

ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION B

MICHAEL LIN

Strong ratio limit theorems for mixing Markov operators

Annales de l'I. H. P., section B, tome 12, n° 2 (1976), p. 181-191

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPB_1976__12_2_181_0

© Gauthier-Villars, 1976, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section B » (<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/anihpb>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

Strong ratio limit theorems for mixing Markov operators

by

Michael LIN (*)

Department of Mathematics,
Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva, Israel

ABSTRACT. — Let P be a Markov operator (positive linear contraction of L_1), which is assumed mixing (i. e., $uP^n \rightarrow 0$ for $u \in L$, with $\int u = 0$). For fixed $f \in L_\infty$, we obtain conditions for the convergence of $\langle uP^n, f \rangle / \langle vP^n, f \rangle$ for every $0 \leq v, u \in L_1$, and for the convergence of $\langle uP^n, g \rangle / \langle vP^n, f \rangle$ for $0 \leq g \leq f$ and every $0 \leq v, u \in L_1$.

1. NOTATIONS

Let (X, Σ, m) be a σ -finite measure space, and let P be a positive linear contraction of $L_1(X, \Sigma, m)$. Its action will be written by uP , while the action of the adjoint on L_∞ will be written as Pf , so that $\langle uP, f \rangle = \langle u, Pf \rangle$.

Identifying L_1 with the space $M(X, \Sigma, m)$ of finite signed measures $\ll m$ (via the Radon-Nikodym theorem), P can be represented as an operator on $M(X, \Sigma, m)$, still denoted by P , so that $d(vP)/dm = uP$ when $u = dv/dm$.

A positive (finite or σ -finite) measure $\lambda \ll m$ is *invariant* if $\lambda P = \lambda$, and many

(*) Part of this research was supported by NSF Grant MPS72-04752A03 at the Ohio State University.

limit theorems require (or imply) this assumption. For existence theorems, see [1].

P is called *conservative* if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} uP^n$ is 0 or ∞ a. e., for $0 \leq u \in L_1$. It is *ergodic* if $f \in L_{\infty}$, $Pf = f \Rightarrow f \equiv 1$, (and by the Hahn-Banach theorem, $\int u = 0 \Rightarrow \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum uP^n \right\| \rightarrow 0$), and *mixing* if uP^n converges weakly to 0 in L_1 , for u with $\int u dm = 0$.

2. STRONG RATIO LIMIT THEOREMS

Strong ratio limit theorems for a Markov operator deal with the convergence of the expressions $\langle \eta P^n, g \rangle / \langle \nu P^n, f \rangle$, where $\nu, \eta \ll m$ are probability measures, and $0 \leq f, g \in L_{\infty}$. If P has a finite invariant measure and is ergodic, all these expressions converge if and only if P is mixing. We shall assume now that P is mixing, and irreducible (i. e., if $L_1(A)$ is invariant under P then $m(A) = 0$ or $m(X - A) = 0$). In that case, either P has a finite invariant measure equivalent to m , or P has no finite invariant measures.

LEMMA 2.1. — *If P has no finite invariant measure and uP^n converges weakly, then $\|uP^n\|_1 \rightarrow 0$. This is theorem 5.1 of Krengel and Sucheston [8].*

We first deal with particular cases of the convergence.

THEOREM 2.1. — *Let P be an irreducible mixing Markov operator and let $0 \leq f \in L_{\infty}$. A necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence $\lim \langle \nu P^n, f \rangle / \langle \eta P^n, f \rangle = 1$ for every probability measures $\nu, \eta \ll m$ is the existence of a probability measure $\mu \ll m$ satisfying*

$$(2.1) \quad \limsup \|P^n f / \langle \mu, P^n f \rangle\|_{\infty} < \infty$$

$$(2.2) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mu, P^{n+1} f \rangle / \langle \mu, P^n f \rangle \geq 1.$$

Proof. — Let $f_n = P^n f / \langle \mu, P^n f \rangle$. If the convergence holds, $f_n \rightarrow 1$ in the weak-* topology of L_{∞} and (2.1) follows, while (2.2) follows by putting $\nu = \mu P$, $\eta = \mu$, and necessity is proved.

Sufficiency: If P has a finite invariant measure, mixing implies the convergence, so we may assume there is no finite invariant measure. Fix a

probability measure ν . By lemma 2.1, $\|(v - \mu)P^n\| \rightarrow 0$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ fix k such that $\|(v - \mu)P^k\| < \varepsilon$. By (2.1) $\|f_n\|_\infty \leq M$ for $n > n_0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle \nu P^n, f \rangle / \langle \mu P^n, f \rangle - 1| &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle (v - \mu)P^k, P^{n-k} f \rangle / \langle \mu P^n, f \rangle| \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle (v - \mu)P^k, f_{n-k} \rangle| \{ \langle \mu P^{n-k}, f \rangle / \langle \mu P^n, f \rangle \} \\ &\leq \varepsilon M \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mu P^{n-k}, f \rangle / \langle \mu P^n, f \rangle \leq \varepsilon M, \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality by (2.2). Let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ to conclude the proof.

THEOREM 2.2. — *Let P be an irreducible mixing Markov operator and let $0 \leq g \leq f \in L_\infty$. Let μ and f satisfy (2.1) and (2.2). If $\langle \mu P^n, g \rangle / \langle \mu P^n, f \rangle$ converges to a nonzero limit α , then for every probability measures $\nu, \eta \ll m$ we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \nu, P^n g \rangle / \langle \eta, P^n f \rangle = \alpha.$$

Proof. — We show that μ and g satisfy (2.1) and (2.2).

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu P^{n+1}, g \rangle / \langle \mu P^n, g \rangle &= \{ \langle \mu P^{n+1}, g \rangle / \langle \mu P^{n+1}, f \rangle \} \\ &\quad \{ \langle \mu P^{n+1}, f \rangle / \langle \mu P^n, f \rangle \} \{ \langle \mu P^n, f \rangle / \langle \mu P^n, g \rangle \} \rightarrow 1. \end{aligned}$$

If n is large enough,

$$\|P^n g / \langle \mu P^n, g \rangle\|_\infty \leq \|P^n f / \langle \mu P^n, f \rangle\|_\infty \{ \langle \mu P^n, f \rangle / \langle \mu P^n, g \rangle \} \leq M2/\alpha.$$

Apply theorem 2.1 to g .

COROLLARY 2.1. — *Let P be a conservative mixing Markov operator and let $A \in \Sigma$ with $m(A) > 0$. If $\mu \ll m$ is a probability measure such that $\mu P^{n+1}(B) / \mu P^n(A)$ converges for $B \subset A$, and μ satisfies*

$$\limsup \|P^n 1_A / \mu P^n(A)\|_\infty < \infty,$$

then there exists a σ -finite invariant measure $\lambda \sim m$ with $\lambda(A) < \infty$, and there is a sequence of sets $A = A_0 \subset A_1 \subset \dots \subset A_k \uparrow X$ with $\lambda(A_k) < \infty$ such that for $0 \leq f, g \in L_\infty(A_k)$ and every probabilities $\nu, \eta \ll m$ we have

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \nu P^n, g \rangle / \langle \eta P^n, f \rangle = \int g d\lambda / \int f d\lambda.$$

Proof. — The existence of λ is known ([11], lemma 1.1), and

$$\lim \mu P^{n+1}(B) / \mu P^n(A) = \lambda(B) / \lambda(A).$$

Putting $B = A$ we have (2.2) (with $f = 1_A$) and (2.3) holds for $0 \leq f, g \in L_\infty(A)$ by theorem 2.2. The existence of $\{A_k\}$ follows now from theorem 3.4 of [11].

REMARK 2.1. — The condition of mixing instead of ergodicity in the corol-

lary is not very restrictive: it is satisfied in aperiodic Harris operators [7] [13], and in aperiodic random walks [2]. Point transformations (with $\lambda(X) = \infty$) do not satisfy (2.1) when $\int f d\lambda < \infty$, since (2.1) then implies $\|P^n f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$.

2. An example of a random walk satisfying the conditions of the corollary was given in [12]. Hence the results are more general than those obtained by Levitan and Smolowitz [10], who assume Harris recurrence (and have longer proofs).

3. If P is conservative and ergodic, then a weaker version of theorem 1 can be proved by the methods of [11]. We need a condition stronger than (2.2) (in a certain sense): For every $\nu \ll m$ we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \nu P^{n+1}, f \rangle / \langle \nu P^n, f \rangle = 1.$$

The corresponding version of corollary 2.1 is given in [12].

The next proposition yields a sufficient criterion for corollary 2.1 to hold.

PROPOSITION. — Let P be ergodic and conservative with σ -finite invariant measure $\lambda \sim m$, and let $0 \leq f \in L_\infty$ satisfy $0 < \int f d\lambda < \infty$. Let $\mu \ll m$ be a probability measure such that $\limsup \|P^n f / \langle \mu P^n, f \rangle\|_\infty < \infty$. If for $0 \leq g \in L_\infty$ with $\int g d\lambda < \infty$ the sequence $P^n g(x) / P^n f(x)$ converges μ -a. e., then $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mu P^n, g \rangle / \langle \mu P^n, f \rangle \geq \int g d\lambda / \int f d\lambda$.

Proof. — By the Chacon-Ornstein theorem

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^N P^n g(x) / \sum_{n=0}^N P^n f(x) = \int g d\lambda / \int f d\lambda$$

a. e., and since $\sum_{n=0}^\infty P^n f(x) \equiv \infty$, $P^n g(x) / P^n f(x) \rightarrow \int g d\lambda / \int f d\lambda$ μ -a. e. Let S

be the support of μ (i. e., $S = \{d\mu/dm > 0\}$). Fix $\alpha < \int g d\lambda / \int f d\lambda$. For $x \in S$ there is a first $N(x)$ such that for $n \geq N(x)$, $P^n g(x) / P^n f(x) > \alpha$. We have $\{x \in S : N(x) \leq k\} = \bigcap_{n=k}^\infty \{x : P^n g(x) - \alpha P^n f(x) > 0\} \cap S$, showing the measurability of $N(x)$ on S . Putting $B_k = \{x \in S : N(x) > k\}$, $\mu(B_k) \downarrow 0$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu P^n, g \rangle - \alpha \langle \mu P^n, f \rangle &= \int P^n(g - \alpha f) d\mu \geq \int_{B_n} P^n(g - \alpha f) d\mu \geq -\alpha \int_{B_n} P^n f d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, with $M = \sup_{n \geq n_0} \| P^n f / \langle \mu P^n, f \rangle \|_\infty$, we have

$$\langle \mu P^n, g \rangle / \langle \mu P^n, f \rangle - \alpha \geq -\alpha \int_{B_n} \{ P^n f / \langle \mu P^n, f \rangle \} d\mu \geq -\alpha M \mu(B_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $\liminf \langle \mu P^n, g \rangle / \langle \mu P^n, f \rangle \geq \alpha$. Now let $\alpha \rightarrow \int g d\lambda / \int f d\lambda$.

COROLLARY 2.2. — *Let P be a conservative mixing Markov operator with σ -finite invariant measure $\lambda \sim m$, and let $0 < \lambda(A) < \infty$. Let μ be a probability measure satisfying (2.1) and (2.2) (with $f = 1_A$). If for every $B \subset A$ $P^n 1_B(x) / P^n 1_A(x)$ converges on a nonnull set, then the results of corollary 2.1 hold.*

Proof. — Fix $B \subset A$. Let μ_0 be a probability supported in

$$\{ x : P^n 1_B(x) / P^n 1_A(x) \text{ converges} \}.$$

By theorem 2.1 μ_0 also satisfies (2.1) and (2.2) (with $f = 1_A$). Applying the proposition to 1_B and to $1_A - 1_B = 1_{A-B}$, with μ_0 , we have

$$\lim \mu_0 P^n(B) / \mu_0 P^n(A) = \lambda(B) / \lambda(A).$$

We now apply theorem 2.2 (with μ_0) to obtain $\mu P^n(B) / \mu P^n(A) \rightarrow \lambda(B) / \lambda(A)$. Since $\mu P^{n+1}(A) / \mu P^n(A) \rightarrow 1$, we can apply corollary 2.1.

Remarks. — 1. If μ and f satisfy (2.1), then a sufficient condition for (2.2) is that $P^{n+1}f(x) / P^n f(x)$ converges μ -a. e., when P is conservative and ergodic.

(Then the limit is 1, since $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P^n(x) \equiv \infty$). This is proved as in the proposi-

tion, by taking $g = Pf$, and $\alpha < 1$. (We do not require an invariant measure; e. g., $f \equiv 1$).

2. The proof of the proposition is a modification of the proof of the ratio limit theorem (for sums) in [3].

THEOREM 2.3. — *Let P be an irreducible mixing Markov operator and let $\mu \ll m$ be a probability measure.*

(i) *The set of all functions $0 \leq f \in L_\infty$ which satisfy (2.1) and (2.2) is P-invariant and closed under addition.*

(ii) Let f satisfy (2.1) and (2.2). If $0 \leq g \in L_\infty$ satisfies $P^K g \geq \beta f$ and $\sum_{n=0}^N P^n f \geq \alpha g$ for some K, N, α, β positive, then (2.1) and (2.2) are satisfied with g replacing f .

Proof. — (i) P-invariance is trivial. Let $f = f_1 + f_2$ with $0 \leq f_i$ satisfying (2.1) and (2.2).

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{P}^n f\|_\infty / \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^n, f \rangle &\leq \|\mathbf{P}^n f_1\|_\infty / \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^n, f \rangle + \|\mathbf{P}^n f_2\|_\infty / \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^n, f \rangle \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{P}^n f_1\| / \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^n, f_1 \rangle + \|\mathbf{P}^n f_2\| / \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^n, f_2 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and (2.1) follows. For $\varepsilon > 0$, if $n \geq n_0(\varepsilon)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^{n+1}, f \rangle &= \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^{n+1}, f_1 \rangle + \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^{n+1}, f_2 \rangle \\ &\geq (1 - \varepsilon) \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^n, f_1 \rangle + (1 - \varepsilon) \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^n, f_2 \rangle = (1 - \varepsilon) \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^n, f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For $n > K$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^n, f \rangle / \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^n, g \rangle &= \{ \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^{n-k}, f \rangle / \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^n, g \rangle \} \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^n, f \rangle / \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^{n-k}, f \rangle \\ &\leq \beta^{-1} \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^n, f \rangle / \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^{n-k}, f \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and the right-hand side tends to β^{-1} by theorem 2.1. Hence

$$\langle \mu \mathbf{P}^n, f \rangle / \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^n, g \rangle \leq M$$

for $n \geq n_1$. For any $k \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \|\mathbf{P}^{n-k} g / \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^n, g \rangle\| &\leq \left\| \sum_{j=0}^N \mathbf{P}^{n-k+j} f \right\| / \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^n, g \rangle \leq \\ &\frac{\langle \mu \mathbf{P}^n, f \rangle}{\langle \mu \mathbf{P}^n, g \rangle} \sum_{j=0}^N \{ \|\mathbf{P}^{n-k+j} f\| / \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^{n-k+j}, f \rangle \} \{ \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^{n-k+j}, f \rangle / \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^n, f \rangle \}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since the last factors tend to 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{P}^{n-k} g / \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^n, g \rangle\| \\ \leq \alpha^{-1} M(N+1) \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{P}^i f / \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^i, f \rangle\| = M_{i \rightarrow 1}. \end{aligned}$$

M_1 does not depend on k .

Let $v \ll m$ be a probability. For $\varepsilon > 0$ take k such that $\|(\mu - v)\mathbf{P}^k\| < \varepsilon$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup |\langle v \mathbf{P}^n, g \rangle / \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^n, g \rangle - 1| \\ = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle (v - \mu)\mathbf{P}^k, \mathbf{P}^{n-k} g \rangle / \langle \mu \mathbf{P}^n, g \rangle| \leq \varepsilon M_1. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem shows that if $f = 1_B$ satisfies (2.1) and (2.2), so does 1_A with

$$A = \left\{ x : \sum_{n=0}^N P^n 1_B(x) \geq 1 \right\}$$

DÉFINITIONS. — A function $0 \leq f \in L_\infty$ is *small* if for every $0 \leq g \in L_\infty$

($g \neq 0$) there are K and $\beta > 0$ such that $\sum_{n=0}^K P^n g \geq \beta f$. f is *very small* if for

every $0 \leq g \in L_\infty$ there are K and $\beta > 0$ such that $P^K g \geq \beta f$.

It was proved by Horowitz [4] that if P is conservative and ergodic and has a « small » function $f \neq 0$, then it is Harris. If P is Harris, then there are « very small » functions [5]. Levitan [9] treated ratio limit theorems on sets A which satisfies $\inf_{x,y \in A} q_k(x, y) = \beta > 0$ for some k , where q_k is the kernel bounded by P^k . Clearly 1_A is then « very small ». If we define on $X = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ $p_{ii+1} = 1/2$, $p_{i0} = 1/2$, $p_{ij} = 0$ otherwise, then $P^{j+1} 1_{\{j\}} \geq 1/2^{j+1}$ and 1 is a « very small » function ; but

$$\sup_n \inf_{i,j} p_{ij}^{(n)} = 0,$$

so X itself does not satisfy Levitan's condition.

COROLLARY 2.3. — *Let P be an aperiodic Harris operator. It for some « very small » function f and a probability measure $\mu \ll m$ the relations (2.1) and (2.2) hold, then for every « small » function g and probabilities $\eta, \nu \ll m$ we have $\lim \langle \nu P^n, g \rangle / \langle \eta P^n, g \rangle = 1$.*

THEOREM 2.4. — *Let X be a locally compact σ -compact Abelian group, with Haar measure λ , and let P be an aperiodic random walk on X . If*

(i) *For some compact set C , $\limsup \{ P^n(0, C) \}^{1/n} = 1$;*

(ii) *$\limsup \| P^n 1_B / \mu P^n(B) \|_\infty < \infty$ for some relatively compact open set B with $\lambda(\partial B) = 0$, and μ with compact support ;*

Then $\lim \langle \nu P^n, g \rangle / \langle \eta P^n, f \rangle = \int g d\lambda / \int f d\lambda$ for $0 \leq f, g \in L_\infty$ with compact supports and any two probabilities $\nu, \eta \ll \lambda$.

Proof. — By Stone [15], condition (i) implies that $P^n 1_B(x) / P^n 1_B(y) \rightarrow 1$ uniformly for x, y in compact sets. We can therefore obtain

$$P^n 1_B(x) / \mu P^n(B) \rightarrow 1$$

uniformly on compact sets. Let $d\mu_1 = \lambda(B)^{-1} 1_B$. Then $\mu_1 P^n(B) / \mu P^n(B) \rightarrow 1$.

Then $\|P^n 1_B\|_\infty / \mu_1 P^n(B) = \{ \|P^n|_B\|_\infty / \mu P^n(B) \} \mu P^n(B) / \mu_1 P^n(B)$ is also bounded. Hence we may and do assume $d\mu/d\lambda = \lambda(B)^{-1} 1_B$.

The adjoint Markov operator is the random walk induced by $p^*(A) = p(-A)$, and condition (i) applies to P^* as well (since we may assume that C is symmetric). Hence by Stone [15] we have

$$P^{*n+r} 1_B(x) / P^{*n} 1_B(y) \rightarrow 1$$

uniformly for x, y in compact sets. Let $0 \leq f, g \in L_\infty$ have compact supports. The above uniform convergence yields easily that

$$(*) \quad \langle \mu P^{n+r}, g \rangle / \langle \mu P^n, f \rangle = \langle g, P^{*n+r} 1_B \rangle / \langle f, P^{*n} 1_B \rangle \rightarrow \int g d\lambda / \int f d\lambda.$$

When $g = f = 1_B$, (2.1) and (2.2) are satisfied.

Let $A = B \cup \{f > 0\} \cup \{g > 0\}$. Then A is relatively compact, and its closure can be included in a relatively compact open set E with $\lambda(\partial E) = 0$ (using Urysohn's lemma).

Since the random walk is aperiodic, for a continuous $0 \leq h \leq 1$ supported in B (e. g., $h(a) = 1$ at a point of B , $h = 0$ outside B) we have $\sum_{n=0}^\infty P^n h(x) > 0$ on \bar{E} , hence the compactness of \bar{E} yields N and α such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^N P^n 1_B \geq \sum_{n=0}^N P^n h \geq \alpha 1_E.$$

By theorem 2.3, we can apply theorem 2.1 (P is mixing by Foguel [2]) to have (2.1) and (2.2) satisfied by μ and $1_{\bar{E}}$. Relation (*) and theorem 2.2 conclude the proof.

Remarks. — 1. We see from the proof that (ii) can be replaced by (ii)' $\limsup \|P^n f / \langle \mu P^n, f \rangle\|_\infty < \infty$ for some $0 \leq f \in L_\infty$ with compact support and μ with $d\mu/d\lambda = \lambda(B)^{-1} 1_B$, where B is as in (ii).

2. The conditions of the theorem are clearly necessary.

Levitan and Smolowitz [10] treated also the case that P is Harris and self-adjoint with respect to the invariant measure λ (i. e., $\langle u, Pf \rangle = \langle f, Pu \rangle$ for $f, u \in L_2(\lambda)$). We obtain results without the Harris assumption. The following lemma was observed by Pruitt [14] for Markov chains, and its arguments are used in [10].

LEMMA 2.2. — Let T be a self-adjoint operator in a Hilbert space H satisfying $\|T\| \leq 1$. If $Tf \neq 0$, then $T^n f \neq 0$ for every n , $\lim \|T^{n+1} f\| / \|T^n f\|$

exists, and does not exceed 1. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|T^n f\| = \infty$, then $\|T^{n+1} f\| / \|T^n f\| \rightarrow 1$.

Proof. — The spectrum $\sigma(T)$ is contained in $[-1, 1] = I$. Let f satisfy $Tf \neq 0$. Let $E(\cdot)$ be the resolution of the identity of T . Define, for Borel subsets of $I = [-1, 1]$, $\mu(A) = \langle E(A)f, f \rangle = \|E(A)f\|^2$. By the spectral theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^n f\|^2 &= \langle T^{2n} f, f \rangle = \int_I t^{2n} d\mu = \int_I t^{n+1} t^{n-1} d\mu \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_I t^{2(n+1)} d\mu \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_I t^{2(n-1)} d\mu \right\}^{1/2} = \|T^{n+1} f\| \|T^{n-1} f\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $T^n f \neq 0 \Rightarrow T^{n+1} f \neq 0$, and $T^n f \neq 0$ for every n . Furthermore, $\|T^n f\| / \|T^{n-1} f\| \leq \|T^{n+1} f\| / \|T^n f\|$ and the sequence $\|T^{n+1} f\| / \|T^n f\|$ is increasing, and bounded by 1. The last statement is clear.

THEOREM 2.5. — Let P be a conservative mixing Markov operator with σ -finite invariant measure $\lambda \sim m$, and assume that P is self-adjoint. Let $0 \leq f \in L_\infty$ satisfy $0 < \int f d\lambda < \infty$. A necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence $\lim \langle \nu P^n, g \rangle / \langle \eta P^n, f \rangle = \int g d\lambda / \int f d\lambda$ to hold for every $0 \leq g \leq f$ and probabilities $\nu, \eta \ll m$ is $\limsup \|P^{2n} f\| / \|P^n f\|_\infty < \infty$.

Proof. — Define μ by $d\mu/d\lambda = \left(\int f d\lambda \right)^{-1} f$. Necessity is clear. $Q = P^2$ is also conservative and mixing. By lemma 2. 2, $\|P\|_2 \leq 1$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu Q^{n+1}, f \rangle / \langle \mu Q^n, f \rangle &= \langle P^{2(n+1)} f, f \rangle / \langle P^{2n} f, f \rangle \\ &= \|P^{n+1} f\|_2^2 / \|P^n f\|_2^2 \rightarrow 1, \end{aligned}$$

since,

$$\|f\|_2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|P^n f\|_2 \geq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|P^n f\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle P^n f, P^n f \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle f, P^{2n} f \rangle = \infty.$$

Hence f, μ and Q satisfy (2.1) and (2.2) and for every $\nu, \eta \ll m$ we have, by theorem 2.1,

$$\lim \langle \nu P^{2n}, f \rangle / \langle \eta P^{2n}, f \rangle = 1.$$

Substituting νP for ν and ηP for η , we have $\langle \nu P^n, f \rangle / \langle \eta P^n, f \rangle \rightarrow 1$. If $0 \leq g \leq f$, then

$$\langle \mu P^n, g \rangle / \langle \mu P^n, f \rangle = \langle P^n g, f \rangle / \langle P^n f, f \rangle = \langle P^n f, g \rangle / \langle P^n f, f \rangle \rightarrow \int g d\lambda / \int f d\lambda$$

when $d\nu/d\lambda = \left(\int g d\lambda \right)^{-1} g$. We now apply theorem 2.2.

COROLLARY 2.4. — *Let P be an aperiodic self-adjoint Harris operator. If for some « very small » function f we have $\limsup \| P^{2n} f / \langle P^{2n} f, f \rangle \|_\infty < \infty$, then for every « small » functions g, h and probabilities $\nu, \eta \ll m$ we have*

$$\lim \langle \nu P^n, g \rangle / \langle \eta P^n, h \rangle = \int g d\lambda / \int h d\lambda.$$

Proof. — P has a σ -finite invariant measure, and for any « small » function h , $\int h d\lambda < \infty$ (Horowitz [5]).

Now apply theorems 2.3 and 2.5.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. R. FOGUEL, *The ergodic theory of Markov processes*. Van Nostrand Mathematical Studies 21. New York, Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1969.
- [2] S. R. FOGUEL, On iterates of convolutions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, t. **47**, 1975, p. 368-370.
- [3] S. R. FOGUEL and M. LIN, Some ratio limit theorems for Markov operators. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verw. Geb.*, t. **23**, 1972, p. 55-66.
- [4] S. HOROWITZ, Transition probabilities and contractions of L_∞ . *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verw. Geb.*, t. **24**, 1972, p. 263-274.
- [5] S. HOROWITZ, On σ -finite invariant measures for Markov processes. *Israel J. Math.*, t. **6**, 1968, p. 338-345.
- [6] N. C. JAIN, The strong ratio limit property for some general Markov processes. *Ann. Math. Stat.*, t. **40**, 1969, p. 986-992.
- [7] B. JAMISON and S. OREY, Markov chains recurrent in the sense of Harris. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verw. Geb.*, t. **8**, 1967, p. 41-48.
- [8] U. KRENGEL and L. SUCHESTON, On mixing in infinite measure spaces. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verw. Geb.*, t. **13**, 1969, p. 150-164.
- [9] M. L. LEVITAN, Some ratio limit theorems for a general space state Markov process. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verw. Geb.*, t. **15**, 1970, p. 29-50.
- [10] M. L. LEVITAN and L. H. SMOLOWITZ, Limit theorems for reversible Markov processes. *Ann. Prob.*, t. **1**, 1973, p. 1014-1025.
- [11] M. LIN, Strong ratio limit theorems for Markov Processes. *Ann. Math. Stat.*, t. **43**, 1972, p. 569-579.

- [12] M. LIN, Convergence of the iterates of a Markov operator. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeits-theorie Verw. Geb.*, t. **29**, 1974, p. 153-163.
- [13] D. ORNSTEIN and L. SUCHESTON, An operator theorem on L_1 convergence to zero with applications to Markov kernels. *Ann. Math. Stat.*, t. **41**, 1970, p. 1631-1639.
- [14] W. PRUITT, Strong ratio limit property for R-recurrent Markov chains. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, t. **16**, 1965, p. 196-200.
- [15] C. STONE, Ratio limit theorems for random walks on groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, t. **125**, 1966, p. 86-100.

(Manuscrit reçu le 6 mai 1976)