = (x_o, x_1, \ldots, x_m) = (x_o, x'), \quad y = (y_o, y_1, \ldots, y_m) = (y_o, y')$$

THEOREM 4.-

Let Ω_1 be a neighbourhood of the origin in the space $R^{m+1} =$
$= (x_o, x_1, \ldots, x_m)$. Suppose that an analytical function $\varphi(x, y')$ with
respect to $x' + iy'$, is defined in a domain
$$Q'_\gamma(\Omega_1) = \{x, y' : (x_o, x') \in \Omega_1, |y'| < \gamma\}, \quad \gamma = ct. > 0$$
Suppose that for some $k > 0$
$$\frac{\partial^{2k+1}}{\partial y_s^{2k+1}}(\text{Im } \varphi(0,0)) \neq 0, \frac{\partial^s}{\partial y_s}(\text{Im}\varphi(0,0)) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < s < 2k+1 \quad,$$
$$\text{Im } \varphi(x, 0) < \text{Im } \varphi(0,0) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_1 \quad;$$
the matrix

(16)
$$A(x_o, x' + iy', \text{grad}_x \varphi) = E \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_o} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \quad,$$
(E is the unit matrix), satisfies the following condition :
For any analytical with respect to $x' + iy'$ function $v(x, y')$ in $Q_\gamma(\Omega_1)$
the quadratic form :

(17
$$K(v, v) = \left(\frac{A - \bar{A}^*}{2i} v, v\right) . x_o$$
is nonnegative in $Q_\gamma(\Omega_1)$; here (ψ, f) is a scalar product
in the complex space C^N , matrix $\bar{A}^*$ is the complex-conjugate
matrix for A . Then the system (15) is non analytical with respect

to x_j in any neighbourhood Ω of the origin such that $\Omega \subset \Omega_2 \subset \Omega_1$ for some Ω_2 .

In order to prove this theorem, we prove the existence of the family of solutions of system (15) of the form

$$u_\rho(x) = e^{-i\rho\varphi} \rho(x_0, x', y')$$

where $\sup_{\Omega_2} |v_\rho| < C_6 \rho^{2(m+1)}$. Then it can be proved that for these solutions, the inequality (4) is not fulfilled, if $\|u\|_{B^N} = \sup_\Omega |u|$.

COROLLARIES.

Let us derive some corollaries of theorem 4 .

COROLLARY 1.-

Consider the case of a first order equation :

(18)
$$u_{x_0} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} a^k(x)u_{x_k} + c(x)u = 0$$

Suppose that Im $a^j(x)x_0$ does not change the sign in a neighbourhood of the origin of space $R^{2m+1}(x,y')$. Then according to theorem 4, the equation (18) is not analytical with respect to x_j . In this case, we can take :

$$\varphi = x_j + iy_j .$$

For the MIZOHATA equation [4]

(19)
$$u_t + it^s u_x = 0$$

it means that, if s is odd, then equation (19) has non analytic solutions with respect to x.

In paper [4], it is proved that if s is even, then all solutions of equation (19) are analytic functions.

COROLLARY.2.-

The system (15) is not analytical with respect to x_j , if the matrix A_j is diagonalizable by a unitary transformation and for its eigenvalues λ_k , K=1,....., N , Im $\lambda_k \cdot x_0$ preserves the sign in the neighbourhood of the origin of the space (x,y') and this sign is the same for all k=1,......,N.

It is easy to see that in this case, the condition (17) is fulfilled with the function $\varphi = x_j + iy_j$.

We consider now some applications of the theorem 1 and 2 to higher order equations and, in particular, to second order equations.

We set :

$$t = (x_0,\ldots,x_k,0,\ldots.0) \quad ,y = (0,\ldots,0,x_{k+1},\ldots$$

$$x_\ell,0,\ldots,0),$$

$$z = (0,\ldots,0,x_{\ell+1},\ldots,x_m).$$

Then any point $x \in R^{m+1}$ can be represented in the form $x=t+y+z$.

We denote respectively :

$$\xi' = (\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_k,0,\ldots,0), \quad \xi'' = (0,\ldots,\xi_{k+1},\ldots,\xi_\ell,\cdot 0\ldots0),$$

$$\xi'' = (0,\ldots,0,\xi_{\ell+1},\ldots\xi_m) \quad , \quad = (\mathcal{D}_t,\mathcal{D}_y,\mathcal{D}_z,), \quad =$$

$$\mathcal{D} \quad (-i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0},\ldots, -i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_m}) .$$

THEOREM 5.-

Suppose that the symbols of the differential operators with analytic coefficients $A(t,y,z,\mathcal{D}_t,\mathcal{D}_y)$, $B(t,y,z,\mathcal{D}_t,\mathcal{D}_y)$, $C(t,y,z,\mathcal{D}_z)$ are given for :

$$|y| \leqslant K \quad , \quad |z| \leqslant K \text{ and for all } t \quad ; \quad K \text{ is a constant.}$$

Suppose that for some $\tau > 0$, any real number $\rho > 1$, and for some $M_1 > M_2$, any ξ' and $\hat{\xi}''$ $(0,\ldots,\hat{\xi}_{k+1},0,\ldots,0)$ with $\hat{\xi}_{k+1} = 1$ we have in the domain $\{|y| < K \quad , \quad |z| < K \quad , \quad -\infty < t < \infty\}$

(20)
$$A(\rho^{-\tau}t,y,z,\rho^\tau\xi',\rho\hat{\xi}'') = \rho^{M_1}A(t,y,z,\xi',\hat{\xi}'') = \rho^{M_1}a(t,\xi') .$$

(22)
$$B(\rho^{-\tau}t,y,z,\rho^\tau\xi',\rho\hat{\xi}'') = \rho^{M_2}B(t,y,z,\xi',\hat{\xi}'') = \rho^{M_2}b(t,\xi') .$$

and , for some $\hat{\xi}''' \neq 0$ and any complex number γ :
$$C(t,y,z,\gamma\hat{\xi}''') = \gamma^h ,$$

where $h \geqslant [M_1 - M_2] + 1$, $[S]$ is the entire part of S.

If there exists a function $v(t) \in \mathcal{L}_2(R^k)$ such that $v(t) \neq 0$ and

for some $\lambda = $ constant ϵ $\mathbb{C}^1$ the function $v(t)$ as a distribution of $\mathscr{D}'(R^{k+1})$ satisfies the equation :

(22)
$$a(t, \mathscr{D}_t)v + \lambda b(t, \mathscr{D}_t)v = 0 \quad,$$

then the equation

$$L(u) = Au + C\,Bu = f$$

is not analytical with respect to variable x_{k+1} in any domain :

$$\Omega = \{x : |t| \leqslant H_1 \cdot |y| \leqslant K_1 \cdot |z| \leqslant K_1\} , \quad K_1 = ct. < K.$$

PROOF :

We consider the solution of equation (23) in the form :

$$u_\rho(x) = \exp \{i\rho x_{k+1} + i\rho\mu(z, \hat{\xi}''')\lambda^{\frac{1}{h}} \} v(\rho^\tau t)$$

where
$$\mu = \frac{M_1 - M_2}{h} < 1 \quad.$$

and use the BANACH space with the norm :

$$\|u\|_{B(\Omega)} = \left(\int_\Omega |u|^2 dx\right)^{1/2}.$$

It is easy to see that for $u_\rho(x)$, the estimate (4) is not valid.

Thus the theorem is proved.

We can indicate indicate conditions when the solution $v(t)$ of equation

(22) with the necessary properties exists.

Suppose that the operators $a(t, \mathscr{D}_t)$ and $b(t, \mathscr{D}_t)$ define in the space

$S(R^n)$, (see [14]), the norms

$$H_a(u) = [au, u,] \quad, \quad H_b(u) = [bu, u]$$

where

$$[f, \phi] = \int_{R^{k+1}} f\,\overline{\phi}\,\, dt \quad.$$

We denote by H_a and H_b the closure of the space $S(R^n)$ with respect

to the norm $H_a(u)$ and $H_b(u)$ correspondingly . If the operators a and b are

formally selfadjoint and if every bounded set in H_a is compact in H_b , then

for some λ = constant, there exists a weak solution of the equation (22) such that $v(t) \in H_a$ and $v(t) \neq 0$.

THEOREM 6.-

Suppose that the operators A,B,C, satisfy the conditions of theorem 5 and the spaces H_a and H_b have the above mentionned properties, $H_a \subset \mathscr{L}_2(R^k)$. Then equation (23) is not analytical with respect to x_{k+1} in any neighbourhood of the origin.

Thus we see that the existence of the eigenfunction of the equation (22) implies the existence of a class of equations (23) that are not analytical. Conservely, if equations (23) are analytical, we can make a statement about the spectrum of equation (22).

We do not state here such theorem about spectrums. Thus we have interesting relation between the spectral theory of differential operators and the problem of the analyticity .

The next theorem follows from theorem 6.

THEOREM 7.-

Let $P_1(\mathscr{D}_t)$, $P_2(\mathscr{D}_y)$, $P_3(\mathscr{D}_z)$ be homogeneous elliptic differential operators with constant coefficients of the order 2p .
The equation

(24)
$$P_1(\mathscr{D}_t)u + |t|^{2s} P_2(\mathscr{D}_y)u + |t|^{2d} P_3(\mathscr{D}_z) u = f$$

where s and d are integers, s, d $\geqslant$ 0 , is analytical in a neighbourhood of the origin of the space R^{m+1} , if and only if s=d.

PROOF. -

It is proved in [7], using the MORREY-NIRENBERG method [13] , that equation (24) for s=d is analytical. If s $\neq$ d, the equation (24) is not analytical with repect to x_{k+1} in the case d < s and with respect to $x_{k+1+\ell}$

in the case $d > s$, according to theorem 6 .

In the paper [6] in other way,it is proved that for $p=1$, $s=1$, $d=0$

equation (24) is not analytical.

The equation (22) for the case (24) has the form :

$$P_1(\mathcal{D}_t)v + |t|^{2s}v + \lambda|t|^{2d}v = q .$$

It is of interest to study the equation of the form (24) with lower order

terms.

We consider the second order equation with real analytic coefficients

in the neighbourhood Ω of the origin in the space $R^{m+1}(y,x_1,\ldots\ldots,x_m) = (y,z)$

(25) $L(u) = a^{kj}(x)u_{x_k x_j} + a(x) u_{yy} + b^k(x)u_{x_k} + b(x)u_y + c(x)u = f(x,y)$

where

$$a^{kj}(x)\xi_x\xi_j > C_0|\xi|^2 , a(x) = |x|^{2s} \tilde{a}(x) , \tilde{a}(x) > 0 ,$$

C_0 = constant > 0 , s in an integer ;

We can prove, using the extension of the solution in the complex domain,

that equation (25) is analytical.

In the paper [7], this is proved by the method cf [13] under condition :

$$|b(x)| < C_1|x|^{s-1}$$

Some above mentionned results are contained in the papers [15],[16] .

REFERENCES

[1]   HILBERT'S problem.- Collection of articles, edited by P.S. Alexandrov,
       Moscou, "Nauka", (1969), p. 216-219.-

[2]   S.N. BERNSTEIN.- Sur la nature analytique des solutions des équations aux
       dérivées partielles du second ordre. Math.Ann. 59 (1904),P. 20-76.-

[3]   I.G. PETROVSKY.- Sur l'analycité des solutions des systèmes d'équations
        différentielles. Mat. SBORNIK, 5(47),(1939), p.3-68.-

[4]   S.MIZOHATA.- Solutions nulles et solutions non analytiques. J. Math. Kyoto
        Univ., 1, N1,(1962),p.271-302. -

[5]   F. TREVES.- Hypoelliptic partial differential equations of principal type
        with analytic coefficients. Comm. Pure Appl   Math, 23,N4, (1970),
        637-651.-

[6]   M.S. BAOUENDI-C.GOULAOUIC.- Non analytic hypoellipticity for some degenerate
        elliptic operators. Bulletin of the Am.Math.Soc., vol 78, N° 3,(1972)
        p. 483-486.-

[7]   V.V. GRUSIN.- On a class of elliptic pseudodifferential operators degene-
        rating at a submanifold. Mat. Sbornik,84(2), (1971),P.163-195.-

[8]   H. SUZUKI.- Analytic-hypoelliptic differential operators of the first
        order in two-independent variables, J. Math.Soc. Japan, 16,4,(1964),
        p.367-374.

[9]   K.YOSIDA.- Functional analysis.Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissens-
        chaften, Band 123, Springer-Verlag, (1965).-

[10]   H. LEWY.- Uber der analytischen Character der Lösungen elliptischer Dif-
        ferentialgleichungen, Nachrichten von der Gesellschant der Wissens-
        chaften zu Güttingen; Math-Phys. K1.(1927), p.178-186.-

[11]   L.R. VOLEVICH.- On a problem of the linear programming arising from dif-
        ferential equations. Ouspechi Mat. Nauk., V 18, N° 3 (1963), p.155-
        162.

[12]   A. DOUGLIS, L. NIRENBERG.- Interior estimates for elliptic systems of
        partial differential equations. Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.8,(1955);
        p.503-538.

[13]   C. MORREY-L.NIRENBERG.- On the analyticity of the solution of linear ellip-
        tic systems of partial differential equations. Comm. Pure. Appli.
        Math.10(1957),p.271-290.-

[14]   L. HORMANDER.- Linear partial differential operators ; Die Grundlehren der
        Mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einredarstellungen, Band 116,
        Springer-Verlag, (1963).

[15]   O.A. OLEINIK, E.V. RADKEVICH.- On the analyticity of solutions of linear
        differential equations and systems , DOKLADI, 207, N°4 (1972)

[16]   O.A. OLEINIK, E.V. RADKEVICH.- On the system of differential equations
        which have nonanalytical solutions. Ouspechi Mat. Nauk, Vol 27,
        N° 5, (1972) p. 246-248.-