

ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION B

SHINTARO NAKAO

On weak convergence of sequences of continuous local martingales

Annales de l'I. H. P., section B, tome 22, n° 3 (1986), p. 371-380

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPB_1986__22_3_371_0

© Gauthier-Villars, 1986, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section B » (<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/anihpb>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

On weak convergence of sequences of continuous local martingales

by

Shintaro NAKAO

Department of Mathematics, Osaka University,
Toyonaka, Osaka 560, Japan

SUMMARY. — Let $\{M^n(t)\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ be a sequence of Hilbert space valued continuous local martingales. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for which $\{M^n\}$ is tight in C in terms of $\{\langle M^n \rangle\}$. Using this result we show the preservation of the local martingale property under the weak convergence in C of $\{M^n\}$.

Mots-clés : Continuous local martingale. Weak convergence.

RÉSUMÉ. — Soit $\{M^n(t)\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ une suite de martingales locales continues à valeurs dans un espace de Hilbert. Nous donnons une condition nécessaire et suffisante pour que $\{M^n\}$ soit tendue dans C à l'aide d'une condition de tension sur $\{\langle M^n \rangle\}$. A l'aide de ce résultat, nous montrons que la propriété de martingale faible locale est conservée par la convergence faible dans C .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let H be a real separable Hilbert space with inner product (\cdot, \cdot) and $\{e_j\}_{j \in J}$ be an orthonormal basis of H . Consider a sequence $\{M^n(t)\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$

Classification AMS : 60 G 44.

of H -valued continuous local martingales starting at 0, where the time interval I is $[0, T]$ ($0 < T < +\infty$) or $[0, +\infty)$. Denote by $\text{dia} \langle M^n \rangle (t)$ the vector of all diagonal components of $(\langle (M^n, e_k), (M^n, e_l) \rangle (t))_{k, l \in J}$, where $\langle (M^n, e_k), (M^n, e_l) \rangle$ is the quadratic variational process of the martingales (M^n, e_k) and (M^n, e_l) (cf. [3] [6] [9]). We then regard $\text{dia} \langle M^n \rangle$ as an l^1 -valued continuous process in case that H is infinite-dimensional.

Rebolledo [11] proved, using Lenglart's inequality [8], that in case of $H = \mathbb{R}$ the tightness in C of $\{M^n(t)\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ and the tightness in C of $\{\text{dia} \langle M^n \rangle (t)\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ ($= \{\langle M^n \rangle (t)\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$) are equivalent to each other, where C is the space of continuous sample functions. A main purpose of this article is to show the above equivalence holds even if H is infinite-dimensional (Theorem A in Section 2).

By applying Theorem A we show that any accumulation point of $\{M^n(t)\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ under the weak convergence in C is also a continuous local martingale (Theorem B in Section 3). Moreover the continuity of the mapping $\text{dia} \langle \cdot \rangle$ under the weak convergence in C is stated in Theorem C in Section 3.

In Appendix we give an elementary proof of Theorem A in case of $H = \mathbb{R}$ which is best adapted to the situation where all the processes considered are continuous and which is based on change of time.

2. TIGHTNESS OF $\{M^n\}$ AND $\{\text{dia} \langle M^n \rangle\}$

For a real separable Banach space E , set

$$C_0(I, E) = \{w; w: I \rightarrow E, w \text{ is continuous and } w(0) = 0\}$$

and we define the usual metric on $C_0(I, E)$ (see [5]); that is, $\{w_n\}$ converges to w if and only if $\{w_n\}$ converges uniformly to w on each compact interval in I . Let $\mathcal{B}(C_0(I, E))$ be the topological σ -algebra of $C_0(I, E)$. We denote the space of all probability measures on $(C_0(I, E), \mathcal{B}(C_0(I, E)))$ by $\mathcal{P}(C_0(I, E))$ and endow $\mathcal{P}(C_0(I, E))$ with the weak convergence topology. Consider the following subspace of $\mathcal{P}(C_0(I, E))$:

$$\mathcal{P}_{lm}(C_0(I, E)) = \{P \in \mathcal{P}(C_0(I, E)); \langle w(t), f \rangle$$

is continuous local martingale for every $f \in E'\}$,

where E' is the dual space of E .

For an orthonormal basis $\{e_j\}_{j \in J}$ of H , define the mapping $\phi: C_0(I, H) \rightarrow C_0(I, l^2(J))$ by

$$(2.1) \quad \phi(w)(t) = ((w(t), e_j))_{j \in J} \quad \text{for } w \in C_0(I, H), t \in I,$$

where for $p = 1, 2$

$$l^p(J) = \begin{cases} l^p & \text{if } \dim H = +\infty \\ \mathbb{R}^d & \text{if } \dim H = d < +\infty. \end{cases}$$

Then it is obvious that

$$(2.2) \quad \phi: C_0(I, H) \rightarrow C_0(I, l^2(J)) \quad \text{is a homeomorphism.}$$

According to the mapping ϕ , we introduce the mapping Φ :

$$\mathcal{P}(C_0(I, H)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(C_0(I, l^2(J)))$$

by

$$(2.3) \quad \Phi(P) = P \circ \phi^{-1} \quad \text{for } P \in \mathcal{P}(C_0(I, H)).$$

We have then by (2.2)

$$(2.4) \quad \Phi: \mathcal{P}(C_0(I, H)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(C_0(I, l^2(J))) \quad \text{is a homeomorphism}$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \Phi: \mathcal{P}_{lm}(C_0(I, H)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{lm}(C_0(I, l^2(J))) \quad \text{is a homeomorphism.}$$

We denote by $\hat{w}(t) = (\hat{w}_j(t))_{j \in J}$ the sample path of $C_0(I, l^2(J))$. Since the process $(\text{dia} \langle \hat{w} \rangle, \hat{P}) = ((\langle \hat{w}_j \rangle)_{j \in J}, \hat{P})$ is a $l^1(J)$ -valued continuous process for $\hat{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{lm}(C_0(I, l^2(J)))$, we can define the mapping $\text{dia} \langle \cdot \rangle$:

$$\mathcal{P}_{lm}(C_0(I, l^2(J))) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(C_0(I, l^1(J)))$$

in the following manner; for $\hat{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{lm}(C_0(I, l^2(J)))$

$$(2.6) \quad \text{dia} \langle \hat{P} \rangle = \text{the probability measure on } C_0(I, l^1(J)) \text{ induced by } (\text{dia} \langle \hat{w} \rangle, \hat{P}).$$

We get the following theorem about the tightness of a family of H -valued continuous local martingales.

THEOREM A. — Let $P_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{lm}(C_0(I, H))$ ($\alpha \in A$). Then the following three conditions are equivalent to each other.

- i) $\{P_\alpha; \alpha \in A\}$ is tight in $C_0(I, H)$.
- ii) $\{\Phi(P_\alpha); \alpha \in A\}$ is tight in $C_0(I, l^2(J))$.
- iii) $\{\text{dia} \langle \Phi(P_\alpha) \rangle; \alpha \in A\}$ is tight in $C_0(J, l^1(J))$.

As stated in the introduction Rebolledo [11] proved the above theorem using Lengart's inequality in case of $H = \mathbb{R}$. So we will prove the above theorem in case of $\dim H = +\infty$. For this purpose we estimate the tail behaviors of $\{\Phi(P_\alpha); \alpha \in A\}$ and $\{\text{dia} \langle \Phi(P_\alpha); \alpha \in A \rangle\}$ uniformly in $\alpha \in A$.

Let K be a subset of l^p ($p = 1, 2$). It is well known ([4]) that K is relatively compact if and only if

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{cases} \sup_{c \in K} \|c\|_{l^p} < +\infty \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{c \in K} \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} |c_m|^p = 0, \quad \text{where } c = (c_1, c_2, \dots). \end{cases}$$

Let $I = [0, T]$ and $Q_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}(C_0(I, l^p))$ ($\alpha \in A$). Noting (2.7), it is easy to see that $\{Q_\alpha; \alpha \in A\}$ is tight in $C_0(I, l^p)$ if and only if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots$ there exist a compact set $K \subset l^p$ and $\delta(i) > 0$ such that

$$(2.8) \quad \sup_{\alpha \in A} Q_\alpha \left(\sup_{\substack{0 \leq s, t \leq T \\ |s-t| \leq \delta(i)}} |w_i(t) - w_i(s)| < \varepsilon \right) > 1 - \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad \sup_{\alpha \in A} Q_\alpha(w(t) \in K \quad \text{for any } t \in I) > 1 - \varepsilon,$$

where $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots) \in C_0(I, l^p)$.

From now on we denote by $\hat{w} = (\hat{w}_1, \hat{w}_2, \dots)$ the sample path of $C_0(I, l^1)$. We prepare a lemma for the proof of Theorem A.

LEMMA 2.1. — Let $I = [0, T]$ and $\hat{P}_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_m(C_0(I, l^2))$ ($\alpha \in A$). Then the following two properties are equivalent to each other.

i) For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set $K \subset l^2$ such that

$$(2.10) \quad \hat{P}_\alpha(\hat{w}(t) \in K \quad \text{for any } t \in I) \geq 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{for } \alpha \in A.$$

ii) For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set $\hat{K} \subset l^1$ such that

$$(2.11) \quad \text{dia} \langle \hat{P}_\alpha \rangle(\hat{w}(t) \in \hat{K} \quad \text{for any } t \in I) \geq 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{for } \alpha \in A.$$

Proof. — We show that i) implies ii). Assume that (2.10) holds. Set

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} \inf \{ t \in I; \hat{w}(t) \notin K \} \\ T \quad \text{if } \{ \} = \phi. \end{cases}$$

Since it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\alpha \in A} E^{\hat{P}_\alpha} [\| \text{dia} \langle \hat{w} \rangle (T \wedge \gamma) \|_{l^1}] &= \sup_{\alpha \in A} E^{\hat{P}_\alpha} [\| \hat{w}(T \wedge \gamma) \|_{l^2}^2] \\ &\leq \sup_{c \in K} \|c\|_{l^2}^2 = \beta < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

we get by Chebyshev's inequality

$$(2.12) \quad \sup_{\alpha \in A} \mathring{P}_\alpha(\|\text{dia} \langle \mathring{w} \rangle (T \wedge \gamma)\|_{l^1} > G) \leq \frac{\beta}{G} \quad \text{for } G > 0.$$

On the other hand it is easy to see that

$$(2.13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\alpha \in A} E^{\mathring{P}_\alpha} \left[\sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \langle \mathring{w}_m \rangle (T \wedge \gamma) \right] \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{c \in K} \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} c_m^2 = 0.$$

Let $\{\varepsilon_r\}_{r=1,2,\dots}$ be a sequence such that $\varepsilon_r \downarrow 0$ and $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_r \leq \varepsilon/2$. Then

in view of (2.13) for any $r = 1, 2, \dots$ there exists n_r such that

$$(2.14) \quad \sup_{\alpha \in A} E^{\mathring{P}_\alpha} \left[\sum_{m=n_r}^{\infty} \langle \mathring{w}_m \rangle (T \wedge \gamma) \right] \leq \varepsilon_r^2.$$

Putting

$$\Omega_r = \left\{ \mathring{w} \in C_0(I, l^2); \sum_{m=n_r}^{\infty} \langle \mathring{w}_m \rangle (T \wedge \gamma) > \varepsilon_r \right\},$$

we get from (2.14)

$$(2.15) \quad \mathring{P}_\alpha \left(\bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} \Omega_r \right) \leq \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_r \leq \varepsilon/2.$$

We then set $\hat{K} = \left\{ c = (c_1, c_2, \dots) \in l^1; \|c\|_{l^1} \leq 2\beta/\varepsilon, \sum_{m=n_r}^{\infty} |c_m| \leq \varepsilon_r \right.$

for $r = 1, 2, \dots \left. \right\}$. Obviously \hat{K} is compact and satisfies from (2.12) and (2.15)

$$\sup_{\alpha \in A} \mathring{P}_\alpha(\{\mathring{w}; \text{dia} \langle \mathring{w} \rangle (t \wedge \gamma) \in \hat{K} \text{ for } t \in I\}) \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Consequently we get from (2.10)

$$\sup_{\alpha \in A} \mathring{P}_\alpha(\{\mathring{w}; \text{dia} \langle \mathring{w} \rangle (t) \in \hat{K} \text{ for } t \in I\}) \geq 1 - 2\varepsilon.$$

We can prove the implication $ii) \rightarrow i)$ in the same way as above. \square

We now return to the proof of Theorem A.

Proof of Theorem A. — It is obvious that $i)$ and $ii)$ are equivalent to each other. We show the equivalence between $ii)$ and $iii)$. We may assume that $I = [0, T]$ and $\dim H = +\infty$. The Rebolledo's result [Cor. II, 3.14 in

[II]) implies that the condition (2.8) with $\{\Phi(P_\alpha)\}$ instead of $\{Q_\alpha\}$ is equivalent to the condition (2.8) with $\{\text{dia} \langle \Phi(P_\alpha) \rangle\}$ instead of $\{Q_\alpha\}$. On the other hand we get by Lemma 2.1 that the condition (2.9) with $\{\Phi(P_\alpha)\}$ instead of $\{Q_\alpha\}$ is equivalent to the condition (2.9) with $\{\text{dia} \langle \Phi(P_\alpha) \rangle\}$ instead of $\{Q_\alpha\}$. Consequently *ii*) and *iii*) are equivalent to each other. The proof is completed. \square

3. PRESERVATION OF LOCAL MARTINGALE PROPERTY

First we show that any accumulation point of a sequence of H-valued continuous local martingales under the weak convergence is also a H-valued continuous local martingale.

THEOREM B. — $\mathcal{P}_{lm}(C_0(I, H))$ is closed in $\mathcal{P}(C_0(I, H))$.

Proof. — We may assume $H = l^2$. Let $\{\overset{\circ}{P}_n\}$ converges weakly to $\overset{\circ}{P}(\overset{\circ}{P}_n \in \mathcal{P}_{lm}(C_0(I, l^2)), \overset{\circ}{P} \in \mathcal{P}(C_0(I, l^2)))$. Denote by R_n the probability measure on $C_0(I, l^2) \times C_0(I, l^1)$ induced by $((\overset{\circ}{w}, \text{dia} \langle \overset{\circ}{w} \rangle), \overset{\circ}{P}_n)$. Theorem A implies $\{R_n\}$ is tight. Therefore there exists a subsequence $\{n'\}$ such that $\{R_{n'}\}$ converges weakly to R . For $N = 1, 2, \dots$ set

$$\sigma_N(\hat{w}) = \inf \{ t; t + \|\hat{w}(t)\|_{l^1} > N \}.$$

For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_q \leq s < t$ and bounded continuous function f on $(l^2)^p \times (l^1)^q$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} E^{R_{n'}}[\overset{\circ}{w}_i(t \wedge \sigma_N) f(\overset{\circ}{w}(s_1), \dots, \overset{\circ}{w}(s_p), \hat{w}(t_1), \dots, \hat{w}(t_q))] \\ = E^R[\overset{\circ}{w}_i(s \wedge \sigma_N) f(\overset{\circ}{w}(s_1), \dots, \overset{\circ}{w}(s_p), \hat{w}(t_1), \dots, \hat{w}(t_q))]. \end{aligned}$$

Since σ_N is R-a. s. continuous on

$$C_0(I, l^2) \times C_0(I, l^1) \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{n'} E^{R_{n'}}[\overset{\circ}{w}_i(t \wedge \sigma_N)^2] < +\infty,$$

the equality (3.1) holds with R instead of $R_{n'}$. Therefore we have

$$\overset{\circ}{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{lm}(C_0(I, l^2)). \quad \square$$

Next we state a continuity property of the mapping $\text{dia} \langle \quad \rangle$ defined by (2.6).

THEOREM C. — $\text{dia} \langle \quad \rangle: \mathcal{P}_{lm}(C_0(I, l^2)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(C_0(I, l^1))$ is continuous.

Proof. — Within the situation of the above proof, we can show that

$(\hat{w}_i^2 - \hat{w}_i, \mathbf{R})$ is a continuous martingale for any $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Therefore \mathbf{R} is the probability measure on $C_0(\mathbf{I}, l^2) \times C_0(\mathbf{I}, l^1)$ induced by $((\hat{w}, \text{dia} \langle \hat{w} \rangle), \mathring{\mathbf{P}})$. This implies that $\{\mathbf{R}_n\}$ converges weakly to \mathbf{R} . Hence $\{\text{dia} \langle \mathring{\mathbf{P}}_n \rangle\}$ converges weakly to $\text{dia} \langle \mathring{\mathbf{P}} \rangle$ and the proof is completed. \square

Applying the above theorems, we can easily get the following remark about the central limit theorem for a sequence of Hilbert space valued continuous local martingales.

Remark. — Let $\mathbf{V} = (v_{ij})_{i,j=1,2,\dots}$ be a nonnegative definite, symmetric real matrix such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_{ii} < +\infty$ and $\mathring{\mathbf{P}}_w \in \mathcal{P}_{lm}(C_0(\mathbf{I}, l^2))$ be a Wiener

measure such that the mean vector is zero and the covariance function is $((t \wedge s)v_{ij})$. Consider a sequence $\{\mathring{\mathbf{P}}_n\}$ ($\mathring{\mathbf{P}}_n \in \mathcal{P}_{lm}(C_0(\mathbf{I}, l^2))$). Then the following two conditions are equivalent to each other.

- i) $\{\mathring{\mathbf{P}}_n\}$ converges weakly to $\mathring{\mathbf{P}}_w$ in $C_0(\mathbf{I}, l^2)$.
- ii) $\{\text{dia} \langle \mathring{\mathbf{P}}_n \rangle\}$ converges weakly to the distribution of $(v_{11}t, v_{22}t, \dots)$ in $C_0(\mathbf{I}, l^1)$ and for any $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$ and $t > 0$ $\{\text{the distribution of } (\langle \hat{w}_i, \hat{w}_j \rangle(t), \mathring{\mathbf{P}}_n) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}\}$ converges weakly to the δ -distribution at $v_{ij}t$ on \mathbb{R} .

Finally we note that such infinite-dimensional central limit theorem for current valued stochastic processes are investigated by Ochi [10] and Ikeda and Ochi [5].

APPENDIX

As stated in the introduction, in the case of $H = \mathbb{R}$, Theorem A is proved by Rebolledo as a consequence of a general Aldous theorem for right continuous processes and using Lengart's inequality (cf. [7] [11]). The considered processes M^n may be discontinuous and only the limits of $\{M^n\}$ and $\{\langle M^n \rangle\}$ are assumed continuous. The proof is quite heavy. We give here a direct simple proof adapted to the special case of continuous processes.

LEMMA A.1. — Let $M(t)$ ($t \in [0, T]$) be an \mathbb{R} -valued continuous martingale defined on an usual filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathcal{F}_t)$ starting at 0 with $E[M(T)^4] < +\infty$. For each finite partition $\Delta = \{0 = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_l = T\}$ of $[0, T]$, set

$$(A.1) \quad I_\Delta(M, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} M(s_j) \{M(s_{j+1} \wedge t) - M(s_j \wedge t)\} \quad (0 \leq t \leq T).$$

Then there exists a universal positive constant C such that

$$(A.2) \quad E \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t M(s) dM(s) - I_\Delta(M, t) \right|^2 \right] \leq 4\varepsilon^2 E[\langle M \rangle(T)] + CE[\langle M \rangle(T)^2]^{1/2} E[\langle M \rangle(T)^2; \Omega_\varepsilon^c]^{1/2} \quad \text{for } \varepsilon > 0,$$

where $\Omega_\varepsilon = \{ \omega \in \Omega : \sup_{\substack{|s-t| \leq |\Delta| \\ s, t \leq T}} |M(t, \omega) - M(s, \omega)| \leq \varepsilon \}$

and $|\Delta| = \max_{0 \leq j \leq l-1} (s_{j+1} - s_j)$.

Proof. — It is easy to see that the left hand side of (A.2)

$$\leq 4E \left[\int_0^T (M(s) - M([s]))^2 d\langle M \rangle(s) \right] \leq 4\varepsilon^2 E[\langle M \rangle(T)] + 16E \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} M(s)^2 \langle M \rangle(T); \Omega_\varepsilon^c \right],$$

where $[s] = s_j$ for $s_j \leq s < s_{j+1}$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, l-1$). Applying Schwarz's inequality to the second part of the right hand side of the above inequality, we get (A.2). \square

LEMMA A.2. — Let $M^n(t)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) be an \mathbb{R} -valued continuous martingale defined on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathcal{F}_t^n)$ with $M^n(0) = 0$. Suppose that there exists a stochastic process M on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) such that with probability one $\{M^n\}$ converges to M uniformly on each compact interval. Further suppose that there exists a constant $\beta > 0$ such that $\sup_n E[|M^n(t)|^{4+\beta}] < \infty$ ($t > 0$). Then M is a continuous martingale with $E[|M(t)|^{4+\beta}] < \infty$ ($t > 0$) and satisfies

$$(A.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\langle M^n \rangle(t) - \langle M \rangle(t)|^2 \right] = 0 \quad \text{for } T > 0.$$

Proof. — It is obvious that M is a continuous martingale with $E[|M(t)|^{4+\beta}] < \infty$ ($t > 0$). Itô formula implies

$$M^n(t)^2 = 2 \int_0^t M^n(s) dM^n(s) + \langle M^n \rangle(t).$$

We then get from the assumption

$$(A.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |M^n(t)^2 - M(t)^2|^2 \right] = 0.$$

For any finite partition $\Delta = \{0 = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_l = T\}$ of $[0, T]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t M^n(s) dM^n(s) - \int_0^t M(s) dM(s) \right|^2 \right] \\ \leq 3E \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t M^n(s) dM^n(s) - I_\Delta(M^n, t) \right|^2 \right] + 3E \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |I_\Delta(M^n, t) - I_\Delta(M, t)|^2 \right] \\ + 3E \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t M(s) dM(s) - I_\Delta(M, t) \right|^2 \right] = a_n(\Delta, 1) + a_n(\Delta, 2) + a_n(\Delta, 3) \quad \text{say,} \end{aligned}$$

where $I_\Delta(M^n, t)$ and $I_\Delta(M, t)$ are defined in the same way as (A.1). Since $\{\langle M^n \rangle (T)^2\}$ is uniformly integrable, Lemma A.1 implies that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive constant δ such that

$$a_n(\Delta, 1) + a_n(\Delta, 3) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for} \quad |\Delta| < \delta, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

On the other hand it is easy to see that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n(\Delta, 2) = 0$ for any Δ . Therefore we have

$$(A.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t M^n(s) dM^n(s) - \int_0^t M(s) dM(s) \right|^2 \right] = 0.$$

Combining (A.4) with (A.5), we then get (A.3). \square

Proof of Theorem A in case of $H = \mathbb{R}$. — First we prove that iii) implies ii). Let $M^n(t)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) be an \mathbb{R} -valued continuous local martingale with $M^n(0) = 0$ defined on a filtered probability space $(\Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_n, P_n, \mathcal{F}_t^n)$. We assume that $\{\langle M^n \rangle\}$ is tight in $C_0([0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$. Then for any $n = 1, 2, \dots$ there exist an extension $(\Omega'_n, \mathcal{F}'_n, P'_n, \mathcal{F}'_t^n)$ of $(\Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_n, P_n, \mathcal{F}_t^n)$ and a one-dimensional Brownian motion $B^n(t)$ on $(\Omega'_n, \mathcal{F}'_n, P'_n, \mathcal{F}'_t^n)$ with $B^n(0) = 0$ such that $M^n(t) = B^n(\langle M^n \rangle(t))$. The tightness of $\{(B^n, \langle M^n \rangle)\}$ implies the tightness of $\{M^n\}$.

Next we prove that ii) implies iii). Let $M^n(t)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) be an \mathbb{R} -valued continuous local martingale defined on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathcal{F}_t^n)$ with $M^n(0) = 0$. We assume that with probability one $\{M^n(t)\}$ converges uniformly on each compact interval. It is sufficient to prove that $\{\langle M^n \rangle\}$ is tight in $C_0([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ ($T > 0$). For $N = 1, 2, \dots$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$ put

$$S_n(N) = \begin{cases} \inf \{t \in [0, T]; |M^n(t)| > N\} \\ T \quad \text{if} \quad \{ \} = \phi. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists N_0 such that

$$(A.6) \quad P(S_n(N_0) = T) > 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Since $\{M^n(t \wedge S_n(N))\}$ is tight in $C_0([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ for $N = 1, 2, \dots$, we have by Lemma A.2 that $\{\langle M^n \rangle(t \wedge S_n(N))\}$ is tight in $C_0([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ for $N = 1, 2, \dots$. Therefore the tightness in $C_0([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ of $\{\langle M^n \rangle\}$ follows from (A.6). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] D. ALDOUS, Stopping times and tightness, *Ann. Probability*, t. 6, 1978, p. 335-340.
 [2] P. BILLINGSLEY, *Convergence of probability measures*, Wiley and Sons, 1968.

- [3] C. DELLACHERIE, P. A. MEYER, *Probabilities and potential B*, North-Holland, 1982.
- [4] N. DUNFORD and J. T. SCHWARTZ, *Linear operators*, Part I, Interscience, 1958.
- [5] N. IKEDA, Y. OCHI, Central limit theorems and random currents, to appear in *the Proceedings of the 3rd Bad Honnef Conference on Stochastic Differential Systems*.
- [6] N. IKEDA, S. WATANABE, *Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes*, North-Holland, Kodansha, 1981.
- [7] J. JACOD, J. MEMIN, M. MÉTIVIER, On tightness and stopping times, *Stoch. Processes Appl.*, t. **14**, 1983, p. 109-146.
- [8] E. LENGART, Relation de domination entre deux processus, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré*, t. **13**, 1977, p. 171-179.
- [9] M. MÉTIVIER, *Semimartingales*, Walter de Gruyter, 1982.
- [10] Y. OCHI, Limit theorems for a class of diffusion processes, to appear in *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*.
- [11] R. REBOLLEDO, La méthode des martingales appliquée à l'étude de la convergence en loi de processus, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, t. **62**, p. 1-125.

(Manuscrit reçu le 29 novembre 1985)