

# ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION C

P. MAJER

## **Ljusternik-Schnirelman theory with local Palais-Smale condition and singular dynamical systems**

*Annales de l'I. H. P., section C*, tome 8, n° 5 (1991), p. 459-476

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPC\\_1991\\_\\_8\\_5\\_459\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPC_1991__8_5_459_0)

© Gauthier-Villars, 1991, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section C » (<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/anihpc>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

## Ljusternik-Schnirelman theory with local Palais-Smale condition and singular dynamical systems

by

**P. MAJER**

Scuola Normale Superiore,  
Piazza dei Cavalieri, 7, 56126 Pisa, Italy

---

**ABSTRACT.** — We find infinitely many  $T$ -periodic solutions to a system  $\ddot{u} + \nabla_x V(t, u) = 0$  with a singular,  $T$ -periodic potential  $V$ , whose behaviour at infinity is subjected to rather weak assumptions. In order to do so, we adapt the Ljusternik-Schnirelman method to handle a functional possibly unbounded from below and which possibly does not satisfy the Palais-Smale condition at any level.

**RÉSUMÉ.** — Nous trouvons un nombre infini de solutions  $T$ -périodiques d'un système  $\ddot{u} + \nabla_x V(t, u) = 0$  pour un potentiel singulier,  $T$ -périodique  $V$  dont le comportement à l'infini est sujet à des hypothèses très faibles. Pour ce faire, nous adaptons la méthode de Ljusternik-Schnirelman pour traiter une fonctionnelle même non bornée inférieurement et ne satisfaisant pas la condition de Palais-Smale à tout niveau.

*Mots clés* : Ljusternik-Schnirelman theory, singular dynamical systems, periodic solution.

---

*Classification A.M.S.* : 58 F 05, 58 E 05, 34 C 25.

**0. INTRODUCTION**

In this paper we seek T-periodic solutions of second order systems of the type

$$(0.1) \quad \ddot{u} + au + W'(t, u) = 0,$$

where W is singular at  $x = 0$ ,

$$W(t + T, x) = W(t, x), \quad \text{and} \quad W'(t, x) = : \nabla_x W(t, x).$$

Problem (0.1) has been studied in [1] under the assumptions:

- (i)  $a = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $W(t, x), W'(t, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $t$ ;
- (iii) W satisfies a "Strong Force condition" (namely  $W \simeq -\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \geq 2$ , at  $x = 0$ ).

(See also [2], [4], [5] for other results in this direction.)

The purpose of this work is to extend the results of [1], retaining condition (iii), but weakening (i) and (ii). More precisely we assume that:

- (j)  $a < \left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^2$ ;
- (jj) there exist constants  $c, \theta < 2, r > 0$  such that for  $|x| \geq r$  and for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$

$$W(t, x) \leq c|x|^\theta, \quad W'(t, x) \cdot x - 2W(t, x) \leq c|x|^\theta,$$

and we show that (0.1) has infinitely many T-periodic solutions  $u$  with  $u(t) \neq 0 \forall t$ .

From the abstract point of view, the solutions of (0.1) are critical points of the action integral

$$(0.1) \quad f(u) = \int_0^T \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\dot{u}|^2 - \frac{a}{2} |u|^2 - W(t, u) \right\} dt$$

on

$$\Lambda = \{ u \in H^1(S_T^1, \mathbf{R}^N) : u(t) \neq 0, \forall t \in S_T^1 \}.$$

Two difficulties arise in weakening the hypotheses (i), (ii). First, since we made rather weak assumptions on the derivatives of W at infinity, the Palais-Smale condition may possibly fail at any level (while it holds at any level but 0 under the hypotheses (i), (ii); see [1], Lemma 3.1). Second, if  $a > 0$  the functional  $f$  is no longer bounded from below.

In order to overcome these difficulties we prove in section 2 a Ljusternik-Schnirelman type theorem which establishes the existence of infinitely many critical points (Theorem 2.4). The main features of this theorem

are:

(a) the Palais-Smale condition is not required on the whole domain of the functional;

(b) the functional need not be bounded from below;

(c) a certain control is required on the Ljusternik-Schnirelman category of the sublevel sets of the functional (conditions 2.4.iii and 2.4.iv).

Then in section 3 we show (Theorem 3.5) that if (j), (jj), and (iii) hold,  $f$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.4. So, whereas checking the Palais-Smale condition (2.4.v) becomes much simpler, more care is needed in verifying conditions 2.4.iii and 2.4.iv. Roughly, the idea is to show that if  $f(u) \leq \lambda$ , then  $\| \dot{u} \|_2 / \inf |u(t)| \leq k(\lambda)$ . This allows us to deform the sublevel sets in compact sets (hence with finite category) via a convolution operator.

Theorem 3.5 is completed by two examples. In the former we show a case in which  $a=0$ ,  $W(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  and  $f$  does not satisfy the usual Palais-Smale condition at any positive level.

In the latter we show that if  $a > \left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^2$ , the category of every sublevel set  $\{f \leq \lambda\}$  can actually be infinite, so that Theorem 2.4 cannot be applied.

## 1. NOTATIONS

If  $f$  is a real-valued function on some set  $\Lambda$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\{f \leq \lambda\}$  denotes the set  $\{u \in \Lambda : f(u) \leq \lambda\}$ ; similar meaning has  $\{f \geq \lambda\}$  and so on. If  $X$  is a metric space with metric  $d$ , and if  $x \in X$  and  $\rho \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $B(x, \rho)$  is the ball  $\{y \in X : d(x, y) < \rho\}$ . If  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^N$ ,  $|x|$  and  $x \cdot y$  are respectively the euclidean norm of  $x$  and the scalar product of  $x, y$ .  $S_1^1$  denotes  $\mathbf{R}/T\mathbf{Z}$ . Finally,  $\|u\|_2 = \left(\int_0^T |u(t)|^2 dt\right)^{1/2}$  and  $\|u\|_{1,2} = (\|u\|_2^2 + \|\dot{u}\|_2^2)^{1/2}$  denote respectively the  $L^2$ -norm and the  $H^1$ -norm of  $u \in L^2([0, T], \mathbf{R}^N)$ , respectively  $u \in H^1([0, T], \mathbf{R}^N)$ .

Hereafter SF, LS and PS means respectively Strong Force, Ljusternik-Schnirelman, Palais-Smale.

## 2. A THEOREM OF LJUSTERNIK-SCHNIRELMAN TYPE

We first recall some definitions and basic results on Critical Point Theory. Let  $\Lambda$  be a topological space, and let  $\mathcal{K}(\Lambda)$  be the family of the closed subsets of  $\Lambda$  which are contractible in  $\Lambda$ ; if  $A \subset \Lambda$ , the LS category

of  $A$  relatively to  $\Lambda$  is the number (possibly  $+\infty$ )

$$\text{Cat}_\Lambda(A) = \inf \left\{ k \in \mathbf{N} : A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k X_i \in \mathcal{K}(\Lambda) \right\}.$$

In the following proposition we list some properties of the category.

2.1. PROPOSITION. — *Let  $\Lambda$  be a topological space and  $A, B \subset \Lambda$ . Then*

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Cat}_\Lambda(A \cup B) \leq \text{Cat}_\Lambda(A) + \text{Cat}_\Lambda(B).$$

*If  $A$  is closed and there exists a deformation of  $A$  in  $B$ , i. e., a continuous map  $h : [0, 1] \times A \rightarrow \Lambda$  such that  $h(0, \cdot) = 1_A$  and  $h(1, A) \subset B$  (in particular if  $A \subset B$ ), then*

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Cat}_\Lambda(A) \leq \text{Cat}_\Lambda(B).$$

*If  $\Lambda$  is regular and locally contractible every compact subset of  $\Lambda$  has finite category.*

*If  $\Lambda$  is arcwise connected,  $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a locally finite family of pairwise disjoint closed subsets of  $\Lambda$  and  $A = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ , then*

$$(2.3) \quad \text{Cat}_\Lambda(A) = \sup_{i \in I} \text{Cat}_\Lambda(A_i).$$

*Proof.* — See [7] for the first three properties. Since we have no references for the last, we report here a proof.

We show that  $\text{Cat}_\Lambda(A) \leq \sup_{i \in I} \text{Cat}_\Lambda(A_i)$ , since the converse inequality follows immediately from (2.2). We can assume  $\sup_{i \in I} \text{Cat}_\Lambda(A_i) = m < \infty$ , for

otherwise there is nothing to prove. Thus  $\forall i = \bigcup_{j=1}^m X_{i,j}$ , with  $X_{i,j} \in \mathcal{K}(\Lambda)$ .

Since  $\Lambda$  is arcwise connected, for every  $(i, j)$  there exists a deformation  $h_{i,j}$  of  $X_{i,j}$  in a common base point  $x_0 \in \Lambda$ . For any  $j \leq m$  set  $Y_j = \bigcup_{i \in I} X_{i,j}$

and let  $h_j : [0, 1] \times Y_j \rightarrow \Lambda$  be the map defined by

$$h_j|_{[0,1] \times X_{i,j}} = h_{i,j} \quad \forall i \in I :$$

the definition makes sense because the  $\{X_{i,j}\}_{i \in I}$  are pairwise disjoint. Moreover, since  $\{X_{i,j}\}_{i \in I}$  is a locally finite family of closed sets, one has that each  $Y_j$  is closed and  $h_j$  is continuous, whence  $Y_j \in \mathcal{K}(\Lambda)$ . Therefore  $\text{Cat}_\Lambda(A) \leq m$ .

Q.E.D.

Now let  $\Lambda$  be an open subset of some Banach space  $X$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{C}^1(\Lambda)$  we set  $Z_f = \{u \in \Lambda : f'(u) = 0\}$  and  $\tilde{\Lambda} = \Lambda \setminus Z_f$ . In the proof of the main theorem (2.4) we need some technical lemmas. First of all we recall the following proposition

2.2. PROPOSITION. — Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}^1(\Lambda)$ , and  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$ : then there exists a locally Lipschitz continuous map  $V : \tilde{\Lambda} \rightarrow X$  such that  $\forall u \in \tilde{\Lambda}$

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} \|V(u)\| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|f'(u)\|, \\ \langle f'(u), V(u) \rangle \geq \|f'(u)\|^2. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* — See [7] or [8] (there  $\Lambda = X$  and  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ , but the same construction works without changes in the case of  $\Lambda$  open subset of  $X$ ,  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$ .)

Q.E.D.

Maps like  $V$ , the so-called Pseudogradient vector fields, are used to establish a Deformation Lemma (see [7] or [8]). Actually, for our specific purposes, a statement slightly different from the usual ones is needed.

2.3. LEMMA. — Let  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{C}^1(\Lambda)$  be such that

$$(2.5) \quad \forall u_n \rightarrow u \in \partial\Lambda, \quad f(u_n) \rightarrow \infty,$$

and suppose there exists a locally Lipschitz map  $h : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $Z_f \subset \{f < h - 1\}$ .

Then there exists a continuous map  $\eta : [0, \infty[ \times \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  such that for any  $u \in \Lambda$  one has

- ( $\eta$  i)  $\eta(0, u) = u$ ;
- ( $\eta$  ii)  $\eta(\cdot, u)$  is  $\mathcal{C}^1$  with  $\|\dot{\eta}(t, u)\| \leq 1$ ;
- ( $\eta$  iii)  $f(\eta(\cdot, u))$  is non-increasing;
- ( $\eta$  iv) if  $f(\eta(t, u)) \geq h(\eta(t, u))$ , then

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} (f(\eta(t, u))) \leq -\alpha \|f'(\eta(t, u))\|.$$

*Proof.* — Let  $V$  be the pseudogradient for  $f$  constructed in Proposition 2.2 and let us define a map  $F : \Lambda \rightarrow X$  by

$$(2.7) \quad F(u) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } f(u) \leq h(u) - 1; \\ \frac{V(u)}{\|V(u)\|} (f(u) - h(u) + 1), & \text{if } h(u) - 1 \leq f(u) \leq h(u); \\ \frac{V(u)}{\|V(u)\|} & \text{if } f(u) \geq h(u). \end{cases}$$

Consider the Cauchy problem

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} = -F(\eta(t, u)) \\ \eta(0, u) = u, \quad u \in \Lambda. \end{cases}$$

Since  $V$  is locally Lipschitz continuous in  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  and  $F$  vanishes in a neighbourhood of  $Z_f$ ,  $F$  is locally Lipschitz in  $\Lambda$ . In addition  $\|F\| \leq 1$  and, from (2.4), there results  $\langle f'(u), F(u) \rangle \geq 0$ . Hence (2.8) has a unique solution  $\eta(t, u)$  for any initial value  $u \in \Lambda$ ;  $\eta(\cdot, u)$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^1$  with  $\|\dot{\eta}(t, u)\| \leq 1$ ;  $f(\eta(t, u))$  is not increasing in  $t$ , because

$$\frac{d}{dt} f(\eta(t, u)) = -\langle f'(\eta(t, u)), F(\eta(t, u)) \rangle \leq 0.$$

Now with standard arguments of o.d.e. we have that  $\eta = \eta(t, u)$  is defined and continuous on  $[0, \infty[ \times \Lambda$ . Namely, if for some  $u_0 \in \Lambda$  the maximal existence interval  $I = ]t_0, t_1[$  of  $\eta(\cdot, u_0)$  is right-bounded, then there exists the limit  $u_1$  of  $\eta(t, u_0)$  as  $t \nearrow t_1$ .  $u_1$  belongs to  $\Lambda$ , otherwise from (2.5)  $\lim_{t \nearrow t_1} f(\eta(t, u_0)) = \infty$ , whereas  $f(\eta(t, u_0))$  is not increasing. Then  $\eta$  can

be continued for  $t > t_1$  and  $I$  is not maximal, a contradiction. Thus  $\eta$  verifies (η i), (η ii) and (η iii). Finally suppose that  $f(\eta(t, u)) \geq h(\eta(t, u))$ . Then from (2.7) one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} f(\eta(t, u)) &= -\langle f'(\eta(t, u)), F(\eta(t, u)) \rangle \\ &= -\left\langle f'(\eta(t, u)), \frac{V(\eta(t, u))}{\|V(\eta(t, u))\|} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then (η iv) follows, since from (2.4)

$$-\left\langle f'(\eta(t, u)), \frac{V(\eta(t, u))}{\|V(\eta(t, u))\|} \right\rangle \leq -\alpha \|f'(\eta(t, u))\|.$$

Q.E.D.

Lastly we recall the well known Palais-Smale condition. A sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset \Lambda$  is a PS sequence iff  $f'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  and  $f(u_n)$  is bounded; the PS condition holds in a set  $Y \subset \Lambda$  (respectively, at a level  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ ) iff every PS sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset Y$  (respectively, with  $f(u_k) \rightarrow \lambda$ ) has a limit point  $u \in \Lambda$ .

**2.4. THEOREM.** — *Let  $X$  be a Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ ,  $\Lambda$  an open subset of  $X$ , and suppose a functional  $f: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is given such that the following conditions hold:*

- (i)  $\text{Cat}_\Lambda(\Lambda) = +\infty$ ;
- (ii)  $f \in \mathcal{C}^1(\Lambda)$  and  $\forall u_n \rightarrow u \in \partial\Lambda, f(u_n) \rightarrow +\infty$ ;
- (iii)  $\forall \lambda \in \mathbf{R}, \text{Cat}_\Lambda(\{f \leq \lambda\}) < +\infty$ ;

*suppose in addition that there exist  $g \in \mathcal{C}^1(\Lambda), \beta \in ]0, 1[$  and  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbf{R}$  such that*

- (iv)  $\text{Cat}_\Lambda(\{f \leq g\}) < +\infty$ ;
- (v) *the PS condition holds in the set  $\{f \geq g\}$ ;*
- (vi)  $\beta \|f'(u)\| \geq \|g'(u)\|, \forall u \in \{f = g \geq \lambda_0\}$ .

*Then  $f$  has a sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset \Lambda$  of critical points such that  $f(u_n) \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $f(u_n) \geq g(u_n) - 1$ .*

*Proof.* — Suppose by contradiction that  $Z_f \subset \{f < \max(g, \lambda_*) - 1\}$  for some  $\lambda_* \geq \lambda_0$ . Let  $h = \max(g, \lambda_*)$  and take  $\alpha \in ]\beta, 1[$ : then Lemma 2.3 applies yielding a map  $\eta$  verifying  $(\eta$  i-iv). The set  $A = \{f \leq h\}$  is positively invariant for the flow  $\eta$ : indeed, if  $u \in \partial A$ , either  $f(u) = \lambda_*$ , or  $g(u) = f(u) \geq \lambda_*$ . In the former case we have from  $(\eta$  iii)  $\eta([0, \infty[, u) \subset \{f \leq \lambda_*\} \subset A$ ; in the latter one we get from  $(\eta$  iv) and  $(\eta$  ii)

$$\frac{d}{dt}(f-g)(\eta(t, u)) \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt}f(\eta(t, u)) \Big|_{t=0} - \langle g'(u), \dot{\eta}(0, u) \rangle \leq -\alpha \|f'(u)\| + \|g'(u)\|;$$

and from condition (vi) (since  $u \in \{f = g \geq \lambda_0\}$ )

$$-\alpha \|f'(u)\| + \|g'(u)\| \leq -\alpha \|f'(u)\| + \beta \|f'(u)\| = -(\alpha - \beta) \|f'(u)\|.$$

Note that  $f(u) = h(u)$  implies  $u \notin Z_f$ , since we have assumed  $Z_f \subset \{f < h - 1\}$ . Therefore

$$\forall u \in \partial A \quad \frac{d}{dt}(f-g)(\eta(t, u)) \Big|_{t=0} < 0.$$

Hence  $\forall u \in \partial A \exists \varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\eta([0, \varepsilon[, u) \subset A$ , which proves that  $A$  is positively invariant for  $\eta$ .

Since  $\Lambda$  can be written as

$$\Lambda = \left( \bigcup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \{2k - 1 \leq f \leq 2k\} \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \{2k \leq f \leq 2k + 1\} \right),$$

and since both  $\{\{2k - 1 \leq f \leq 2k\}\}_{k \in \mathbf{Z}}$  and  $\{\{2k \leq f \leq 2k + 1\}\}_{k \in \mathbf{Z}}$  are locally finite families of pairwise disjoint sets, we get, using Proposition 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &= \text{Cat}_\Lambda(\Lambda) \\ &= \text{Cat}_\Lambda \left( \bigcup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \{2k - 1 \leq f \leq 2k\} \right) + \text{Cat}_\Lambda \left( \bigcup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \{2k \leq f \leq 2k + 1\} \right) \\ &= 2 \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}} \text{Cat}_\Lambda(\{f \leq \lambda\}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (iii) and (iv)

$$\text{Cat}_\Lambda(A) \leq \text{Cat}_\Lambda(\{f \leq g\}) + \text{Cat}_\Lambda(\{f \leq \lambda_*\}) < \infty$$

Thus there exists a  $\lambda^* > \lambda_*$  such that

$$(2.9) \quad \text{Cat}_\Lambda(\{f \leq \lambda^*\}) > \text{Cat}_\Lambda(A).$$

Consider the deformations

$$\eta|_{[0, n]} : [0, n] \times \{f \leq \lambda^*\} \rightarrow \Lambda, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

From (2.2) and (2.9) we infer that  $\forall n \in \mathbf{N} \eta(n, \{f \leq \lambda^*\}) \not\subset A$ , that is,  $\forall n \exists u_n \in \{f \leq \lambda^*\}$  such that  $\eta(n, u_n) \in \Lambda \setminus A$ ; moreover, since  $A$  is positively



invariant, we have in fact

$$(2.10) \quad \eta(t, u_n) \in \Lambda \setminus A = \{f > h\} \subset \{f \geq \lambda_*\}, \quad \forall t \in [0, n].$$

By the mean value theorem there exists  $t_n \in [0, n]$  such that

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{d}{dt} f(\eta(t_n, u_n)) = \frac{1}{n} (f(\eta(u, u_n)) - f(\eta(0, u_n))).$$

Since from  $(\eta \text{ iii})$  and  $(2.10)$

$$\lambda_* \geq f(\eta(0, u_n)) \geq f(\eta(n, u_n)) \geq \lambda_*,$$

$(2.11)$  implies that  $\frac{d}{dt} f(\eta(t_n, u_n)) \rightarrow 0$ , therefore, again from  $(2.10)$  and  $(\eta \text{ iv})$ , we have

$$f'(\eta(t_n, u_n)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence  $u_n = \eta(t_n, u_n)$  is a PS sequence in  $\{f \geq g\} \cap \{f \geq \lambda_*\}$ . By condition  $(v)$  we get a critical point  $u \in \Lambda$  with  $f(u) \geq h(u)$ , a contradiction.

Q.E.D.

2.5. *Remark.* — In the case  $g = \lambda_0$ , a constant, condition  $(iv)$  and  $(vi)$  are contained in the other ones, while condition  $(v)$  reduces to the more standard PS condition

$(v')$  *There exists a  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbf{R}$  such that the PS condition holds on  $\{f \geq \lambda_0\}$ . Namely one has*

2.6. **THEOREM.** — *Let  $(i)$ ,  $(ii)$ ,  $(iii)$ ,  $(v')$  hold. Then there exists a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  of critical points of  $f$  such that  $f(u) \rightarrow \infty$ .*

The idea of using this principle in Singular Potentials is due to [1] (Rem. 2.15). We introduce conditions  $(iv)$ - $(vi)$  because in the applications they allow us to handle a larger and more stable class of potentials than  $(v')$ .

### 3. APPLICATION TO T-PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF SINGULAR TIME-DEPENDENT HAMILTONIAN SYSTEMS

We recall that a potential  $W \in \mathcal{C}^1(S_T^1 \times (\mathbf{R}^N \setminus \{0\}))$  satisfies the Strong Force condition [6], if the following holds:

(SF) *There exists a  $U \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbf{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$  and a  $\rho > 0$  such that*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} U(x) = \infty \\ W(t, x) \leq -|U'(x)|^2, \quad \forall (t, x) \in S_T^1 \times (\mathbf{R}^N \setminus \{x\}) \quad \text{with } |x| < \rho. \end{array} \right.$$

Throughout this section we shall deal with a (singular) potential  $V$  of the form

$$(V) \quad V(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} a |x|^2 + W(t, x),$$

where

(V1)  $a < \left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^2$ ;

(V2)  $W \in \mathcal{C}^1(S_T^1 \times (\mathbf{R}^N \setminus \{0\}))$  satisfies (SF);

(V3)  $\exists c, \theta < 2, r > 0$  such that  $\forall |x| \geq r, \forall t \in S_T^1$

$$W(t, x) \leq c|x|^\theta, \quad W'(t, x) \cdot x - 2W(t, x) \leq c|x|^\theta$$

If these hypotheses hold we can also assume without loss of generality that

(V4)  $W(t, x) \leq b, \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ .

Indeed, if we take  $\tilde{a} \in \left] a, \left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^2 \right[$  and pose

$$\tilde{W}(t, x) = -\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{a} - a)|x|^2 + W(t, x),$$

(V) can be written as

$$V(t, x) = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{a}|x|^2 + \tilde{W}(t, x),$$

satisfying (V1)-(V4).

A non-collision T-periodic solution of

(3.1)  $\ddot{u} + V'(t, u) = 0$

is a  $u \in \mathcal{C}^2(S_T^1, \mathbf{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$  which solves (3.1). According to the usual notation, we denote by

$$\Lambda = \{u \in H^1(S_T^1, \mathbf{R}^N) : u(t) \neq 0 \forall t \in S_T^1\}$$

the space of  $H^1$  non-collision orbits. It is well known that the non-collision solutions of system (3.1) are the singular points of the action functional  $f \in \mathcal{C}^1(\Lambda)$  defined by

(3.2)  $f(u) = \int_0^T \left\{ \frac{1}{2}|\dot{u}|^2 - V(t, u) \right\} dt,$

whose differential at  $u \in \Lambda$  is the linear form

(3.3)  $\langle f'(u), h \rangle = \int_0^T \{ \dot{u} \cdot \dot{h} - V'(t, u) \cdot h \} dt.$

If  $u \in \Lambda$ , we denote the pericentrum of the orbit  $u$  by

(3.4)  $p(u) = \min_{t \in S_T^1} |u(t)|.$

Let us draw some consequences of conditions (V1)-(V4).

First of all we have a well known property that motivates the (SF) condition.

3.1. LEMMA. — Let  $\{u_n\} \subset \Lambda$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u \in \partial\Lambda$ . Then  $f(u_n) \rightarrow +\infty$ .

*Proof.* — See [6].

Q.E.D.

3.2. LEMMA. — For every  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$  there exists a constant  $k = k(\lambda)$  such that

$$(3.5) \quad \|\dot{u}\|_2 \leq k(\lambda) p(u), \quad \forall u \in \{f \leq \lambda\}$$

*Proof.* — By the Poincaré inequality we know that

$$\|v\|_2 \leq \frac{T}{\pi} \|\dot{v}\|_2, \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(0, T; \mathbf{R}^N).$$

Thus if  $u \in \Lambda$  and  $t_0 \in S_T^1$  is a point where  $|u(t)|$  attains its minimum value  $p(u)$ , since the curve  $v(t) = u(t + t_0) - u(t_0)$  is in  $H_0^1(0, T; \mathbf{R}^N)$  we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \|u\|_2 \leq \frac{T}{\pi} \|\dot{u}\|_2 + \sqrt{T} p(u).$$

Condition (V) implies

$$(3.7) \quad f(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\dot{u}\|_2^2 - \frac{a}{2} \|u\|_2^2 - bT, \quad \forall u \in \Lambda,$$

which yields, together with (3.6), to

$$(3.8) \quad f(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\dot{u}\|_2^2 - \frac{a}{2} \left( \frac{T}{\pi} \|\dot{u}\|_2 + \sqrt{T} p(u) \right)^2 - bT.$$

Now if the claim of the lemma is false, then there exists a sequence  $\{u_k\} \subset \Lambda$  such that  $f(u_k)$  is bounded and

$$(3.9) \quad \|\dot{u}_k\|_2 \geq k p(u_k).$$

Putting (3.9) into (3.8), we get

$$f(u_k) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\dot{u}_k\|_2^2 \left[ 1 - a \left( \frac{T}{\pi} + \frac{\sqrt{T}}{k} \right)^2 \right] - bT.$$

Since  $a < \left( \frac{\pi}{T} \right)^2$ , the term into square brackets is bounded away from zero for large  $k$ ; since  $f(u_k)$  is bounded we conclude that  $\|\dot{u}_k\|_2$  is bounded too. Then from (3.9)  $p(u_k)$  tends to zero and, extracting a subsequence as needed, we may suppose that the  $u_k$  converge weakly to some  $u \in \partial\Lambda$ . Due to Lemma 3.1 we have  $f(u_k) \rightarrow \infty$ , a contradiction which proves the assertion.

Q.E.D.

3.3. LEMMA. — For every  $c \in \mathbf{R}$  the set  $\Lambda_c = \left\{ u \in \Lambda : \frac{\|\dot{u}\|_2}{p(u)} \leq c \right\}$  is of finite category in  $\Lambda$ .

*Proof.* — Due to Proposition (2.1) it suffices to give a deformation  $h: [0, 1] \times \Lambda_c \rightarrow \Lambda$  such that  $h(1, \Lambda_c) \subset \subset \Lambda$ . Take  $\delta \in ]0, T[$  such that  $c\sqrt{\delta} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , and define

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(t) = \frac{1}{\delta} & \text{if } t \in [0, \delta]; \\ \varphi(t) = 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For any  $u \in \Lambda$  let  $(u * \varphi)(t)$  be the convolution  $\int_0^T u(t-s)\varphi(s)ds$ : then we have for any  $t$ , by standard inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t) - (u * \varphi)(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^\delta |u(t) - u(t-s)| ds \\ &\leq \sup_{|s| \leq \delta} |u(t) - u(t-s)| \leq \sqrt{\delta} \|\dot{u}\|_2 = p(u) \left( \frac{\|\dot{u}\|_2}{p(u)} \right) \sqrt{\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if  $u$  is in  $\Lambda_c$ ,

$$(3.10) \quad |u(t) - (u * \varphi)(t)| \leq p(u) c \sqrt{\delta} \leq \frac{1}{2} p(u) \leq \frac{1}{2} |u(t)|,$$

so that  $\forall (s, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, T]$

$$(3.11) \quad (1-s)u(t) + s \frac{(u * \varphi)(t)}{p(u)} \neq 0.$$

Thus the left-hand side of (3.11) defines a homotopy  $h: [0, 1] \times \Lambda_c \rightarrow \Lambda$ ; furthermore  $h(1, \Lambda_c) \subset \subset \Lambda$ . Finally  $h(1, \Lambda_c)$  is relatively compact since it is the image of the bounded set  $\{u/p(u) : u \in \Lambda_c\}$  through the convolution operator  $T_\varphi : H^1 \ni u \mapsto u * \varphi \in H^1$ , which is compact.

Q.E.D.

3.4. LEMMA. — Let  $V \in \mathcal{C}^1(S_T^1 \times (\mathbf{R}^N \setminus \{0\}))$  and let SF hold. The functional  $f$  verify the PS condition on the bounded sets.

*Proof.* — Let  $\{u_k\}$  be a  $H^1$ -bounded PS sequence. Then, up to a subsequence, it converges weakly in  $H^1$  and strongly in  $L^\infty$  to an element  $u$  of  $H^1(S_T^1, \mathbf{R}^N)$  which belongs to  $\Lambda$  by Lemma (3.1). Hence  $V'(t, u_k) \cdot (u - u_k)$  converges uniformly to zero. Since  $f'(u_k) \rightarrow 0$  in  $H^{-1}$  and

$u - u_k$  is  $H^1$ -bounded we have, from (3.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{u}\|_2^2 - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\dot{u}_k\|_2^2 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \dot{u}_k \cdot (\dot{u} - \dot{u}_k) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \langle f'(u), u - u_k \rangle + \int_0^T V'(t, u_k) \cdot (u - u_k) \right\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $u_k$  converges to  $u$  strongly in  $H^1$ .

Q.E.D.

3.5. THEOREM. — *Let  $V$  be a  $T$ -periodic time-dependent potential satisfying (V). Then the dynamical system*

$$\ddot{u} + V'(t, u) = 0$$

*has infinitely many  $T$ -periodic non-collision solutions.*

*Proof.* — We have to check the hypotheses of Theorem 2.4

(i) See [3].

(ii) Lemma 3.1.

(iii) Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3.

Now we shall define  $g \in \mathcal{C}^1(\Lambda)$ ,  $\beta \in ]0, 1[$  and  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbf{R}$  verifying (iv, v, vi). Let  $k_\infty$  be a constant such that

$$(3.12) \quad \|u\|_\infty \leq k_\infty \|u\|_{1,2}, \quad \forall u \in H^1(S_T^1, \mathbf{R}^N),$$

[e. g.,  $k_\infty = : (T + T^{-1})^{1/2}$ ], and choose  $\beta \in \left] \frac{\theta}{2}, 1 \right[$ . We define

$$g(u) = \gamma \|u\|_{1,2}^\theta, \quad \forall u \in \Lambda,$$

where

$$(3.13) \quad \gamma \geq \frac{\beta c T k_\infty^\theta}{2\beta - \theta}.$$

(iv) We have to show that  $\{f \leq g\}$  is a set of finite category in  $\Lambda$ . Let us take  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$a_\varepsilon = : \frac{a + 2\varepsilon}{1 - 2\varepsilon} < \left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^2,$$

$M \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\forall s \in \mathbf{R} \gamma |s|^\theta \leq \varepsilon s^2 + (1 - 2\varepsilon)M$ , and define

$$f_\varepsilon(u) = \int_0^T \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\dot{u}|^2 - \frac{a_\varepsilon}{2} |u|^2 - \frac{W(t, u)}{1 - 2\varepsilon} \right\} dt.$$

Then

$$\{f \leq g\} \subset \{f \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{1,2}^2 + (1 - 2\varepsilon)M\} = \{f_\varepsilon \leq M\}.$$

Again we have from Lemma 3.2 that there exists  $k \in \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$(3.14) \quad \|\dot{u}\|_2 \leq kp(u), \quad \forall u \in \{f_\varepsilon \leq M\}$$

and by Lemma 3.3,

$$\text{Cat}_\Lambda(\{f \leq g\}) \leq \text{Cat}_\Lambda(\{f_\varepsilon \leq M\}) < \infty.$$

(v) For any  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$   $\{f \geq g\} \cap \{f \leq \lambda\} \subset \{g \leq \lambda\}$  is a bounded set because  $g$  is coercive. Therefore by Lemma 3.5 the PS condition holds in  $\{f \geq g\}$ .

(vi) From (3.6) and (4.14) we find, for some  $k_1 > 0$ ,

$$(3.15) \quad \|u\|_{1,2} \leq k_1 p(u), \quad \forall u \in \{f \leq g\}.$$

We take  $\lambda_0 =: \gamma(k_1 r)^\theta$ . Then if  $u \in \{f = g \geq \lambda_0\}$ , there results

$$\|u\|_{1,2} \geq \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{\gamma}\right)^{1/\theta} = k_1 r$$

so we have from (3.12) and (3.15)

$$r \leq p(u) \leq |u(t)| \leq \|u\|_\infty \leq k_\infty \|u\|_{1,2}, \quad \forall t \in S_T^1.$$

Now, taking account of (V3) we get

$$(3.16) \quad \int_0^T \{W'(t, u) \cdot u - 2W(t, u)\} dt \\ \leq T \sup \{W'(t, x) \cdot x - 2W(t, x) : t \in S_T^1, r \leq |x| \leq k_\infty \|u\|_{1,2}\} \\ \leq cT(k_\infty \|u\|_{1,2})^\theta.$$

From (3.2) and (3.3) we get

$$(3.17) \quad \|f'(u)\| \|u\|_{1,2} \geq \langle f'(u), u \rangle = 2f(u) \\ - \int_0^T \{W'(t, u) \cdot u - 2W(t, u)\} dt.$$

From (3.16) and (3.17)

$$\|f'(u)\| \geq (2\gamma - cTk_\infty^\theta) \|u\|_{1,2}^{\theta-1};$$

since  $\|g'(u)\| = \gamma\theta \|u\|_{1,2}^{\theta-1}$ , we have, from our choice of  $\gamma$  (3.13)

$$\beta \|f'(u)\| - \|g'(u)\| \geq 0, \quad \forall u \in \{f = g \geq \lambda_0\}.$$

Q.E.D.

3.6. Remark. — Theorem 3.5 can be improved stating that there exists a sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset Z_f$  such that  $f(u_n) \geq n \|u\|^\theta + n$ . This follows at once from Theorem 2.4, for in the definition of the function  $g$  we can choose the constant  $\gamma$  arbitrarily large [eq. (3.13)]. We shall use this fact in the following corollary, as a trick to avoid the constant solutions (see also [1], § 7).

3.7. COROLLARY (Autonomous case). — Let  $W \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbf{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$  be a potential such that (SF) holds,  $W'(x) \cdot \frac{x}{|x|^2} \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$ , and  $W'(x) \cdot x - 2W(x) \leq c|x|^\theta$  for  $|x| \geq r$ , with  $\theta < 2$ . Then for any  $T > 0$  and

for any  $a \in \mathbf{R}$ , the system

$$(3.18) \quad \ddot{u} + au + W'(u) = 0$$

has infinitely many  $T$ -periodic non-constant non-collision solution.

*Proof.* — The inequality  $W'(x) \cdot x - 2W(x) \leq c|x|^0, \forall |x| \geq r$  yields by integration  $W(x) \leq c_1|x|^2, \forall |x| \geq r$ . Hence, replacing if needed  $W$  with  $W - c_1|x|^2$  and  $a$  with  $a + c_1$ , we can suppose without loss of generality that  $W$  is bounded from above. We take  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  so large that  $a < k^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^2$ ,

and we pose  $\tilde{T} = \frac{T}{k}$ . Now we look for  $\tilde{T}$ -periodic non collision solutions of system (3.18): Theorem 3.6 applies and we get a sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset \Lambda$  of solutions such that  $f(u_n) \geq n \|u_n\|_\infty^0 + n$  (Rem. 3.6). Only finitely many of these can be constant: for otherwise (taking the subsequence of the constant solutions) we would get from (3.18), by scalar product with  $u_n$

$$(3.19) \quad a|u_n|^2 + W'(u_n) \cdot u_n = 0,$$

and

$$(3.20) \quad f(u_n) = \int_0^{\tilde{T}} \left\{ -\frac{a}{2}|u_n|^2 - W(u_n) \right\} dt = \frac{\tilde{T}}{2} \{ W'(u_n) \cdot u_n - 2W(u_n) \}$$

Since  $f(u_n) \rightarrow \infty$  either  $|u_n| \rightarrow 0$  or  $|u_n| \rightarrow \infty$ . In the former case it follows from our hypothesis on  $W$  that  $W'(u_n) \cdot \frac{u_n}{|u_n|^2} \rightarrow \infty$ , which is in contradiction with (3.19). In the latter one we have from (3.20) that  $f(u_n) \leq \frac{\tilde{T}}{2} c|u_n|^0$  for large  $n$ , whereas  $f(u_n) \geq n|u_n|^0$ : a contradiction again.

Q.E.D.

#### 4. FURTHER REMARKS

We emphasize that condition (V) does not imply the usual PS condition (iii)' of Theorem 2.6, even if we assume  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} V(t, x) = 0$ : we shall show this in Example 4.1. However, if additional hypotheses on  $V$  are assumed, such as

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |W(t, x)| + |W'(t, x)| < \infty,$$

then (iii)' holds and Theorem 2.6 applies.

4.1. *Example.* — A potential  $V \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$  satisfying

$$V \leq 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = 0, \quad |V'(x)| \leq |x|^{1/2}$$

(hence also the hypotheses of Theorem 3.6) and such that the corresponding action functional  $f$  does not verify the usual PS condition at any positive level.

Let  $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  be an enumeration of  $\mathbf{Q}^+$  and  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  a sequence in  $\mathbf{R}^N$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $|x_n| \geq (q_n + 1)^2 + q_n + 1$  and  $|x_n - x_m| > q_n + q_m + 2$  if  $n \neq m$ . For any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  let  $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+)$  be such that

$$\begin{cases} 0 \geq \varphi_n(t) \geq -\frac{1}{n}, & \forall t \geq 0; \\ \varphi_n(t) = 0, & \text{if } t \geq q_n + 1; \\ \varphi_n'(q_n) = q_n; \\ \|\varphi_n'\|_\infty \leq q_n + 1. \end{cases}$$

Define  $V_n(x) = \varphi_n(|x - x_n|)$  for every  $x \in \mathbf{R}^N$ , and let  $w \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(S_{2\pi}^1, \mathbf{R}^N)$  satisfy

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} \ddot{w} + w = 0, \\ |w(t)| = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $u_n = x_n + q_n w$  is a  $2\pi$ -periodic solution of the system

$$\ddot{u} + V'_n(u) = 0.$$

Since the  $V_n$  have disjoint supports it is defined a potential  $V = \sum_n V_n$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  such that  $V \leq 0$  and  $V(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover  $V(x) \leq |x|^{1/2} \forall x$ : if  $V(x) \neq 0$ , then there exists  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $x \in B(x_n, q_n + 1)$ , so one has, by the choice of  $x_n$ ,

$$|x| \geq |x_n| - (q_n + 1) \geq (q_n + 1)^2$$

and

$$\|V'(x)\| \leq \|V'_n(x)\| \leq \|\varphi_n'\|_\infty \leq q_n + 1 \leq |x|^{1/2}.$$

Each  $u_n$  solves

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{u} + V'(u) = 0 \\ u(t) = u(t + 2\pi), \end{cases}$$

Thus for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} f'(u_n) &= 0, \\ f(u_n) &= \pi q_n^2 - 2\pi \varphi_n(q_n) \\ \|u_n\|_{1,2} &\rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi_n(q_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , one has that for any  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}^+$  there exists a subsequence of  $\{u_n\}$  which is a non-compact PS sequence at the level  $\lambda$



for  $f$ . Of course the same example can be done for a singular potential, simply adding to  $V$  a singular perturbation with compact support.

4.2. *Remark.* — Notice that if  $V$  is autonomous no assumptions on the coefficient  $a$  are needed in order to get infinitely many  $T$ -periodical solution of (3.1). In the following example we show that if we drop condition  $a < \left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^2$ , (iv) and (v) in general fail to hold.

4.3. *Example.* — A potential  $V \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbf{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$  such that the corresponding action functional  $f$  does not verify conditions (iv) and (v).

Let  $a > \left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^2$ , and let  $V \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbf{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$  be such that  $V(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}a|x|^2$ ,  $\forall x$  with  $|x| \geq 1$ . We show that for any  $\lambda_0$  and  $\rho_0$ ,

$$\text{Cat}_\Lambda(\{f \leq \lambda_0\} \setminus B(0, \rho_0)) = \infty;$$

in order to do this it is sufficient to exhibit a deformation of a set of infinite category, e. g.,  $A = \{u \in \Lambda : |u(t)| = 1 \forall t\}$ , in  $\{f \leq \lambda_0\} \setminus B(0, \rho_0)$ .

Choose  $T^*$  in  $\left] \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{a}}, T \right]$ , and define the functions  $[0, 1] \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$

$$g(s, t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq sT^* \\ T \frac{t - sT^*}{T - sT^*}, & \text{if } sT^* < t \leq T, \end{cases}$$

$$l(s, t) = \begin{cases} s \sin\left(\frac{\pi t}{sT^*}\right), & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq sT^* \\ 0, & \text{if } sT^* < t \leq T. \end{cases}$$

Consider the homotopy  $h : [0, 1] \times A \rightarrow \Lambda$ :

$$h(s, u) = u \circ g(s, \cdot),$$

and set  $B = h(1, A)$ : clearly every  $u \in B$  is constant on  $[0, T^*]$ . For  $r \in \mathcal{C}(B)$ ,  $r \geq 0$  consider the homotopy  $k : [0, 1] \times B \rightarrow \Lambda$ :

$$k(s, u) = u + r(u)u(0)l(s, \cdot),$$

We shall choose  $r$  in such a way that  $k(1, B) \subset \{f \leq \lambda_0\} \setminus B(0, \rho_0)$ . In order to do this, we note that

$$(4.2) \quad \|k(1, u)\|_{1,2} \geq C \|k(1, u)\|_\infty \geq C \left| k(1, u) \left( \frac{T^*}{2} \right) \right|$$

$$= C \left| u \left( \frac{T^*}{2} \right) + r(u)u(0) \right| = C(r(u) + 1) |u(0)| \geq Cr(u) |u(0)|.$$

Thus  $\|k(1, u)\|_{1,2} \geq \rho_0$  whenever  $r(u) \geq \frac{\rho_0}{C}$ . Furthermore, making the positions

$$r_1(u) = \int_{T^*}^T \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\dot{u}|^2 - V(u) \right\} dt$$

and

$$\mu = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{T^*} \left\{ \left( \frac{\pi}{T^*} \right)^2 \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{T^*} t \right) - a \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{T^*} t \right) \right\} dt = \frac{T^*}{4} \left[ a - \left( \frac{\pi}{T^*} \right)^2 \right],$$

there results

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad & f(k(1, u)) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{T^*} \left\{ \left( \frac{\pi}{T^*} \right)^2 r(u)^2 \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{T^*} t \right) - a \left[ r(u) \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{T^*} t \right) + 1 \right]^2 \right\} dt + r_1(u) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} r(u)^2 \int_0^{T^*} \left\{ \left( \frac{\pi}{T^*} \right)^2 \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{T^*} t \right) - a \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{T^*} t \right) \right\} dt + r_1(u) \\ & = -\mu r(u)^2 + r_1(u). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mu > 0$  and  $r_1 \in \mathcal{C}(B)$ , if we take

$$r(u) = \max \left( \frac{\rho_0}{C}, \sqrt{\frac{|r_1(u) - \lambda_0|}{\mu}} \right)$$

we have from (4.2) and (4.3) that  $\|k(1, u)\|_{1,2} \geq \rho_0$  and  $f(k(1, u)) \leq \lambda_0$ . Then we have

$$\text{Cat}_\Lambda(\{f \leq \lambda_0\} \setminus B(0, \rho_0)) \geq \text{Cat}_\Lambda(B) = \text{Cat}_\Lambda(h(1, A)) \geq \text{Cat}_\Lambda(A) = \infty.$$

Q.E.D.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I want to thank Prof. A. Ambrosetti and Prof. A. Bahri for many useful discussions during this work.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. AMBROSETTI and V. COTI ZELATI, *Critical Points with Lack of Compactness and Singular Dynamical Systems*, Ann. Mat. pura appl., (IV), Vol. CIL, 1987, pp. 237-259.
- [2] A. BAHRI and P. H. RABINOWITZ, A Minimax Method for a Class of Hamiltonian Systems with Singular Potentials, *J. Funct. Anal.*, Vol. 82, 1989, pp. 412-428.
- [3] E. FADELL and S. HUSSEINI, A Note on the Category of Free Loop Space, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, Vol. 107, 1989, pp. 527-536.
- [4] M. DEGIOVANNI and F. GIANNONI, Dynamical Systems with Newtonian Type Potentials. *Ann. Scu. Nor. Sup. Pisa*, Vol. IV, 1988, pp. 467-494.

- [5] C. GRECO, Periodic Solutions of a Class of Singular Hamiltonian Systems, *Nonlinear Analysis, T.M.A.*, Vol. **12**, 1988, pp. 259-270.
- [6] W. B. GORDON, Conservative Dynamical System Involving Strong Forces, *Trans. A.M.S.*, Vol. **204**, 1975, pp. 113-135.
- [7] R. PALAIS, Lusternik-Schnirelman Theory on Banach Manifolds, *Topology*, Vol. **5**, 1969, pp. 115-132.
- [8] P. H. RABINOWITZ, Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations, *C.B.M.S. Reg. Conf. Ser. in Math.*, Vol. **65**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.

(Manuscript received December 13th, 1989)

(Revised July 13th, 1990.)