Annales de l'I. H. P., section B

MICHEL TALAGRAND

Small tails for the supremum of a gaussian process

Annales de l'I. H. P., section B, tome 24, n° 2 (1988), p. 307-315 http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPB_1988_24_2_307_0

© Gauthier-Villars, 1988, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section B » (http://www.elsevier.com/locate/anihpb) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

Small tails for the supremum of a Gaussian process

by

Michel TALAGRAND

Equipe d'Analyse, Tour n° 46, Université Paris-VI, 4, place Jussieu, 75230 Paris Cedex 05; The Ohio State University, 231 W. 18th, Columbus, OH 43210

ABSTRACT. — Let T be a compact metric space. Let $(X_t)_{t \in T}$ be a Gaussian process with continuous covariance. Assume that the variance has a unique maximum at some point τ and that X_t has a. s. bounded sample paths. We prove that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} P(\operatorname{Sup} X_t > u) / P(X_\tau > u) = 1$$

if and only if

$$\lim_{h\to 0} h^{-1} E \left(\sup_{t\in T_h} (X_t - X_t) \right) = 0$$

where
$$T_h = \{t \in T; E(X_t X_t) \ge E(X_t^2) - h^2\}.$$

Key words: Gaussian process on abstract sets, Borell's inequality.

Résumé. — Soit T un espace compact métrique, et $(X)_{t \in T}$ un processus gaussien à convariance continue. Supposons que (X_t) soit p. s. borné et que l'écart type de X_t ait un maximum unique en un certain point τ . Nous montrons que

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} P(\sup X_t > u)/P(X_\tau > u) = 1$$

si et seulement si

$$\lim_{h \to 0} h^{-1} E(\sup_{t \in T_n} (X_t - X_\tau)) = 0$$

où
$$T_h = \{t \in T; E(X_t X_\tau) \ge E(X_\tau^2) - h^2\}.$$

1. INTRODUCTION

Let T be a compact metric space. Let $(X_t)_{t \in T}$ be a (real separable) Gaussian process with mean zero and continuous covariance. Assume that (X_t) has a.s. bounded sample paths, so $\sup_{t \in T} X_t < \infty$ a.s. We want to

determine when the tail of the distribution of Sup X_t is minimal in some sense. Let $\sigma^2(t) = EX_t^2$, and $\sigma = \sup_{t \in T} \sigma(t)$. If Y is standard normal, we

have for all u

$$P(\operatorname{Sup} X_{\cdot} > u) \ge P(\sigma Y > u).$$

We want to characterize the Gaussian processes for which the following occurs:

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} P(\operatorname{Sup} X_t > u) / P(\sigma Y > u) = 1.$$
 (\(\phi\))

Our work is motivated by several recent papers ([1], [2], [7]) that give sufficient conditions for (\bigstar) in specific situations. These results rely on conditions on the covariance function, and appropriate computations. On the other hand, we will approach the problem from the abstract point of view, and our result will be an elementary consequence of (a weak form of) Borell's inequality. (See [8] for a previous use of Borell's inequality in the study of Sup X.).

Theorem. – Condition (\bigstar) is equivalent to the following two conditions

- (I) There exists a unique τ in T such that $\sigma(\tau) = \sigma$.
- (II) If, for h > 0, we set

$$T_h = \{t \in T; E(X_t X_t) \ge \sigma(\tau)^2 - h^2\},$$

we have

$$\lim_{h \to 0} h^{-1} E \sup_{t \in T_h} (X_t - X_\tau) = 0.$$

Both of these conditions seem rather easy to check in practice. (In particular condition (II) is completely elucidated by the theory of [9].) As an illustration, we prove the result of [1]: let $(X_t)_{t \ge 0}$ be a Gaussian process with mean zero and stationary increments, and such that $X_0 = 0$. Assume that the incremental variance function $\sigma^2(t)$ is convex and that $\lim_{t \to 0} \sigma^2(t)/t = 0$. Then condition (\bigstar) holds on each interval $[0, \tau]$ for $\tau > 0$.

First (I) is obviously satisfied. We now check (II). Since

$$E(X_t X_\tau) = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^2(t) + \sigma^2(\tau) - \sigma^2(\tau - t)),$$

for $t \in T_h$, we have $\sigma^2(t) \ge \sigma^2(\tau) - 2h^2$. Since σ^2 is convex, it has a left derivative at each point, so for t in T_h we have $t \ge \tau - Kh^2$ for some constant K. Since the process has stationary incremants, to check (II) it is enough to show that

$$\lim_{h \to 0} h^{-1} E \sup_{0 \le t \le h^2} |X_t| = 0.$$

This is done in a few lines of computations using, e.g., the bound of E Sup $|X_t|$ by Dudley's entropy integral (see e.g. [6], p. 25).

2. PREPARATION

In this section we list some facts that our proof will use. Let $\varphi(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \exp(-x^2/2)$ be the standard normal density function. Let

$$\psi(z) = \int_{z}^{\infty} \varphi(x) dx = P(Y > z).$$

We denote by K_1, K_2, \ldots positive universal constants. The first two lemmas are elementary.

Lemma 1. - The following hold

For
$$u \ge 1$$
, $K_1^{-1} \varphi(u) \le u \psi(u) \le \varphi(u)$. (1)

For
$$x \ge -1$$
, $\psi(x) \le K_2 \exp(-(x^2/2))$ (2)

For any
$$u$$
, t , $\varphi(u-t) \le \varphi(u) \exp tu$. (3)

For
$$0 \le v \le u$$
, we have $\psi(v) \ge \psi(u) + u(u-v)\psi(u)$. (4)

Proof. - (1) is well known, and implies the crude inequality (2).(3)

by (1).

follows from the explicit form of φ . To prove (4), we note that since $\varphi(x)$ decreases for $x \ge 0$, we have

$$\psi(v) - \psi(u) = \int_{v}^{u} \varphi(x) dx \ge (u - v) \varphi(u) \ge u (u - v) \psi(u)$$

LEMMA 2. — Let $(w_n)_{n \ge 0}$ be a sequence with $w_n > 0$ and $w_{n+1} \ge 2 w_n$ for each n. Then

$$\sum_{n} w_{n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(w_{n} - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}\right) \leq K_{3}.$$

Proof. – This is an obvious consequence of the fact that for x > 0;

$$x \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2\right) \le K_4 \min(x, x^{-1}).$$

The following is well known and goes back to [4] and [5].

LEMMA 3. – If $P(\operatorname{Sup} X_t \leq A) \geq 1/2$, then $E \operatorname{Sup} X_t \leq K_5 A$. We finally state the version of Borell's inequality [3] that we need.

LEMMA 4. — Let (Y_t) be a separable Gaussian process. Assume that $P(\sup Y_t \ge w) \le 1/2$, and let $\theta = \sup \sigma(Y_t)$. Then for $u \ge w$

$$P(\operatorname{Sup} Y_t \ge u) \le \psi((u-w)/\theta).$$

In particular, for all u

$$P(\operatorname{Sup} Y_t \ge u) \le 2\psi((u-w)/\theta). \tag{5}$$

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Changing X_i in $\sigma^{-1}X_i$, we can assume $\sigma = 1$. The necessity of condition (I) follows from the following elementary observation, whose proof we leave to the reader. If the couple (Y, Z) of random variables is jointly Gaussian, and if

$$EY^2 = EZ^2 = 1,$$
 $E(YZ) < 1$

then $\lim_{u\to\infty} P(Max(Y, Z) > u)/\psi(u) = 2.$

We now on assume (I). For simplicity of notation, we set $a(t) = E(X_t X_t)$, $Z_t = X_t - a(t)X_t$. The process (Z_t) is hence independent of X_t . We have

$$Z_t - (X_t - X_t) = (1 - a(t)) X_t$$

so we have

$$\operatorname{E} \sup_{a(t) > 1-h^2} \left| Z_t - (X_t - X_\tau) \right| \leq h^2 \operatorname{E} \left| X_\tau \right|.$$

This shows that condition (II) is equivalent to the following condition (III) $\lim_{h \to 0} h^{-1} E \sup_{a(t) > 1 - h^2} Z_t = 0$.

Proof that $(\bigstar) \Rightarrow$ (III). — Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be small enough that $2\varepsilon^2 < 1$, and let $w \ge 1$ be such that

$$\forall u \ge w, \quad P(\operatorname{Sup} X_t > u) \le (1 + \varepsilon^2) \psi(u).$$
 (6)

Fix $u \ge w$. If we condition equation (6) with respect to X_r , we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(\operatorname{Sup}(Z_t + a(t)y) > u) \, \varphi(y) \, dy \le (1 + \varepsilon^2) \, \psi(u). \tag{7}$$

We set $T' = \{t \in T; a(t) \ge 0\}$, and

$$\eta(y) = P(\sup_{t \in T'} (Z_t + a(t)y) > u).$$

This is obviously an increasing function of y. For y > u, taking $t = \tau$, we see that $\eta(y) = 1$. It follows from (7) that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{u} \eta(y) \varphi(y) dy \leq \varepsilon^{2} \psi(u).$$

Let $v=u-2\varepsilon^2/u$, so v>0 and $u(u-v)=2\varepsilon^2$.

Using (4), we have

$$2\varepsilon^{2}\psi(u)\eta(v) \leq \eta(v)(\psi(v) - \psi(u)) \leq \int_{v}^{u} \eta(y)\varphi(y) dy \leq \varepsilon^{2}\psi(u).$$

This shows that $\eta(v) \leq 1/2$. So we have

$$P(\sup_{t \in T} (Z_t + a(t)v) < u) = P(\forall t \in T', Z_t < u - a(t)v) \ge 1/2.$$

We observe that for h > 0, $a(t) \ge 1 - h^2$, we have

$$u-a(t)v \le u-v+h^2v \le u-v+h^2u$$

It follows that

$$P(\sup_{a(t) \ge 1-h^2} Z_t < u - v + h^2 u) \ge 1/2.$$
 (8)

Suppose now that $h \le \varepsilon/w$, and take $u = \varepsilon/h \ge w$. We have $u - v = 2\varepsilon^2/u = 2\varepsilon h$, and $h^2 u = \varepsilon h$, so (8) becomes

$$P(\sup_{a(t) \ge 1-h^2} Z_t < 3 \varepsilon h) \ge 1/2.$$

It follows from lemma 3 that $E(\sup_{a(t) \ge 1-h^2} Z_t) \le 3 \varepsilon h K_5$ and this concludes the proof.

Proof that (I) and (III) imply (\bigstar) . — We take $\eta > 0$ with $\eta < 2^{-4}$. Let $\alpha > 0$ be such that $\alpha \le 2^{-3}$, and that

$$\forall h \leq \alpha, \quad \operatorname{E} \sup_{a(t) \geq 1-h} Z_t \leq \eta h. \tag{9}$$

For $n \ge 0$, we define the following subsets of T:

$$A_n = \{t; a(t) \ge 1 - 4^{-n} \alpha^2\}; \qquad B_n = A_n \setminus A_{n+1}.$$

We note that

$$\forall t \in \mathbf{B}_n, \quad a(t) \le 1 - 4^{-n-1} \alpha^2.$$
 (10)

Since the covariance is continuous and T is compact, condition (I) implies that

$$\theta = \sup_{a(t) \le 1-\alpha^2} \sigma(t) < 1.$$

Take now w large enough that $P(\operatorname{Sup} X_t \leq w) \geq 1/2$. For $u \geq w$, it follows from Lemma 4 that

$$P(\sup_{a (t) \leq 1-\alpha^2} X_t \geq u) \geq \psi((u-w)/\theta).$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} P(\sup_{a(t)\leq 1-\alpha^2} X_t \geq u)/\psi(u) = 0.$$

To prove (\bigstar) , it is enough to show that for some universal constant K, we have

$$\lim_{u \to 0} \sup_{A_0} P(\sup_{A_0} X_t > u) / \psi(u) \le 1 + K \eta^2.$$
 (11)

We first note that from (9) we have

$$\operatorname{E} \sup_{\mathbf{A}_n} \mathbf{Z}_t \leq \eta \alpha \, 2^{-n},$$

so in particular

$$P(\sup_{A_n} Z_t \leq \eta \alpha 2^{-n+1}) \geq 1/2.$$

Since $Z_t = 0$, for t in A_n we have $EZ_t^+ \le \eta \alpha 2^{-n}$, so

$$\theta_n = \sup_{A_n} \sigma(Z_t) \le (2\pi)^{1/2} \eta \alpha 2^{-n} \le \eta \alpha 2^{-n+2}.$$
 (12)

It follows from (10) that, for $y \ge 0$, we have

$$P(\sup_{B_{n}} X_{t} > u \mid X_{\tau} = y) = P(\sup_{B_{n}} (Z_{t} + a(t)y) \ge u)$$

$$\le P(\sup_{B_{n}} Z_{t} \ge u - y(1 - 4^{-n-1}\alpha^{2})).$$

Since $B_n \subset A_n$, it follows from (12) and (5) that

$$P(\sup_{B_n} X_t > u \mid X_\tau = y) \le 2 \psi((u - y(1 - 4^{-n-1}\alpha^2))/\eta\alpha 2^{-n+2} - 1/2). \quad (13)$$

We set

$$I(u) = \int_{0}^{u} P(\sup_{A_0} X_t > u \mid X_{\tau} = y) \varphi(y) dy.$$

$$J(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} P(\operatorname{Sup} X_{t} > u \mid X_{\tau} = y) \varphi(y) dy.$$

Vol. 24, n° 2-1988.

We have

$$P(\sup_{A_0} X_t > u) = \psi(u) + P(\sup_{A_0} X_t > u, X_\tau < u)$$

$$= \psi(u) + I(u) + J(u).$$
(14)

For $y \leq 0$, we have

$$P(\sup_{A_0} X_t > u \mid X_t = y) = P(\sup_{A_0} (Z_t + a(t)y) \ge u)$$

$$\le P(\sup_{A_0} Z_t \ge u)$$

so we have $J(u) \leq P(\sup_{A_0} Z_t \geq u)$. Since $\sup_{t \in A_0} EZ_t^2 < 1$, we see by lemma 4 that $\lim_{A \to A_0} J(u)/\psi(u) = 0$.

From (13), we have, since $A_0 = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} B_n$,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{I}(u) & \leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \int_{0}^{u} \mathbf{P}(\sup_{\mathbf{B}_{n}} \mathbf{X}_{t} > u \mid \mathbf{X}_{\tau} = y) \, \varphi(y) \, dy \\ & \leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \int_{0}^{u} 2 \, \psi((u - y \, (1 - 4^{-n - 1} \, \alpha^{2})) / \eta \alpha^{2^{-n + 2}} - 1/2) \, \varphi(y) \, dy. \end{split}$$

Making the change of variable y=u-z, and setting

$$\gamma_n = 2^{-n-4} \alpha/\eta;$$
 $\delta_n = (1 - 4^{-n-1} \alpha^2)/\eta \alpha 2^{-n+2},$

we get

$$I(u) \leq 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} \int_0^\infty \psi(\gamma_n u + \delta_n z - 1/2) \varphi(u - z) dz.$$

Using (1) and (3), we have

$$\varphi(u-z) \le \varphi(u) \exp uz \le K_1 u \psi(u) \exp uz$$

so

$$I(u) \leq 2 K_1 \psi(u) \sum_{n \geq 0} \int_0^\infty u \psi(\gamma_n u + \delta_n z - 1/2) \exp(uz) dz.$$

We note now the important fact that, since $\alpha^2 \leq 2^{-3}$, $\eta < 2^{-4}$,

$$\gamma_n \delta_n = 2^{-6} (1 - 4^{-n+1} \alpha^2) / \eta^2 \ge 2^{-7} / \eta^2 \ge 2.$$
 (15)

Using (2), we get after a short computation, that for some constants K_6 , K_7 ,

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{I}(u) &\leq \mathrm{K}_6 \, \psi(u) \sum_{n \geq 0} \int_0^\infty u \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\gamma_n u - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta_n z - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right] dz. \\ &\leq \mathrm{K}_7 \, \psi(u) \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{u}{\delta_n} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\gamma_n u - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right). \end{split}$$

And from (15) we have

$$I(u) \leq \eta^2 2^7 K_7 \psi(u) \sum_{n \geq 0} u \gamma_n \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\gamma_n u - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2\right).$$

Since $u \gamma_{n+1} = u \gamma_n/2$, it follows from lemma 2 that for some universal constant K, we have $I(u) \le K \eta^2 \psi(u)$. Together with (14), this proves (11), and concludes the proof.

REFERENCES

- S. M. Berman, An Asymptotic Formula for the Distribution of the Maximum of a Gaussian Process with Stationary Increments, J. Applied Probability, 22, 1985, pp. 454-460
- [2] S. M. BERMAN, The Maximum of a Gaussian Process with Nonconstant Variance, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, 21, 1985, pp. 383-391.
- [3] C. BORELL, The Brunn-Minkowski Inequality in Gauss Space, *Invent. Math.*, 30, 1975, pp. 207-216.
- [4] X. FERNIQUE, Intégrabilité des vecteurs gaussiens, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 270, 1970, pp. 1698-1699.
- [5] H. LANDAU and L. A. SHEPP, On the Supremum of a Gaussian Process, Sanka, 32, 1970, pp. 369-378.
- [6] M. B. MARCUS and G. PISIER, Random Fourier Series with Applications to Harmonic Analysis, Ann. Math. Studies, Vol. 101, 1981, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. I.
- [7] V. I. PITERBARG and V. P. PRISJAZNJUK, Asymptotics of the Probability of Large Encursions for a Nonstationary Gaussian Process, Theor. Probability Math. Statist., Vol. 19, 1978, pp. 131-144.
- [8] M. TALAGRAND, Sur l'intégrabilité des vecteurs Gaussiens, Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete, 68, 1984, pp. 1-8.
- [9] M. TALAGRAND, Regularity of Gaussian Process, Acta. Math., 159, 1987, pp. 99-149.

(Manuscrit reçu le 18 mars 1987.)