Annales de l'I. H. P., section B

Ho Dang Phuc

Universal distribution for infinitely divisible distributions on Frèchet space

Annales de l'I. H. P., section B, tome 17, n° 2 (1981), p. 219-227 http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPB 1981 17 2 219 0>

© Gauthier-Villars, 1981, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section B » (http://www.elsevier.com/locate/anihpb) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

Universal distribution for infinitely divisible distributions on Frèchet space

by

Ho DANG PHUC

Mathematics Institute, Hanoi

Summary. — In this paper we generalizate to the case of separable Frèchet space the theorem of Doeblin [3], which asserts that there exists a distribution belonging to the domain of partial attraction of every one dimensional infinitely divisible distribution. That the distribution is called an universal distribution in Doeblin sense. Theremore we show that each distribution concentrated on bounded subset belongs to the domain of attraction of $\delta(0)$ and there exist uncountably many universal distribution, which are not shift equivalent. The main theorem implies also that one distribution is infinitely divisible if f, it's domain of partial attraction is nonempty.

INTRODUCTION

Let E be a separable Frèchet space. We denote by \mathscr{B} the σ -algebra generated by the topology in E and by \mathscr{P} the set of all distribution on. We signe by \Rightarrow the weak convergence. It is known that \mathscr{S} with the weak topology is a separable metric space (see [5], Th. 6.2). For $q, q_n \in \mathscr{P}$ we say that $\{q_n\}$ is shift convergent to q if there is a sequence $\{a_n\} \in E$ such that $\delta(a_n) * q_n \Rightarrow q$, and say that q_1 and q_2 are shift equivalent if $q_1 = \delta(a) * q_2$ for some $a \in E$,

when $\delta(b)$ is the distribution concentrated at the point $b \in E$ and * denotes the convolution determined for measures on \mathcal{B} by

$$\mu * \nu(\mathbf{B}) = \int \mu(\mathbf{B} - x)\nu(dx), \quad \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Distribution p is called *infinitely divisible* (inf. div.) if for every natural n there exists one distribution p_n such that

$$p = p_n^{*n} = p_n * \dots * p_n$$

For finite measure μ on \mathcal{B} let

$$e(\mu) = e^{-\mu(E)} \left(\delta(0) + \frac{\mu}{1!} + \frac{\mu^{*2}}{2!} + \dots \right)$$

This is an *inf. div.* distribution called Poisson distribution with finite canonical measure μ Moreover (see [2], Th. 1.9) for each inf. div. distribution p there exist a symetric Gaussian distribution ω , a sequence of finite measures $\{G_n\}$ and an $a \in E$ such that :

(1)
$$\delta(a) * \omega * e(G_n) \Rightarrow p.$$

MAIN RESULTS

For c > 0 we define an operator T_c on the set of all measures on \mathcal{B} by

$$T_c\lambda(B) = \lambda(c^{-1}B), \quad B \in \mathscr{B}$$

Let $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$. We say that q belongs to the domain of partial attraction of p if there exist a subsequence $\{n_k\}$ of natural numbers and a sequence of positive numbers $\{v_k\}$ such that $\{T_{v_k}q^{*v_k}\}$ is shift-convergent to p. When $\{n_k\}$ coincides with same sequence of all natural numbers we say that q belongs to the domain of attraction of p.

Distribution q is called *universal* if it belongs to the domain of partial attraction of every inf. div. distribution.

LEMMA 1. — On separable Frèchet space the set of all Poisson distribution is dense in the set of all inf. div. distribution.

Proof. — Let ω be a symetric Gaussian distribution. From theorems 3 [4] and 4 [4] there exists sequence of Gaussian distribution $\{p_n\}$ on finite dimensional subspaces weakly convergent to ω . Then for each n there

is a sequence of Poisson distribution $\{p_n^m, m = 1, 2, ...\}$ weakly convergent to p_n . Since E is a metric space, we can find for each n one natural m(n) such that sequence $\{p_n^{m(n)}\}$ weakly converges to ω . Then by virtue of (1) the proof is completed.

LEMMA 2. — Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ be a dense subset of E. Then the set of all Poisson distribution with finite canonical measures concentrated on finite subsets of A is dense in the set of all inf. div. distribution.

Proof. — Let's fix one metric f in E. We can take the sets V(k, n), k, n = 1, 2, ... such that for every n.

1)
$$f(x, a_k) < \frac{1}{n}$$
 for $x \in V(k, n)$

2)
$$V(k, n) \cap V(k', n) = \emptyset, k \neq k'$$

3)
$$E = \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} V(k, n)$$
.

Let G be an arbitrary finite measure. For every n there is k(n) such that

(2)
$$G\left(\bigcup_{k \geq k(n)} V(k, n)\right) < 2^{-n}$$

We define the measure μ_n , supported on $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{k(n)}\}$ following

$$\mu_{n}(\lbrace a_{k} \rbrace) = G(V(k, n)), \qquad k < k(n)$$

$$\mu_{n}(\lbrace a_{k(n)} \rbrace) = G\left(\bigcup_{k \geq k(n)} V(k, n)\right)$$

Then $\frac{\mu_n}{G(E)}$, $\frac{G}{G(E)}$ are probability measures.

Using (2) and Th. II.6.1 [5] we may easily verify that $\frac{\mu_n}{G(E)} \Rightarrow \frac{G}{G(E)}$, which implies

 $\mu_n^{*l} \Rightarrow G^{*l}, \qquad l = 1, 2, \dots$

Then, because for $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary small there exists $k(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\sum_{k > k(\epsilon)} \frac{\mu_n^*(E)}{k!} = \sum_{k > k(\epsilon)} \frac{G^{*k}(E)}{k!} < \varepsilon, \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

we see by some estimations that $e(\mu_n) \Rightarrow e(G)$. Hence by virtue of (1) and lemma 1 this lemma is proved.

Using local convexity of E and the fact that for real α and $a \in E$, $\alpha' a \to 0$ when $\alpha \to 0$ we can easily show the following.

Vol. XVII, nº 2-1981.

LEMMA 3. — Let A = $\{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ be a dense subset of E and let

$$\mathbf{E}_{k} = \lim_{k \to 1} \{ a_{1}, \dots, a_{k} \}, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{\infty} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{r} \mathbf{E}_{k}$$

Then there exist a sequence $\{V_n\}$ of convex neighborhoods of 0 and a base $\{b_1, b_2, \dots\}$ of E_{∞} such that

a)
$$V_n = V_n$$
, $V_{n+1} \subseteq V_n$, $n = 1, 2, ...$,

$$b)\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}V_{n}=\left\{ \ 0\ \right\} ,$$

- c) $\forall (k) \exists (m(k)) : \{b_1, \ldots, b_{m(k)}\}$ is a base of E_k , and
- d) $\forall (n) \forall (j \leq n) : 2^{-n+1}b_i \in V_n$.

Theorem 1. — On separable Frèchet space there exists universal distribution.

Proof. — We define A, E_k , E_∞ , $\{V_n\}$ and $\{b_1, b_2, \dots\}$ as in the lemma 3. Let $\{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of inf. div. distributions dense in the set of all inf. div. distributions. By virtue of Lemma 2 we may assume that

$$p_n = \delta(d_n) * e(\mathbf{F}_n), \qquad n = 1, 2, \ldots$$

with $d_n \in E$, F_n is finite measure supported on $C_{2^n}^n$ and

$$F_n(E) \leq 2^n$$

there C_r^n is defined for natural n, real r > 0 by

$$C_r^n = \{ \beta_1 b_1 + \ldots + \beta_{m(n)} b_{m(n)} | \beta_1 | + \ldots + | \beta_{m(n)} | < r \}.$$

We define

$$G = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n^2} T_{2n^3} F_n$$

Then

$$G(E) \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n^2+n} < \infty$$

Hence distribution q = e(G) is well determined. We shall prove that it is universal distribution.

Let p be any inf. div. distribution. Then there exists subsequence $\{n_k\}$ of natural numbers such that

$$p_{n_k} \Rightarrow p$$

We show that

(4)
$$q_k = \delta(d_{n_k}) * T_{2^{-n_k^3}}(q^{*2_k^2}) \Rightarrow p$$

which asserts universalness of p.

For finite measure μ , natural n and real c > 0. We have:

$$e(\mu)^{*n} = e(n\mu)$$

 $T_c(\mu) = e(T_c\mu)$

Hence

(5)
$$q_k = p_{n_k} * e(N_k^1) * e(N_k^2)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N}_{k}^{1} &= \sum_{n < n_{k}} 2^{n_{k}^{2} - n^{2}} \mathbf{T}_{2^{n^{3} - n_{k}^{3}}} \mathbf{F}_{n} \\ \mathbf{N}_{k}^{2} &= \sum_{n > n_{k}} 2^{n_{k}^{2} - n^{2}} \mathbf{T}_{2^{n^{3} - n_{k}^{3}}} \mathbf{F}_{n} \end{aligned}$$

Because

$$N_k^2(E) \le 2^{-n_k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n^2+n} \stackrel{k}{\to} 0$$

then

(6)
$$e(N_k^2) \Rightarrow \delta(0)$$

We prove following that $e(N_k^1) \Rightarrow \delta(0)$ which together with (3), (5), (6) implies (4).

Let's fix one natural $m_0 > G(E)$ and let for each natural l the number $H(l) = 2^{2l+1}m_0^2$. Then

$$\frac{(2^l G(E))^{H(l)}}{H(l) \; !} \leq \frac{(2^l m_0)^{2^{2l+1} m_0^2}}{\left(2^{2l+1} m_0^2\right) \; !} = \frac{1}{\left(2^l m_0^2\right) \; !} - \frac{2^{2l} m_0^2}{2^{2l} m_0^2 \; + \; 1} \; \dots \; \frac{2^{2l} m_0^2}{2 \cdot 2^{2l} m_0^2} < \frac{1}{2^{l}}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n>H(l)} \frac{(2^{l}G(E))^{n}}{n!} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{l}} \frac{1}{2^{n}} = 2^{-l},$$

Vol. XVII, nº 2-1981.

and because for each k.

$$N_k^1(E) \le 2^{n_k} T_{2^{-n_k^2}} G(E) = 2^{n_k} G(E)$$

then

(7)
$$\sum_{n>H(n_k)} \frac{(N_k^1)^{*n}(E)}{n!} \leqslant \sum_{n>H(n_k)} \frac{(2^{n_k}G(E))^n}{n!} < 2^{-n_k}$$

On other hand, if F is any measure supported on C_r^n then for c > 0, T_cF is supported on C_{cr}^n , F^{*m} is supported on C_{mr}^n hence for $m \le H(n_k)$; $(N_k^1)^{*m}$ is concentrated on C(k), when

$$C(k) = C_{m_0^2 2^{-3n_k^2 + 6n_k - 1}}^{n_k - 1}$$

Then (7) implies

$$e(.N_k^1)(C(k)) + 2^{-n_k}$$

$$\geqslant e^{-N_k^1(E)} \left(\sum_{0 \le m \le H(n_k)} \frac{(N_k^1)^{*m}(E)}{m!} + \sum_{m > H(n_k)} \frac{(N_k^1)^{*m}(E)}{m!} \right) = e(N_k^1)(E) = 1$$

Hence

(8)
$$e(N_k^1)(C(k)) \ge 1 - 2^{-n_k}$$

Since $m_0^2 2^{-3n_k^2 + 6n_k - 1} \to 0$, there is k(0) such that for k > k(0)

$$\beta_k = 2^{-m(n_k-1)+1} \ge m_0^2 2^{-3n_k^2+6n_k-1}$$

Then from (a)-(d), since every element of C(k) is linear combination of

$$\beta_k b_1, \ldots, \beta_k b_{m(n_k-1)} \in V_{m(n_k-1)}$$

with coefficients which absolute values have sum less than 1, we have

(9)
$$C(k) \subseteq V_{m(n_k-1)} \setminus \{0\}$$

when $k \to \infty$.

For arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $k(\varepsilon)$ such that

(10)
$$2^{n_k} < \varepsilon, \quad k \geqslant k(\varepsilon)$$

We denote $C = \bigcup_{k \ge k(\varepsilon)} C(k)$. Let $\{x_n\} \subseteq C$, then

a) If $\{x_n\}$ $\bigcup_{n(0) \ge k \ge k(\varepsilon)} C(k)$ for any natural n(0) then because C(k) are bounded

closed subsets in finite-dimensional spaces, they are compact, $\{x_n\}$ contains any convergent subsequence.

b) If, in inverse, there exist subsequence $\{k1\}$ of natural numbers $k' > k(\varepsilon)$ and subsequence $\{x_{k'}\} \subseteq \{x_n\}$ such that $x_{k'} \in C(k')$ then (9) implies $x_{k'} \to 0$.

Hence C is relatively compact.

From Theorem II.3.2 [5] there exists a compact set K₁ such that

$$e(N_k^1)(K_1) \ge 1 - \varepsilon$$
 for $k < k(\varepsilon)$

Let $K = K_1 \cup \bar{C}$. Then from (8) and (10) for each k

$$e(N_k^1)(K) \ge 1 - \varepsilon$$

Hence by Prokhorov Theorem (see, for example, Th. II.6.7 [5]), the sequence $\{e(N_k^1)\}$ is relatively compact.

Simultaneously, if U is any open set containing 0 then from (9) there is natural k(U) such that for k > k(U)

$$C_{(k)} \subseteq V_{m(n_k-1)} \subseteq U$$

Then from (8)

$$e(N_k^1)(U) \ge 1 - 2^{-n_k}$$

Hence $e(N_k^1)(U) \to 1$ and if π is any limit-distribution of some subsequence of $\{e(N_k^1)\}$ then π must be $\delta(0)$. This implies from Th. 2.3 [1] that

$$e(N_k^1) \Rightarrow \delta(0)$$

Using above Theorem we may easily prove following theorem:

THEOREM 2. — One distribution on separable Frèchet space in inf. div. if it's domain of partial attraction is non empty.

Theorem 3. — If ξ is a distribution concentrated on bounded subset of E then ξ belongs to the domain of attraction of $\delta(0)$ and hence of $\delta(a)$ for every $a \in E$.

Proof. — We fix any sequence $\{V_n\}$ of convex neighborhood of 0 satisfying (a) and (b). Suppose B is a bounded subset on which ξ is supported. Then for each n there is, k(n) such that $k^{-1/2}BV_n$ for $k \ge k(n)$.

For every k, ξ^{*k} is supported on

$$B_k = \underbrace{B + \ldots + B}_{k\text{-times}}$$

We denote

(11)
$$\xi_k = T_{k^{-3/2}} \xi^{*k}$$

Vol. XVII, nº 2-1981.

Then ξ_k is supported on

$$k^{-3/2}\mathbf{B}_k = \frac{1}{k}(k^{-1/2}\mathbf{B}) + \dots + \frac{1}{k}(k^{-1/2}\mathbf{B}) \subseteq \mathbf{V}_k$$

for k > k(n).

Every ξ_k is tight, then for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set K_k such that

$$\xi_{k}(\mathbf{K}_{k}) \geqslant 1 - \varepsilon$$

With next proof part we may suppose that $K_k \subseteq V_n$ if $k \ge k(n)$.

Similarly as in the proof of Th. 1 we see that $K = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} K_k \cup \{0\}$ is compact and for every k

$$\xi_k(\mathbf{K}) \geqslant 1 - \varepsilon$$

Hence from Prokhorop Theorem, sequence $\{\xi_k\}$ is relatively compact. As in proof of Th. 1, because

$$\xi_k(V_n) = 1$$
 for $k \geqslant k(m)$

then

(12)
$$\xi_k \Rightarrow \delta(0)$$

From here for every $a \in E$

$$\delta(a) * \xi_{k} \Rightarrow \delta(a)$$

This proof is completed.

We see that if q is universal distribution then $\delta(a) * q$ is also universal.

COROLLARY 1. — Let q be universal distribution defined in proof of theorem l, and let ξ be a distribution concentrated on bounded subset of E. Then $\xi * q$ is also universal. Hence there exist uncountably many universal distributions which are not shift-equivalent.

Proof. — Let p be any inf. div. distribution. As same as on proof of Th. 1 there are subsequence $\{n_k\}$ of natural numbers and sequence $\{d_k\} < E$ such that

$$q_k = \delta(d_k) * T_{2^{-n_k^3}}(q^{*2^{n_k^2}}) \Rightarrow p.$$

Then from (11) and (12) we have

$$\delta(d_k) * \mathsf{T}_{2^{-n_k^2}}(\xi * q)^{+\frac{2^{n_k^2}}{k}} = \delta(d_k) * \mathsf{T}_{2^{-n_k^2}}(q^{*2^{n_k^2}}) * \mathsf{T}_{2^{-(n_k^2)}} \frac{3}{2} \xi^{*n_k^2} = q_k * \xi_{n_k^2} \Rightarrow p$$

Hence from Th. 2 we have:

COROLLARY 2. — Domain of partial attraction of one distribution on

separable Frèchet space either is empty, if the distribution isn't inf. div., either has uncountably many elements which are not shift-equivalent, if the distribution is inf. div.

Before here the Theorem 1 has proved for the case of Banach space in my paper « Universal Distribution for inf. div. distributions on Banach space ».

REFERENCES

- [1] P. BILLINGSLEY, Convergence of Probability measures, Wiley, 1968.
- [2] E. Dettweiler, Grenzweztsatze für Wahrscheinlich keitsmasse auf Badrikianschen Raumen, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie view. Gebiete, t. 34, 1976, p. 285-311.
- [3] W. DŒBLIN, Sur l'ensemble de puissances d'une loi de probabilité, *Bull. sci. Math.*, t. **6**, 1939, p. 71-96.
- [4] MM. Mohi, V. I. Tarieladze, On the convergence of sums of independent Random Elements in Frèchet space. *Bull. Acad. Sci. Georgian SSR*, t. **76**, n° 1, 1974. p. 33-36 (In Russian).
- [5] K. R. Parthasarathy, *Probability Measures on Metric Space*, New York, London Academic Press, 1967.

(Manuscrit reçu le 25 février 1981)