

ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION C

F. PLANCHON

Global strong solutions in Sobolev or Lebesgue spaces to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^3

Annales de l'I. H. P., section C, tome 13, n° 3 (1996), p. 319-336

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPC_1996__13_3_319_0

© Gauthier-Villars, 1996, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « *Annales de l'I. H. P., section C* » (<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/anihpc>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

Global strong solutions in Sobolev or Lebesgue spaces to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^3

by

F. PLANCHON

Centre de Mathématiques, U.R.A. 169 du C.N.R.S.,
Ecole Polytechnique, F-91128 Palaiseau Cedex

ABSTRACT. – We construct global strong solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations with sufficiently oscillating initial data. We will show that the condition is for the norm in some Besov space to be small enough.

RÉSUMÉ. – Nous construisons des solutions fortes globales des équations de Navier-Stokes, pour des données initiales suffisamment oscillantes. Cette condition se traduit en terme de norme petite dans un certain espace de Besov.

INTRODUCTION

We are interested in the following system, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $t > 0$,

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla) u = \nu \Delta u - \nabla p \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \end{cases}$$

with initial data $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$. For the sake of simplicity, we suppose that $\nu = 1$; a simple rescaling allows us to obtain any other value. Local

existence and uniqueness in the Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and the Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ are known, if $s > 1/2$ and $p > 3$ (see [4]). We have global solutions for small initial data in $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (see [9] or [4]) and $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (see [4] and [5]), or in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$, with $p > 3$ (see [1]). We shall extend the results of [4], for $s > 1/2$ and $p > 3$. By adapting the auxiliary spaces used in [4], we shall prove the existence and uniqueness of global solutions in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ provided the initial data are small in a sense which will be made precise later, and in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ up to additional conditions on u_0 . Let us define the homogeneous Besov spaces $\dot{B}_{p,q}^\alpha$:

DEFINITION 1. – Let us choose $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ a radial function so that $\text{Supp } \hat{\phi} \subset \{|\xi| < 1 + \varepsilon\}$, and $\hat{\phi}(\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| < 1$. Define $\phi_j(x) = 2^{nj}\phi(2^jx)$, S_j the convolution operator with ϕ_j , and $\Delta_j = S_{j+1} - S_j$. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 < p, q \leq +\infty$, $f \in \dot{B}_{p,q}^\alpha$ if and only if

$$\left[\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (2^{j\alpha} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^p})^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} < +\infty.$$

The reader should consult [12], [2], or [16] where the properties of Besov spaces are exposed in detail. Let us see how homogeneous Besov spaces arise. If we want to construct a global solution, it is useful to control a norm remaining invariant by the rescaling $f(x) \rightarrow \lambda f(\lambda x)$. If this can be achieved in a Besov space with $\alpha < 0$ and therefore bigger than the usual space where we want to obtain a solution, we will have weaker assumptions on u_0 .

Let us give the results in the case of Sobolev spaces. BC denotes the class of bounded continuous functions.

THEOREM 1. – There exists an universal constant $\beta > 0$ such that, if $s > \frac{1}{2}$, $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$ and

$$(2) \quad \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{4,\infty}^{-1/4}} < \beta,$$

then there exists a unique solution u of (1) such that

$$(3) \quad u \in BC([0, \infty), H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

Moreover, the following properties hold for u :

- $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}$ is decreasing, and for every $t > 1$,

$$(4) \quad \|u(\cdot, t) - e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C(\beta, u_0)}{t^{1/4}}.$$

- For every $t > 1$,

$$(5) \quad \| (-\Delta)^{s/2} u(\cdot, t) \|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C(\beta, u_0, s)}{t^{s/2}}.$$

- For every $t > 0$,

$$(6) \quad \| u(\cdot, t) \|_{\infty} \leq \frac{C(\beta, u_0)}{\sqrt{t}}.$$

- If $s \in (1, 3/2]$, for every $t < 1$,

$$(7) \quad \| u(\cdot, t) - e^{t\Delta} u_0 \|_{\infty} \leq C(\beta, u_0).$$

Note that the space $\dot{B}_{4,\infty}^{-1/4}$ is invariant under the scaling $f(x) \rightarrow \lambda f(\lambda x)$, and $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}} \subset \dot{B}_{4,\infty}^{-1/4}$. It is very interesting that we do not need a small $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -norm to obtain a global solution (see [4]). On the other hand, if we want to include the case $1/2$, u is unique in the space

$$\begin{cases} u \in BC([0, +\infty), H^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ t^{1/8} u(\cdot, t) \in BC([0, +\infty), L^4) \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{1/8} \|u\|_{L^4} = 0. \end{cases}$$

which was used in [4], the starting point of the present work. The weak condition (2) is the only remaining obstacle to the problem of existence of global smooth solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations, and we remark that β does not depend on s . The decay estimates (4) can be found in [8], in a slightly different context. We recall it here as a natural consequence of the construction of u .

In the Lebesgue spaces, the analogue is

THEOREM 2. - Let $p > 3/2$, there exists $\delta(p) > 0$ such that, if $u_0 \in L^p \cap \dot{B}_{2p,\infty}^{-(1-\frac{3}{2p})}$, $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$ and

$$(8) \quad \| u_0 \|_{\dot{B}_{2p,\infty}^{-(1-\frac{3}{2p})}} < \delta(p),$$

then there exists a unique solution u such that

$$\begin{cases} u \in BC([0, +\infty), L^p) \\ t^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{4p}} u(\cdot, t) \in BC([0, +\infty), L^{2p}) \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{4p}} \|u\|_{L^{2p}} = 0. \end{cases}$$

The restriction $p > 3/2$ is due to technical considerations, and we could probably obtain 1 instead of $3/2$, by slightly modifying the Besov space involved.

PROPOSITION 1. – *The constant $\delta(p)$ satisfies:*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \delta(p) &= 0, \\ \lim_{p \rightarrow 3/2} \delta(p) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 2. – *In Theorem 2, we can replace $u_0 \in L^p \cap \dot{B}_{2p,\infty}^{-(1-\frac{3}{2p})}$ by $u_0 \in L^p \cap L^3$, and if $p > 3$ by $L^2 \cap L^p$.*

If $u_0 \in H^s, s \geq 1/2$, then as $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}} \subset \dot{B}_{4,\infty}^{-1/4}$, we have a natural candidate for the useful Besov space. On the contrary, if we take L^p , we may use two different Besov spaces: the first one is $\dot{B}_{2p,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2p}}$, as $L^p \subset \dot{B}_{2p,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2p}}$. But this space is not invariant by the rescaling. The “right” space is $\dot{B}_{2p,\infty}^{-(1-\frac{3}{2p})}$, but unfortunately $L^p \not\subset \dot{B}_{2p,\infty}^{-(1-\frac{3}{2p})}$. This explains the additional condition imposed on u_0 in Theorem 2. Both spaces coincide only when $1 - \frac{3}{2p} = \frac{3}{2p}$, which means $p = 3$. The reader should refer to [9] and [4] for details.

Proofs. – We first reformulate the problem in order to obtain an integral equation for u . This is standard practice, and was first employed by Kato and Fujita (see [10] [11]), and very often used since (see [7] [6] [15]). All these authors use semi-group theory, but in the present case, we do not need this formalism, for the exact expression of the heat kernel in \mathbb{R}^3 allows us to obtain directly the estimates we need (see [9]). Let \mathbb{P} be the projection operator from $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^3$ onto the subspace of divergence-free vectors, denoted by $\mathbb{P}L^2$, and R_j the Riesz transform with symbol $\frac{\xi_j}{|\xi|}$. We easily see that

$$(9) \quad \mathbb{P} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} R_1 \sigma \\ R_2 \sigma \\ R_3 \sigma \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\sigma = \sum_j R_j u_j$. It is well-known that \mathbb{P} can be extended to a bounded operator from $(L^p)^3$ onto $\mathbb{P}L^p, 1 < p < +\infty$, and from $(H^s)^3$ onto $\mathbb{P}H^s, s \geq 0$. Note that \mathbb{P} commutes with $S(t) = e^{t\Delta}$, whereas on an open set Ω , we need to introduce the Stokes operator $-\mathbb{P}\Delta$ and the associated semi-group. Note that

$$\text{Ker } \mathbb{P} = \{u \mid \exists \phi \text{ such that } u = \nabla \phi\}.$$

Using \mathbb{P} , (1) becomes an evolution equation

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u - \mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u), \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x). \end{cases}$$

We replace $(u \cdot \nabla)u$ by $\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u)$ to avoid problems of definition, and this is possible only because $\nabla \cdot u = 0$. It is then standard to study (10) via the corresponding integral equation

$$(11) \quad u(x, t) = S(t)u_0(x) - \int_0^t \mathbb{P}S(t-s)\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u)(x, s)ds$$

in a space of divergence free vectors. The integral should be seen as a Bochner integral. In the general case of evolution equations, a solution of (11) might not be a solution of (10). However, in the case of the Navier-Stokes equations without external forces, it is true without any extra assumptions. Actually, the solutions of (11) are $C^\infty((0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ and verify the equations (1) in the classical sense, as we recover easily the pressure up to a constant by

$$(12) \quad -\Delta p = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}.$$

The reader should refer to [7] [10] or [13] for proofs. We remark that since a solution of (1) is necessarily a solution of (11), uniqueness for (11) guarantees uniqueness for (1). We aim to solve (11) by successive approximations, with the following lemma:

LEMMA 1. – *Let E and F be two Banach functional spaces, endowed with the norms $\| \cdot \| = \| \cdot \|_E$ and $| \cdot | = \| \cdot \|_F$, B a continuous bilinear operator from $F \times F \rightarrow E$ and $F \times F \rightarrow F$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \| B(u, v) \| &\leq \eta | u | | v | \\ | B(u, v) | &\leq \gamma | u | | v |, \end{aligned}$$

and define the sequence $X_0 = 0, X_{n+1} = Y + B(X_n, X_n)$, where Y belongs to E and to F . If

$$(13) \quad 4\gamma | Y | < 1,$$

then the sequence converges in both spaces E and F , and the limit X satisfies

$$(14) \quad X = Y + B(X, X)$$

and

$$(15) \quad |X| < 2|Y|.$$

The proof is left to the reader. Note that the value of η has no influence on the convergence. Now we have to study the following bilinear operator

$$(16) \quad B(u, v) = \int_0^t \mathbb{P}S(t-s) \nabla \cdot (u \otimes v) ds.$$

In order to simplify the notations, we limit ourselves to the following scalar operator

$$(17) \quad B(f, g) = \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^2} \theta \left(\frac{\cdot}{(t-s)^2} \right) * fg(s) ds.$$

As $\mathbb{P}S(t-s) \nabla \cdot$ is a matrix of convolution operators, the components are all operators like (17), with

$$(18) \quad e^{|\xi|^2} \hat{\theta}(\xi) = \frac{\xi_j \xi_k \xi_l}{|\xi|^2} \quad (\text{with a } -\xi_j \text{ on the diagonal}).$$

LEMMA 2. - $\theta(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\theta \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$.

This can be easily seen on the Fourier transform of θ .

In what follows, C denotes a constant which may vary from one line to another.

Proof of Theorem 1

PROPOSITION 3. - Let $1/2 < s \leq 3/4$, then there exists a solution u of (11) such that

$$(19) \quad \begin{cases} u \in BC([0, +\infty), \dot{H}^s) = E, \\ \omega(t)u(x, t) \in BC([0, +\infty), L^4) = F, \end{cases}$$

where $\omega(t) = t^{3/8-s/2}$ if $0 < t < 1$ and $\omega(t) = t^{1/8}$ if $t \geq 1$.

We want to apply Lemma (1) where E and F are defined by the norms

$$\begin{aligned} \| u \| &= \sup_t \| u \|_{\dot{H}^s} \\ | u | &= \sup_t \omega(t) \| u \|_{L^4}. \end{aligned}$$

If we use Hölder and Young inequalities for $B(f, g)$, Λ being the operator with symbol $|\xi|$,

$$(20) \quad \| \Lambda^s B(f, g)(t) \|_{L^2} \leq \| \theta \|_{L^1} \| f \| \| g \| \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t - \tau)^{1/2+s/2} \omega^2(\tau)} d\tau,$$

$$(21) \quad \| B(f, g)(t) \|_{L^4} \leq \| \theta \|_{L^{4/3}} \| f \| \| g \| \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t - \tau)^{7/8} \omega^2(\tau)} d\tau.$$

We shall then verify that, for all $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t - \tau)^{1/2+s/2} \omega^2(\tau)} d\tau < +\infty, \\ I_2 &= \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t - \tau)^{7/8} \omega^2(\tau)} d\tau < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Easy calculations actually show that for $t < 1$,

$$I_i < Ct^{s/2-1/4}$$

and for $t > 1$

$$(22) \quad I_i < Ct^{1/4-s/2}.$$

The continuity at $t = 0$ comes from the estimate when $t < 1$. In order to include the case $s = 1/2$, we have to impose $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{1/8} \| u \|_{L^4} = 0$ (see [4]). Note that the constant γ of Lemma 1 is

$$(23) \quad \gamma = \int_0^1 \frac{\| \theta \|_{L^{4/3}}}{(1 - \tau)^{7/8} \tau^{1/4}} d\tau.$$

Therefore, if $S(t)u_0$ satisfies condition (13), we obtain $u \in BC([0, +\infty), \dot{H}^s)$.

PROPOSITION 4. – We have

$$(24) \quad u \in BC([0, +\infty), L^2).$$

Let $G = BC([0, +\infty), L^2)$; B is bicontinuous from $G \times F$ to G :

$$(25) \quad \| B(f, g)(t) \|_{L^2} \leq |g| \sup_{[0,t]} \| f \|_{L^2} \| \theta \|_{L^{4/3}} \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t - \tau)^{7/8} \omega(\tau)} d\tau.$$

Let

$$I_3 = \int_0^t \frac{\| \theta \|_{L^{4/3}}}{(t - \tau)^{7/8} \omega(\tau)} d\tau,$$

for $t < 1$, $I_3 < Ct^{s/2-1/4}$, and for all t

$$I_3 \leq \int_0^1 \frac{\| \theta \|_{L^{4/3}}}{(1 - \tau)^{7/8} \tau^{7/8}} d\tau = \rho.$$

G being a Banach space, we can use a contraction argument to show that the sequence defined previously converges in G . It is sufficient that $2 |u| \rho < 1$, which is true as $\rho \leq \gamma$ and u verifies (15). Therefore, we proved (24) and hence Proposition 3, and shown that $\| u(\cdot, t) \|_{L^2}$ is uniformly bounded.

We now show (6): the following estimation is verified by the heat kernel,

$$(26) \quad \sup_{[0,t]} \sqrt{t} \| S(t)u_0 \|_\infty \leq C.$$

We have

$$\| B(f, g)(t) \|_\infty \leq \| \theta \|_{L^{4/3}} \int_0^t \frac{\| f(s) \|_{L^4} \| g(s) \|_\infty}{(t - s)^{7/8}} ds.$$

Let us denote $W(f, t) = \sup_{[0,t]} \sqrt{t} \| f(\cdot, s) \|_\infty$, then

$$(27) \quad W(B(f, g), t) \leq |f| W(g, t) \| \theta \|_{L^{4/3}} \int_0^t \frac{\sqrt{t}}{(t - s)^{7/8} s^{5/8}} ds.$$

Let

$$I_4 = \int_0^1 \frac{\| \theta \|_{L^{4/3}}}{(1 - \mu)^{7/8} \mu^{5/8}} d\mu$$

then, as $I_4 \leq 2\gamma$, we have $2W(S(t)u_0, t)I_4 < 1$. Therefore,

$$(28) \quad \sup_{[0,t]} \sqrt{t} \| u(\cdot, t) \|_\infty \leq \frac{C}{1 - 2I_4 \| S(t)u_0 \|}.$$

Now we can prove (4) as follows:

$$\| B(f, g)(t) \|_{L^2} \leq \int_0^t \frac{C}{(t - s)^{2-\frac{3}{2q}}} \| g \|_{L^2} \| f \|_{L^\beta} ds,$$

where

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta} - 1.$$

If we take q such that $\frac{3}{2q} = 1 + \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$, using interpolation and (28) we get, for $t > 1$,

$$(29) \quad \|f\|_{L^p} \leq \|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{p}} \|f\|_{\infty}^{1-\frac{2}{p}}$$

and

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} \|B(f, g)(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq \int_0^t \frac{C}{(t-s)^{1-\varepsilon} s^{\frac{1}{6}+\frac{2}{3}\varepsilon}} ds \\ \|B(f, g)(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{t^{\frac{1}{6}-\frac{\varepsilon}{3}}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we know by (26) that $\forall q \geq 2$,

$$(31) \quad \sup_{[0,t]} t^{\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})} \|S(t)u_0\|_q \leq C.$$

Therefore, as u satisfies (14), we will improve (30) in the following way: let

$$(32) \quad B_1(f, g) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(t-s)^2} \theta\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-s}}\right) * fg(s) ds$$

$$(33) \quad B_2(f, g) = \int_1^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^2} \theta\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-s}}\right) * fg(s) ds.$$

The term B_1 can be handled very easily, so that $\forall \eta > 0$,

$$\|B_1(f, g)(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C}{t^{1-\eta}}.$$

Now, we split $B_2(u, u)$ in three parts. By (31) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_2(S(t)u_0, S(t)u_0)\|_{L^2} &\leq \int_1^t \frac{C}{(t-s)^{2-\frac{3}{2q}} s^{\frac{3}{2}(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{\beta})}} ds \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t^{1-\frac{3}{2q}+\frac{3}{2}(1-(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{q}))}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t^{1/4}}, \end{aligned}$$

as

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} - 1.$$

We remark that the exponent $1/4$ cannot be improved, as it does not depend on γ, α and β .

LEMMA 3. – *Suppose that for $0 < \mu$*

$$\| B(u, u)(t) \|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C}{t^\mu},$$

then

$$\| B_2(S(t)u_0, B(u, u)) \|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C}{t^{1/4+\mu}},$$

and there exists $\nu > 0$ such that

$$\| B_2(B(u, u), B(u, u)) \|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C}{t^{\mu+\nu}}.$$

By (31)

$$\begin{aligned} \| B_2(S(t)u_0, B(u, u)) \|_{L^2} &\leq \int_1^t \frac{C}{(t-s)^{2-\frac{3}{2q}} s^{\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\beta})} s^\mu} ds \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t^{-\varepsilon+\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{6}+\frac{2}{3}\varepsilon)+\mu}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t^{1/4+\mu}}, \end{aligned}$$

and, by (28) and (29)

$$\begin{aligned} \| B_2(B(u, u), B(u, u)) \|_{L^2} &\leq \int_1^t \frac{C}{(t-s)^{2-\frac{3}{2q}} s^\mu s^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{2}{\beta})+\frac{2}{\beta}\mu}} ds \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t^{-\frac{1}{3}\varepsilon+\frac{1}{6}+(\frac{5}{3}-\frac{4}{3}\varepsilon)\mu}}. \end{aligned}$$

We can start with $\mu = 1/6 - \varepsilon$, and obtain any exponent $\eta > 1/4$. Thus,

$$(34) \quad \| B_2(u, u) \|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C}{t^{1/4}}.$$

We constructed u for $s \leq 3/4$. Now we will see that if $s > 3/4$, u as above is actually in H^s . We limit ourselves to the bilinear form (17), as the term $S(t)u_0$ satisfies at least the same estimates.

LEMMA 4. – Let $f, g \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3), 3/4 \leq s < 3/2,$

$$(35) \quad \|\Lambda^{2s-3/2}(fg)\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\Lambda^s f\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^s g\|_{L^2} .$$

For a proof see the Appendix. Suppose now that $s > 3/4,$ and u is the solution of Proposition 3 for $s = 3/4.$ Then, if $\eta \leq 1/4,$ we obtain for $t < 1$

$$\|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{4}+\eta}B(f, g)(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \int_0^t \frac{C}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3/4+\eta}{2}}} d\tau$$

using Lemma 4 and the boundedness of f in $H^{3/4},$ so that

$$(36) \quad \|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{4}+\eta}B(f, g)(t)\|_{L^2} \leq Ct^{\frac{1}{8}-\frac{\eta}{2}},$$

which gives the continuity at zero. For $t > 1,$ we have by (29)

$$\|B(f, g)\|_{L^4} \leq \frac{C}{t^{1/4}}$$

which allows us to improve (22), for $s \leq 3/4$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Lambda^s B(f, g)(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{\|\theta\|_{L^1}}{(t-\tau)^{1/2+s/2}\omega^2(\tau)} d\tau |f| |g| \\ &+ \int_1^t \frac{C\|\theta\|_{L^1}}{(t-\tau)^{1/2+s/2}\tau^{1/2}} d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t^{s/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{4}}f(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C}{t^{\frac{3}{8}}}$$

and,

$$\|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{4}+\eta}B_1(f, g)\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C}{(t-1)^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3/4+\eta}{2}}}$$

and

$$\|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{4}+\eta}B_2(f, g)\|_{L^2} \leq \int_1^t \frac{C}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3/4+\eta}{2}}\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}} d\tau$$

then, for all t

$$\|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{4}+\eta}B(f, g)(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C}{1+t^{\frac{3}{8}+\frac{\eta}{2}}}.$$

We have thus obtained $u \in H^{\frac{3}{4}+\eta}$. By applying the same argument we can reach the value $s > 3/2$, as

$$\Lambda^{s+\eta} B(f, g)(t) = \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^2} \Lambda^{\eta+3/2-s} \theta * \Lambda^{2s-3/2}(fg)(\tau) d\tau,$$

with $\eta + 3/2 - s < 1$. Before dealing with the case $s > 3/2$, let us briefly show (7). By Sobolev's injection theorem (see [14]), if $s < 3/2$ then

$$(37) \quad \|f\|_{L^p} \leq C \|\Lambda^s f\|_{L^2},$$

with $1/p = 1/2 - s/3$. If $s = 1 + \alpha$, $\alpha < 1/2$, we obtain, for $t < 1$

$$\|B(f, g)(t)\|_{\infty} \leq \int_0^t \frac{C}{(t-\tau)^{1-\alpha}} d\tau.$$

For small t , $B(f, g)$ is bounded and tends to zero as t goes to zero. Now we treat the case where $s > 3/2$, using the following estimate

LEMMA 5. - Let $f, g \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $s > 3/2$,

$$(38) \quad \|\Lambda^s(fg)\|_{L^2} \leq C(s)(\|\Lambda^s f\|_{L^2} \|g\|_{\infty} + \|\Lambda^s g\|_{L^2} \|f\|_{\infty}).$$

For a proof, see the appendix. We will then show

LEMMA 6. - Let $s > 3/2$, for all $t > 0$

$$(39) \quad \|\Lambda^s u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C(s)}{1+t^{\frac{s}{2}}}.$$

This can be achieved by successive iterations, starting from the previous estimate for $s = 2$, and applying Lemma 5. Let us see how it works at each step. Let $\eta < 1$, we first treat the case $t < 1$.

$$\|\Lambda^{s+\eta} B(f, g)(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^2} \|\Lambda^{\eta} \theta \left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}} \right) * \Lambda^s(fg)\|_{L^2} d\tau,$$

and as f and g are bounded in L^{∞} and in H^s ,

$$(40) \quad \|\Lambda^{s+\eta} B(f, g)(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(s)t^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\eta}{2}}.$$

For $t > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Lambda^{s+\eta} B_1(f, g)\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{s+\eta-1/2}{2}}} \|\Lambda^{s+\eta-\frac{1}{2}} \theta\|_{L^1} \|\Lambda f\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda g\|_{L^2} d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

by using Lemma 4. Then

$$\| \Lambda^{s+\eta} B_1(f, g) \|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C(s)}{(t-1)^{\frac{s+\eta+1/2}{2}}}.$$

For $t > 1$,

$$\| \Lambda^{s+\eta} B_2(f, g) \|_{L^2} \leq \int_1^t \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^2} \| \Lambda^\eta \theta \left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}} \right) * \Lambda^s(fg) \|_{L^2} d\tau,$$

and using Lemma 5 we deduce the estimate for $s+\eta$ from the estimate for s :

$$(41) \quad \| \Lambda^{s+\eta} B_2(f, g) \|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C(s)}{t^{\frac{s+\eta}{2}}}.$$

This achieves the proof of the existence of $u \in BC([0, +\infty), H^s)$. Now, we observe that, as we have local existence and uniqueness for $s > 1/2$ (see [4]), our solution is unique by applying this theorem on intervals covering $[0, \infty)$. In the case $s = 1/2$, it is necessary to establish uniqueness directly, (see [4] or [11]). The reader should refer to [11] or [7], in order to see why a solution of (11) is actually a solution in the classical sense. We can nevertheless make a few remarks. By the same process we use to gain the regularity $s - 3/4$, we can establish, independently of s , estimates in H^r , $r > s$: for all $t > 0$, there exists $\pi(r) > 0$

$$\| \Lambda^r u(\cdot, t) \|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C(r)}{t^{\pi(r)}},$$

and $\Lambda^r u$ is holderian on every interval $[t_0, t_1]$, provided $t_1 > t_0 > 0$. This provides the regularity in the space variables. As for regularity in time, it suffices to use the relation, which can be established without knowing (10)

$$(42) \quad u(t) = S(t-\varepsilon)u(\varepsilon) + \int_\varepsilon^t \mathbb{P}S(t-\tau)\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u) d\tau,$$

and the following lemma, (see [11] or [7] for a proof).

LEMMA 7. - Let $u(t) = \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\Delta} f(s) ds$, $t \in [0, T]$, $f \in C^\eta([0, T], B)$, $\eta < 1$, B a Banach space. Then $u \in C^{1+\nu}((0, T], B)$, $Au \in C^\nu((0, T], B)$, and

$$\partial_t u = -\Delta u + f,$$

for all $\nu < \eta$.

We then obtain the C^∞ regularity of u , for $t > 0$, with a bootstrap argument. Let us see how condition (13) can be expressed on u_0 in terms

of Besov spaces. We set $|S(t)u_0| < \beta$, where β has been chosen so that our scheme converges in F . Remember that

$$|S(t)u_0| = \sup_t \omega(t) \| S(t)u_0 \|_{L^4} .$$

Therefore, as $3/8 - \inf(s, 3/4)/2 < 1/8$,

$$(43) \quad \sup_t t^{3/8 - \inf(s, 3/4)/2} \| S(t)u_0 \|_{L^4} < \beta$$

and

$$(44) \quad \sup_t t^{1/8} \| S(t)u_0 \|_{L^4} < \beta.$$

LEMMA 8. – Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\alpha > 0$, and $\gamma > 1$; $\sup_t t^{\alpha/2} \| S(t)u_0 \|_{L^\gamma}$ is a norm on $\dot{B}_{\beta, \infty}^{-\alpha}$ which is equivalent to the classical dyadic norm.

We refer to [4] or [12] for a proof. In our case, except for $s = 3/4$, the condition on u_0 is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \| u_0 \|_{\dot{B}_{4, \infty}^{-1/4}} \leq \beta, \\ \| u_0 \|_{\dot{B}_{4, \infty}^{-(3/4 - \inf(s, 3/4))}} \leq \beta. \end{cases}$$

Thus, as $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}} \subset \dot{B}_{4, \infty}^{-1/4}$ and $\dot{H}^{\inf(s, 3/4)} \subset \dot{B}_{4, \infty}^{-(3/4 - \inf(s, 3/4))}$, u_0 belongs to both Besov spaces. If u is a solution with initial condition u_0 , $\lambda u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$ is a solution with $\lambda u_0(\lambda x)$ as initial data. The condition (44) is independent of λ for the norm is invariant by scaling. And (43) can be forced by a suitable choice of λ . For $s = 3/4$, we know that $H^{\frac{3}{4}} \subset L^4$, and we conclude in the same way. This ends the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2. – We introduce as before two Banach spaces $E = BC([0, +\infty), L^p)$ with the natural norm

$$\| f \| = \sup_t \| f(\cdot, t) \|_{L^p},$$

and $F = \{f | t^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{4p}} f \in BC([0, +\infty), L^{2p})\}$ with the norm

$$| f | = \sup_t t^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{4p}} \| f(\cdot, t) \|_{L^{2p}} .$$

Then, we see that

$$(45) \quad \| B(f, g)(t) \|_{L^p} \leq \| f \| | g | \| \theta \|_{L^q} \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^{2-\frac{3}{2q}} s^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{4p}}} ds,$$

$$(46) \quad \| B(f, g)(t) \|_{L^{2p}} \leq | f | | g | \| \theta \|_{L^q} \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^{2-\frac{3}{2q}} s^{1-\frac{3}{2p}}} ds,$$

$$(47) \quad \text{where } \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{2p} - 1.$$

which gives the continuity of B from $F \times F \rightarrow F$ and $F \times E \rightarrow E$, with constants $\gamma(p)$ and $\eta(p)$.

$$\gamma(p) = \|\theta\|_{L^q} t^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{4p}} \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^{2 - \frac{3}{2q}} s^{1 - \frac{3}{2p}}} ds,$$

$$\eta(p) = \|\theta\|_{L^q} \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^{2 - \frac{3}{2q}} s^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{4p}}} ds,$$

and a simple rescaling shows both quantities are bounded. Then if we use the same sequence as before, Lemma 1 gives us the convergence in F , and we obtain the convergence in E by a contraction argument, as $\eta(p) \leq \gamma(p)$, we obtain $2|u|\eta(p) < 1$. The continuity at $t = 0$ comes from a slight modification of (45), as we can replace $|f|$ by $\sup_{[0,t]} \tau^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{4p}} \|f(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^{2p}}$, which tends to zero with t . Actually, the value of $t^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{4p}} \|f(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2p}}$ could only be zero: the first term $u_1 = S(t)u_0$ tends to zero, for if we consider a sequence of C_0^∞ functions $(v_j)_j$ which approximate u_0 ,

$$t^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{4p}} \|S(t)u_0\|_{L^{2p}} = t^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{4p}} \|S(t)\| \|u_0 - v_j\|_{L^p} + \|S(t)\| t^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{4p}} \|v_j\|_{L^{2p}}.$$

By Lemma 8 the condition on u_0 becomes,

$$\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2p,\infty}^{-(1 - \frac{3}{2p})}} \leq \delta(p),$$

where $\delta(p) \approx 1/\gamma(p)$. This proves Proposition 1. Proposition 2 results from the inclusion of L^3 in $\dot{B}_{2p,\infty}^{-(1 - \frac{3}{2p})}$. Note that for $p = 2$, we impose the condition

$$t^{\frac{1}{4}} \|S(t)u_0\|_{L^4} < \delta,$$

which is equivalent to the condition (2). For a general $u_0 \in L^2$, we only know

$$t^{\frac{3}{8}} \|S(t)u_0\|_{L^4} < +\infty.$$

In other words, we do not know enough on low frequencies, and a sufficient condition is (2), of which $u_0 \in L^3$ or $u_0 \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ with small norms are particular cases. We obtained existence and uniqueness in a ball of F with Lemma 1 and uniqueness in the whole space can be obtained directly as in [11] or [4]. As in the Sobolev case, it is possible to obtain estimates on L^q norms of $u(\cdot, t)$, $q > p$, in order to show the C^∞ regularity for $t > 0$.

APPENDIX

We recall that if $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a radial function so that $\text{Supp } \hat{\phi} \subset \{|\xi| < 1 + \varepsilon\}$, and $\hat{\phi}(\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| < 1$, we define $\phi_j(x) = 2^{nj}\phi(2^jx)$, S_j the convolution operator with ϕ_j , and $\Delta_j = S_{j+1} - S_j$. Then

$$I = \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Delta_j$$

and $f(x) \in \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if, $\forall j$,

$$(48) \quad \|\Delta_j(f)\|_{L^2} \leq 2^{-js} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s} \varepsilon_j$$

where $\sum \varepsilon_j^2 \leq 1$. We will show the two following inequalities, which are homogeneous variants of well-known inequalities:

for $s < \frac{n}{2}$,

$$(49) \quad \|\Lambda^{2s-\frac{n}{2}}(fg)\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\Lambda^s f\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^s g\|_{L^2},$$

for $s > \frac{n}{2}$,

$$(50) \quad \|\Lambda^s(fg)\|_{L^2} \leq C(s)(\|\Lambda^s f\|_{L^2} \|g\|_{\infty} + \|\Lambda^s g\|_{L^2} \|f\|_{\infty}).$$

Let us start with the first case: we will use a paraproduct decomposition (see [3]): for $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$(51) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x)g(x) &= \sum_j \Delta_j(f) \sum_l \Delta_l(g) \\ &= \sum_{|j-l|\leq 1} \Delta_j(f)\Delta_l(g) + \sum_{|j-l|\geq 1} \Delta_j(f)\Delta_l(g). \end{aligned}$$

The second sum is, by reordering the terms, a finite sum of terms like $S_2 = \sum_j S_{j-1}\Delta_j(g)$. We will treat only S_2 , as the other ones are of the same kind. The Fourier transform of S_2 is supported in an annulus $[2^{j-1}(1 - 2\varepsilon), 2^{j+1}(1 + 2\varepsilon)]$. Using Bernstein's lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_j(f)\|_{\infty} &\leq C2^{j\frac{n}{2}} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C2^{j\frac{n}{2}-s} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s} \varepsilon_j. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\|S_j(f)\|_{\infty} \leq C \sum_{-\infty}^j 2^{q(\frac{n}{2}-s)} \varepsilon_q \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s}.$$

If $j < 0$,

$$\sum_{-\infty}^j 2^{q(\frac{n}{2}-s)} \varepsilon_q = 2^{j(\frac{n}{2}-s)} \tilde{\varepsilon}_j,$$

and

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_j = \sum_{-\infty}^0 2^{q(\frac{n}{2}-s)} \varepsilon_{j+q}$$

is a convolution product between l^1 and l^2 , therefore in l^2 . For $j \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{-\infty}^j 2^{q(\frac{n}{2}-s)} \varepsilon_q \leq C(1 + \dots + 2^{j(\frac{n}{2})} \varepsilon_j).$$

if

$$(52) \quad 2^{j(\frac{n}{2}-s)} \check{\varepsilon}_j = 1 + \dots + 2^{j(\frac{n}{2}-s)} \varepsilon_j,$$

$(\check{\varepsilon}_j)$ is in l^2 for the same reason as $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j$. This gives

$$\|S_j(f)\|_{\infty} \leq C 2^{j(\frac{n}{2}-s)} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s} \eta_j.$$

where $(\eta_j)_j \in l^2$. Then, if $(\mu_j)_j$ is associated to g ,

$$\|S_{j-1}(f)\Delta_j(g)\|_{L^2} \leq 2^{j(\frac{n}{2}-s)} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|g\|_{\dot{H}^s} \eta_j \mu_j,$$

and as $(\eta_j \mu_j)_j \in l^1 \subset l^2$, $S_1 \in \dot{H}^{2s-\frac{n}{2}}$. The terms of the first sum in (51) are like $S_1 = \sum_j \Delta_j(f)\Delta_j(g)$, and in this case we only know that the support of the Fourier transform of $\Delta_j(f)\Delta_j(g)$ is in $\{|\xi| \leq C2^j\}$, and

$$\|\Delta_j(f)\Delta_j(g)\|_{L^1} \leq \varepsilon_j \mu_j 2^{-2js} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|g\|_{\dot{H}^s}.$$

LEMMA 9. - If $u \in L^1$, $\text{supp } \hat{u} \subset B(0, R)$, and $\|\hat{u}(\xi)\|_{\infty} \leq R^{-2s}$, then

$$\|\Lambda^{2s-\frac{n}{2}} u\|_{L^2} \leq \int_{S^2} dS.$$

This comes from

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} (|\xi|^2)^{2s-\frac{n}{2}} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi &\leq R^{-4s} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} |\xi|^{4s-n} d\xi \\ &\leq R^{-4s} \int_{S^2} \int_0^R r^{4s-1} dr dS. \end{aligned}$$

then, applying Lemma 9 to $\Delta_j(f)\Delta_j(g)$,

$$\|S_{j-1}(f)\Delta_j(g)\|_{\dot{H}^{2s-\frac{n}{2}}} \leq C \varepsilon_j \mu_j \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|g\|_{\dot{H}^s}.$$

As $(\eta_j \mu_j)_j \in l^1$, this ends the proof. The second inequality can be proved by the same estimates, except that we have a better estimate for $\|S_j(f)\|_{\infty}$ and $\|\Delta_j(f)\|_{\infty}$, both bounded by $\|f\|_{\infty}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. BEIRÃO DA VEGA, Existence and Asymptotic Behaviour for Strong Solutions of the Navier-Stokes Equations in the Whole Space, *Indiana Univ. Math. Journal*, Vol. **36**(1), 1987, pp. 149-166.
- [2] J. BERGH and J. LÖFSTROM, *Interpolation Spaces, An Introduction*, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [3] J. M. BONY, Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularités dans les équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.*, Vol. **14**, 1981, pp. 209-246.
- [4] M. CANNONE, *Ondelettes, Paraproducts et Navier-Stokes*, PhD thesis, Université Paris IX, CEREMADE F-75775 PARIS CEDEX, 1994, to be published by Diderot Editeurs (1995).
- [5] J.-Y. CHEMIN, Remarques sur l'existence globale pour le système de Navier-Stokes incompressible, *SIAM Journal Math. Anal.*, Vol. **23**, 1992, pp. 20-28.
- [6] Y. GIGA, Solutions for Semi-Linear Parabolic Equations in L^p and Regularity of Weak Solutions of the Navier-Stokes System, *Journal of differential equations*, Vol. **61**, 1986, pp. 186-212.
- [7] Y. GIGA and T. MIYAKAWA, Solutions in L^r of the Navier-Stokes Initial Value Problem, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, Vol. **89**, 1985, pp. 267-281.
- [8] R. KAJIKIYA and T. MIYAKAWA, On L^2 Decay of Weak Solutions of the Navier-Stokes Equations in R^n , *Math. Zeit.*, Vol. **192**, 1986, pp. 135-148.
- [9] T. KATO, Strong L^p Solutions of the Navier-Stokes Equations in R^m with Applications to Weak Solutions, *Math. Zeit.*, Vol. **187**, 1984, pp. 471-480.
- [10] T. KATO and H. FUJITA, On the non-stationary Navier-Stokes system, *Rend. Sem. Math. Univ. Padova*, Vol. **32**, 1962, pp. 243-260.
- [11] T. KATO and H. FUJITA, On the Navier-Stokes Initial Value Problem I, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, Vol. **16**, 1964, pp. 269-315.
- [12] J. PEETRE, *New thoughts on Besov Spaces*, Duke Univ. Math. Series, Duke University, Durham, 1976.
- [13] J. SERRIN, On the Interior Regularity of Weak Solutions of the Navier-Stokes Equations, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, Vol. **9**, 1962, pp. 187-195.
- [14] E. M. STEIN, *Singular Integral and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton University Press, 1970.
- [15] M. TAYLOR, Analysis on Morrey Spaces and Applications to Navier-Stokes and Other Evolution Equations, *Comm. in PDE*, Vol. **17**, 1992, pp. 1407-1456.
- [16] H. TRIEBEL, *Theory of Function Spaces*, volume 78 of *Monographs in Mathematics*, Birkhauser, 1983.

(Manuscript received October 24, 1994.)