

ANNALI DELLA  
SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE DI PISA  
*Classe di Scienze*

J. C. E. DEKKER

**Good choice sets**

*Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze 3<sup>e</sup> série*, tome 20,  
n° 2 (1966), p. 367-393

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP\\_1966\\_3\\_20\\_2\\_367\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP_1966_3_20_2_367_0)

© Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1966, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze* » (<http://www.sns.it/it/edizioni/riviste/annaliscienze/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

# GOOD CHOICE SETS (\*).

by J. C. E. DEKKER.

## 1. Introduction.

We are concerned with non-negative integers (*numbers*), collections of numbers (*sets*) and collections of sets (*classes*). The letters  $\varepsilon$  and  $o$  stand for the set of all numbers and the empty set of numbers respectively. We shall write  $(I_0, \dots, I_n)$  or  $[I_0, \dots, I_n]$  for the collection consisting of the entities (i. e., numbers, sets, classes, or ordered pairs of numbers)  $I_0, \dots, I_n$ . Brackets will often be used instead of parentheses if this makes it easier to read a formula. Let  $\{a_0, a_1, \dots\}$  be a sequence of numbers. Then we shall use « $a_n$ » and « $a(n)$ » in the same sense. We write  $\subset$  for inclusion, proper or improper; proper inclusion is indicated by writing  $\subset_+$ . A mapping from a subcollection of  $\varepsilon^n$  into  $\varepsilon$  is called a *function*; if  $f$  is a function, we denote its domain and its range by  $\delta f$  and  $\rho f$  respectively. The sets  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are *equivalent* [written:  $\alpha \simeq \beta$ ], if there exists a one-to-one function  $f$  such that  $\alpha \subset \delta f$  and  $f(\alpha) = \beta$ . Note that we may replace « $\alpha \subset \delta f$ » by « $\alpha = \delta f$ » without changing the meaning of « $\alpha \simeq \beta$ ». The sets  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are *recursively equivalent* [written:  $\alpha \simeq \beta$ ], if there exists a partial recursive one-to-one function  $p$  such that  $\alpha \subset \delta p$  and  $p(\alpha) = \beta$ . Note that replacing « $\alpha \subset \delta p$ » by « $\alpha = \delta p$ » would change the meaning of « $\alpha \simeq \beta$ »; for  $\sigma \simeq \sigma$  would become false for every set  $\sigma$  which is not r. e. (i. e., recursively enumerable), because  $\delta p$  is a r. e. set for every partial recursive function  $p$  of one variable. A possible definition of the cardinal number of a set  $\alpha$  is: the class of all sets  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma \simeq \alpha$ . Similarly we have defined the *RET* (i. e., *recursive equivalence type*) of a set  $\alpha$  [written:  $\text{Req}(\alpha)$ ] as the class of all sets  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma \simeq \alpha$ . For a study of *RET*s the reader is referred to [2] and [5].

---

Pervenuto alla Redazione il 3 Settembre 1965.

(\*) Most of the results of this paper were announced without proofs in [4]. Research on this paper was supported by a grant from the Rutgers Research Council and NSF GP 1992.

Let a class of mutually disjoint, non-empty sets be called an *md-class*; such a class is therefore countable, i. e., finite or denumerable. We wish to show how the notion of the RET of a class of sets can be introduced for certain (though not all) *md*-classes. Throughout this paper  $S$  stands for an *md*-class and  $\sigma$  for the union of all sets in  $S$ . For every  $x \in \sigma$  we denote the unique set  $\alpha$  such that  $x \in \alpha$  and  $\alpha \in S$  by  $\alpha_x$ .

DEFINITION. A set  $\gamma$  is a *choice set* of  $S$ , if

- (1)  $\gamma \subset \sigma$ ,
- (2)  $\gamma$  contains exactly one element of each set in  $S$ .

A possible definition of the cardinal number of  $S$  is: the class of all sets  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma \supset \gamma$ , for some choice set  $\gamma$  of  $S$ . Though any two choice sets of an *md*-class are equivalent, they need not be recursively equivalent. Let, for instance,

$$S = [(0, 1), (2, 3), (4, 5), \dots].$$

Then  $S$  has  $c$  choice sets ( $c$  denoting the cardinality of the continuum), while every non-zero RET contains exactly  $\aleph_0$  sets; the  $c$  choice sets of  $S$  can therefore not all be recursively equivalent.

DEFINITION. A set  $\gamma$  is a *good choice set* (abbreviated: *gc-set*) of  $S$ , if it is a choice set for which there exists a partial recursive function  $p(x)$  such that

- (3)  $\sigma \subset \delta p$  and  $(\forall x) [x \in \sigma \implies p(x) \in \gamma \cdot \alpha_x]$ .

We shall prove in sections 3 and 5:

- (i) any two good choice sets of an *md*-class are recursively equivalent,
- (ii) among the  $c$  *md*-classes there are  $c$  which have a *gc-set* and  $c$  which have no *gc-set*.

NOTATION.  $\zeta(S)$  is the class of all *gc*-sets of  $S$ .

DEFINITION. An *md*-class  $S$  is a *gc-class* if  $\zeta(S)$  is non-empty. If  $S$  is a *gc-class*,

$$\text{RET}(S) = \text{Req}(\gamma), \quad \text{for any } \gamma \in \zeta(S).$$

In the trivial case that  $S$  is empty,  $\zeta(S)$  contains exactly one set, namely  $\emptyset$ . The RET of the empty class is therefore 0. It is the purpose of this paper to prove a few propositions concerning *gc*-classes and their RETs.

While an *md*-class need not have an RET (since it need not be a *gc-class*), it is readily seen that every RET  $A$  is the RET of some *gc-class*. For let

$$\alpha \in A, \quad S = \{(x) \mid x \in \alpha\},$$

then  $S$  has exactly one choice set, namely  $\alpha$ . Using the identity function we conclude that  $\alpha$  is also a  $gc$ -set of  $S$ , hence  $\text{RET}(S) = A$ . In this case all sets in  $S$  have the same  $\text{RET}$ , namely 1. It is not hard to see that for any two non-zero  $\text{RET}$ s  $A$  and  $B$  there is a  $gc$ -class  $S$  such that

$$\text{RET}(S) = A, \quad (\forall \sigma)[\sigma \in S \implies \text{Req}(\sigma) = B].$$

For let  $\alpha \in A, \beta \in B$ . Suppose  $a_n$  is a one-to-one function ranging over  $\alpha$ ; if  $\alpha$  is a finite set of cardinality  $k \geq 1$ , we take  $(0, \dots, k - 1)$  as the domain of  $a_n$ , but if  $\alpha$  is infinite, we take  $\varepsilon$  as the domain of  $a_n$ . Put

$$j(x, y) = x + \frac{1}{2}(x + y)(x + y + 1), \quad j(p, \beta) = \{j(p, y) \mid y \in \beta\},$$

$$S = [j(a_0, \beta), j(a_1, \beta), \dots].$$

Obviously, every set in  $S$  is recursively equivalent to  $\beta$ , i. e., has  $\text{RET } B$ . Let  $b \in \beta$  and let  $\gamma$  be the range of the function  $j(a_n, b)$ . Then  $p(x) = j[k(x), b]$  is a recursive function such that

$$\sigma \subset \delta p \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall x)[x \in \sigma \implies p(x) \in \gamma \cdot \alpha_x].$$

This implies that  $\gamma$  is a  $gc$ -set of  $S$  and

$$\text{RET}(S) = \text{Req}(\gamma) = \text{Req}(\alpha) = A.$$

Note that  $\text{Req}(\sigma) = A \cdot B$ , because  $\sigma = j(\alpha \times \beta)$ . Hence

$$(4) \quad \text{Req}(\sigma) = \text{RET}(S) \cdot B.$$

The set  $\sigma$  is the union of all sets in the  $gc$ -class  $S$ , and all sets in  $S$  have the same  $\text{RET}$ , namely  $B$ . Relation (4) shows therefore that though our definition of  $\text{RET}(S)$  may not be the only one possible, it is certainly natural, since in some sense

$$\underbrace{B + B + B + \dots}_{\ll A \text{ times} \gg} = A \cdot B.$$

## 2. Preliminaries.

The sets  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$  are *separable*, if there exist mutually disjoint r. e. sets  $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_n$  such that  $\alpha_i \subset \beta_i$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . We write  $\alpha_0 \mid \alpha_1$  if  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha_1$  are separable. It is readily seen that  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$  are separable if and only

if there exists a partial recursive function  $p(x)$  such that

$$(5) \quad \alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_n \subset \delta p \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho p = (0, \dots, n),$$

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} \text{for } x \in \alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_n & \text{and} & 0 \leq i \leq n, \\ x \in \alpha_i \iff p(x) = i. \end{cases}$$

NOTATIONS.

$$\varrho_0 = 0,$$

$$\varrho_{x+1} = \begin{cases} [a(1), \dots, a(k)], \text{ where } a(1), \dots, a(k) \text{ are the} \\ \text{distinct numbers such that} \\ x + 1 = 2^{a(1)} + \dots + 2^{a(k)}, \end{cases}$$

$$r(x) = r_x = \text{card}(\varrho_x).$$

The class  $Q$  of all finite sets is enumerated without repetitions in the sequence  $\varrho_0, \varrho_1, \dots$ ; the function  $r_x$  is clearly recursive.

A one-to-one function  $t_n$  from  $\varepsilon$  into  $\varepsilon$  is *regressive*, if there exists a partial recursive function  $p(x)$  such that

$$(7) \quad \varrho t \subset \delta p,$$

$$(8) \quad p(t_0) = t_0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall n)[p(t_{n+1}) = t_n].$$

A function from  $\varepsilon$  into  $\varepsilon$  is *retraceable*, if it is strictly increasing and regressive. A set is *regressive* (or *retraceable*) if it is finite or the range of a regressive (respectively, retraceable) function.

For every regressive function  $t_n$  there also exists a partial recursive function which satisfies besides (7) and (8) the conditions

$$(9) \quad \varrho p \subset \delta p,$$

$$(10) \quad (\forall x)[x \in \delta p \implies (\exists y)[p^{y+1}(x) = p^y(x)]].$$

Every partial recursive function  $p(x)$  related to the regressive function  $t_n$  by (7), (8), (9) and (10) is called a function which *regresses*  $t_n$  or a *regressing* function of  $t_n$ ; in the special case that  $t_n$  is strictly increasing, we call  $p(x)$  a function which *retraces*  $t_n$  or a *retracing* function of  $t_n$ . If  $p(x)$  is a regressing function of the regressive function  $t_n$ , then the function  $p^*(x)$  de-

fined by

$$(11) \quad p^*(x) = (\mu y)[p^{y+1}(x) = p^y(x)], \quad \text{for } x \in \delta p,$$

is a partial recursive extension of  $t_x^{-1}$ .

Consider the following proposition. Let the sets  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and the partial recursive functions  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  be related by the conditions

- (a)  $\alpha \subset \delta f$  and  $f(\alpha) = \beta$  and  $f$  is 1 — 1 on  $\alpha$ ,
- (b)  $\beta \subset \delta g$  and  $g(\beta) = \alpha$  and  $g$  is 1 — 1 on  $\beta$ ,
- (c)  $gf(x) = x, \quad \text{for } x \in \alpha.$

Then there exists a partial recursive one-to-one function  $h(x)$  such that

- (d)  $\alpha \subset \delta h \quad \text{and} \quad h(\alpha) = \beta,$
- (e)  $h(x) = f(x), \quad \text{for } x \in \alpha.$

The proof is almost immediate. Under the hypothesis,

$$\sigma = \{x \in \delta f \mid gf(x) = x\}$$

is a r. e. set; hence, if  $h(x)$  is the restriction of  $f(x)$  to  $\sigma$ , then  $h(x)$  satisfies the requirements. This proposition will be used in the following form:

$$(12) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{If } \alpha \text{ and } \beta \text{ are sets for which there exist partial} \\ \text{recursive functions } f(x) \text{ and } g(y) \text{ which satisfy} \\ \text{(a), (b), (c) above, then } \alpha \simeq \beta. \end{array} \right.$$

### 3. Elementary properties.

**PROPOSITION P1.** *Every two gc-sets of an md-class are recursively equivalent.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  be gc-sets of the md-class  $S$ . If the class  $S$  is finite,  $\gamma \simeq \delta$  because  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  are finite sets of the same cardinality. Now assume that  $S, \gamma$  and  $\delta$  are infinite. There exist partial recursive functions  $p$  and  $q$  such that

$$(13) \quad \sigma \subset \delta p \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall x)[x \in \sigma \implies p(x) \in \gamma \cdot \alpha_x],$$

$$(14) \quad \sigma \subset \delta q \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall x)[x \in \sigma \implies q(x) \in \delta \cdot \alpha_x].$$

It follows that

$$(15) \quad \delta \subset \delta p \text{ and } p(\delta) = \gamma \text{ and } p \text{ is } 1-1 \text{ on } \delta,$$

$$(16) \quad \gamma \subset \delta q \text{ and } q(\gamma) = \delta \text{ and } q \text{ is } 1-1 \text{ on } \gamma,$$

$$(17) \quad qp(x) = x, \quad \text{for } x \in \delta.$$

The last three relations imply  $\gamma \simeq \delta$  by (12).

P1 guarantees that the notion  $\text{RET}(S)$  is well-defined for any *gc*-class  $S$ . Let us consider the special case where  $S$  is a non-empty *md*-class which contains exactly  $k$  sets *all of which are finite*. It is readily seen that

(a) every choice set of  $S$  is a *gc*-set,

(b)  $S$  is a *gc*-class and  $\text{RET}(S) = k$ .

A finite *md*-class need not be a *gc*-class. For let  $T = (\tau, \tau')$ , where  $\tau$  is any non-recursive set and  $\tau'$  the complement of  $\tau$  with respect to  $\varepsilon$ . If  $T$  had a *gc*-set, we would have  $\tau \mid \tau'$ , and  $\tau$  would be recursive. Let us now take for  $\tau$  an immune set with an immune complement. For every  $k \geq 3$  we can decompose  $\tau'$  into  $k-1$  immune sets  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{k-1}$ . Then  $B = (\tau, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{k-1})$  is an *md*-class which contains exactly  $k$  sets, but  $B$  is not a *gc*-class. We conclude that for every  $k \geq 2$ , there exists an *md*-class of cardinality  $k$  which is not a *gc*-class.

**PROPOSITION P2.** *The non-empty finite md-class  $S = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is a gc-class if and only if  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$  are separable; if  $S$  is a gc-class, each choice set of  $S$  is a gc-set and  $\text{RET}(S)$  equals the cardinality of  $S$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $S = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)$ .

(a) Let  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$  be separable, say  $\alpha_i \subset \beta_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , for mutually disjoint r. e. sets  $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_n$ . Put  $\beta = \beta_0 + \dots + \beta_n$ . Let  $\gamma = (c_0, \dots, c_n)$  with  $c_i \in \alpha_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , be any choice set of  $S$ . Then the function  $p$  defined by

$$\delta p = \beta, \quad (\forall x)(\forall i \leq n)[x \in \beta_i \implies p(x) = c_i],$$

is a partial recursive function which maps any element  $x \in \sigma$  onto the number  $c_i$  such that  $x \in \alpha_i$ . Hence  $\gamma \in \zeta(S)$  and  $S$  is a *gc*-class.

(b) Let  $S$  be a *gc*-class,  $\delta = (d_0, \dots, d_n)$  with  $d_i \in \alpha_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , a *gc*-set of  $S$  and  $q$  a partial recursive function such that

$$\sigma \subset \delta q, \quad (\forall x)(\forall i \leq n)[x \in \alpha_i \implies q(x) = d_i].$$

Then the function  $h$  defined by

$$\delta h = \delta, \quad (\forall i \leq n)[h(d_i) = i],$$

is partial recursive, hence so is the function  $hq$ . Moreover,

$$\sigma \subset \delta(hq), \quad \varrho(hq) = (0, \dots, n) \text{ and} \\ (\forall x) (\forall i \leq n) [x \in \alpha_i \iff hq(x) = i].$$

We conclude that  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$  are separable.

c) Let  $S$  be a  $gc$ -class. Then  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$  are separable by (b) and every choice set of  $S$  is a  $gc$ -set by our proof of (a).

Each choice set  $\gamma$  of  $S$  has cardinality  $n + 1$ , hence  $\text{RET}(S) = \text{Req}(\gamma) = n + 1$ .

REMARK. It is readily seen that every subclass of a  $gc$ -class is again a  $gc$  class. For let  $S$  be a  $gc$ -class with union  $\sigma$  and  $gc$ -set  $\gamma$  and let  $p(x)$  be a partial recursive function such that

$$\sigma \subset \delta p \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall x) [x \in \sigma \implies p(x) \in \gamma \cdot \alpha_x].$$

Assume that  $T \subset S$ , where  $T$  has union  $\tau$ . Then  $\gamma \cdot \tau$  is a choice set of  $T$ , in fact a  $gc$ -set. For  $\tau \subset \delta p$ , since  $\tau \subset \sigma \subset \delta p$ ; moreover, for  $x \in \tau$ ,  $p(x)$  is not only the unique element of  $\gamma \cdot \alpha_x$ , but also of  $(\gamma \cdot \tau) \cdot \alpha_x$ . Hence  $T$  is a  $gc$ -class.

Let  $S$  be a non-empty  $md$ -class. One of the basic propositions concerning such an  $md$ -class is:  $\sigma$  is finite if and only if  $S$  is a finite class of finite sets. This proposition will now be generalized.

DEFINITION. An  $md$ -class is *isolated* if it is a  $gc$ -class of which every (or, equivalently, at least one)  $gc$ -set is isolated. In other words: an  $md$ -class is *isolated* if it is a  $gc$ -class whose  $\text{RET}$  is an *isol*.

PROPOSITION P3. *Let  $S$  be a non-empty  $gc$ -class. Then  $\sigma$  is an isolated set if and only if  $S$  is an isolated class of isolated sets.*

PROOF. Let  $S$  be a non-empty  $gc$ -class.

(a) Assume that  $\sigma$  is isolated. Every set which belongs to  $S$  or  $\zeta(S)$  is a subset of  $\sigma$ , hence again isolated. Thus  $S$  is an isolated class of isolated sets.

(b) Assume that  $S$  is an isolated class of isolated sets. Let  $\gamma \in \zeta(S)$  and let  $p$  be a partial recursive function such that

$$\sigma \subset \delta p \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall x) [x \in \sigma \implies p(x) \in \gamma \cdot \alpha_x].$$



We wish to prove that  $\sigma$  has no infinite r. e. subset. Let  $\beta$  be any r. e. subset of  $\sigma$ . If  $\beta$  is empty we are through; if  $\beta$  is non-empty, so is  $p(\beta)$ . Note that  $p(\beta)$  is a r. e. subset of  $p(\sigma)$ , i. e., of  $\gamma$ . Thus,  $\gamma$  being isolated,  $p(\beta)$  must be finite. The set  $p(\beta)$  consists of all elements in  $\gamma$  which represent sets in  $S$  with which  $\beta$  has a non-empty intersection. Let  $T$  consist of all  $\alpha \in S$  for which  $\alpha \cdot \beta \neq o$ . Since the set  $p(\beta)$  is finite, but non-empty,  $T$  is a non-empty, finite subclass of  $S$ . However,  $S$  is a *gc-class*, hence so is  $T$ . The sets in  $T$  are separable, because  $T$  is a finite *gc-class*. Let

$$T = (\delta_0, \dots, \delta_k), \quad \tau = \delta_0 + \dots + \delta_k.$$

The sets  $\delta_0, \dots, \delta_k$  are isolated, since they belong to  $S$ ; thus  $\tau$  is isolated, because it is the union of  $k + 1$  separable, isolated sets. Recall that  $\delta_0, \dots, \delta_k$  are the only sets in  $S$  with which  $\beta$  has a non-empty intersection. This implies  $\beta \subset \tau$ ; hence  $\beta$  is finite, because  $\beta$  is r. e. and  $\tau$  isolated.

**DEFINITION.** The classes  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  with unions  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  respectively are *separable* [written:  $S_1 \mid S_2$ ], if  $\sigma_1 \mid \sigma_2$ .

**PROPOSITION P4.** Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be separable *md-classes*. Then  $S_1 + S_2$  is an *md-class* and

- (a)  $S_1 + S_2$  is a *gc-class* if and only if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are *gc-classes*,
- (b) if  $S_1 + S_2$  is a *gc-class*,

$$\text{RET}(S_1 + S_2) = \text{RET}(S_1) + \text{RET}(S_2).$$

**PROOF.** Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be separable *md-classes* with unions  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  respectively. Then  $S_1 + S_2$  is an *md class* with union  $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ . Let  $\sigma_1 \subset \tau_1$ ,  $\sigma_2 \subset \tau_2$ , where  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are disjoint r. e. sets.

(1) Assume that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are *gc-classes*. Let  $\gamma_1 \in \zeta(S_1)$ ,  $\gamma_2 \in \zeta(S_2)$ , then  $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2$  is obviously a choice set of  $S_1 + S_2$ . For  $x \in \sigma_1$  we denote the unique set  $\alpha$  such that  $x \in \alpha$  and  $\alpha \in S_1$  by  $\alpha_x$ ; for  $x \in \sigma_2$  we denote the unique set  $\beta$  such that  $x \in \beta$  and  $\beta \in S_2$  by  $\beta_x$ . Suppose  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are partial recursive functions such that

$$\sigma_1 \subset \delta p_1 \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall x) [x \in \sigma_1 \implies p_1(x) \in \gamma_1 \cdot \alpha_x],$$

$$\sigma_2 \subset \delta p_2 \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall x) [x \in \sigma_2 \implies p_2(x) \in \gamma_2 \cdot \beta_x].$$

Let the function  $p_3$  be defined by

$$\delta p_3 = \tau_1 \cdot \delta p_1 + \tau_2 \cdot \delta p_2,$$

$$\text{for } x \in \delta p_3, \quad p_3(x) = \begin{cases} p_1(x), & \text{if } x \in \tau_1 \cdot \delta p_1, \\ p_2(x), & \text{if } x \in \tau_2 \cdot \delta p_2. \end{cases}$$

The sets  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are r. e. and disjoint, while the sets  $\delta p_1$  and  $\delta p_2$  are r. e. It follows that  $\tau_1 \cdot \delta p_1$  and  $\tau_2 \cdot \delta p_2$  are disjoint and r. e.: thus  $\delta p_3$  is a r. e. set and  $p_3(x)$  a partial recursive function. Clearly,  $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \subset \delta p_3$  and for  $x \in \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ ,

$$x \in \sigma_1 \implies p_3(x) = p_1(x) \in \gamma_1 \cdot \alpha_x,$$

$$x \in \sigma_2 \implies p_3(x) = p_2(x) \in \gamma_2 \cdot \beta_x,$$

$$\gamma_1 \cdot \alpha_x \subset (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \cdot \alpha_x; \quad \gamma_2 \cdot \beta_x \subset (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \cdot \beta_x.$$

We conclude that  $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2$  is a *gc*-set of  $S_1 + S_2$ .

(2) Assume that  $S_1 + S_2$  is a *gc*-class. Then  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are *gc*-classes, since they are subclasses of  $S_1 + S_2$ . Let  $\gamma \in \zeta(S_1 + S_2)$  and let  $p$  be a partial recursive function such that

$$\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \subset \delta p \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall x)[x \in \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \implies p(x) \in \gamma \cdot (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)].$$

Putting  $\gamma_1 = \gamma \cdot \sigma_1$  and  $\gamma_2 = \gamma \cdot \sigma_2$  we see that  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are *gc*-sets of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  respectively; moreover,  $p$  is a partial recursive function related to  $\gamma_1$ ,  $S_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ ,  $S_2$  in the desired manner.

(3) Let  $S_1 + S_2$  be a *gc*-class. Then  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are *gc*-classes by (a). Also, in view of our proof of (a),

$$\gamma_1 \in \zeta(S_1) \ \& \ \gamma_2 \in \zeta(S_2) \implies \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \in \zeta(S_1 + S_2).$$

The relations  $\gamma_1 \subset \sigma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2 \subset \sigma_2$ ,  $\sigma_1 \mid \sigma_2$  imply  $\gamma_1 \mid \gamma_2$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RET}(S_1 + S_2) &= \text{Req}(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) = \text{Req}(\gamma_1) + \text{Req}(\gamma_2) \\ &= \text{RET}(S_1) + \text{RET}(S_2). \end{aligned}$$

**NOTATION.** For any two classes  $A$  and  $B$ ,

$$A \times B = \{j(\alpha \times \beta) \mid \alpha \in A \ \& \ \beta \in B\},$$

where  $j(\alpha \times \beta) = \{j(x, y) \mid x \in \alpha \ \& \ y \in \beta\}$ .

**REMARK.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  have unions  $\sigma_A$  and  $\sigma_B$  respectively and let  $\sigma_{A \times B}$  be the union of  $A \times B$ . Then it is readily seen that

$$\sigma_{A \times B} = j(\sigma_A \times \sigma_B).$$

We also note that for arbitrary sets  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \tau_1, \tau_2$ ,

$$j(\sigma_1 \times \tau_1) \cdot j(\sigma_2 \times \tau_2) = j(\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 \times \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2).$$

We finally observe that for any two non-empty countable classes  $A$  and  $B$  of non-empty sets,  $A \times B$  is an *md*-class, if both  $A$  and  $B$  are *md*-classes.

**PROPOSITION P5.** *Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be two non empty md-classes. Then  $S_1 \times S_2$  is a non-empty md-class and*

- (a)  $S_1 \times S_2$  is a *gc*-class if and only if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are *gc*-classes,
- (b) if  $S_1 \times S_2$  is a *gc*-class,

$$\text{RET}(S_1 \times S_2) = \text{RET}(S_1) \cdot \text{RET}(S_2).$$

**PROOF.** Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be two non-empty *md*-classes with unions  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  respectively. We already know that  $S_1 \times S_2$  is a non-empty *md*-class. Let for  $x \in \sigma_1, y \in \sigma_2$ ,

$$\alpha_x = \text{the set } \alpha \text{ such that } x \in \alpha \text{ and } \alpha \in S_1,$$

$$\beta_y = \text{the set } \beta \text{ such that } y \in \beta \text{ and } \beta \in S_2.$$

Note that the union of  $S_1 \times S_2$  is  $j(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)$ , while the relation  $j(x, y) \in j(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)$  implies

$$j(\alpha_x \times \beta_y) = \text{the set } \delta \text{ such that } j(x, y) \in \delta \text{ and } \delta \in S_1 \times S_2.$$

(1) Assume that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are *gc*-classes with *gc*-sets  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  respectively. Let  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  be partial recursive functions such that

$$\sigma_1 \subset \delta p_1 \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall x) [x \in \sigma_1 \implies p_1(x) \in \gamma_1 \cdot \alpha_x],$$

$$\sigma_2 \subset \delta p_2 \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall y) [y \in \sigma_2 \implies p_2(y) \in \gamma_2 \cdot \beta_y].$$

Then the mapping  $p_3$  defined by

$$p_3(z) = j[p_1 k(z), p_2 l(z)], \quad \text{for } z \in j(\delta p_1 \times \delta p_2),$$

is a partial recursive function such that  $j(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) \subset \delta p_3$ . Also,

$$\text{for } z = j(x, y) \in j(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2),$$

$$p_3(z) = j[p_1(x), p_2(y)] \in j(\alpha_x \times \beta_y).$$

Since  $p_1(x) \in \gamma_1 \cdot \alpha_x$  and  $p_2(y) \in \gamma_2 \cdot \beta_y$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_3(z) &\in j(\gamma_1 \cdot \alpha_x \times \gamma_2 \cdot \beta_y), \\ p_3(z) &\in j(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2) \cdot j(\alpha_x \times \beta_y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $j(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2)$  is a *gc*-set of  $S_1 \times S_2$ .

(2) Assume that  $S_1 \times S_2$  is a *gc*-class. Let for  $z \in j(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)$  the set  $\eta$  such that  $z \in \eta$  and  $\eta \in S_1 \times S_2$  be denoted by  $\eta_z$ .

Suppose that  $\delta$  is a *gc*-set of  $S_1 \times S_2$  and that  $p$  is a partial recursive function such that

$$\begin{aligned} j(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) &\subset \delta p, \\ j(x, y) \in j(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) &\implies pj(x, y) \in \delta \cdot \eta_{j(x, y)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\beta \in S_2$  and  $b \in \beta$ . Then  $S_1 \times [\beta]$  is a *gc*-class, since it is a subclass of the *gc*-class  $S_1 \times S_2$ . Put

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\beta &= \{pj(x, b) \mid x \in \sigma_1\}, \text{ i. e.,} \\ \delta_\beta &= \{pj(x, y) \mid x \in \sigma_1 \ \& \ y \in \beta\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\delta_\beta$  is a *gc*-set of  $S_1 \times [\beta]$  and  $k(\delta_\beta)$  a choice set of  $S_1$ .

Now assume  $x \in \sigma_1$ . Since  $b \in \beta \subset \sigma_2$  we have  $j(x, b) \in j(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) \subset \delta p$ , hence

$$x \in \sigma_1 \implies pj(x, b) \in \delta \cdot \eta_{j(x, b)}.$$

The last relation implies

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \sigma_1 &\implies pj(x, b) \in \delta_\beta \cdot j(\alpha_x \times \beta_b) \\ &\implies kpj(x, b) \in k(\delta_\beta) \ \& \ kpj(x, b) \in \alpha_x \\ &\implies kpj(x, b) \in k(\delta_\beta) \cdot \alpha_x. \end{aligned}$$

The set  $\sigma_1$  is included in the domain of the partial recursive function  $kpj(x, b)$  of  $x$ . Hence  $k(\delta_\beta)$  is a *gc*-set of  $S_1$ . Similarly one can prove that  $S_2$  has a *gc*-set. Hence  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are *gc*-classes.

(3) Let  $S_1 \times S_2$  be a *gc*-class. Then  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are *gc*-classes by (a). Let  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  be *gc*-sets of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  respectively. Then  $j(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2)$  is a *gc*-set of  $S_1 \times S_2$  in view of our proof of (a). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RET}(S_1 \times S_2) &= \text{Req } j(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2) \\ &= \text{Req } (\gamma_1) \cdot \text{Req } (\gamma_2) = \text{RET}(S_1) \cdot \text{RET}(S_2). \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. The class $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$ .

NOTATIONS. For any set  $\alpha$  and any number  $k$ ,

$$\gamma(\alpha, k) = \{n \mid \varrho_n \subset \alpha \ \& \ r_n = k\},$$

$$\text{Bin}(\alpha) = \{\gamma(\alpha, k) \mid k \geq 1\}.$$

The class  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  is an *md*-class for any set  $\alpha$ . If  $\alpha$  is a finite set of cardinality  $m \geq 1$ , then  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  consists of  $m$  finite sets; in this case  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  is a *gc*-class which has the number  $m$  as its cardinality and its  $\text{RET}$ . This is still true in case  $m = 0$ , for then  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  is empty. For any infinite set  $\alpha$ ,  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  is a denumerable *md*-class of infinite sets. The next proposition tells us when  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  is a *gc*-class. We write  $R$  for  $\text{Req}(\varepsilon)$ , i. e., for the  $\text{RET}$  which consists of all infinite r. e. sets.

PROPOSITION P6. *Let  $A = \text{Req}(\alpha)$ . Then*

- (a) *if  $\alpha$  has an infinite r. e. subset,  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  is a *gc*-class of  $\text{RET}$   $R$ ,*
- (b) *if  $\alpha$  is regressive,  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  is a *gc*-class of  $\text{RET}$   $A$ ,*
- (c) *if  $\alpha$  is immune, but not regressive,  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  is not a *gc*-class.*

PROOF. Let  $\alpha$  be any set. For any number  $x$  such that  $\varrho_x \neq \emptyset$  and  $\varrho_x \subset \alpha$ , we write  $\gamma_x$  for the unique set in  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  which contains  $x$ . Hence  $\gamma_x = \gamma(\alpha, r_x)$ , since  $r_x$  denotes the cardinality of  $\varrho_x$ .

(a) Let  $\alpha$  have an infinite r. e. subset, say  $\beta$ .

Suppose  $b_n$  is a one-to-one recursive function ranging over  $\beta$ , and  $c_{n+1} = b_n$  for every number  $n$ . Then  $\beta = (c_1, c_2, \dots)$  and there exists a recursive function  $d$  such that  $d(0) = 0$  and  $\varrho_{d(n+1)} = (c_1, \dots, c_{n+1})$ . Let  $\delta$  consist of the numbers  $d(1), d(2), \dots$ . Since  $rd(n) = n$  we see that  $\delta$  is a choice set of  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$ . Denoting the union of  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  by  $\sigma$  we have for  $x \in \sigma$ ,

$$d(r_x) \in \delta \cdot \gamma(\alpha, r_x), \quad \text{i. e.,} \quad d(r_x) \in \delta \cdot \gamma_x.$$

Thus  $\delta$  is a *gc*-set of  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  and the  $\text{RET}$  of  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  is  $\text{Req}(\delta) = R$ .

(b) Let the set  $\alpha$  be regressive. If  $\alpha$  is finite we are through. Now assume that  $\alpha$  is infinite. Let  $a_n$  be a regressive function ranging over  $\alpha$  and  $p$  a regressing function of  $a_n$ . Then there exists a partial recursive function  $q$  such that

$$\delta q = \delta p \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall x)(\forall n)[x = a_{n+1} \implies \varrho_{q(x)} = (a_0, \dots, a_n)].$$

Let  $\delta$  consist of  $q(a_1), q(a_2), \dots$ , then  $\delta$  is a choice set of  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$ , because  $q(a_n) \in \gamma(\alpha, n)$ , for  $n \geq 1$ . To show that  $\delta$  is a *gc*-set of  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  we define a function  $f$  as follows :

first of all,  $\delta f = \sigma$ ; secondly, let an element  $x \in \sigma$  be given. Then the numbers  $r(x), i_0, \dots, i_{r(x)-1}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_x &= [a(i_0), \dots, a(i_{r(x)-1})], \\ i_0 &< i_1 < \dots < i_{r(x)-1}, \end{aligned}$$

can be computed. Clearly,  $i_{r(x)-1} \geq r(x) - 1$ . By regressing the function  $a_n$  from  $a(i_{r(x)-1})$  to  $a_{r(x)-1}$  we can therefore compute the unique number  $y$  such that

$$\varrho_y = (a_0, \dots, a_{r(x)-1}).$$

This number  $y$  we call  $f(x)$ . For  $x \in \sigma$ ,

$$f(x) = q(a_{r(x)}) \in \delta \cdot \alpha_x.$$

It is readily verified that  $f$  has a partial recursive extension. Thus  $\delta$  is a *gc*-set of  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$ . It remains to be shown that  $\delta \simeq \alpha$ . For every  $y \in \alpha$  there exists a unique number  $n$  such that  $y = a_n$ ; let us call this number  $n$  the *a-rank* of  $y$ ; it can be effectively computed from  $y$ , since it equals  $p^*(y)$ . Let for  $x \in \delta$ ,

$$g(x) = \text{the element of highest } a\text{-rank in } \varrho_x,$$

then we have for  $n \geq 1, x \geq 0$ ,

$$x = q(a_n) \implies \varrho_x = (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \implies g(x) = a_{n-1}.$$

The function  $g$  therefore maps  $\delta$  one-to-one onto  $\alpha$ . It is readily proved that both  $g$  and  $g^{-1}$  have partial recursive extensions. Thus  $\delta \simeq \alpha$  by (12).

c) Throughout this part of the proof  $\alpha$  denotes an infinite set. We call a set *recursively infinite* (abbreviated: r. i.), if it has an infinite r. e. subset. Thus, if  $\alpha$  is not r. i.,  $\alpha$  is immune. Consider the two statements :

(I) if  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  is a *gc*-class, there is a regressive *gc*-set  $\delta$  of  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  such that the function  $d_n$  defined by «  $d_n \in \delta \cdot \gamma(\alpha, n)$ , for  $n \geq 1$  » has the property :  $\varrho_{a(1)} \mathbf{C}_+ \varrho_{a(2)} \mathbf{C}_+ \dots$ ,

(II) if  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  has a *gc*-set  $\delta$  with the properties listed under (I), then either  $\alpha$  is r. i. or  $\alpha \simeq \delta$ .

These two statements imply

$$\text{Bin}(\alpha) \text{ a } gc\text{-class} \implies \alpha \text{ r. i. or } \alpha \text{ regressive,}$$

i. e., the contrapositive of (c). It therefore suffices to establish (I) and (II).

*Re* (I). Let  $\gamma$  be a *gc*-set of  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  and let for  $n \geq 1$ , the unique element of  $\gamma \cdot \gamma(\alpha, n)$  be denoted by  $c(n)$ . Since  $\varrho_{c(n)}$  has cardinality  $n$ , there exist numbers  $c_{11}, c_{21}, c_{22}, c_{31}, c_{32}, c_{33}, \dots$  such that

$$\varrho_{c(1)} = (c_{11}),$$

$$\varrho_{c(2)} = (c_{21}, c_{22}), \text{ where } c_{21} < c_{22},$$

$$\varrho_{c(3)} = (c_{31}, c_{32}, c_{33}), \text{ where } c_{31} < c_{32} < c_{33},$$

$$\vdots$$

Put  $e_1 =$  the first number occurring in  $c_{11}, c_{21}, c_{22}, c_{31}, \dots$ ,  
 $e_{n+1} =$  the first number occurring in  $c_{11}, c_{21}, c_{22}, c_{31}, \dots$ ,  
 which does not belong to  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ .

There clearly exists a one-to-one function  $d$  such that

$$\varrho_{d(0)} = o, \quad \varrho_{d(n+1)} = (e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}).$$

We recall that  $\varrho_i \subset_+ \varrho_k$  implies  $i < k$ . Thus the function  $d$  is strictly increasing. Let  $\delta$  consist of the numbers  $d(1), d(2), \dots$ , then  $d(n)$  is the unique element of  $\delta \cdot \gamma(\alpha, n)$ , for  $n \geq 1$ . The set  $\delta$  is therefore a choice set of  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$ . We now prove that  $\gamma$  is a regressive set. Let  $p$  be a partial recursive function such that  $\sigma \subset \delta p$  and for  $x \in \sigma$ ,  $p(x) \in \gamma \cdot \gamma_x$ , i. e.,  $p(x) \in \gamma \cdot \gamma(\alpha, r_x)$ . Let any element of  $\gamma$  be given, say  $c(n+1)$ . Then we can compute the numbers  $c_{n+1,1}, \dots, c_{n+1,n+1}$  such that

$$\varrho_{c(n+1)} = (c_{n+1,1}, \dots, c_{n+1,n+1}),$$

hence also the number  $i$  such that

$$\varrho_i = (c_{n+1,1}, \dots, c_{n+1,n}).$$

Then  $p(i) = c(n)$ , since  $i \in \gamma(\alpha, n)$ . The number  $c(n)$  can therefore be effectively computed from the number  $c(n+1)$ . Hence  $\gamma$  is the range of some regressive function, e. g., of the function  $\bar{c}$  defined by  $\bar{c}(n) = c(n+1)$ , for  $n \in \varepsilon$ . We conclude that  $\gamma$  is a regressive set. We proceed to show that  $\delta$  is a *gc*-set of  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$ . Given any number  $x \in \sigma$  we can compute the numbers  $n = r(x)$  and  $c(n) = p(x)$ , hence also the numbers  $c(1), \dots, c(n)$  and the finite sequence

$$(\Sigma) \quad c_{11}, c_{21}, c_{22}, c_{31}, c_{32}, c_{33}, \dots, c_{n1}, \dots, c_{nn}.$$

The last  $n$  elements of  $(\Sigma)$  are distinct, hence from  $(\Sigma)$  we can compute the numbers  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  and the number  $d(n)$  such that  $\varrho_{d(n)} = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ . However, for  $n \geq 1$  we have  $d(n) \in \delta \cdot \gamma_x$ , i. e.,  $d(n) \in \delta \cdot \gamma(\alpha, n)$ . Thus  $\delta$  is a  $gc$ -set of  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$ . It follows that  $\gamma \simeq \delta$  by PI and that  $\delta$  is regressive, because  $\gamma$  is regressive. This completes the proof of (I). We observe in passing that  $\delta$  is a retraceable set. For first of all,  $d(1) < d(2) < \dots$ . Secondly, given  $d(n+1)$  we know an  $(n+1)$ -element subset of  $\alpha$ , hence also an  $n$ -element subset of  $\alpha$ , i. e., a number in  $\gamma(\alpha, n)$ , say  $t$ ; from  $t$  we can compute the unique element of  $\delta \cdot \gamma(\alpha, n)$ , i. e.,  $d(n)$ .

*Re (II).* Let  $\delta$  be a  $gc$ -set of  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  with the properties mentioned in (I). Define for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$d(n) = \text{unique element of } \delta \cdot \gamma(\alpha, n),$$

$$e_1 = \text{unique element of } \varrho_{d(1)},$$

$$e_{n+1} = \text{unique element of } \varrho_{d(n+1)} - \varrho_{d(n)}.$$

Thus  $\varrho_{d(n)} = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ , for  $n \geq 1$ . Let the set  $\eta$  consist of  $e_1, e_2, \dots$ , then  $\eta \subset \alpha$ , since

$$\eta = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varrho_{d(n)} \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall n) [n \geq 1 \implies \varrho_{d(n)} \subset \alpha].$$

We distinguish two cases:

$$(IIa) \quad \eta \subset_+ \alpha, \qquad (IIb) \quad \eta = \alpha.$$

*Re (IIa).* Let  $t \in \alpha - \eta$  and let  $q$  be a partial recursive function such that  $\sigma \subset \delta q$  and  $q(x) \in \delta \cdot \gamma(\alpha, r_x)$ , for  $x \in \sigma$ . We may assume the number  $d(1)$ , hence also the number  $e_1$ , as known. We have  $\varrho_{d(1)} = (e_1)$ ; here  $e_1 \neq t$ , since  $t \in \alpha - \eta$ . We now know a two-element subset of  $\alpha$ , namely  $(e_1, t)$  and can compute its canonical index, say  $a$  and also the number  $q(a)$ , i. e., the canonical index of  $(e_1, e_2)$ . Since  $t \notin (e_1, e_2)$  we can compute the canonical index of a three-element subset of  $\alpha$ , namely  $(e_1, e_2, t)$ ; let  $b$  be this canonical index. Then  $q(b)$  is the canonical index of  $(e_1, e_2, e_3)$  etc. This effective procedure does not terminate, since  $t \in \alpha - \eta$ . Hence  $\eta$  is an infinite r. e. subset of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$  is r. i.

*Re (IIb).* Assume  $\eta = \alpha$ . We wish to prove  $\alpha \simeq \delta$ , i. e.,  $\eta \simeq \delta$ . Let  $h$  be the mapping from  $\delta$  onto  $\eta$  such that  $h(d_n) = e_n$ , for  $n \geq 1$ . It follows from the definition of the function  $e$  in terms of the function  $d$  that  $h$  has a partial recursive extension. Let any number of  $\eta$  be given, say  $e_n$ ; then  $d_n = h^{-1}(e_n)$  can be computed in the following manner. From the one-



element subset  $(e_n)$  of  $\alpha$  we can compute  $d_1$  and  $e_1$ . If upon comparing  $e_1$  and  $e_n$  we find out that  $e_n = e_1$ , we know that  $n = 1$  and we have found  $d_n$ , since in this case  $d_n = d_1$ . If, on the other hand,  $e_n \neq e_1$ , we know that  $n \neq 1$  and we have a two-element subset of  $\alpha$ , namely  $(e_1, e_n)$ ; this enables us to compute the numbers  $d_2$  and  $e_2$ . We continue this procedure until it terminates, i. e., until we have found  $d_n$  and  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ ; this must happen after a finite number of steps. It is readily proved that the function  $h^{-1}$  has a partial recursive extension. We conclude by (12) that  $\delta \simeq \eta$ , i. e.,  $\delta \simeq \alpha$ . Since  $\delta$  is regressive, so is  $\alpha$ .

**COROLLARY.** *There exist exactly  $c$   $md$ -classes; among these  $c$  are  $gc$ -classes and  $c$  are not.*

**PROOF.** There are at most  $c$   $md$ -classes, since every  $md$ -class is countable. Let  $A$  be called a *Bin*-class, if  $A = \text{Bin}(\alpha)$ , for some  $\alpha$ . There are exactly  $c$  immune sets; among these  $c$  are regressive and  $c$  are not. Thus there are exactly  $c$  *Bin*-classes of immune sets; among these  $c$  are  $gc$ -classes and  $c$  are not. It readily follows that there exist  $c$   $md$ -classes of immune sets; among these  $c$  are  $gc$ -classes and  $c$  are not. This is slightly stronger than the corollary.

An isol is called *regressive*, if it consists entirely of regressive sets, (or equivalently, if it contains at least one regressive set). Let  $A_R$  denote the collection of all regressive isols. It is proved in [3] that  $A_R$  is neither closed under addition nor under multiplication, but that the  $\min(x, y)$  function from  $\varepsilon^2$  into  $\varepsilon$  can be extended in a natural manner to a  $\min(X, Y)$  function from  $A_R^2$  into  $A_R$ . However, it is not true that  $\min(X, Y) = X$  or  $\min(X, Y) = Y$ , for any two regressive isols  $X$  and  $Y$ .

**PROPOSITION P7.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be two non-empty, isolated sets,  $A = \text{Req}(\alpha)$ ,  $B = \text{Req}(\beta)$  and*

$$S = \{j(\xi \times \eta) \mid (\exists n)[n \geq 1 \ \& \ \xi = \gamma(\alpha, n) \ \& \ \eta = \gamma(\beta, n)]\}.$$

*If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are regressive, i. e., if  $A, B \in A_R$ , then  $S$  is a  $gc$ -class and  $\text{RET}(S) = \min(A, B)$ .*

**PROOF.** Assume the hypothesis. If  $A$  or  $B$  is finite, so is  $\min(A, B)$  and the desired conclusion holds. From now on we assume that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are infinite regressive sets. Suppose  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  are  $gc$ -sets of  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  and  $\text{Bin}(\beta)$  respectively and that for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $c_n \in \gamma \cdot \gamma(\alpha, n)$  and  $d_n \in \delta \cdot \gamma(\beta, n)$ . Let the unions of the classes  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$ ,  $\text{Bin}(\beta)$ ,  $S$  be denoted by  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$ ,  $\sigma_3$  respecti-

vely. Consider partial recursive functions  $p$  and  $q$  and the set  $\mu$  such that

$$\sigma_1 \subset \delta p \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall x) [x \in \sigma_1 \implies p(x) = c_{r(x)}],$$

$$\sigma_2 \subset \delta q \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall y) [y \in \sigma_2 \implies q(y) = d_{r(y)}],$$

$$\mu = [j(c_1, d_1), j(c_2, d_2), \dots].$$

The set  $\mu$  is a choice set of  $S$ , because

$$S = [j[\gamma(\alpha, 1) \times \gamma(\beta, 1)], j[\gamma(\alpha, 2) \times \gamma(\beta, 2)], \dots].$$

Assume  $j(x, y) \in \sigma_3$ . Then

$$j[p(x), q(y)] = j[c_{r(x)}, d_{r(y)}] \in j[\gamma(\alpha, r_x) \times \gamma(\beta, r_y)],$$

where the third set mentioned in the last formula is the unique set in  $S$  which contains  $j(x, y)$ . Put

$$h(z) = j[pk(z), ql(z)], \quad \text{for } z \in j(\delta p \times \delta q),$$

then  $h$  is a partial recursive function related to  $\sigma_3$  and  $\mu$  in the usual manner. Thus  $\mu$  is a  $gc$ -set of  $S$  and

$$\text{RET}(S) = \text{Req}(\mu) = \min(A, B).$$

REMARK. Under the hypothesis of P7,  $\text{RET}(S)$  is a regressive isol, since  $A_R^2$  is closed under the minimum function. Note that  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$ ,  $\text{Bin}(\beta)$  and  $S$  are all  $gc$ -classes. The isolated sets  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  can, however, be chosen in such a manner that  $S$  is a  $gc$ -class, while only one of the two classes  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  and  $\text{Bin}(\beta)$  is a  $gc$ -class. This can be shown by the following example due to J. Barback. Let  $\tau_1$  be an immune, regressive set and  $\tau_2$  an immune, indecomposable set. Put

$$\alpha = \{2x \mid x \in \tau_1\}, \quad \eta = \{2x + 1 \mid x \in \tau_2\}, \quad \beta = \alpha + \eta.$$

Then  $\alpha \simeq \tau_1$ ,  $\eta \simeq \tau_2$ , hence  $\alpha$  is also immune and regressive, while  $\eta$  is also immune and indecomposable. The set  $\beta$  is immune, because it is the sum of two separable, immune sets. Note that  $\eta \subset \beta$  and  $\eta \mid \beta - \eta$ . Thus, if  $\beta$  were regressive,  $\eta$  would be regressive by [3, P5]; however,  $\eta$  is indecomposable, while every infinite regressive set is decomposable. Thus  $\beta$  is immune, but not regressive. While  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  is a  $gc$ -class,  $\text{Bin}(\beta)$  is not a  $gc$ -class. Let  $\gamma$  be a  $gc$ -set of  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  and let  $p$  be a partial recursive func-

tion related to  $\gamma$  and  $\sigma_1$  in the usual manner. Let  $c_n \in \gamma \cdot \gamma(\alpha, n)$ , for  $n \geq 1$ . Put

$$\theta = [j(c_1, c_1), j(c_2, c_2), \dots],$$

$$h(z) = j[pk(z), pk(z)], \quad \text{for } z \in j(\delta p \times \varepsilon).$$

Then  $\theta$  is a choice set of  $S$  and for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$j(x, y) \in j[\gamma(\alpha, n) \times \gamma(\beta, n)] \implies hj(x, y) = j(c_n, c_n) \in j[\gamma(\alpha, n) \times \gamma(\beta, n)].$$

Moreover,  $\sigma_3 \subset \delta h$ , hence  $h$  is a partial recursive function related to  $\theta$  and  $\sigma_3$  in the usual manner. Thus  $\theta$  is a *gc*-set of  $S$ . We conclude that of the three classes  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$ ,  $\text{Bin}(\beta)$  and  $S$ , exactly two are *gc*-classes, namely  $\text{Bin}(\alpha)$  and  $S$ . We observe in passing that

$$\text{RET}(S) = \text{Req}(\theta) = \text{Req}(\gamma) = \text{Req}(\alpha) = A.$$

## 5. Characterization of *gc*-classes.

**DEFINITIONS.** Let  $p(x)$  be a partial recursive function and  $S$  a *gc*-class. Then  $p(x)$  is a *gc*-function of  $S$ , if

$$(18) \quad \sigma \subset \delta p \quad \text{and} \quad p(\sigma) \in \zeta(S),$$

$$(19) \quad (\forall x)[x \in \sigma \implies p(x) \in p(\sigma) \cdot \alpha_x],$$

$$(20) \quad \varrho p \subset \delta p \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall x)[x \in \delta p \implies p^2(x) = p(x)].$$

A *gc*-function is a partial recursive function which is a *gc*-function of at least one *gc*-class.

Every *gc*-class has at least one *gc*-function. For let  $S$  be a *gc*-class. Then every partial recursive function  $p(x)$  related to  $S$  by (18) and (19) has a partial recursive restriction  $p_1(x)$  such that

$$\varrho p_1 \subset \delta p_1 \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall x)[x \in \delta p_1 \implies p_1^2(x) = p_1(x)].$$

**NOTATION.** For any partial recursive function  $p(x)$ ,

$$\text{Gen}(p) = \{p^{-1}(y) \mid y \in \varrho p\}.$$

For every partial recursive function  $p(x)$ ,  $\text{Gen}(p)$  is an *md*-class; it is empty if and only if  $p(x)$  is nowhere defined; moreover, it is a r. e. class of r. e. sets; in fact, it is r. e. without repetitions.

**PROPOSITION P8.** *A partial recursive function  $p(x)$  is a gc function if and only if it satisfies (20). Moreover, if  $p(x)$  satisfies (20), it is a gc-function of the class  $S = \text{Gen}(p)$  with  $\sigma = \delta p$  and  $p(\sigma) = \varrho p \in \zeta(S)$ .*

**PROOF.** One direction of the biconditional is trivial. Let  $p(x)$  be a partial recursive function which satisfies (20). Observe that (20) is equivalent to

$$(21) \quad \varrho p \subset \delta p \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall y)[y \in \varrho p \implies p(y) = y].$$

For let  $p(x)$  satisfy (20). Assume  $y_1 \in \varrho p$ , say  $y_1 = p(x_1)$ . Then  $p(y_1) = p^2(x_1) = p(x_1) = y_1$ . Conversely, assume that  $p(x)$  satisfies (21). Let  $x_1 \in \delta p$  and put  $y_1 = p(x_1)$ . Then  $y_1 \in \varrho p$  and  $p^2(x_1) = p(y_1) = y_1 = p(x_1)$ . We may therefore assume that  $p(x)$  satisfies both (20) and (21). Let  $S = \text{Gen}(p)$ . Then  $\sigma = p^{-1}(\varrho p) = \delta p$ , and  $p(\sigma) = p(\delta p) = \varrho p$ . We claim

- (i)  $\varrho p \subset \sigma$ ,
- (ii)  $\alpha \in S \implies \varrho p \cdot \alpha$  contains exactly one element,
- (iii)  $(\forall x)[x \in \sigma \implies p(x) \in \varrho p \cdot \alpha_x]$ .

Note that (i) and (ii) imply that  $\varrho p$  is a choice set of  $S$ , while (i), (ii), (iii) and  $\sigma = \delta p$  imply that  $\varrho p$  is a *gc*-set of  $S$ .

*Re* (i).  $\varrho p \subset \delta p$  and  $\delta p = \sigma$ ; thus  $\varrho p \subset \sigma$ .

*Re* (ii). Let  $\alpha \in S$ , say  $\alpha = p^{-1}(y_1)$ , for some  $y_1 \in \varrho p$ . Then  $y_1 \in \varrho p$  implies  $p(y_1) = y_1$ , hence  $y_1 \in p^{-1}(y_1) = \alpha$ ; thus  $y_1 \in \varrho p \cdot \alpha$ . Moreover,  $y_1$  is the only element of  $\varrho p \cdot \alpha$ . For assume  $y_2 \in \varrho p \cdot \alpha$ . Then  $p(y_2) = y_2$  because  $y_2 \in \varrho p$ , and  $p(y_2) = y_1$  because  $y_2 \in \alpha$ ; thus  $y_1 = y_2$ .

*Re* (iii). Let  $s \in \sigma$ . Then  $s \in \delta p$ ; put  $y_1 = p(s)$ . Hence  $s \in p^{-1}(y_1)$  and  $\alpha_s = p^{-1}(y_1)$ . We now have  $s \in \alpha_s$ ,  $\alpha_s \in S$  and  $\alpha_s = p^{-1}(y_1)$ . According to (ii),  $y_1$  is the only element in  $\varrho p \cdot \alpha_s$ . However,  $y_1 = p(s)$ , hence  $p(s) \in \varrho p \cdot \alpha_s$ .

**PROPOSITION P9.** *Let  $p(x)$  be a gc-function of the gc-class  $S$ . Then  $\sigma = \delta p$  if and only if  $S = \text{Gen}(p)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $p(x)$  be a *gc*-function of the *gc*-class  $S$ . The «if part» is immediate, for  $S = \text{Gen}(p)$  implies

$$\sigma = \Sigma \{p^{-1}(y) \mid y \in \varrho p\} = \delta p.$$

Now assume  $\sigma = \delta p$ . Let  $T = \text{Gen}(p)$ ; denote the union of all sets in  $T$  by  $\tau$ . We know by P8 that  $p(x)$  is a *gc*-function of  $T$  with  $\tau = \delta p$ . Thus

$\sigma = \tau$ , since both  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are equal to  $\delta p$ . It clearly suffices to prove  $S = T$ , i. e., (i)  $S \subset T$ , and (ii)  $T \subset S$ .

*Re* (i). Let  $\alpha \in S$ . Let  $x_0$  be any element of  $\alpha$ ; put  $y_0 = p(x_0)$ . Then

$$x \in \alpha \implies p(x) = p(x_0) \implies p(x) = y_0,$$

i. e.,  $\alpha \subset p^{-1}(y_0)$ . Denoting  $p^{-1}(y_0)$  by  $\beta$  we see that  $\alpha \subset \beta$  and  $\beta \in T$ . The inclusion  $\alpha \subset \beta$  must be improper. For suppose  $b \in \beta - \alpha$ . Then  $b \in \sigma$ , since  $b \in \beta$  and  $\beta \in T$ , while  $\sigma = \tau$ . We claim

$$(22) \quad [\bar{\alpha} \in S \ \& \ \bar{\alpha} \neq \alpha] \implies b \notin \bar{\alpha}.$$

For assuming the hypothesis of (22),

$$[x \in \bar{\alpha} \ \& \ \bar{\alpha} \neq \alpha] \implies p(x) \neq y_0,$$

$$b \in \beta \implies b \in p^{-1}(y_0) \implies p(b) = y_0,$$

so that  $x \neq b$ . Combining (22) with the hypothesis  $b \notin \bar{\alpha}$  we obtain

$$b \notin \Sigma\{\alpha \mid \alpha \in S\}, \text{ i. e., } b \notin \sigma.$$

The assumption  $\alpha \subset_+ \beta$  leads therefore to the contradiction:  $b \in \sigma$  and  $b \notin \sigma$ . Hence  $\alpha = \beta$ , and  $\alpha \in T$  because  $\beta \in T$ .

*Re* (ii). Let  $\beta \in T$ , say  $\beta = p^{-1}(y_1)$ , where  $y_1 \in \rho p$ . Then  $\beta \subset \sigma$ , since  $\beta \subset \tau$  and  $\tau = \sigma$ . Note that  $\beta = p^{-1}(y_1)$  implies  $p(\beta) = (y_1)$ ; combining this with  $\beta \subset \sigma$ , we see that  $\beta$  must be included in some set of  $S$ , say  $\alpha$ . The set  $\beta$  is non-empty, for it belongs to the  $md$ -class  $T$ ; let  $b \in \beta$ . We claim that  $a \in \alpha$  implies  $a \in \beta$ . For assume  $a \in \alpha$ . Then  $a, b \in \alpha$ , since  $b \in \beta$ ,  $\beta \subset \alpha$ ; this implies  $p(a) = p(b)$ . On the other hand,  $\beta = p^{-1}(y_1)$ , hence  $b \in \beta$  implies  $p(b) = y_1$ . Thus  $p(a) = y_1$  and  $a \in p^{-1}(y_1)$ , i. e.,  $a \in \beta$ . We have therefore proved that  $\alpha \subset \beta$ . Since we also have  $\beta \subset \alpha$ , we conclude that  $\alpha = \beta$ . Hence  $\beta \in S$ , since  $\alpha \in S$ . We have proved that  $T \subset S$ .

**DEFINITION I.** A class  $S$  is *primitive*, if it satisfies one of the following three conditions:

- (i)  $S$  is empty, (ii)  $S$  is a non-empty, finite  $md$ -class of r. e. sets,
- (iii)  $S$  is a denumerable  $md$ -class of r. e. sets and there exists a recursive function  $a(n, x)$  such that if  $\alpha_n = \rho a(n, x)$ , for  $n \in \varepsilon$ , then  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots$  are distinct and  $S = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots)$ .

**DEFINITION II.** A class  $S$  is *primitive*, if it is a  $gc$ -class with a  $gc$ -function  $p(x)$  such that  $S = \text{Gen}(p)$ .

DEFINITION III. A class  $S$  is *primitive*, if  $S = \text{Gen}(p)$ , for some partial recursive function  $p(x)$ .

PROPOSITION P10. *The three definitions of a primitive class are equivalent.*

PROOF. Let  $S$  be an *md*-class. We shall establish the three conditionals

- (a)  $S$  I-primitive  $\implies S$  II-primitive,
- (b)  $S$  II-primitive  $\implies S$  III-primitive,
- (c)  $S$  III-primitive  $\implies S$  I-primitive.

Since (b) is trivial we shall restrict our attention to (a) and (c).

*Re* (a). Let  $S$  be I-primitive. We distinguish three cases.

*Case 1.*  $S$  is empty. Let  $p(x)$  be the partial recursive function which is nowhere defined. Then  $S = \text{Gen}(p)$  and  $p(x)$  is a *gc*-function of  $S$ .

*Case 2.*  $S$  is a non-empty, finite *md*-class of r. e. sets, say  $S = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . Note that  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$  are non empty and mutually disjoint. Let  $a_i \in \alpha_i$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . Define a function  $p(x)$  by

$$\delta p = \alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_n, \quad (\forall x)(\forall i \leq n)[x \in \alpha_i \implies p(x) = a_i].$$

Then  $p(x)$  is partial recursive and  $\varrho p = (a_0, \dots, a_n)$ . Hence

$$\text{Gen}(p) = \{p^{-1}(y) \mid y \in \varrho p\} = [p^{-1}(a_0), \dots, p^{-1}(a_n)] = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n) = S.$$

It also follows from the definition of  $p(x)$  that

$$\begin{aligned} p(\sigma) &= p(\alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_n) = (a_0, \dots, a_n) \in \zeta(S), \\ (\forall x)[x \in \sigma &\implies p(x) \in p(\sigma) \cdot \alpha_x], \\ \varrho p \subset \sigma, &\quad \text{hence} \quad \varrho p \subset \delta p, \\ (\forall x)[x \in \sigma &\implies p^2(x) = p(x)]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $p(x)$  is a *gc*-function of  $S$ .

*Case 3.*  $S$  is a denumerable *md*-class of r. e. sets and there exists a recursive function  $a(n, x)$  such that the sets  $\alpha_0 = \varrho a(0, x)$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \varrho a(1, x)$ , ... are distinct and  $S = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots)$ . Define a function  $p(x)$  by

$$\delta p = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots, \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall x)(\forall i)[x \in \alpha_i \implies p(x) = a(i, 0)].$$

The set  $\delta p$  is therefore r. e., and given any  $x \in \delta p$  we can compute the unique number  $i$  such that  $x \in \alpha_i$ . Thus  $p(x)$  is a partial recursive function with  $[a(0, 0), a(1, 0), \dots]$  as its range. Also,

$$\text{Gen}(p) = \{p^{-1}(y) \mid y \in \varrho p\} = [p^{-1}a(0, 0), p^{-1}a(1, 0), \dots] = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots) = S.$$

We can verify as we did in Case 2 that  $p(x)$  is a *gc*-function of  $S$ . In each of the three cases,  $S = \text{Gen}(p)$ , where  $p(x)$  is a *gc*-function of  $S$ , i. e.,  $S$  is II-primitive.

*Re* (c). Let  $S$  be III-primitive, say  $S = \text{Gen}(p)$  for some partial recursive function  $p$ . We distinguish three cases.

*Case 1.*  $p(x)$  is nowhere defined. Then  $S$  is empty.

*Case 2.*  $\rho p$  is non empty, but finite, say  $\rho p = (c_0, \dots, c_k)$ , where  $c_0, \dots, c_k$  are distinct. Then

$$S = \text{Gen}(p) = [p^{-1}(c_0), \dots, p^{-1}(c_k)],$$

where  $p^{-1}(c_0), \dots, p^{-1}(c_k)$  are mutually disjoint, because  $c_0, \dots, c_k$  are distinct. For  $0 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $p^{-1}(c_i)$  is a r. e. set, since  $p(x)$  is a partial recursive function. Hence  $S$  is a finite class which consists of  $k + 1$  mutually disjoint r. e. sets.

*Case 3.*  $\rho p$  is infinite. Since  $\rho p$  is also r. e., there exists a one-to-one recursive function which ranges over  $\rho p$ , say  $e_n$ . Thus

$$S = \text{Gen}(p) = [p^{-1}(c_0), p^{-1}(c_1), \dots].$$

Given any number  $n$  we can effectively find a (definition of a) recursive function  $a_n(x)$  of  $x$  which ranges over  $p^{-1}(c_n)$ . The sets  $p^{-1}(c_0), p^{-1}(c_1), \dots$  are mutually disjoint, since  $c_0, c_1, \dots$  are distinct. Put  $a(n, x) = a_n(x)$ , for  $n \in \varepsilon$ , then  $a(n, x)$  is a recursive function; also, the sets  $\rho a(0, x), \rho a(1, x), \dots$  are distinct and  $S$  consists of  $\rho a(0, x), \rho a(1, x), \dots$ . In each of the three cases  $S$  is I-primitive.

**COROLLARY.** *A class  $S$  is primitive if and only if it is a *gc*-class with a *gc*-function  $p(x)$  such that  $\delta p = \sigma$ .*

**PROOF.** By P9 and P10.

**DEFINITION.** An *md*-class  $T$  is a *restriction* of a *gc*-class  $S$ , if

- (a) for every  $\beta \in T$ , there is an  $\alpha_\beta$  such that  $\beta \subset \alpha_\beta$  and  $\alpha_\beta \in S$ ,
- (b) there is a  $\gamma \in \zeta(S)$  such that  $\beta \in T$  implies  $\gamma \cdot \alpha_\beta \subset \beta$ .

**REMARK.** Let the *md*-class  $T$  be a restriction of the *gc*-class  $S$ . Then every set  $\beta \in T$  uniquely determines the set  $\alpha_\beta$  such that  $\beta \subset \alpha_\beta$  and  $\alpha_\beta \in S$ , since  $\beta$  is non-empty and the sets in  $S$  are mutually disjoint.

It is clear that every subclass of a *gc*-class  $S$  is a restriction of  $S$ . We observed in section 3 that every subclass of a *gc* class is again a *gc*-class. This last statement will now be generalized.

**PROPOSITION P11.** *Every restriction of a gc-class is again a gc-class.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\gamma \in \zeta(S)$  and let the *md*-class  $T$  be related to  $S$  and  $\gamma$  by (a) and (b). Suppose  $p(x)$  is a partial recursive function related to  $\sigma$  and  $\gamma$  in the usual manner. Let  $S_0$  be the class of all sets  $\alpha_\beta$ , for  $\beta \in T$ . Denote the unions of  $S_0$  and  $T$  by  $\sigma_0$  and  $\tau$  respectively, and let  $\gamma_0 = \gamma \cdot \sigma_0$ . The relation  $S_0 \subset S$  implies first of all that  $\gamma_0 \in \zeta(S_0)$  and secondly that  $p(x)$  is related to  $\sigma_0$  and  $\gamma_0$  in the usual manner. The class  $T$  can now be obtained from  $S_0$  by replacing every  $\alpha \in S_0$  by a set  $\beta$  such that  $\gamma_0 \cdot \alpha \subset \beta \subset \alpha$ . Thus

$$(\forall x)[x \in \alpha \ \& \ \alpha \in S_0 \implies p(x) \in \gamma_0 \cdot \alpha] \quad \text{implies}$$

$$(\forall x)[x \in \beta \ \& \ \beta \in T \implies p(x) \in \gamma_0 \cdot \beta].$$

Hence  $\gamma_0 \in \zeta(T)$  and  $p(x)$  is related to  $\tau$  and  $\gamma_0$  in the usual manner. Note that  $\gamma \cdot \sigma_0 = \gamma \cdot \tau$ ; we could therefore also have defined  $\gamma_0$  as  $\gamma \cdot \tau$ .

**PROPOSITION P12.** *Let  $T$  be a gc-class. For every gc-function  $p(x)$  of  $T$ ,  $T$  is a restriction of the primitive gc-class  $\text{Gen}(p)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $T$  be a gc-class and let  $p(x)$  be one of its gc-functions. Put  $S = \text{Gen}(p)$ . In view of P8 the class  $S$  is a primitive class with  $p(x)$  as a gc-function; also  $\sigma = \delta p$  and  $p(\sigma) = \varrho(p) \in \zeta(S)$ . We wish to prove that  $T$  is a restriction of  $S$ , i. e.,

(a) for every  $\beta \in T$ , there is an  $\alpha_\beta$  such that  $\beta \subset \alpha_\beta$  and  $\alpha_\beta \in S$ ,

(b) there is a  $\gamma \in \zeta(S)$  such that  $\beta \in T \implies \gamma \cdot \alpha_\beta \subset \beta$ .

*Re* (a). Let  $\beta \in T$ . Then  $\beta \neq \emptyset$ ; let  $b \in \beta$ ,  $c = p(b)$  and  $\alpha_\beta = p^{-1}(c)$ . Also,  $c \in \varrho p$ , hence

$$\alpha_\beta = p^{-1}(c) \in \text{Gen}(p) = S.$$

Since the element  $b$  of  $\beta$  is mapped by  $p$  onto  $c$ ,  $p$  maps every element of  $\beta$  onto  $c$ . Hence  $\beta \subset p^{-1}(c)$ , i. e.,  $\beta \subset \alpha_\beta$ .

*Re* (b). Put  $\gamma = p(\sigma)$ , then  $\gamma \in \zeta(S)$ . Let  $\beta \in T$ . Define  $b, c, \alpha_\beta$  as in the proof of (a). Then  $c \in \varrho p = p(\sigma)$ , hence  $c \in \gamma$ . Moreover, since  $p(x)$  is a gc-function, we have

$$c \in \varrho p \implies p(c) = c \implies c \in p^{-1}(c) \implies c \in \alpha_\beta.$$

We conclude that  $c \in \gamma \cdot \alpha_\beta$ . Since  $\gamma \in \zeta(S)$  and  $\alpha_\beta \in S$ , the set  $\gamma \cdot \alpha_\beta$  contains only one element, hence  $\gamma \cdot \alpha_\beta = (c)$ . Finally,  $b \in \beta$  and  $\beta \in T$  imply  $p(b) \in \beta$ , hence  $c \in \beta$ . Thus  $\gamma \cdot \alpha_\beta \subset \beta$ .

It follows from P11 and P12 that: *an md-class is a gc-class if and only if it is a restriction of some primitive gc-class.* Let us therefore compare



*gc*-classes in general with primitive *gc*-classes. We have seen in section 4 that there are exactly  $c$  *gc*-classes and in section 1 that for every RET  $A$ , there is a *gc*-class with  $A$  as its RET. On the other hand, we immediately see from the definition of a primitive class that there are exactly  $\aleph_0$  primitive classes and that a primitive class can only have one of  $0, 1, \dots$  or  $R$  as its RET.

The restrictions of a primitive class can be simply described. For let  $S$  be a primitive class. Then every restriction  $T$  of  $S$  can be obtained as follows: choose a  $\gamma \in \zeta(S)$  and form a subclass  $T$  of  $S$  by treating each  $\alpha \in S$  in the following manner: either delete  $\alpha$  altogether or replace  $\alpha$  by any set  $\beta$  such that  $\gamma \cdot \alpha \subset \beta \subset \alpha$ .

It remains to characterize the *gc*-sets of any primitive class  $P$ . If  $P$  is finite, the *gc*-sets of  $P$  are simply the choice sets of  $P$ . Now assume  $P$  is infinite; let  $a(n, x)$  be a recursive function such that

- (i)  $n \neq m \implies \rho a(n, x)$  disjoint from  $\rho a(m, x)$ ,
- (ii)  $S$  consists of  $\rho a(0, x), \rho a(1, x), \dots$ .

Then  $\gamma$  is a *gc*-set of  $P$  if and only if  $\gamma$  equals  $\rho a(f_n, u_n)$ , for some recursive permutation  $f_n$  and some recursive function  $u_n$ .

**6. Miscellaneous remarks.**

(A). We have not yet introduced a relation of recursive equivalence between *md*-classes. This can, however, be done in a natural manner.

NOTATION. For every *md*-class  $S$ ,

$$R(S) = \{(x, y) \in \sigma^2 \mid \alpha_x = \alpha_y\}.$$

DEFINITION. Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be *md*-classes with unions  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  respectively. Let  $R_1 = R(S_1)$  and  $R_2 = R(S_2)$ . Then  $S_1$  is *recursively equivalent* to  $S_2$  [written:  $S_1 \simeq S_2$ ], if there exists a partial recursive one-to-one function  $p(x)$  such that

- (a)  $\sigma_1 \subset \delta p$  and  $p(\sigma_1) = \sigma_2$ ,
- (b)  $x R_1 y \iff p(x) R_2 p(y)$ , for  $x, y \in \sigma_1$ .

This  $\simeq$  relation between *md*-classes is clearly reflexive, symmetric and transitive. We also have for *md*-classes  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ :  $S_1 \simeq S_2$  implies  $\sigma_1 \simeq \sigma_2$ . The following five properties of the  $\simeq$  relation between *md*-classes are readily verified.

- (i) Let  $S_1 \simeq S_2$ . Then  $S_1$  is a *gc*-class if and only if  $S_2$  is a *gc*-class.
- (ii) Let  $S_1 \simeq S_2$ , where  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are *gc*-classes. Then

$$\gamma_1 \in \zeta(S_1) \ \& \ \gamma_2 \in \zeta(S_2) \implies \gamma_1 \simeq \gamma_2.$$

- (iii) Let  $S_1 \simeq S_1^*$ ,  $S_2 \simeq S_2^*$ ,  $S_1 | S_2$  and  $S_1^* | S_2^*$ . Then  $S_1 + S_2 \simeq S_1^* + S_2^*$ .
- (iv)  $S_1 \simeq S_1^*$  &  $S_2 \simeq S_2^* \implies S_1 \times S_2 \simeq S_1^* \times S_2^*$ .
- (v)  $\alpha \simeq \beta \implies \text{Bin}(\alpha) \simeq \text{Bin}(\beta)$ .

(B) Let us say that an *md*-class has *property*  $\pi$ , if there exists a partial recursive function  $q(x, y)$  such that

$$(23) \quad \sigma^2 \subset \delta q \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho q \subset (0, 1),$$

$$(24) \quad \begin{cases} \alpha_x = \alpha_y \implies q(x, y) = 1, & \text{for } x, y \in \sigma, \\ \alpha_x \neq \alpha_y \implies q(x, y) = 0, & \text{for } x, y \in \sigma. \end{cases}$$

Intuitively speaking  $S$  has *property*  $\pi$ , if there is an effective procedure which enables us to decide for any two numbers in  $\sigma$  whether or not they belong to the same set in  $S$ . It is readily seen that

$$(25) \quad S \text{ a } gc\text{-class} \implies S \text{ has property } \pi.$$

For assume the hypothesis. Let  $\gamma \in \zeta(S)$  and let  $p(x)$  be a partial recursive function related to  $\gamma$  and  $\sigma$  in the usual manner. Then the partial recursive function  $q(x, y)$  defined by

$$q(x, y) = \overline{sg} | p(x) - p(y) |, \quad \text{for } x, y \in \delta p,$$

satisfies (23) and (24). We claim that the converse of (25) is false. For let  $S = \text{Bin}(\alpha)$  for a set  $\alpha$  which is immune, but not regressive. Then  $S$  is not a *gc*-class by P6. On the other hand,  $S$  has *property*  $\pi$ , since the recursive function

$$q(x, y) = \overline{sg} | r_x - r_y |, \quad \text{for } x, y \in \varepsilon,$$

satisfies (23) and (24).

(C) We recall the definition of  $\Phi_f(T)$ .

NOTATION. Let  $f(x)$  be any one-to-one function from  $\varepsilon$  into  $\varepsilon$  and let  $T \in \mathcal{A}_R - \varepsilon$ . Then

$$\Phi_f(T) = \text{Req } \varrho t_{f(n)},$$

where  $t_n$  is any regressive function ranging over any set in  $T$ .

It is readily seen that if  $f(x)$  is a strictly increasing, recursive function,  $\Phi_f$  maps  $\mathcal{A}_R - \varepsilon$  into itself. Several other properties of the mapping  $\Phi_f$  are discussed in [6] and [7]. Let us assume that  $f(x)$  is a strictly increasing recursive function such that  $f(0) = 0$ . We wish to show how one can associate with every  $T \in \mathcal{A}_R - \varepsilon$  a simple *gc*-class of finite sets which has

$\Phi_f(T)$  as its RET. Let  $\tau \in T$  and let  $t_n$  be a regressive function ranging over  $\tau$ . Put

$$\tau_n = \{t_x \mid f(n) \leq x < f(n+1)\}, \quad \text{for } n \in \varepsilon,$$

$$S = (\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots), \quad \gamma = (t_{f(0)}, t_{f(1)}, \dots).$$

Then  $S$  is an  $m$ -class of finite sets with  $\tau$  as its union and  $\gamma$  as a choice set. Let

$$g(x) = f(\mu n) [f(n) \leq x < f(n+1)], \quad \text{for } x \in \varepsilon,$$

$$q(x) = t_{g(n)}(x), \quad \text{for } x \in \tau.$$

The function  $g(x)$  is recursive and

$$(\forall x) (\forall n) [x \in \tau_n \implies q(x) \in \gamma \cdot \tau_n].$$

Given any  $x \in \tau$  we can compute the unique number  $n$  such that  $x = t_n$ , i. e., the number  $n = t^{-1}(x)$ , hence also the number  $g(n)$ . However,  $g(n)$  is less than or equal to  $n$ ; this enables us to compute  $q(x) = t_{g(n)}$  from  $t_n$ . It is readily proved that  $q(x)$  has a partial recursive extension. Thus  $\gamma \in \zeta(S)$  and

$$\text{RET}(S) = \text{Req}(\gamma) = \text{Req } \rho t_{f(n)} = \Phi_f(T).$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. BARBACK, *Recursive functions and regressive isols*, Math. Scand. vol. 15 (1964), pp. 29-42.
- [2] J. C. E. DEKKER and J. MYHILL, *Recursive equivalence types*, Univ. California Publ. Math. (N. S.) vol. 3 (1960), pp. 67-214.
- [3] J. C. E. DEKKER, *The minimum of two regressive isols*, Math. Z., vol. 83 (1964), pp. 345-366.
- [4] J. C. E. DEKKER, *The recursive equivalence type of a class of sets*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 70 (1964), pp. 628-632.
- [5] A. NERODE, *Extensions to isols*, Ann. of Math. vol. 73 (1961), pp. 362-403.
- [6] F. J. SANSONE, *Combinatorial functions and regressive isols*, Pac. J. of Math., vol. 13 (1963), pp. 703-707.
- [7] F. J. SANSONE, *A mapping of regressive isols*, Illinois J. of Math., vol. 9 (1965), pp. 726-735.

*Rutgers, The State University  
New Brunswick, N. J., U.S.A.*