# Annales de l'I. H. P., section B

### VIGIRDAS MACKEVIČIUS

 $S^p$  stability of solutions of symmetric stochastic differential equations with discontinuous driving semimartingales

Annales de l'I. H. P., section B, tome 23, n° 4 (1987), p. 575-592 <a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPB">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPB</a> 1987 23 4 575 0>

© Gauthier-Villars, 1987, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section B » (http://www.elsevier.com/locate/anihpb) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

## S<sup>p</sup> stability of solutions of symmetric stochastic differential equations with discontinuous driving semimartingales (\*)

#### by Vigirdas MACKEVIČIUS

Department of Mathematics, Vilnius University, Partizanu 24, Vilnius, Lithuania, 232006, USSR

ABSTRACT. — We study the stability of a solution of a multidimensional symmetric (Stratonovich) stochastic differential equation

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t f(X_{s-}) \circ dZ_s$$

and of its "canonical extension" when the driving càdlàg semimartingale Z is perturbed in  $S^p$ .

Key words: Stability of solutions of SDE, discontinuous driving semimartingales, Stratonovich integrals, approximation of SDE.

RÉSUMÉ. — Nous étudions la stabilité de la solution d'équation différentielle stochastique symétrique (de Stratonovitch) multidimensionnelle du

type 
$$X_t = x + \int_0^t f(X_{s-}) \circ dZ_s$$
 et de son « extension canonique » lorsqu'on

perturbe dans  $S^p$  la semimartingale càdlàg Z.

Mots clés : Stabilité de la solution d'EDS, semimartingales directrices discontinues, intégrale de Stratonovich, approximation d'EDS.

Classification A.M.S.: 60 H 10, 60 H 99.

<sup>(\*)</sup> The research for this article was performed while the author was visiting Laboratoire de Probabilités, Université Pierre-et-Marie-Curie, Paris.

#### INTRODUCTION

Consider a multidimensional stochastic differential equation

$$X_{i}(t) = x_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \int_{0}^{t} f_{ij}(X(s-)) \circ dZ_{j}(s),$$

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, d,$$
(1)

or in matrix notation,

$$X(t) = x + \int_0^t f(X(s-)) \circ dZ(s),$$
 (2)

where  $Z = Z(t) = (Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \ldots, Z_r(t)), t \ge 0$ , is an r-dimensional càdlàg semimartingale,  $f(x) = (f_{ij}(x), i=1, 2, ..., d, j=1, 2, ..., r), x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , is a sufficiently smooth matrix function:  $f_{ij} \in C_b^3$  ( $\mathbb{R}^d$ ). The small circle  $\circ$ denotes the symmetric (Stratonovich) integral, with the standard notation meaning the Itô integral. We are concerned with the behaviour of a solution of (1) when Z is perturbed in S<sup>p</sup>. Ever since Wong and Zakai [24] showed the instability of one-dimensional Itô equations, this type of problem is usually considered for stochastic differential equations in the symmetric (Stratonovich) form. A very strong topology in the space of semimartingales is needed for the stability of solutions of Itô equations (Emery [5], Protter [21], Metivier-Pellaumail [16]; this topology is too strong to permit the approximation of Z by finite variation (FV) processes. On the other hand, one cannot expect to obtain the results of stability only under assumptions of a uniform type of convergence of driving semimartingales because such a stability is not valid even in the deterministic case. Therefore additional conditions on f or the perturbations of Z naturally appear. In the first case it is usually the condition of commutation

of corresponding vector fields: 
$$\sum_{n} \left( \frac{df_{ij}}{dx_n} f_{nk} - \frac{df_{ik}}{dx_n} f_{nj} \right) = 0 \quad \text{(Allain [1]}$$

Freedman-Willems [6], Sussmann [23], Doss [3], Krener [11], Marcus [13]). In the second case only certain classes of FV approximations are allowed (Nakao-Yamato [20], Protter [22], McShane [14], Marcus [13], Konecny [10]. Ikeda-Nakao-Yamato [8] considered a wide class (slightly extended in Ikeda-Watanabe [9]) of piecewise smooth approximations of multidimensional Brownian motion and the behaviour of solutions of corresponding equations. They obtained an equation for a limit of these "approximating" solutions which, in general, does not coincide with the

initial one. The particular importance of this result is, in our opinion, that: the general situation is exhibited; at the same time a typical sub-class of so-called symmetric approximations is specified when the above mentioned limiting equation does coincide with the initial one. In this spirit in [12] we obtained a general result when a continuous driving semimartingale is approximated by continuous semimartingales. We extend here this result for discontinuous driving semimartingales. It appears that in order do require minimal assumptions on the jumps of the driving semimartingales it is convinient to replace (1) by another equation [coinciding with (1) in the continuous case]. In the spirit of McShane [14], [15] and Marcus [13] this equation can be considered as a sort of "canonical extension" of (1) or a corresponding Itô equation (note that in [13] the canonical extension is defined only for f satisfying a commutation restriction).

#### **NOTATION**

All processes considered in this paper are assumed to be càdlàg (right continuous with left limits) and adapted to a given filtration satisfying the "usual hypotheses" on a fixed probability space. For a fixed time interval  $I = [0, T], 0 < T < \infty$ , or  $I = [0, \infty[$  we denote by  $S^p$ ,  $1 \le p \le \infty$ , the Banach space of all processes X such that  $||X||_{S^p} = ||X^*||_{L^p} < \infty$ , where  $X^* = \sup_{t \in I} |X_t|$  (we shall use the same notations for multidimensional pro-

cesses, the dimension being clear from the context). We also use freely the notation of  $H^p$  semimartingales,  $1 \le p \le \infty$  (cf. Emery [4], Dellacherie-Meyer [2], Meyer [19]), and the inequalities for norms of semimartingales: if  $p \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $q, r \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $p^{-1} = q^{-1} + r^{-1}$ , then

$$||X_{-} Y||_{H^{p}} \leq ||X||_{S^{q}} ||Y||_{H^{r}};$$
 (3)

$$\left|\left|X\right|\right|_{S^{p}} \leq c_{p} \left|\left|X\right|\right|_{H^{p}}.\tag{4}$$

For ease of notation we shall write  $\langle X, Y \rangle^c$  instead of  $\langle X^c, Y^c \rangle$ . If X and Y are semimartingales, then symmetric (Stratonovich) integral  $X \circ Y = \int_{0}^{\cdot} X_{s-} \circ dY_{s}$  is defined by

$$X \circ Y = X_{-} \circ Y + \frac{1}{2} < X, Y >^{c}$$

(Meyer [18]; we prefer to write  $X \circ Y$  rather than  $X_- \circ Y$ ). We define here yet one more "symmetric" stochastic integral by

$$X \square Y = X_{-} \cdot Y + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] = X \cdot Y + \frac{1}{2}S(X, Y)$$

where

$$S(X, Y)_t = \sum_{s \le t} \Delta X_s \Delta Y_s, \qquad S(X) = S(X, X).$$

This integral is "symmetric" in the following sense. It can be interpreted as the limit in probability of "Riemann type" sums  $\sum_{i} \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{t_{i+1}} + X_{t_i} \right) \left( Y_{t_{i+1}} - Y_{t_i} \right)$  and therefore does not depend on the direction of time. Nevertheless we use it only for the formulation of results in the more symmetric and short way.

#### FORMULATION OF RESULT

First we consider an equation different from (1):

$$X_{i}(t) = x_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \int_{0}^{t} f_{ij}(X(s-)) \circ dZ_{j}(s)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, k=1}^{r} \int_{0}^{t} f_{ijk}(X(s-)) dS(Z_{j}, Z_{k})_{s} = x_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \int_{0}^{t} f_{ij}(X(s-)) dZ_{j}(s)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, k=1}^{r} \int_{0}^{t} f_{ijk}(X(s-)) d[Z_{j}, Z_{k}]_{s}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$f_{ijk}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{d} \frac{df_{ij}(x)}{dx_n} f_{nk}(x), \qquad x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Let  $X^{\delta} = (X_1^{\delta}, X_2^{\delta}, \ldots, X_d^{\delta})$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , be a solution of an analogous equation with Z replaced by a semimartingale  $Z^{\delta} = (Z_1^{\delta}, Z_2^{\delta}, \ldots, Z_r^{\delta})$ . We are interested in the behaviour of  $X^{\delta}$  as  $Z^{\delta} \to Z^0 = Z$ ,  $\delta \to 0$ , in  $S^p$ . But first introduce some technical boundeness assumptions on the family  $\{Z^{\delta}, \delta \ge 0\}$ .

Let  $Z^{\delta} = Z^{\delta}(0) + M^{\delta} + A^{\delta}$ ,  $\delta \ge 0$ , denote a decomposition of  $Z^{\delta}$  into an r-dimensional local martingale  $M^{\delta}$  and an FV process  $A^{\delta}$ . Let  $2 \le p < q \le \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q}$ .

B1.  $[M_i^{\delta}, M_i^{\delta}]$  are uniformly bounded in  $L^q$ , i. e.,

$$\sup_{\delta\geq 0} \left| \left| \left[ \mathbf{M}_i^{\delta}, \, \mathbf{M}_i^{\delta} \right]_{\mathrm{T}} \right| \right|_{\mathbf{L}^q} < \infty, \qquad i=1, \, 2, \, \ldots, \, r;$$

B2. S  $(Z_i^{\delta}, Z_i^{\delta})$  are uniformly bounded in  $L^{2q}$ ;

B3. 
$$\left\{ \left( \int_0^T \left| Z_j(s-) - Z_j^{\delta}(s-) \right| \cdot \left| dA_k^{\delta}(s) \right| \right)^p, \delta > 0 \right\}$$
 is a uniformly integrable family of random variables,  $j, k = 1, 2, \ldots, r$ .

B1 and B2 imply a uniform boundedness of  $\langle Z_i^{\delta}, Z_i^{\delta} \rangle^c$  and  $[Z_i^{\delta}, Z_i^{\delta}]$  in  $L^q$  and a uniform boundedness of  $M_i^{\delta}$  in  $H^{2q}$  (but not of  $Z_i^{\delta}$ !). B3 does not allow FV parts of  $Z_i^{\delta}$  to increase too quickly (in comparison with rate of convergence of  $Z - Z^{\delta}$  to 0).

Introduce the notation

$$Z_{jk}^{\delta} = [Z_k^{\delta}, Z_j] - [Z_j^{\delta}, Z_k] - 2(Z_j - Z_j^{\delta}) \square (Z_k - Z_k^{\delta}), \quad j, k = 1, 2, \ldots, r.$$

Theorem 1. — Let the following conditions be satisfied:

C1. 
$$\mathbb{Z}^{\delta} \to \mathbb{Z}$$
 in  $\mathbb{S}^{p}$ ,  $\delta \to 0$ ;

C2. 
$$Z_{ik}^{\delta} \to A_{ik}$$
 in  $S^{p'}$ ,  $\delta \to 0$ ,

where  $A_{jk}$ , j, k = 1, 2, ..., r, are FV processes. Then (under hypotheses B1, B2, B3)  $X^{\delta} \rightarrow X$  in  $S^{p}$ ,  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , where X is a solution of an equation

$$X_{i}(t) = x_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \int_{0}^{t} f_{ij}(X(s-)) \circ dZ_{j}(s)$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,k=1}^{r}\int_{0}^{t}f_{ijk}(X(s-))d(S(Z_{j},Z_{k})+A_{jk})_{s}, \quad (6)$$

 $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$ .

Comments and remarks. -(1) In the formulation of theorem 1  $Z_{jk}^{\delta}$  can be replaced by their FV parts

$$A_{ik}^{\delta} = 2[Z_i, Z_k^{\delta}] - [Z_i, Z_k] - [Z_i^{\delta}, Z_k^{\delta}] - 2(Z_i - Z_i^{\delta}) - (A_k - A_k^{\delta})$$

but we wanted to state our results in terms of given driving semimartingales  $Z,\,Z^\delta.$ 

(2) As will be seen from the proof, under the hypotheses of theorem 1 the processes  $A_{jk}$  are, in fact, continuous; moreover,  $A_{jk} = -A_{kj}$  and, in

particular,  $A_{ii} = 0$ . This can be easily seen from

$$Z_{jk}^{\delta} + Z_{kj}^{\delta} = -2 (Z_j - Z_j^{\delta}) (Z_k - Z_k^{\delta}) \to 0 \text{ in } S^{p'/2}, \delta \to 0.$$

- (3) We shall say that  $\{Z^{\delta}, \delta > 0\}$  is a *symmetric* approximation of Z if C1 and C2 are satisfied with  $A_{jk} = 0$ . Hence, one can say that the equation (5) is "stable under *symmetric* perturbations of Z".
- (4) In the case of continuous Z and  $Z^{\delta}$  the theorem also slightly generalizes theorem 1 in [12] where  $q = \infty$  (p' = p) is taken.
- (5) It is clear that the local version of the theorem is true: if the hypotheses of theorem 1 are satisfied locally, then  $X^{\delta} \to X$  in  $S^{p}$  locally.
- (6) To compare with known results (cf. Protter [22], Marcus [13] and the articles referenced there) we should like to underline the following:
- (a) A commutation restriction on f is not assumed (and this is the reason for the appearance, in general, of the processes  $A_{jk}$  in the limiting equation);
- (b) the number of jumps of Z and  $Z^{\delta}$  is permitted to be infinite; two components of Z and  $Z^{\delta}$  are allowed to jump at the same time;
- (c) continuous processes Z as well as their continuous parts can be approximated by processes with jumps.
- (7) The condition C1 implies the uniform convergence (in probability) of  $\Delta Z_j^{\delta} \Delta Z_k^{\delta}$  to  $\Delta Z_j \Delta Z_k$  but not of  $S(Z_j^{\delta}, Z_k^{\delta})$  to  $S(Z_j, Z_k)$ . If the latter is assumed we have the same result for the equation (1):

Theorem 2. — Assume the hypotheses of theorem 1 and, in addition, the hypothesis

C3. 
$$S(Z_i^{\delta}, Z_k^{\delta}) \rightarrow S(Z_i, Z_k)$$
 in  $S^{p'}, \delta \rightarrow 0$ .

Let  $X^{\delta}$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , be a solution of an equation (2) with Z replaced by  $Z^{\delta}$ . Then  $X^{\delta} \to X$ , where X is a solution of an equation

$$X_{i}(t) = x_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \int_{0}^{t} f_{ij}(X(s-)) \circ dZ_{j}(s) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k=1}^{r} \int_{0}^{t} f_{ijk}(X(s)) dA_{jk}(s),$$

$$i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$$
.

#### PROOF OF THEOREM 1

It suffices to prove the theorem for  $I = [0, \infty[$ . We shall use the notation g(x; y) = g(x) - g(y) and

$$\Delta_2 g(X)_s = \Delta g(X)_s - \Sigma_k D_k g(X_{s-}) \Delta X_s^k, D_k g = \frac{dg}{dx_k}$$

for functions  $g: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  and processes  $X = (X^1, X^2, \ldots, X^d)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We shall also use the convention of summation over repeating indices. By  $M_i^\delta$  and  $A_{jk}^\delta$  and  $A_{jk}^\delta$  etc. we shall always denote the martingale and FV parts of semimartingales  $Z_i^\delta$ ,  $Z_{jk}^\delta$  etc. All constants C, C<sub>1</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>, ... do not depend on  $\delta > 0$  and may be different in different expressions.

We shall need some auxilliary representations for  $f_{ij}(X^{\delta})$ ,  $f_{ij}(X^{\delta}) \circ (Z_j - Z_i^{\delta})$  etc. Using Itô's formula we have

$$\begin{split} f_{ij}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\delta}\right) &= \mathbf{D}_{n} f_{ij}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\delta}\right) \circ \mathbf{X}_{n}^{\delta} + \sum_{s \leq \cdot} \Delta_{2} f_{ij}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\delta}\right)_{s} \\ &= \mathbf{D}_{n} f_{ij}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\delta}\right) \circ \left(f_{nk}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\delta}\right) \circ \mathbf{Z}_{k}^{\delta} + \frac{1}{2} f_{nkm}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\delta}\right)_{-} \cdot \mathbf{S}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{k}^{\delta}, \mathbf{Z}_{m}^{\delta}\right)\right) \\ &+ \sum_{s \leq \cdot} \Delta_{2} f_{ij}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\delta}\right)_{s} = f_{ijk}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\delta}\right) \circ \mathbf{Z}_{k}^{\delta} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} f_{ijkm}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\delta}\right)_{-} \cdot \mathbf{S}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{k}^{\delta}, \mathbf{Z}_{m}^{\delta}\right) + \sum_{s \leq \cdot} \Delta_{2} f_{ij}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\delta}\right)_{s}, \end{split}$$

where we denoted  $f_{ijkm} = D_n f_{ij} f_{nkm}$ . From (7) we also have

$$\langle f_{ij}(\mathbf{X}^{\delta}), \mathbf{Z}_{j} \rangle^{c} = f_{ijk}(\mathbf{X}^{\delta})_{-} \cdot \langle \mathbf{Z}_{k}^{\delta}, \mathbf{Z}_{j} \rangle^{c}, \quad \delta \geq 0;$$
 (8)

$$\Delta f_{ii} (\mathbf{X}^{\delta})_{s} = f_{iik} (\mathbf{X}^{\delta}_{s-}) \Delta \mathbf{Z}^{\delta}_{k} (s)$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}f_{ijkm}\left(\mathbf{X}_{s-}^{\delta}\right)\Delta\mathbf{Z}_{k}^{\delta}\left(s\right)\Delta\mathbf{Z}_{m}^{\delta}\left(s\right)+\Delta_{2}f_{ij}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\delta}\right)_{s}.$$
 (9)

Using the stochastic integration by parts formula

$$XY = X \circ Y + Y \circ X + S(X, Y)$$

and (8) we have

$$\begin{split} f_{ij}\left(X^{\delta}\right) \circ &(Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta}) \\ &= (Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta}) f_{ij}\left(X^{\delta}\right) - (Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta}) \circ f_{ij}\left(X^{\delta}\right) - S\left(Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta}, f_{ij}\left(X^{\delta}\right)\right) \\ &= (Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta}) f_{ij}\left(X^{\delta}\right) - f_{ijk}\left(X^{\delta}\right) \circ \left((Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta}) \circ Z_{k}^{\delta}\right) \\ &- \sum_{s \leq \cdot} \left(Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta}\right)_{s -} \left(\frac{1}{2} f_{ijkm}\left(X_{s -}^{\delta}\right) \Delta Z_{k}^{\delta}\left(s\right) \Delta Z_{m}^{\delta}\left(s\right) + \Delta_{2} f_{ij}\left(X^{\delta}\right)_{s}\right) \\ &- \sum_{s \leq \cdot} \Delta\left(Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta}\right)_{s} \left[ f_{ijk}\left(X_{s -}^{\delta}\right) \Delta Z_{k}^{\delta}\left(s\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} f_{ijkm}\left(X_{s -}^{\delta}\right) \Delta Z_{k}^{\delta}\left(s\right) \Delta Z_{m}^{\delta}\left(s\right) + \Delta_{2} f_{ij}\left(X^{\delta}\right)_{s}\right] \\ &= (Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta}) f_{ij}\left(X^{\delta}\right) - f_{ijk}\left(X^{\delta}\right)_{-} \cdot \left((Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta}) \circ Z_{k}^{\delta} + S\left(Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta}, Z_{k}^{\delta}\right)\right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left(Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta}\right)_{-} \cdot \left\langle f_{ijk}\left(X^{\delta}\right), Z_{k}^{\delta}\right\rangle^{c} - \sum_{s \leq \cdot} \left(Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta}\right)_{s}\left[\frac{1}{2} f_{ijkm}\left(X_{s -}^{\delta}\right) \right. \\ \Delta Z_{k}^{\delta}\left(s\right) \Delta Z_{m}^{\delta}\left(s\right) + \Delta_{2} f_{ij}\left(X^{\delta}\right)_{s}\right]. \end{split}$$

$$(10)$$

Consider

$$X_{i} - X_{i}^{\delta} = f_{ij} (X; X^{\delta}) \circ Z_{j} + f_{ij} (X^{\delta}) \circ (Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} (f_{ijk} (X)_{-} \cdot S (Z_{j}, Z_{k})$$

$$- f_{ijk} (X^{\delta})_{-} \cdot S (Z_{j}^{\delta}, Z_{k}^{\delta})) + \frac{1}{2} f_{ijk} (X)_{-} \cdot A_{jk}. \quad (11)$$

Using (8) one can see that the first term in (11) is equal to

$$f_{ij}\left(\mathbf{X};\,\mathbf{X}^{\delta}\right)_{-}\cdot\mathbf{Z}_{j}+\frac{1}{2}f_{ijk}\left(\mathbf{X};\,\mathbf{X}^{\delta}\right)_{-}\cdot\langle\,\mathbf{Z}_{k},\,\mathbf{Z}_{j}\,\rangle^{c}+\frac{1}{2}f_{ijk}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\delta}\right)_{-}\cdot\langle\,\mathbf{Z}_{k}-\mathbf{Z}_{k}^{\delta},\,\mathbf{Z}_{j}\,\rangle^{c}.$$

Therefore using (10) we obtain

$$X_{i} - X_{i}^{\delta} = I_{1}^{\delta} - \frac{1}{2} I_{2}^{\delta} - I_{3}^{\delta} + I_{4}^{\delta} + \frac{1}{2} I_{5}^{\delta} + \frac{1}{2} I_{6}^{\delta} + \frac{1}{2} I_{7},$$
 (12)

where

$$\begin{split} & I_{1}^{\delta} = (Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta}) f_{ij} \left( X^{\delta} \right), \\ & I_{2}^{\delta} = (Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta})_{-} \cdot \left\langle f_{ijk} \left( X^{\delta} \right), Z_{k}^{\delta} >^{c}, \right. \\ & I_{3}^{\delta} = \sum_{s \leq \cdot} \left( Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta} \right)_{s} \left[ \frac{1}{2} f_{ijkm} \left( X_{s-}^{\delta} \right) \Delta Z_{k}^{\delta} \left( s \right) \Delta Z_{m}^{\delta} \left( s \right) + \Delta_{2} f_{ij} \left( X^{\delta} \right)_{s} \right], \\ & I_{4}^{\delta} = f_{ij} \left( X; X^{\delta} \right)_{-} \cdot Z_{j}, \\ & I_{5}^{\delta} = f_{ijk} \left( X; X^{\delta} \right)_{-} \cdot \left[ Z_{j}, Z_{k} \right], \\ & I_{6}^{\delta} = f_{ijk} \left( X^{\delta} \right)_{-} \cdot \widetilde{Z}_{jk}^{\delta}, \\ \widetilde{Z}_{jk} = \left\langle Z_{k} - Z_{k}^{\delta}, Z_{j} \right\rangle^{c} - 2 \left( Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta} \right) \circ Z_{k}^{\delta} \\ & - 2 \operatorname{S} \left( Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta}, Z_{k}^{\delta} \right) + \operatorname{S} \left( Z_{j}, Z_{k} \right) - \operatorname{S} \left( Z_{j}^{\delta}, Z_{k}^{\delta} \right), \\ & I_{7} = f_{ijk} \left( X \right)_{-} \cdot A_{ik}. \end{split}$$

Let B be an increasing process that "controls" all  $Z^j$ ,  $j=1, 2, \ldots, r$ , in the following sense:

$$\mathbf{E}\left\{\sup_{t<\mathbf{T}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\mathbf{H}_{s-}\,d\mathbf{Z}_{j}\left(s\right)\right)^{2}\right\} \leq \mathbf{E}\left\{\mathbf{B}_{\mathsf{T}-}\int_{0}^{\mathsf{T}-}\mathbf{H}_{s-}^{2}\,d\mathbf{B}_{s}\right\}$$

for any locally bounded process H and any stopping time T (cf. Metivier-Pellaumail [17], proposition 2).

LEMMA 1. - Let us denote

$$\begin{split} \tau_{\mathbf{K}} &= \inf \big\{ \, t \geq 0 \colon \max_{i} \left[ \mathbf{Z}_{i}, \, \mathbf{Z}_{i} \right]_{t} \, \vee \, \max_{j, \, k} \left| \mathbf{A}_{jk} \right|_{t} \, \vee \, \mathbf{B}_{t} > \mathbf{K} \, \big\}, \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{K}}^{\delta} &= \inf \big\{ \, t \geq 0 \colon \left| \mathbf{A}_{jk}^{\delta} \right|_{t} > \mathbf{K} \, \big\}, \\ \tau_{\mathbf{K}}^{\delta} &= \tau_{\mathbf{K}} \, \Lambda \, \sigma_{\mathbf{K}}^{\delta} \end{split}$$

 $(A_{jk}^{\delta}-FV\ part\ of\ Z_{jk}^{\delta},\ \big|A\,\big|_t-total\ variation\ process\ of\ the\ FV\ process\ A).$  Then

$$\lim_{K \to \infty} \limsup_{\delta \downarrow 0} E \left( \sup_{t \ge 0} |X(t) - X^{\delta}(t)|^{p} : \tau_{K}^{\delta} < \infty \right) = 0.$$
 (13)

Proof. - It is clear that

$$\left|\left|I_{1}^{\delta}\right|\right|_{S^{p}} \leq C\left|\left|Z-Z^{\delta}\right|\right|_{S^{p}} \to 0, \qquad \delta \to 0.$$

$$\tag{14}$$

Using Itô's formula for any  $g \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  we have

$$\langle g(X^{\delta}) \rangle^{c} = D_{n}g f_{nk} D_{n'} g f_{n'k'} (X^{\delta})_{-} \cdot \langle Z_{k}, Z_{k} \rangle^{c}$$

Vol. 23, n° 4-1987.

and therefore

$$\left|\left|\left\langle g\left(\mathbf{X}^{\delta}\right)\right\rangle ^{c}\right|\right|_{\mathbf{L}^{q}}\leq C\sum_{k}\left|\left|\left\langle \mathbf{Z}_{k}^{\delta}\right\rangle ^{c}\right|\right|_{\mathbf{L}^{q}}.\tag{15}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left| \mathbf{I}_{2}^{\delta} \right| \right|_{\mathbf{S}^{p}} &\leq \mathbf{C} \left| \left| \mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{Z}^{\delta} \right| \right|_{\mathbf{S}^{p'}} \\ &\times \sum_{k} \left( \left| \left| \left\langle f_{ijk} \left( \mathbf{X}^{\delta} \right) \right\rangle^{c} \right| \right|_{\mathbf{L}^{q}} + \left| \left| \left\langle \left| \mathbf{Z}_{k}^{\delta} \right\rangle^{c} \right| \right|_{\mathbf{L}^{q}} \right) \to 0, \qquad \delta \to 0. \end{aligned}$$
 (16)

Consider  $I_3^{\delta}$ : since

$$\begin{split} \left| \Delta_{2} f\left(\mathbf{X}^{\delta}\right)_{s} \right| & \leq \mathbf{C} \sum_{i} \left| \Delta \mathbf{X}_{i}^{\delta} \left(s\right) \right|^{2} \\ & = \mathbf{C} \left| f_{ij} \left(\mathbf{X}_{s-}^{\delta}\right) \Delta \mathbf{Z}_{j}^{\delta} \left(s\right) + \frac{1}{2} f_{ijk} \left(\mathbf{X}_{s-}^{\delta}\right) \Delta \mathbf{Z}_{j}^{\delta} \left(s\right) \Delta \mathbf{Z}_{k}^{\delta} \left(s\right) \right|^{2} \\ & \leq \mathbf{C}_{1} \sum_{k} \left( \Delta \mathbf{Z}_{k}^{\delta} \right)^{2} \left(s\right) \left(1 + \mathbf{S} \left(\mathbf{Z}_{k}^{\delta}\right)_{\infty}\right) \end{split}$$

and hence

$$I_3^{\delta *} \leq C_2 (Z - Z^{\delta}) * \sum_{k} S(Z_k^{\delta})_{\infty} (1 + S(Z_k^{\delta})_{\infty}),$$

using B2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} || \mathbf{I}_{3}^{\delta} ||_{\mathbf{S}^{p}} &\leq \mathbf{C}_{3} || \mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{Z}^{\delta} ||_{\mathbf{S}^{p'}} \\ &\times \sum_{k} (|| \mathbf{S} (\mathbf{Z}_{k}^{\delta})_{\infty} ||_{\mathbf{L}^{2q}}^{1/2q} + || \mathbf{S} (\mathbf{Z}_{k})_{\infty} ||_{\mathbf{L}^{q}}) \to 0, \qquad \delta \to 0. \quad (17) \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $|I_7^*|^p$  is integrable. The families of r. v.'s  $\{|I_k^{\delta*}|^p, \delta>0\}$ , k=4, 5, 6, are uniformly integrable. Consider, for example, k=4. If  $q<\infty$  and hence p'>p we have by (3), (4):

$$\left|\left|I_{4}^{\delta}\right|\right|_{\mathbb{S}^{p'}} \leq C_{p'}\left|\left|f(X; X^{\delta})\right|\right|_{\mathbb{S}^{\infty}} \sum_{i} \left|\left|Z_{j}\right|\right|_{\mathbb{H}^{p'}} \leq C.$$

If  $q = \infty$  and hence p' = p we have for any  $\alpha > p$ 

$$(\operatorname{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} \left| f_{ij} \left( X; X^{\delta} \right)_{-} \cdot \mathbf{M}_{j} \left( t \right) \right|^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha} \leq C_{\alpha} \left| \left| f_{ij} \left( X; X^{\delta} \right) \right| \left|_{S^{\alpha}} \right| \left| \mathbf{M}_{j} \right| \right|_{\mathbf{H}^{\infty}} \leq C$$

and

$$E\left(\sup_{t\geq 0}\left|f_{ij}(X;X^{\delta})_{-}\cdot A_{j}(t)\right|^{p}:\sup_{t\geq 0}\left|f_{ij}(X;X^{\delta})_{-}\cdot A_{j}(t)\right| > \lambda\right)$$

$$\leq (2C)^{p} E\left(\left|A_{j}\right|_{\infty}^{p}:\left|A_{j}\right|_{\infty} > \lambda/(2C)\right) \to 0, \qquad \lambda \to \infty$$

[here  $C = \sup_{x, i, j} |f_{ij}(x)|$ ;  $|A|_{\infty}^p$  is integrable because  $M \in H^{\infty} \subset S^p$  and  $M + A \in S^p$ ].

One can easily show by analogous argument the uniform integrability of  $\{|I_6^{\delta*}|^p, \delta>0\}$ , using the boundedness of  $[M_k^{\delta}], \langle Z_k^{\delta} \rangle^c$  and  $S(Z_k^{\delta})$  in  $L^q$  and the condition B3. The same is true for  $\{|A_{jk}^{\delta}|_{\infty}^p, \delta>0\}$  and therefore  $\sup_{\delta>0} P\{\tau_K^{\delta} < \infty\} \to 0, K \to \infty$ . Summarizing the above we have (13).

Lemma 2. — Let f and Y be two increasing nonnegative processes such that  $E f(\infty) < \infty$  and  $Y_{\infty} \leq K$  for some constant K. If for every Markov moment T

$$E f(T-) \leq E \int_0^{T-} f(s-) dY_s + \varepsilon$$

then  $E f(\infty) \leq \varepsilon e^{K}$ .

*Proof.* — In fact, this "Gronwall type" proposition is a special case of lemma 2 of Grigelionis-Mikulevičius [7]. The proof (slightly modified for our case) is reproduced here for the sake of completeness. Denote  $\tau_t = \inf\{s \ge 0: Y_s > t\}$ ,  $t \ge 0$ . Then we have

$$E f(\tau_{t}-) \leq E \int_{0}^{\tau_{t}-} f(s-) dY_{s} + \varepsilon = E \int_{0}^{\infty} f(s-) 1_{\{s < \tau_{t}\}} dY_{s} + \varepsilon$$

$$\leq E \int_{0}^{\infty} f(\tau_{u}-) 1_{\{\tau_{u} < \infty\}} 1_{\{\tau_{u} < \tau_{t}\}} du + \varepsilon \leq \int_{0}^{t} E f(\tau_{u}-) du + \varepsilon.$$

Using the "classical" Gronwall lemma for  $\Phi(t) = E f(\tau_t -)$  we obtain inequality  $E f(\tau_t -) \le \varepsilon e^t$ . The passing to the limit as  $t \uparrow K$  then gives  $E f(\infty) \le \varepsilon e^K$ .

Let us return to the proof of the theorem. Consider

$$\|X - X^{\delta}\|_{\mathbb{S}^{p}}^{p} \leq E\left(\sup_{t \geq 0} |X(t) - X^{\delta}(t)|^{p} : \tau_{K}^{\delta} < \infty\right) + E\left(\sup_{t < \tau_{k}^{\delta}} |X(t) - X^{\delta}(t)|^{p}\right). \quad (18)$$

By lemma 1 it is sufficient to prove that the second term on the right side of (18) tends to 0 as  $\delta \to 0$  for every  $K < \infty$ . In the proof of lemma 1 we

have seen that  $I_1^{\delta*}$ ,  $I_2^{\delta*}$ ,  $I_3^{\delta*}$  converge to 0 in  $L^p$ ,  $I_7$  is in  $L^p$  and  $\{ |I_k^{\delta*}|^p, \delta > 0, k = 4, 5, 6 \}$  is uniformly integrable. Therefore finally it is sufficient to prove that

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} E(\sup_{t < \tau_K^{\delta}} |X(t) - X^{\delta}(t)|^2) = 0$$

for every  $K < \infty$ . Note that

$$[Z_i]_t \leq K$$
,  $A_{jk}|_t \leq K$ ,  $B_t|_t \leq K$ ,  $A_{jk}^{\delta}|_t \leq K$  on  $\{t < \tau_K^{\delta}\}$ .

For typographical reasons we shall often write  $\tau$  instead of  $\tau_K^{\delta}$ . In order to use lemma 2 we shall try to get an estimate for  $f^{\delta}(t) = \sup_{s < t \wedge \tau} |X(s) - X^{\delta}(s)|^2$  (as an exception to our convention,  $f^{\delta}$  is left continuous with right limits). Let T be an arbitrary Markov moment. Consider  $I_{\Delta}^{\delta}$ :

$$E \left| I_{4}^{\delta *} ((T \wedge \tau) -) \right|^{2} = E \left\{ \sup_{t < T \wedge \tau} \left| \int_{0}^{t} f_{ij}^{\delta} (X; X^{\delta})_{s-} dZ_{j}(s) \right|^{2} \right\}$$

$$\leq E \left\{ B_{(T \wedge \tau) - \sum_{j} \int_{0}^{(T \wedge \tau) -} f_{ij}^{2} (X; X^{\delta})_{s-} dB_{s} \right\} \leq C \int_{0}^{T -} f^{\delta}(s) dB_{s \wedge \tau -} \quad (19)$$

[we use the notation  $X^*(t) = \sup_{s \le t} |X_s|, X^* = X^*_{\infty}$ ]. Analogously,

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\mathbb{I}_{5}^{\delta*}((\mathsf{T}\wedge\tau)-)\right|^{2} \leq \mathbb{C}\sum_{j}\mathbb{E}\int_{0}^{\mathsf{T}-}f^{\delta}(s)\,d\left[\mathbb{Z}_{j}\right]_{s\wedge\tau-}.\tag{20}$$

It is easy to check that  $\tilde{Z}_{ik} = -Z_{ik}^{\delta} - 2(Z_i - Z_i^{\delta})_{-} \cdot Z_k$ . Therefore

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{I}_{6}^{\delta} + \mathbf{I}_{7} &= -2f_{ijk}(\mathbf{X}^{\delta})_{-}(\mathbf{Z}_{j} - \mathbf{Z}_{j}^{\delta})_{-} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{k} \\ &+ f_{ijk}(\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{X}^{\delta})_{-} \cdot \mathbf{M}_{jk}^{\delta} + f_{ijk}(\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{X}^{\delta})_{-} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{jk}^{\delta} \\ &+ f_{ijk}(\mathbf{X})_{-} \cdot (\mathbf{A}_{ik} - \mathbf{Z}_{ik}^{\delta}) = : -2\mathbf{I}_{0}^{\delta} + \mathbf{I}_{10}^{\delta} + \mathbf{I}_{11}^{\delta} + \mathbf{I}_{12}^{\delta}. \end{split}$$

For  $I_0^{\delta}$  we have

$$\|I_9^{\delta}\|_{S^2} \le C \|Z - Z^{\delta}\|_{S^{p'}} \sum_k \|Z_k\|_{H^q} \to 0, \quad \delta \to 0.$$
 (21)

Analogously, by hypothesis B1,

$$\| I_{10}^{\delta} \|_{S^{2}}^{p_{2}} \leq \| I_{10}^{\delta} \|_{S^{p}}^{p_{2}} = E \left\{ \sup_{t \geq 0} \left| \int_{0}^{t} f_{ijk}(X; X^{\delta})_{s-} (Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta})_{s-} dM_{k}^{\delta}(s) \right|^{p} \right\}$$

$$\leq C \| Z - Z^{\delta} \|_{S^{p'}}^{p_{p'}} \| M_{k}^{\delta} \|_{H^{q}}^{p_{q}} \to 0, \qquad \delta \to 0. \quad (22)$$

The estimate for  $I_{11}^{\delta}$  is obtained as in (19):

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\mathbf{I}_{11}^{\delta*}((\mathbf{T}\wedge\boldsymbol{\tau})-)\right|^{2} \leq \mathbb{C}\sum_{j,k}\int_{0}^{\mathbf{T}-}f^{\delta}(s)\left|d\mathbf{A}_{jk}^{\delta}(s\wedge\boldsymbol{\tau}-)\right|. \tag{23}$$

Now it only remains to consider

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{I}_{12}^{\delta} = & f_{ijk}(\mathbf{X}) \left( \mathbf{A}_{jk} - \mathbf{Z}_{jk}^{\delta} \right) - \left( \mathbf{A}_{jk} - \mathbf{Z}_{jk}^{\delta} \right)_{-} \cdot f_{ijk}(\mathbf{X}) + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \mathbf{Z}_{jk}^{\delta}, f_{ijk}(\mathbf{X}) \right\rangle^{c} \\ & + \mathbf{S} \left( \mathbf{Z}_{jk}^{\delta} - \mathbf{A}_{jk}, f_{ijk}(\mathbf{X}) \right) = : \mathbf{I}_{121}^{\delta} - \mathbf{I}_{122}^{\delta} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I}_{123}^{\delta} + \mathbf{I}_{124}^{\delta}. \end{split}$$

As before,

$$\|\mathbf{I}_{121}^{\delta}\|_{\mathbf{S}^{2}} \leq C \|\mathbf{A}_{ik} - \mathbf{Z}_{ik}^{\delta}\|_{\mathbf{S}^{p}} \to 0, \quad \delta \to 0,$$
 (24)

and

$$\|\mathbf{I}_{122}^{\delta}\|_{\mathbf{S}^2} \leq C \|\mathbf{A}_{jk} - \mathbf{Z}_{jk}^{\delta}\|_{\mathbf{S}^{p'}} \|f_{ijk}(\mathbf{X})\|_{\mathbf{H}^q} \to 0, \quad \delta \to 0.$$
 (25)

For  $I_{123}^{\delta} = (Z_j - Z_j^{\delta})_- \cdot \langle M_k^{\delta}, f_{ijk}(X) \rangle^c$  we have

$$\| I_{123}^{\delta} \|_{S^{2}} \leq C \| Z - Z^{\delta} \|_{S^{p'}} \times \sum_{i,k} (\| \langle M_{k}^{\delta} \rangle_{\infty}^{c} \|_{L^{q}} + \| \langle M_{ijk} \rangle_{\infty} \|_{L^{q}}) \to 0, \quad \delta \to 0, \quad (26)$$

where by  $M_{ijk}$  we denote the continuous martingale part of  $f_{ijk}(X)$ .

Now consider  $I_{124}^{\delta}$ . First we shall note that, in fact, the processes  $A_{jk}$  are continuous. This is seen from the equality

$$\begin{split} \Delta Z_{jk}^{\delta}(t) &= \Delta \left( Z_k^{\delta} - Z_k \right)(t) \, \Delta Z_j(t) + \Delta \left( Z_j - Z_j^{\delta} \right)(t) \, \Delta Z_k^{\delta}(t) \\ &\qquad \qquad - 2 \left( Z_j - Z_j^{\delta} \right)(t) \, \Delta \left( Z_k - Z_k^{\delta} \right)(t) \end{split}$$

which yields

$$\begin{split} \sup_{t} \left| \Delta Z_{jk}^{\delta}(t) \right| & \leq 4 (Z_{k}^{\delta} - Z_{k})^{*} Z_{j}^{*} \\ & + 4 (Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta})^{*} Z_{k}^{\delta *} + 4 (Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta})^{*} (Z_{k} - Z_{k}^{\delta})^{*} \to 0, \qquad \delta \to 0, \end{split}$$

Vol. 23, n° 4-1987.

in probability and hence  $\sup |\Delta A_{jk}(t)| = 0$ . Therefore

$$\begin{split} I_{124}^{\delta*}(\tau-) &= S(Z_{jk}^{\delta}, \ f_{ijk}(X))_{\tau-}^{*} \\ &\leq \sum_{t < \tau} \left| \Delta(Z_{k}^{\delta} - Z_{k})(t) \Delta Z_{j}(t) \Delta f_{ijk}(X)_{t} \right| \\ &+ \left| \Delta(Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta})(t) \Delta Z_{k}^{\delta}(t) \Delta f_{ijk}(X)_{t} \right| \\ &+ 2 \left| (Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta})(t) \left| (\left| \Delta Z_{k}(t) \right| + \left| \Delta Z_{k}^{\delta}(t) \right|) \left| \Delta f_{ijk}(X)_{t} \right| \\ &\leq C(Z^{\delta} - Z)^{*} \sum_{t < \tau} (\left| \Delta Z_{j}(t) \right| + \left| \Delta Z_{k}(t) \right| \\ &+ \left| \Delta Z_{k}^{\delta}(t) \right|) \left| \Delta f_{ijk}(X)_{t} \right| \\ &\leq C(Z^{\delta} - Z)^{*} (S(Z_{j})_{\infty}^{1/2} + S(Z_{k})_{\infty}^{1/2} \\ &+ S(Z_{k}^{\delta})_{\infty}^{1/2}) S(f_{ijk}(X))_{\tau-}^{1/2} \end{split}$$

and thus

$$\| I_{124}^{\delta*}(\tau -) \|_{L^{2}} \leq \| I_{124}^{\delta*}(\tau -) \|_{L^{p}}$$

$$\leq C_{1} \| Z^{\delta} - Z \|_{S^{p'}} \times (\| S(Z_{j})_{\infty} \|_{L^{q'}}^{1/q'} + \| S(Z_{k})_{\infty} \|_{L^{q'}}^{1/q'}$$

$$+ \| S(Z_{k}^{\delta})_{\infty} \|_{L^{q'}}^{1/q'} \| S(f_{i:k}(X))_{\tau -} \|_{L^{q'}}^{1/q'} \to 0, \quad \delta \to 0, \quad (27)$$

where we have used B2 and the boundedness of  $S(f_{ijk}(X))_t$  on  $\{t < \tau\}$ . The latter can be easily seen from the expression for  $\Delta f_{ijk}(X)$ , analogous to (9), and the inequality  $S(Z_k)_t \le K$  on  $\{t < \tau\}$ .

Summarizing the estimates (14), (16), (17), (19)-(27), we obtain for  $f^{\delta}$  a desired final estimate

$$Ef^{\delta}(T-) = Ef^{\delta}(T) = E \sup_{t < T \wedge \tau} |X(t) - X^{\delta}(t)|^{2}$$

$$\cdot \leq E \int_{0}^{T-} f^{\delta}(s-) d\tilde{A}^{\delta}(s) + \varepsilon(\delta)$$

with a certain increasing process  $\tilde{A}^{\delta}$  such that  $\tilde{A}_{\infty}^{\delta} \leq \tilde{K}$  for some constant  $\tilde{K}$  (not depending on  $\delta > 0$ ) and  $\epsilon(\delta)$  tending to 0 as  $\delta \to 0$ . Finally, by lemma 2 we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t<\tau_{\mathbf{K}}^{\delta}}\left|X(t)-X^{\delta}(t)\right|^{2}\right)=\mathbb{E}f^{\delta}(\infty)\leq\varepsilon(\delta)\,e^{\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}}\to0,\qquad\delta\to0,$$

and the proof of theorem 1 is complete.

Remark. — The proof of theorem 2 is almost the same. The only difference is that the term  $I_5^{\delta}$  becomes equal to  $f_{ijk}(X; X^{\delta}) \cdot \langle Z_j, Z_k \rangle^c$  and the processes  $Z_{jk}^{\delta}$  have to be replaced by the processes  $\hat{Z}_{jk}^{\delta} = Z_{jk}^{\delta} + S(Z_j^{\delta}, Z_k^{\delta}) - S(Z_j, Z_k)$  which also converge to  $A_{jk}$  under the additional hypothesis C3.

#### **EXAMPLE**

In [12] several examples of approximations of continuous driving semimartingales by continuous semimartingales are presented. Protter [22] considered the approximation of a discontinuous driving semimartingale by FV processes, but the continuous and discontinuous parts were approximated separately. Theorem 1 does not exclude the possibility of an approximation of continuous driving semimartingale by the processes with jumps:

PROPOSITION. — Let  $Z = \{Z_i, t \in [0, T]\}$   $(T < \infty)$  be a continuous r-dimensional semimartingale such that  $Z_i \in H^{8p}$ , i = 1, 2, ..., r, for some  $p \in [2, \infty[$ . Denote

$$Z^{\delta}(t) = Z(k \delta)$$
 for  $t \in [k \delta, (k+1)\delta[, k=0, 1, 2, ...]$ 

Then  $\{Z^{\delta}, \delta > 0\}$  is a symmetric approximation of Z. More precisely, the conditions B1, B2, B3, C1, C2 are satisfied with  $A_{ik} = 0$  and p' = q = 2p.

Proof. - The conditions B2 and B1 follow from the inequality

$$||S(Z_i^{\delta})_T||_{L^{2q}} \leq C ||Z||_{H^{2q}}^2$$

([2], p. 320). For B3 let us note that the martingale part of  $Z_k^{\delta}$  is 0 and therefore

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E} \bigg( \int_{0}^{T} \left| \left( Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta} \right)(s - ) \right| \, \left| \, d \mathbf{A}_{k}^{\delta}(s) \, \right| \bigg)^{2p} \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left( \sum_{t} \left| \, \Delta Z_{j}^{\delta}(t) \, \right| \, \left| \, \Delta Z_{k}^{\delta}(t) \, \right| \right)^{2p} \leq \left\| \, Z_{j} \, \right\|_{\mathbf{H}^{4p}}^{2p} \left\| \, Z_{k} \, \right\|_{\mathbf{H}^{4p}}^{2p}. \end{split}$$

The condition C1 is evidently satisfied. It remains to consider C2. Since  $[Z_i^{\delta}, Z_k] = 0$ , we have

$$Z_{jk}^{\delta} = -(Z_j - Z_j^{\delta}) \ \square \ (Z_k - Z_k^{\delta}) = -(Z_j - Z_j^{\delta})_- \cdot Z_k - \frac{1}{2} \langle Z_j, Z_k \rangle + \frac{1}{2} S(Z_j^{\delta}, Z_k^{\delta}),$$

and since

$$\left\| \left( Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta} \right)_{-} \cdot Z_{k} \right\|_{\mathbf{S}^{2p}} \leq C_{p} \left\| Z_{j} - Z_{j}^{\delta} \right\|_{\mathbf{S}^{4p}} \left\| Z_{k} \right\|_{\mathbf{H}^{4p}} \to 0, \qquad \delta \to 0,$$

it suffices to check that  $S(Z_j^{\delta}, Z_k^{\delta}) \to \langle Z_j, Z_k \rangle$  in  $S^{2p}$ . It is known that  $\sup_{t \leq T} |S(Z_j^{\delta}, Z_k^{\delta})_t - \langle Z_j, Z_k \rangle_t| \to 0, \delta \to 0$ , in probability (cf. [2], [18]).

The estimate

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ S(Z_{j}^{\delta}, Z_{k}^{\delta})_{T} \right]^{4p} \leq \left\| S(Z_{j}^{\delta})_{\infty} \right\|_{\mathbf{L}^{4p}}^{2p} \left\| S(Z_{k}^{\delta})_{\infty} \right\|_{\mathbf{L}^{4p}}^{2p} \leq C_{p} \left\| Z_{j} \right\|_{\mathbf{H}^{8p}}^{4p} \left\| Z_{k} \right\|_{\mathbf{H}^{8p}}^{4p}$$

([2], p. 320) then assures the convergence in  $S^{2p}$ .

Example. — Using the example of a symmetric approximation given in the proposition one can easily construct a simple example of a non-symmetric approximation  $(A_{jk} \neq 0)$ . Let Z and  $\tilde{Z}$  be two continuous semi-martingales satisfying the conditions of the proposition. Define an approximation of Z by

$$Z^{\delta} = \tilde{Z} + (Z - \tilde{Z})^{\delta}, \quad \delta > 0,$$

where  $(Z-\tilde{Z})^{\delta}$  is the symmetric approximation of the proposition applied to  $Z-\tilde{Z}$ . Then from the proposition we see that  $\{Z^{\delta}, \delta > 0\}$  satisfies B1, B2, B3 and C1 with p' = q = 2p. We also have

$$\begin{split} Z_{jk}^{\delta} &= \langle \, \tilde{Z}_k, Z_j \, \rangle - \langle \, \tilde{Z}_j, Z_k \, \rangle + (Z - \tilde{Z})_{jk}^{\delta} \\ &\qquad \qquad \rightarrow \langle \, \tilde{Z}_k, Z_j \, \rangle - \langle \, \tilde{Z}_j, Z_k \, \rangle \quad \text{in } S^{p'}, \qquad \delta \rightarrow 0. \end{split}$$

Thus C2 is satisfied with

$$A_{jk} = \langle \tilde{Z}_k, Z_j \rangle - \langle \tilde{Z}_j, Z_k \rangle,$$

which, in general, is not 0. The constructed approximation is symmetric only if the coordinates of martingale parts of Z and  $\tilde{Z}$  "commute" in the following sense:

$$\langle \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_k, \mathbf{Z}_j \rangle = \langle \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_j, \mathbf{Z}_k \rangle$$
 for all  $k, j$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to express his gratitude to J. Jacod for many helpful discussions and careful reading of the manuscript which enabled to avoid a mistake and several misprints.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M.-F. Allain, Sur quelques types d'approximations des solutions d'équations différentielles stochastiques, *Thèse*, Université de Rennes, 1974.
- [2] C. Dellacherie and P.-A. Meyer, Probabilités et Potentiel, Hermann, Paris, 1980.
- [3] H. Doss, Liens entre équations différentielles stochastiques et ordinaires, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, T. 13, n° 2, 1977, pp. 99-125.
- [4] M. EMERY, Stabilité des solutions des équations différentielles stochastiques: application aux intégrales multiplicatives stochastiques, Z. Wahrsch. v. Geb., T. 41, No. 3, 1978, pp. 241-262.
- [5] M. EMERY, Équations différentielles lipschitziennes: étude de la stabilité, Lect. Notes in Mathematics, T. 721, 1979, pp. 281-293.
- [6] M. I. FREEDMAN and J. C. WILLEMS, Smooth Representation of Systems with Differentiated Inputs, I.E.E.E. Trans. Aut. Control, T. AC-23, 1978, pp. 16-21.
- [7] B. GRIGELIONIS and R. MIKULEVIČIUS, Stochastic Evolution Equations and Densities of the Conditional Distributions, Lect. Notes in Control and Inf. Sc., T. 49, 1984, pp. 49-88.
- [8] N. IKEDA, S. NAKAO and Y. YAMATO, A Class of Approximations of Brownian Motion, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sc., T. 13, No. 1, 1977, pp. 285-300.
- [9] N. IKEDA and S. WATANABE, Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes, North Holland-Kodansha, Amsterdam-Tokyo, 1981.
- [10] F. KONECNY, On Wong-Zakai Approximation of Stochastic Differential Equations, J. Multivariate Anal, T. 13, No. 4, 1983, pp. 605-611.
- [11] A. J. Krener, A Formal Approach to Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations, Stochastics, T. 3, 1979, pp. 105-125.
- [12] V. MACKEVIČIUS, S<sup>p</sup> Stability of Solutions of Symmetric Stochastic Differential Equations, *Lietuvos Matematikos Rinkinys*, T. 25, No. 4, 1985, pp. 72-84 (in Russian). English translation to appear in *Lithuanian Math. J.*
- [13] S. I. MARCUS, Modeling and Approximation of Stochastic Differential Equations Driven by Semimartingales, Stochastics, T. 4, No. 3, 1981, pp. 223-245.
- [14] E. J. McShane, Stochastic Calculus and Stochastic Models, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [15] E. J. McShane, Stochastic Differential Equations, J. Multivariate Anal., T. 5, 1975, pp. 121-177.
- [16] M. METIVIER and J. PELLAUMAIL, Stochastic Integration, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [17] M. METIVIER and J. PELLAUMAIL, On a Stopped Doob's Inequality and General Stochastic Equations, Ann. Probab., T. 8, No. 1, 1980, pp. 96-114.
- [18] P.-A. MEYER, Un cours sur les intégrales stochastiques, Lect. Notes in Math., T. 511, 1976, pp. 245-400.

- [19] P.-A. MEYER, Inégalités de normes pour les intégrales stochastiques, Lect. Notes in Math., T. 649, 1978, pp. 757-762.
- [20] S. NAKAO and Y. YAMATO, Approximation Theorem on Stochastic Differential Equations, Proc. Internat. Symp., S.D.E., Kyotô, 1976, pp. 283-296.
- [21] P. PROTTER, H<sup>p</sup> Stability of Solutions of Stochastic Differential Equations, Z. Wahrsch. v. Geb., T. 44, 1978, pp. 337-352.
- [22] P. PROTTER, Approximations of Solutions of Stochastic Differential Equations Driven by Semimartingales, *Ann. Probab.*, T. 13, No. 3, 1985, pp. 716-743.
- [23] H. J. Sussmann, On the Gap Between Deterministic and Stochastic Ordinary Differential Equations, Ann. Probab., T. 6, 1978, pp. 19-41.
- [24] E. Wong and M. Zakai, On the Convergence of Ordinary Integrals to Stochastic Integrals, Ann. Math. Statist., T. 36, No. 5, 1965, pp. 1560-1564.

(Manuscrit reçu le 10 avril 1986) (corrigé le 9 septembre 1986.)