

ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION A

R. F. ALVAREZ-ESTRADA

**The polaron model revisited : rigorous construction
of the dressed electron**

Annales de l'I. H. P., section A, tome 31, n° 2 (1979), p. 141-168

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPA_1979__31_2_141_0

© Gauthier-Villars, 1979, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section A » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

The polaron model revisited: rigorous construction of the dressed electron

by

R. F. ALVAREZ-ESTRADA

Departamento de Física Teórica, Facultad de Ciencias Físicas,
Universidad Complutense, Madrid-3.

RÉSUMÉ. — Le modèle du polaron (c'est-à-dire, un électron non relativiste en interaction avec un champ quantifié de phonons optiques) est étudié du point de vue mathématique. Deux méthodes sont utilisées pour construire rigoureusement l'état d'un électron habillé (c'est-à-dire, le polaron). La première est basée sur une combinaison de transformations d'habillement, la théorie de Brillouin-Wigner et la méthode des approximations successives: elle est valable seulement pour des valeurs assez petites de la constante de couplage. La deuxième méthode est basée sur : *i*) une version modifiée et rigoureuse de la théorie de Tamm-Dancoff, spécialement modifiée pour résoudre les problèmes posés par le modèle du polaron pour des grandes énergies des phonons, *ii*) des méthodes itératives, de Fredholm et de la théorie de la dispersion pour plusieurs particules, combinées avec des théorèmes de point fixe. Il est démontré que cette deuxième méthode est valable pour des valeurs croissantes de la constante de couplage, pour lesquelles la première méthode n'est plus valable, et que, d'autre part, elle fournit des fondements pour faire des analyses non perturbatives.

ABSTRACT. — The polaron model, which describes a non-relativistic quantum electron, interacting with a quantized optical phonon field, is studied from a mathematical standpoint. Two methods are used in order to construct rigorously the dressed one-electron state (the polaron). The first combines a dressing transformation, the Brillouin-Wigner approach and the contraction-mapping principle, and works only for rather small values of the coupling constant. The second method is based upon: *i*) a modified and rigorous version of the Tamm-Dancoff approach, specially adapted to cope with the peculiarities of the polaron model at large phonon

energies, *ii*) iterative, Fredholm and multiparticle scattering techniques, together with fixed-point theorems. The second approach can be shown to work for increasing values of the coupling constant, when the first method breaks down, and to provide a mathematical basis for non-perturbative studies.

1. INTRODUCTION

The large polaron model describes a slow conduction electron interacting with a quantized optical phonon field in an ionic crystal. References [1-9] provide comprehensive accounts of the different approaches, results and open problems in the subject. The polaron model is interesting for, at least, the following reasons: *i*) it describes physical phenomena in three-dimensional space, *ii*) it is free of divergences, at the level of perturbation theory, in the one electron subspace, *iii*) it constitutes an excellent testing ground for estimating the convergence of perturbative methods and developing non-perturbative techniques. There is a vast literature about field-theoretic models related more or less to the polaron one, from the standpoint of Mathematical Physics (see [10-27]).

However, to the author's knowledge and with the exception of a rather short discussion by Ginibre in [28], no rigorous study of the polaron model seems have been reported so far. It turns out that the polaron model is characterized by a phonon energy $\omega(k)$ and a cut-off or vertex function $v(k)$ having a peculiar dependence on the threemomentum k (see section 2), which requires a special treatment.

The purposes of this paper are: 1) to treat rigorously a class of field-theoretic models which includes the standard large-polaron one, and, very specially, 2) to construct mathematically the dressed or renormalized one-electron state. The methods to be used and the corresponding main results are the following.

a) The dressing transformation used by Gross [24] and Nelson [25] will be combined with the Brillouin-Wigner method (see, for instance, [29]) and the contraction-mapping principle (section 3 and Appendices A, B). The main result will be the rigorous determination of the dressed electron state via convergent iteration-perturbation methods, for rather restricted values of the coupling constant.

b) A new method will be presented in sections 4, 5 and Appendix C, which avoids the use of dressing transformations. Its starting point is a variant of the Tamm-Dancoff approach [30-31], specially adapted to cope with the energy $\omega(k)$ and vertex function $v(k)$ characterizing the models under consideration (section 4). One of the main results is the rigorous construction of the dressed electron state for a limited range of values for

the coupling constant (subsection 5. A), through a new convergent perturbation-iteration method which is different from the one presented before in section 3. In the case of the standard large polaron model, a numerical analysis indicates that convergence now holds for values of the coupling constant which may be one to two orders of magnitude larger than the upper limit obtained in section 3 for convergence using dressing transformations. Another main result (subsections 5. B and 5. C) is the rigorous determination of the dressed electron state for increasing values of the coupling constant (actually larger than the ones previously considered in subsection 5.A), by combining perturbation-iteration, Fredholm and multi-particle scattering methods. This allows for the possibility of exploring, in a systematic and mathematically controllable way, the non-perturbative regime of values for the coupling constant. A rather qualitative numerical estimate, which illustrates the last statement, is also presented.

2. CHARACTERIZATION OF MODELS

We consider a non-relativistic spinless quantum electron with bare mass m_0 and position and threemomentum operators $\bar{x} = (x_i)$, $\bar{p} = (p_j)$, $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ ($[x_i, p_j] = i\delta_{ij}$), and an indefinite number of longitudinal optical phonons, regarded as scalar bosons. Let $|0\rangle$ be the phonon vacuum and $a(\bar{k})$, $a^+(\bar{k})$ be the standard destruction and creation operators for a phonon with (continuously-varying) threemomentum \bar{k} and energy $\omega(k)$ ($[a(\bar{k}), a^+(\bar{k}')] = \delta^{(3)}(\bar{k} - \bar{k}')$, $k = |\bar{k}|$). The bare one-electron state with threemomentum \bar{q} is denoted by $\psi(\bar{q})$, so that the set of all bare electron-phonon states

$$\psi(\bar{q}; \bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n) = \psi(\bar{q}) \otimes \left[\frac{1}{(n!)^{1/2}} a^+(\bar{k}_1) \dots a^+(\bar{k}_n) |0\rangle \right] \quad (2.1)$$

constitutes a basis for the actual Hilbert space.

By assumption, the total hamiltonian is :

$$H = H_0 + H_1, \quad H_1 = \frac{\bar{p}^2}{2m_0} + \int d^3\bar{k} \omega(k) a^+(\bar{k}) a(\bar{k}) \quad (2.2)$$

$$H_1 = f \int d^3\bar{k} [v(k) a(\bar{k}) \exp(i\bar{k}\bar{x}) + v(k)^* a^+(\bar{k}) \exp(-i\bar{k}\bar{x})] \quad (2.3)$$

The total threemomentum operator is

$$\bar{P}_{\text{tot}} = \bar{p} + \int d^3\bar{k} \cdot \bar{k} a^+(\bar{k}) a(\bar{k}), \quad ([H, \bar{P}_{\text{tot}}] = 0)$$

f and $v(k)$ are, respectively, a real coupling constant and a complex cut-off function. They satisfy the following assumptions :

- 1) $\omega(k) \geq \omega_0 > 0$,

- 2) $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\omega(k)}{k^{1/2}}$ either vanishes or is a finite constant,
- 3) $\int_{k \leq k_0} d^3 \bar{k} |v(k)|^n < +\infty$, for any $k_0 < +\infty$ and $n = 1, 2$,
- 4) $v(k) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{v_0}{k}$, v_0 being a non-vanishing complex constant.

The above assumptions 1)-4) define a slight generalization of the physically interesting large polaron model, characterized by $\omega(k) = \omega_0 > 0$ and $v(k) = \frac{i}{k}$ for any phonon threemomentum \bar{k} , which clearly satisfies them. We shall apply all our latter developments to the large polaron model, with $f = \frac{[2^{1/2}\alpha]^{1/2}}{2\pi} \cdot \left[\frac{\omega_0^3}{m_0} \right]^{1/4}$, α being the usual dimensionless coupling constant [32].

Let $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\pi}}$ be the subspace of all kets ψ such that $(\bar{P}_{tot} - \bar{\pi})\psi = 0$ with $\frac{\bar{\pi}^2}{2m_0} < \omega_0$, in order to avoid unwanted « Cerenkov effects » [8].

The set of all bare states $\psi(\bar{q}_{\bar{\pi}}; \bar{k}_1, \dots, \bar{k}_n)$, $\bar{q}_{\bar{\pi}} = \bar{\pi} - \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{k}_j$, constitutes a complete orthonormal set in $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\pi}}$ with respect to the restricted scalar product :

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi(\bar{q}'_{\bar{\pi}}; \bar{k}'_1 \dots \bar{k}'_n), \psi(\bar{q}_{\bar{\pi}}; \bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n))_{\bar{\pi}} = \\ = \frac{\delta_{nm'}}{n!} \sum_{v(1), \dots, v(n)} \delta^{(3)}(\bar{k}'_{v(1)} - \bar{k}_1) \dots \delta^{(3)}(\bar{k}'_{v(n)} - \bar{k}_n) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

In Eq. (2.4), $\sum_{v(1), \dots, v(n)}$ denotes the usual sum over the $n!$ permutations $(v(1), \dots, v(n))$ of $(1, \dots, n)$.

Notice that the ordinary scalar product of the same states in the full Hilbert space equals the restricted one, given in Eq. (2.4), times a volume divergent factor, namely, $\delta^{(3)}(\bar{0})$.

In $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\pi}}$, the restricted norms of a state ψ belonging to it and an operator A such that $[A, \bar{P}_{tot}] = 0$ are defined respectively as $\|\psi\|_{\bar{\pi}} = [(\psi, \psi)_{\bar{\pi}}]^{1/2}$ and $\|A\|_{\bar{\pi}} =$ least upper bound of $(\|A\Phi\|_{\bar{\pi}} / \|\Phi\|_{\bar{\pi}})$, as Φ varies throughout $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\pi}}$.

Let $\psi_+ = \psi_+(\bar{\pi})$ the ground state of H (the dressed electron or polaron) with physical energy $E = E(\bar{\pi})$ and small total threemomentum $\bar{\pi}$:

$$(H - E)\psi_+ = 0, (\bar{P}_{tot} - \bar{\pi})\psi_+ = 0, \frac{\bar{\pi}^2}{2m_0} < \omega_0.$$

Both ψ_+ and E are finite in each order of perturbation theory, as explicit calculations, patterned after those in [4] [8] [33], show. Explicitly, for the large-polaron model it has been conjectured that perturbation theory converges for $\alpha \leq 0.5$ (see D. Pines in [3]). It is not straightforward to prove the convergence of the whole perturbation series for ψ_+ , E , even for very small α or f , and, to author's knowledge, no such proof has been published so far. Actually, the standard arguments (see, for instance, [23]) implying that H_1 is a small perturbation of H_0 and the usual convergence proof for the Neumann expansion of $(z - H)^{-1}$ or ψ_+ , for very small f , all run into difficulties since $\int d^3\bar{k} |v(k)|^2 = \infty$. Thus, a rigorous determination of ψ_+ , E and estimates of the values of f for which convergence holds seem desirable.

3. CONSTRUCTION OF DRESSED ELECTRON USING DRESSING TRANSFORMATION AND BRILLOUIN-WIGNER APPROACH

We perform the dressing transformation implemented by the unitary operator $\exp T$, where

$$T = \int d^3\bar{k} [\beta(k)a(\bar{k}) \exp(i\bar{k}\bar{x}) - \beta(k)^*a^+(\bar{k}) \exp(-i\bar{k}\bar{x})] \quad (3.1)$$

$$\beta(k) = -\frac{f[1 - \theta(\Lambda - k)]v(k)}{\omega(k) + (k^2/2m_0)} \quad (3.2)$$

Λ being a non-negative fixed constant and $\theta(x) = 1$ if $x > 0$, $\theta(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$.

A lengthy calculation, similar to those in [24-25], yields:

$$[\exp T] \cdot H \cdot [\exp(-T)] = H' + E', \quad E' = -f^2 \int d^3\bar{k} \frac{|v(k)|^2 [1 - \theta(\Lambda - k)]}{\omega(k) + (k^2/2m_0)} \quad (3.3)$$

$$H' = H_0 + H'_{1,1} + H'_{1,2}, \quad [\exp T] \cdot \bar{P}_{tot} \cdot [\exp(-T)] = \bar{P}_{tot} \quad (3.4)$$

$$H'_{1,1} = f \int d^3\bar{k} \theta(\Lambda - k) [v(k)a(\bar{k}) \exp(i\bar{k}\bar{x}) + v(k)^*a^+(\bar{k}) \exp(-i\bar{k}\bar{x})] \quad (3.5)$$

$$H'_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2m_0} [\bar{A}^2 + (\bar{A}^+)^2 + 2\bar{A}^+\bar{A} + 2(\bar{p}\bar{A} + \bar{A}^+\bar{p})] \quad (3.6)$$

$$\bar{A} = - \int d^3\bar{k} \beta(k) \cdot \bar{k} a(\bar{k}) \exp(i\bar{k}\bar{x}) \quad (3.7)$$

Notice that $-\infty < E' < 0$. The usefulness of this type of transformation, in order to renormalize a field-theoretic model more singular than the one

studied here, was established in [24-25]. Its potential usefulness for the polaron model was pointed out by Ginibre in [28].

The new hamiltonian H' can be given a mathematical sense, by extending to it the rigorous analysis of Nelson [25] directly. Appendix A contains some rigorous results which will be useful later in this work.

After the dressing transformation, the dressed electron state is

$$\psi'_+ = \psi'_+(\bar{\pi}) = [\exp T] \cdot \psi_+(\bar{\pi}).$$

It belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\pi}}$ and fulfills $[H' - (E - E')]\psi'_+ = 0$. We shall impose the normalization $(\psi(\bar{\pi}), \psi'_+(\bar{\pi})) = 1$ and try to construct ψ'_+ from $\psi(\bar{\pi})$, by regarding the latter and all $\psi(\bar{q}_{\bar{\pi}}; \bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n)$ as unperturbed kets and $H'_{1,1} + H'_{1,2}$ as perturbation.

One could construct $(z - H')^{-1}$ and ψ'_+ by using the perturbation theory for quadratic forms and the projection techniques presented in [34] (see also [26]). However, it is easier to use the Brillouin-Wigner approach [29], which leads directly to :

$$\psi'_+ = \psi(\bar{\pi}) + (\mathbb{1} - Q_{\bar{\pi}})G_0(E - E')(H'_{1,1} + H'_{1,2})\psi'_+ \quad (3.8)$$

$$E = E' + \frac{\bar{\pi}^2}{2m_0} + (\psi(\bar{\pi}), (H'_{1,1} + H'_{1,2})\psi'_+(\bar{\pi})) \equiv M(E) \quad (3.9)$$

Here, $\mathbb{1}$ is the unit operator, $Q_{\bar{\pi}}$ is the projector upon $\psi(\bar{\pi})$ inside $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\pi}}$ and $G_0(z) = (z - H_0)^{-1}$.

The basic polycy will consist in : *i*) solving the linear Eq. (3.8) for ψ'_+ , regarding E as a parameter, *ii*) plugging the resulting solution for ψ'_+ into the right-hand-side of Eq. (3.9) and solving for E .

We introduce

$$\psi_0(E) = (\mathbb{1} - Q_{\bar{\pi}})[-G_0(E - E')]^{1/2} \cdot (H'_{1,1} + H'_{1,2}) \cdot \psi(\bar{\pi}) \quad (3.10)$$

$$g_1(E_1, E_2) = (\mathbb{1} - Q_{\bar{\pi}})[-G_0(E_1 - E')]^{1/2} \cdot (H'_{1,1} + H'_{1,2})[-G_0(E_2 - E')]^{1/2}(\mathbb{1} - Q_{\bar{\pi}}) \quad (3.11)$$

$$g_2(E_1, E_2) = (\mathbb{1} - Q_{\bar{\pi}})[-G_0(E_1 - E')]^{1/2} \cdot [-G_0(E_2 - E')]^{1/2} \cdot (\mathbb{1} - Q_{\bar{\pi}}) \quad (3.12)$$

The series formed by all successive iterations of Eq. (3.8) can be cast into :

$$\psi'_+ = \psi(\bar{\pi}) - (\mathbb{1} - Q_{\bar{\pi}})[-G_0(E - E')]^{1/2} \cdot \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} [-g_1(E, E)]^l \psi_0(E) \quad (3.13)$$

Majorizing Eqs. (3.13) and (3.10), one finds

$$\|\psi'_+\| \leq 1 + \|(\mathbb{1} - Q_{\bar{\pi}})[-G_0(E - E')]^{1/2}\|_{\bar{\pi}} \cdot \frac{\|\psi_0(E)\|_{\bar{\pi}}}{1 - \|g_1(E, E)\|_{\bar{\pi}}} \quad (3.14)$$

$$\|\psi_0(E)\|_{\bar{\pi}} \leq \frac{1}{|\omega_0 + E' - E|^{1/2}} \cdot [\lambda_1 + |\bar{\pi}| \cdot x_1^{1/2}] + \frac{m_0 \cdot x_1}{[2|2\omega_0 + E' - E|]^{1/2}} \quad (3.15)$$

with $x_1 = \int d^3\bar{k} \frac{k^2 |\beta(k)|^2}{m_0^2}$, and λ_1 being given in Eq. (A.3).

Next, we consider the mapping $M : E \rightarrow M(E)$, where $M(E)$ is given by the right-hand-side of Eq. (3.9), as well as two values $E_i, i = 1, 2$, and their associates $M(E_i)$ under the mapping. Some majorations yield :

$$\left| M(E) - E' - \frac{\bar{\pi}^2}{2m_0} \right| \leq \frac{[\|\psi_0(E)\|_{\bar{\pi}}]^2}{1 - \|g_1(E, E)\|_{\bar{\pi}}} \tag{3.16}$$

$$|M(E_1) - M(E_2)| \leq \eta_1(E_1, E_2) \cdot |E_1 - E_2| \tag{3.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1(E_1, E_2) = & \|g_2(E_1, E_2)\|_{\bar{\pi}} \\ & \cdot \left\{ \|\psi_0(E_1)\|_{\bar{\pi}} \cdot \left[\|\psi_0(E_2)\|_{\bar{\pi}} + \frac{\|g_1(E_2, E_1)\|_{\bar{\pi}} \cdot \|\psi_0(E_1)\|_{\bar{\pi}}}{1 - \|g_1(E_1, E_1)\|_{\bar{\pi}}} \right] + \|\psi_0(E_2)\|_{\bar{\pi}} \right. \\ & \left. \cdot \left[\frac{\|g_1(E_2, E_1)\|_{\bar{\pi}} \cdot \|\psi_0(E_2)\|_{\bar{\pi}}}{1 - \|g_1(E_2, E_2)\|_{\bar{\pi}}} + \frac{(\|g_1(E_2, E_1)\|_{\bar{\pi}})^2 \|\psi_0(E_1)\|_{\bar{\pi}}}{[1 - \|g_1(E_1, E_1)\|_{\bar{\pi}}][1 - \|g_1(E_2, E_2)\|_{\bar{\pi}}]} \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

One sees easily that for any complex E, E_1, E_2 and any real E, E_1, E_2 smaller than ω_0 :

- i) $\|\psi_0(x)\|_{\bar{\pi}} < +\infty, x = E, E_1, E_2$
- ii) $\|(\mathbb{1} - Q_{\bar{\pi}})[-G_0(E - E')]\|_{\bar{\pi}}^{1/2} < +\infty, \|g_2(E_1, E_2)\|_{\bar{\pi}} < +\infty$

due to the projector $\mathbb{1} - Q_{\bar{\pi}}$. Moreover, using results from Appendix A, we give a bound for $\|g_1(E_1, E_2)\|_{\bar{\pi}}$ in Appendix B (Eq. (B.2)), which shows that $\|g_1(E_1, E_2)\|_{\bar{\pi}} < +\infty$ for fixed Λ , under the same conditions for E_1, E_2 .

Then, for sufficiently small $f, |\bar{\pi}|$: a) there is a domain D in the complex E -plane, containing $\frac{\bar{\pi}^2}{2m_0}$ and $\frac{\bar{\pi}^2}{2m_0} + E'$, which maps into itself under M ,

b) $\|g_1(E, E)\|_{\bar{\pi}} < 1$ for E inside D , c) $\eta_1(E_1, E_2) < 1$ for E_1, E_2 belonging to D . Then, the contraction mapping principle (see, for instance, [35]) ensures the existence of a unique fixed point E of $M, E = M(E)$, which belongs to D and can be found by successive iterations of Eq. (3.9). Moreover, for the fixed point, ψ'_+ is given by the convergent series (3.13). The analysis of Nelson [25] shows that $\exp(\pm T)$ are well defined unitary operators. Then, the true polaron state is unambiguously given by

$$\psi_+ = [\exp(-T)] \cdot \psi'_+ .$$

Let us summarize some qualitative estimates of the convergence conditions for the contraction mapping principle to apply, in the case of the standard large polaron model (see section 2) for $\bar{\pi} = 0$. One has :

$$\lambda_1 = \left(\frac{2^{1/2}\alpha\Lambda}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\frac{\omega_0^3}{m_0}\right)^{1/4} \tag{3.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 = & \frac{2 \cdot 2^{1/2}\alpha}{\pi} \cdot \left(\frac{\omega_0^3}{m_0}\right)^{1/2} \\ & \cdot \left[\frac{1}{(2m_0\omega_0)^{1/2}} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \frac{\Lambda}{(2m_0\omega_0)^{1/2}}\right) + \frac{\Lambda}{2m_0\omega_0 + \Lambda^2} \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

We have found that the condition $\|g_1(E, E)\|_{\bar{\pi}} < 1$ is roughly fulfilled when $\Lambda = 10(m_0\omega_0)^{1/2}$ for values of α up to about 0.009, which is almost the order of magnitude which characterizes semiconductors of type II-VI [6]. The condition $\eta_1 < 1$ is also essentially satisfied under the same conditions. This upper limit for convergence, $\alpha \lesssim 0.009$, is about one to two orders of magnitude smaller than the one previously conjectured (see D. Pines in [3]): its smallness is due to the Λ -dependence of λ_1, x_1 which, in turn, comes from the dressing transformation. The new method to be presented in the following sections will not rely on dressing transformations and will allow one to improve the convergence conditions, at least in principle.

The actual treatment can be generalized when an external homogeneous magnetic field $\bar{h} = (0, 0, h)$, $h > 0$, along the x_3 -axis is present. Let $\bar{A}_h = (-hx_2, 0, 0)$, e, c be the standard vector potential (see, for instance, [36]), the electron electric charge and the velocity of light in vacuum. Then, if

the substitution $\bar{p} \rightarrow \bar{p} - \frac{e}{c}\bar{A}_h$ is done, Eqs. (2.2-3) remain valid. Notice

that the two operators $P'_{\text{tot},j} = p_j + \int d^3\bar{k}. k_j a^+(\bar{k})a(\bar{k})$, $j = 1, 3$ commute with the actual H , but $p_2 + \int d^3\bar{k}. k_2 a^+(\bar{k})a(\bar{k})$ does not. Now, the basic bare states are ($r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$)

$$\psi(\underline{q}; r; \bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n) = \exp [i(q_1 x_1 + q_3 x_3)] \cdot \frac{H_r \left[\left(\frac{|e|h}{c} \right)^{1/2} (x_2 - x_{2,0}) \right] \cdot \left(\frac{|e|h}{c} \right)^{1/4}}{(\pi^{1/2} 2^r \cdot r!)^{1/2}} \cdot \exp \left[-\frac{|e|h}{2c} (x_2 - x_{2,0})^2 \right] \otimes \left[\frac{1}{(n!)^{1/2}} a^+(\bar{k}_1) \dots a^+(\bar{k}_n) |0\rangle \right] \quad (3.21)$$

$x_{2,0} = -\frac{c \cdot q_1}{|e|h}$, $\underline{q} = (q_1, q_3)$ and the H_r 's being the standard Hermite polynomials. Let $\mathcal{H}(\underline{\pi})$ be the subspace of all states ψ such that

$$(P'_{\text{tot},j} - \pi_j)\psi = 0, \quad j = 1, 3.$$

The set of all bare states

$$\psi(\underline{q}_{\underline{\pi}}; r; \bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n), \quad \underline{q}_{\underline{\pi}} = \underline{\pi} - \sum_{i=1}^n \underline{k}_i, \quad \underline{k} = (k_1, k_3)$$

is a complete set for $\mathcal{H}(\underline{\pi})$ with respect to the new restricted scalar product:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\psi(\underline{q}'_{\underline{\pi}}; r'; \bar{k}'_1 \dots \bar{k}'_{n'}), \psi(\underline{q}_{\underline{\pi}}; r; \bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n))_{\bar{\pi}} \\ &= \frac{\delta_{r'r'} \delta_{n'n}}{n!} \sum_{v(1), \dots, v(n)} \delta^{(3)}(\bar{k}'_{v(1)} - \bar{k}_1) \dots \delta^{(3)}(\bar{k}'_{v(n)} - \bar{k}_n) \quad (3.22) \end{aligned}$$

Eqs. (3.1-3), (3.5-7) and the first Eq. (3.4) remain valid provided that $\bar{p} \rightarrow \bar{p} - \frac{e}{c} \bar{A}_h$, while the second equation (3.4) should be replaced by

$$[\exp T] \cdot P'_{\text{tot},j} \cdot [\exp(-T)] = P'_{\text{tot},j}, \quad j = 1, 3.$$

By using $\bar{p} \rightarrow \bar{p} - \frac{e}{c} \bar{A}_h$, as well as the restricted norms for vectors and operators and the quadratic form (analogous to F of Appendix A) induced by (3.22) and the new H', one can show that the analogue of (A.1) holds, with the same e_1, e_2 . Let $\psi(\bar{\pi}), \frac{\bar{\pi}^2}{2m_0}$ in Eqs. (3.8-9) be replaced respectively by the ground state and the energy of the electron in the external magnetic field, namely, $\psi(\underline{\pi}; 0), \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|e|h}{c} + \frac{(\pi_3)^2}{2m_0}$. After these substitutions, the Brillouin-Wigner equations remain valid and determine the ground state ψ_+ and the energy E of the dressed electron in the external magnetic field. The applicability of the contraction mapping principle and the convergence proofs can be established as we did before in this section, when $h = 0$. The polaron model in presence of an external magnetic field has been studied previously by several authors [37-38]: our brief discussion above tried to provide a rigorous justification of those works (at least, for the ground state).

4. MODIFIED TAMM-DANCOFF APPROACH AND A USEFUL BOUND

The polaron state ψ_+ can be expanded into bare states as:

$$\psi_+ = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \int d^3 \bar{k}_1 \dots d^3 \bar{k}_n \frac{b_n(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n)}{|e_n(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n)|^{1/2}} \psi(\bar{q}; \bar{\pi}; \bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n) \quad (4.1)$$

$$e_n(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n) = E - \sum_{i=1}^n \omega(k_i) - \frac{\left(\bar{\pi} - \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{k}_i\right)^2}{2m_0},$$

$$\|\psi_+\|_{\bar{\pi}}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \int d^3 \bar{k}_1 \dots d^3 \bar{k}_n \frac{|b_n(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n)|^2}{|e_n(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n)|} \quad (4.2)$$

Thus, $b_n/|e_n|^{1/2}$ is the unnormalized probability amplitude for finding n

phonons in the polaron, and is symmetric under interchanges of \bar{k} 's. The interest of having factored out $|e_n|^{-1/2}$ will be appreciated shortly. Upon replacing the expansion (4.1) into $(H - E)\psi_+ = 0$ and using Eqs. (2.2-4), one finds the basic recurrence relations ($e_n^{1/2} = e_n/|e_n|^{1/2}$):

$$\begin{aligned}
 & b_n(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n) \\
 &= \frac{1}{e_n(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n)^{1/2}} \left\{ \frac{f}{n^{1/2}} \sum_{i=1}^n v(k_i)^* \frac{b_{n-1}(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_{i-1} \bar{k}_{i+1} \dots \bar{k}_n)}{|e_{n-1}(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_{i-1} \bar{k}_{i+1} \dots \bar{k}_n)|^{1/2}} \right. \\
 & \left. + f(n+1)^{1/2} \int d^3 \bar{k} \frac{v(k) b_{n+1}(\bar{k} \bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n)}{|e_{n+1}(\bar{k} \bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n)|^{1/2}} \right\} \quad (4.3)
 \end{aligned}$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$, with $b_{-1} = 0$. We shall choose the normalization $b_0/|e_0|^{1/2} = 1$ and introduce, for later convenience:

$$\mathbf{B}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} b_1(\bar{k}_1) \\ b_2(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}_2) \\ \vdots \\ b_n(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B}_1^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{f v(k_1)^*}{e_1(\bar{k}_1)^{1/2}} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.4)$$

Then, Eq. (4.3) for $n = 0$ and the set of all Eqs. (4.3) for $n \geq 1$ become respectively

$$E = \frac{\bar{\pi}^2}{2m_0} + f \int d^3 \bar{k}_1 \frac{v(k_1) b_1(\bar{k}_1)}{|e_1(\bar{k}_1)|^{1/2}} = \mathbf{M}_1(E) \quad (4.5)$$

$$\mathbf{B}_1 = \mathbf{B}_1^{(0)} + \mathbf{W}_1 \cdot \mathbf{B}_1 \quad (4.6)$$

Here, \mathbf{W}_1 is the linear operator defined by the right-hand-side of all Eqs. (4.3) for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

We shall introduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tau_1 &= \left[f^2 \int \frac{d^3 \bar{k} |v(k)|^2}{|e_1(\bar{k})|} \right]^{1/2}, \\
 \tau_n &= \left[\text{Max}_{\bar{k}_1, \dots, \bar{k}_{n-1}} \frac{f^2 n}{|e_{n-1}(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_{n-1})|} \int \frac{d^3 \bar{k} |v(k)|^2}{|e_n(\bar{k} \bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n)|} \right]^{1/2} \quad n \geq 2 \quad (4.7)
 \end{aligned}$$

as well as the L^2 -norm of b_n :

$$\|b_n\|_2 = \left[\int d^3 \bar{k}_1 \dots d^3 \bar{k}_n |b_n(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n)|^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

(no confusion should arise between the notations $\|b_n\|_2$ and, say, $\|\psi\|_{\bar{\pi}}$) and the following continued fractions :

$$Z_r = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{|\tau_{r+1}|^2}{1 - \frac{|\tau_{r+2}|^2}{1 - \frac{|\tau_{r+3}|^2}{1 - \dots}}}} \tag{4.8}$$

By recalling Eq. (4.7), the first Eq. (4.2) and assumptions 1)-4) in section 2, one sees that, at least for small $|\bar{\pi}|$ and $|E|$: i) $\tau_n < +\infty$ for $n \geq 1$, ii) $\tau_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, iii) there exists some $R > 0$ such that $Z_r > 0$ for any $r \geq R$.

For $n \geq R$, one proves the following bound :

$$\|b_n\|_2 \leq \tau_n \cdot Z_n \cdot \|b_{n-1}\|_2 \tag{4.9}$$

The inequality (4.9) can be proved in two alternative ways :

1) By integrating both sides of Eq. (4.3) over $\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n$ and using

$$\left[\int d^3\bar{k}_1 \dots d^3\bar{k}_n \left| \frac{f}{e_n(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n)^{1/2} \cdot n^{1/2}} \sum_{i=1}^n v(k_i)^* \frac{b_{n-1}(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_{i-1} \bar{k}_{i+1} \dots \bar{k}_n)}{|e_{n-1}(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_{i-1} \bar{k}_{i+1} \dots \bar{k}_n)|^{1/2}} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \tau_n \cdot \|b_{n-1}\|_2 \tag{4.10}$$

$$\left[\int d^3\bar{k}_1 \dots d^3\bar{k}_n \left| \frac{f(n+1)^{1/2}}{e_n(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n)^{1/2}} \int d^3\bar{k} v(k) \frac{b_{n+1}(\bar{k} \bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n)}{|e_{n+1}(\bar{k} \bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n)|^{1/2}} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \tau_{n+1} \cdot \|b_{n+1}\|_2 \tag{4.11}$$

one derives the three-term recurrence of inequalities:

$$\|b_n\|_2 \leq \tau_n \cdot \|b_{n-1}\|_2 + \tau_{n+1} \cdot \|b_{n+1}\|_2 \tag{4.12}$$

The solution of the recurrence of inequalities (4.12) is outlined in Appendix C. By setting $\tau'_{0,n} = 0$, $\tau'_{1,n} \rightarrow \tau_n$, $Z'_n \rightarrow Z_n$, $X_n \rightarrow \|b_n\|_2$ in (C.3), one obtains (4.9).

2) Consider the set of all Eqs. (4.3) for $n \geq R > 0$, cast it into a matrix form similar to Eq. (4.6), containing b_{R-1} in the inhomogeneous term instead of $fv(k_1)^* e_1(\bar{k}_1)^{1/2}$, and iterate the corresponding matrix system, thereby generating an infinite series for b_n , $n \geq R$. By taking the L^2 -norm

of the series for b_n and majorizing as in alternative 1), one finds a majorizing series for $\|b_n\|_2$, which can be summed into the continued fraction Z_n in (4.9), that is, it coincides with the series obtained when Z_n is expanded into power series in all $|\tau_{r+1}|^2$, $r \geq n$. For brevity, we omit details.

Notice that to have factored out $|e_n|^{-1/2}$ in the probability amplitudes has turned out to be crucial for the validity of (4.9). In fact, it leads to the inequalities (4.10-11) with τ_n, τ_{n+1} which, in turn, contain $|e_1|^{-1}, |e_{n+1}|^{-1}$ inside the integrals and, hence, are finite in spite of assumption 4) in section 2 (and so on if the derivation of (4.9) proceeds through alternative 2)). We have been unable to derive a recurrence or a bound similar to (4.12) or (4.9) respectively for the full probability amplitudes $b_n/|e_n|^{1/2}$ due precisely to assumption 4) and the absence of factors $|e_n|^{-1}$ inside certain integrals analogous to τ_n, τ_{n+1} , which now diverge.

Remarks. — *i)* A Tamm-Dancoff approach to the dressed electron state was also proposed by Larsen in an interesting paper [39]. However, he did not factor out $|e_n|^{-1/2}$ and he did not present any bound or rigorous study.

ii) The Tamm-Dancoff approach is related to the so-called N-quantum approximation: accounts of the latter appear in [40-41].

5. CONSTRUCTION OF DRESSED ELECTRON STATE IN MODIFIED TAMM-DANCOFF APPROACH

We shall construct rigorously the amplitudes b_n , $n \geq 1$, and the polaron energy E in several cases, for successively increasing values of f .

5.A. $R = 1$.

We assume that $Z_r > 0$ for any $r \geq 1$, for given small values of f , in a certain domain D_1 for E . The bound (4.9) for $r \geq 2$ together with $\|b_1\|_2 \leq \tau_1 \cdot Z_1$ (which can be proved similarly, as in alternatives 1) or 2) in section 4) ensure that the series for all b_n 's, $n \geq 1$, obtained by successive iterations of the system (4.6) converges in L^2 -norm: $\|b_n\|_2 < \infty$, $n \geq 1$. Here, we shall make the E -dependence of b_n 's and τ 's explicit, frequently. The direct majoration of $M_1(E)$ (Eq. (4.5)) gives:

$$\left| M_1(E) - \frac{\bar{\pi}^2}{2m_0} \right| \leq \tau_1^2 \cdot Z_1 \quad (5.A.1)$$

Next, we shall study the mapping $M_1 : E \rightarrow M_1(E)$. We consider the set of all Eqs. (4.3) for $n \geq 1$ (or the system (4.6)) and Eq. (4.5) for two

values E_1, E_2 such that $Z_r(E_i) > 0, r \geq 1, i = 1, 2$, and subtract them. By majorizing as in alternative 1) of section 4, one finds:

$$|M_1(E_1) - M_1(E_2)| \leq \tau_1(E_2) \cdot \|b_1(E_1) - b_1(E_2)\|_2 + |E_1 - E_2| \cdot \tau_0 \cdot \|b_1(E_1)\|_2 \quad (5.A.2)$$

$$\|b_n(E_1) - b_n(E_2)\|_2 \leq \tau'_n(E_2) \|b_{n-1}(E_1) - b_{n-1}(E_2)\|_2 + \tau'_{n+1}(E_2) \|b_{n+1}(E_1) - b_{n+1}(E_2)\|_2 + \tau'_{0,n}, \quad n \geq 1 \quad (5.A.3)$$

$$\tau_0 = \left[f^2 \int \frac{d^3 \bar{k} |v(k)|^2}{|e_1(\bar{k}, E_1)| \cdot |e_1(\bar{k}, E_2)| \cdot [|e_1(\bar{k}, E_1)|^{1/2} + |e_1(\bar{k}, E_2)|^{1/2}]} \right]^{1/2} \quad (5.A.4)$$

$$\tau'_1(E) = 0, \quad \tau'_n(E) = \tau_n(E) \quad n \geq 2 \quad (5.A.5)$$

$$\tau'_{0,1} = |E_1 - E_2| \cdot [\tau_0 + \varepsilon_{2,1} \cdot \|b_2(E_1)\|_2] \quad (5.A.6)$$

$$\tau'_{0,n} = |E_1 - E_2| \cdot [\varepsilon_{1,n} \|b_{n-1}(E_1)\|_2 + \varepsilon_{2,n} \|b_{n+1}(E_1)\|_2] \quad n \geq 2 \quad (5.A.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{1,n} = & \left\{ f^2 \cdot n \cdot \text{Max}_{\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_{n-1}} \int \frac{d^3 \bar{k} |v(k)|^2}{|e_n(\bar{k} \bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_{n-1}, E_2)| \cdot |e_{n-1}(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_{n-1}, E_1)|} \right. \\ & \cdot \left[\frac{1}{[|e_n(\bar{k} \bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_{n-1}, E_1)|^{1/2} + |e_n(\bar{k} \bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_{n-1}, E_2)|^{1/2}]} \right. \\ & \cdot \frac{1}{|e_n(\bar{k} \bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_{n-1}, E_1)|^{1/2}} \\ & + \frac{1}{[|e_{n-1}(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_{n-1}, E_1)|^{1/2} + |e_{n-1}(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_{n-1}, E_2)|^{1/2}]} \\ & \left. \left. \cdot \frac{1}{|e_{n-1}(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_{n-1}, E_2)|^{1/2}} \right]^2 \right\}^{1/2} \quad (5.A.8) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{2,n} = & \left\{ f^2 \cdot (n+1) \cdot \text{Max}_{\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n} \int \frac{d^3 \bar{k} |v(k)|^2}{|e_n(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n, E_1)| \cdot |e_{n+1}(\bar{k} \bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n, E_2)|} \right. \\ & \left[\frac{1}{[|e_{n+1}(\bar{k} \bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n, E_1)|^{1/2} + |e_{n+1}(\bar{k} \bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n, E_2)|^{1/2}]} \right. \\ & \cdot \frac{1}{|e_{n+1}(\bar{k} \bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n, E_1)|^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{[|e_n(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n, E_1)|^{1/2} + |e_n(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n, E_2)|^{1/2}]} \\ & \left. \left. \cdot \frac{1}{|e_n(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n, E_2)|^{1/2}} \right]^2 \right\}^{1/2} \quad (5.A.9) \end{aligned}$$

The solution of the recurrence of inequalities (5.A.3) for $\|b_n(E_1) - b_n(E_2)\|_2$

is also given in Appendix C. By combining (5. A. 2-3), (5. A. 6-7) and (C. 3) one gets:

$$|M_1(E_1) - M_1(E_2)| \leq \eta_2 \cdot |E_1 - E_2| \quad (5. A. 10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_2 = & \tau_1(E_2) \cdot Z'_1(E_2) \cdot \left\{ \tau_0 + \varepsilon_{2,1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^2 \tau_i(E_1) \cdot Z_i(E_1) \right. \\ & + \tau_1(E_1) \cdot Z_1(E_1) \cdot \sum_{l=1}^{+\infty} \left(\prod_{h=2}^l \tau_h(E_1) Z_h(E_1) \right) \cdot \left[\varepsilon_{2,1+l} \left(\prod_{r=1}^2 \tau_{l+r}(E_1) Z_{l+r}(E_1) \right) \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \varepsilon_{1,1+l} \right] \cdot \left[\prod_{s=1}^l \tau_{1+s}(E_2) \cdot Z'_{1+s}(E_2) \right] \right\} + \tau_0 \cdot \tau_1(E_1) \cdot Z_1(E_1) \quad (5. A. 11) \end{aligned}$$

with the convention $\prod_{h=2}^l \tau_h \cdot Z_h = 1$, $\tau_2 \cdot Z_2$ if $l = 1, 2$ respectively. Z'_n is

given by Eq. (C. 2), with $\tau'_{1,n} \rightarrow \tau'_n(E_2)$, $\tau'_{2,n} \rightarrow \tau'_{n+1}(E_2)$. By looking at the ratio of the $(l + 1)$ -th term over the l -th one in the series on the right-hand-side of Eq. (5. A. 11) and noticing that $\tau_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, one shows that such a series converges.

From (5. A. 1), (4. 7-8), (5. A. 8-11), (5. A. 4) and (C. 2) we see that for given f and small fixed $|\bar{\pi}|$, there is a domain D_2 of values for E such that: i) it contains $\bar{\pi}^2/2m_0$, ii) all continued fractions Z_n, Z'_n are strictly positive, iii) it maps into itself under M_1 , iv) $\eta_2 < 1$ for any E_1, E_2 belonging to it.

Again, the contraction mapping principle guarantees that there exists a unique fixed point $E = M_1(E)$ lying inside D_2 , which can be found by iterating Eq. (4. 5). For this fixed point, all amplitudes $b_n, n \geq 1$, are given by the convergent series generated through the iterations of (4. 6).

We shall consider the standard large polaron model (recall section 2) for $\bar{\pi} = 0$ and study numerically the range of validity of the above rigorous construction of the dressed electron state, for increasing values of the dimensionless coupling constant α .

Now, τ_n ($n \geq 1$) can be evaluated explicitly. Then, in what follows, it will be understood that τ_1 and $\tau_n, n \geq 2$, are replaced respectively by $\alpha^{1/2} \cdot (1 - E)^{-1/4}$ and $(n - E)^{-1/4} \cdot [\alpha n / (n - 1 - E)]^{1/2}$ (with $\omega_0 = m_0 = 1$). We have carried out several types of numerical estimates:

a) We have studied the validity of $Z_r > 0$ for $r \geq 1$ and real values of E . Let $\tilde{E}_1 \leq 0$ be some energy (not necessarily the highest one) such that $Z_r(E) > 0$ for $r \geq 1$ and any $E \leq \tilde{E}_1$.

b) We have studied the inequality (5. A. 1) with $M_1(E) = E$ and $\bar{\pi} = 0$. For this purpose, we have obtained the solutions \tilde{E}_2 of

$$- \tilde{E}_2 = [\tau_1(\tilde{E}_2)]^2 \cdot Z_1(\tilde{E}_2),$$

which fulfill $\tilde{E}_2 \leq \tilde{E}_1$.

For $\alpha < 0.1$, the rigorous construction of the dressed electron state outlined in this subsection can be proved to converge. We shall not present detailed numerical results for such a range. Rather, we shall discuss, in some detail, the more interesting case $\alpha \geq 0.1$.

Table I summarizes our numerical results for \tilde{E}_1 and \tilde{E}_2 if $\alpha \geq 0.1$.

TABLE I

α	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7
$-\tilde{E}_1$	0.08	0.1	0.27	0.3	0.475	0.831	1.227
$-\tilde{E}_2$	0.10984	0.24236	0.40240	0.59496	0.82495	1.09500	1.40883

We have shown numerically that $Z_r > 0$ for any $r \geq 1$ ceases to be true for $E > \tilde{E}_1$ if $\alpha = 0.5, 0.6$ and 0.7 .

Moreover, we have seen that the inequality (5.A.1), with $M_1(E) = E$ and $\bar{\pi} = 0$ is satisfied for $\tilde{E}_2 \leq E \leq \tilde{E}_1$. This means that \tilde{E}_2 can be regarded as a lower bound for the exact polaron energy. Other polaron lower bounds have been obtained, using different techniques, in [42].

We have studied the validity of the contraction-mapping condition $\eta_2 < 1$ (recall (5.A.11)) for given α and values of E_1, E_2 in the range $\tilde{E}_2 \leq E_1, E_2 \leq \tilde{E}_1$. It turns out that $\eta_2 < 1$ is fulfilled for $\alpha \leq 0.3$. For $\alpha = 0.4$, our estimates indicate that η_2 is close to 1. For $\alpha \geq 0.5$ we find that η_2 is appreciably larger than 1 and, moreover, that it increases as α does.

Notice that the polaron self-energy obtained from standard perturbation theory up to second order [8], [33], E_{PT} , and Feynman's upper bound for it [8-9], E_F , satisfy: i) $\tilde{E}_1 > E_F \geq E_{PT} > \tilde{E}_2$ for $0.1 \leq \alpha \leq 0.5$, ii) $E_F > E_{PT} > \tilde{E}_1 > \tilde{E}_2$ if $\alpha \geq 0.6$.

The main conclusion from the above analysis is the following. The rigorous construction of the dressed electron presented in this subsection does certainly converge for $\alpha \leq 0.3$. Quite probably, it also converges for $\alpha = 0.4$. The last statement is motivated by our previous numerical findings and by the fact that our majorations and the contraction mapping principle only give sufficient conditions for convergence. The above conclusions provide a partial answer to the conjecture by Pines [3] commented in section 2. Thus the present method, which avoids the use of dressing transformations, allows one to establish the mathematical existence of the polaron for values of α which characterize semiconductors of type III-V [6] and which are one and half orders of magnitude larger than the upper limit for convergence ($\alpha \leq 0.009$) obtained in section 3. It is uncertain (and hard to establish numerically) whether the mathematical construction of this subsection actually converges for $\alpha > 0.4$. Thus, for $\alpha = 0.5$, the corresponding value for \tilde{E}_1 in Table I could allow for convergence

to occur : however, we remark that \tilde{E}_2 is rather large and that η_2 is appreciably larger than 1 in this case. Unless important cancellations occur in the formal solutions obtained by successive iterations, such convergence seems more and more doubtful as α increases above 0.4, since the corresponding values for \tilde{E}_1 and \tilde{E}_2 and η_2 become large. All this is in agreement, essentially, with the conjectures formulated by Pines [3].

5. B. Another viewpoint and study of the case $R = 2$.

Throughout this subsection, we shall assume $Z_r > 0$ for any $r \geq 2$, for given $|\bar{\pi}|$, f and a certain domain of values for E .

We cast the set of all equations (4.3) for $n \geq 2$ into a matrix form similar to (4.4), (4.6), namely:

$$B_2 = B_2^{(0)} + W_2 \cdot B_2 \tag{5. B. 1}$$

Here, B_2 is the column vector formed by all $b_2(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}_2), \dots, b_n(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n) \dots$ (that is, it is obtained by dropping $b_1(\bar{k}_1)$ in B_1), $B_2^{(0)}$ is a column vector whose elements vanish identically except the first one, which equals

$$\frac{f}{e_2(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2)^{1/2} \cdot 2^{1/2}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^2 v(k_i)^* \cdot \frac{b_1(\bar{k}_j)}{|e_1(\bar{k}_j)|^{1/2}}$$

$j = 1, 2, j \neq i$ and W_2 is the corresponding kernel. Throughout this subsection, the bound (4.9) remains valid for $n \geq 2$, so that the series for each $b_n, n \geq 2$ obtained by iterating Eq. (5. B. 1) converges in L^2 -norm. Upon considering Eq. (4.3) for $n = 1$ and replacing b_2 in it by the right-hand-side of Eq. (4.3) for $n = 2$, one finds the following linear integral equation for b_1 :

$$b_1(\bar{k}_1) = b_{1,in}(\bar{k}_1) + \int d^3 \bar{k}'_1 l(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1) b_1(\bar{k}'_1) \tag{5. B. 2}$$

$$b_{1,in}(\bar{k}_1) = \sigma_1(\bar{k}_1) \cdot \left\{ \frac{f v(k_1)^*}{e_1(\bar{k}_1)^{1/2}} + \frac{f^2 \cdot 6^{1/2}}{e_1(\bar{k}_1)^{1/2}} \int \frac{d^3 \bar{k}'_1 d^3 \bar{k}''_1 v(k'_1) v(k''_1) b_3(\bar{k}'_1 \bar{k}''_1 \bar{k}_1)}{e_2(\bar{k}'_1 \bar{k}_1) |e_3(\bar{k}'_1 \bar{k}''_1 \bar{k}_1)|^{1/2}} \right\} \tag{5. B. 3}$$

$$l(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1) = \sigma_1(\bar{k}_1) \cdot \frac{f^2 v(k_1)^* v(k'_1)}{e_1(\bar{k}_1)^{1/2} e_2(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}'_1) |e_1(\bar{k}'_1)|^{1/2}} \tag{5. B. 4}$$

$$\sigma_n(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n) = \left[1 - \frac{f^2}{e_n(\bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n)} \int \frac{d^3 \bar{k}' |v(k')|^2}{e_{n+1}(\bar{k}' \bar{k}_1 \dots \bar{k}_n)} \right]^{-1}, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{5. B. 5}$$

First, we consider the case of small f (so that $Z_1 > 0$ as well) and present a viewpoint alternative and complementary to that adopted in subsec-

tion 5.A. Now, we have a mapping $M_1(E \rightarrow M_1(E))$ essentially equivalent to the one in subsection 5.A, that is, M_1 is defined by the right-hand-side of Eq. (4.5), and the series formed by all iterations of Eqs. (5.B.1-2). One has :

$$\left| M_1(E) - \frac{\bar{\pi}^2}{2m_0} \right| \leq \tau_1 \cdot \| b_1 \|_2,$$

but a slightly different for $\| b_1 \|_2$ will be obtained. One finds :

$$| b(\bar{k}_1) | \leq | b_{1,in}(\bar{k}_1) | + \frac{1}{\Delta_m} \int d^3 \bar{k}'_1 N_m(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1) | b_{1,in}(\bar{k}'_1) | \quad (5.B.6)$$

$$N_m(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1) = f^2 \left[\text{Max}_{\bar{k}_2, \bar{k}_3} \frac{1}{| e_2(\bar{k}_2, \bar{k}_3) |} \right] \cdot \frac{| v(k_1) | \cdot | v(k'_1) | \cdot \sigma_1(\bar{k}_1)}{| e_1(\bar{k}_1) |^{1/2} \cdot | e_1(\bar{k}'_1) |^{1/2}},$$

$$\Delta_m = 1 - \int d^3 \bar{k}_1 N_m(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}_1) \quad (5.B.7)$$

The inequality (5.B.6) can be proved by iterating Eq. (5.B.2), using

$$| l(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1) | \leq N_m(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1)$$

and summing the resulting geometric series. Taking L^2 -norms in (5.B.3), (5.B.6), using (4.9) for $n = 2, 3$ and solving for $\| b_1 \|_2$, one arrives at the new bound :

$$\| b_1 \|_2 \leq \left[1 + \left(\left(\int d^3 \bar{k}_1 d^3 \bar{k}'_1 | N_m(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1) |^2 \right)^{1/2} / | \Delta_m | \right) \right] \gamma_1$$

$$\cdot \left\{ 1 - \left[1 + \left(\left(\int d^3 \bar{k}_1 d^3 \bar{k}'_1 | N_m(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1) |^2 \right)^{1/2} / | \Delta_m | \right) \right] \gamma_2 \tau_2 \cdot \tau_3 \cdot Z_2 \cdot Z_3 \right\}^{-1} \quad (5.B.8)$$

$$\gamma_1 = \left[f^2 \int d^3 \bar{k} \frac{|\sigma_1(\bar{k}) v(k)|^2}{| e_1(\bar{k}_1) |} \right]^{1/2} \quad (5.B.9)$$

$$\gamma_2 = \left[\text{Max}_{\bar{k}_1} \frac{f^4 \cdot 6 | \sigma_1(\bar{k}_1) |^2}{| e_1(k_1) |} \cdot \int \frac{d^3 \bar{k}'_1 d^3 \bar{k}''_1 | v(k'_1) |^2 | v(k''_1) |^2}{| e_2(\bar{k}'_1, \bar{k}_1) |^2 \cdot | e_3(\bar{k}'_1, \bar{k}''_1, \bar{k}_1) |} \right]^{1/2} \quad (5.B.10)$$

Assumptions 1)-4) in section 2 imply the finiteness of γ_1 and γ_2 . One can obtain another inequality, similar to (5.A.10), with a new positive constant η'_2 , whose lengthy expression will be omitted. As in previous cases, the contraction mapping principle can be applied to the mapping M_1 when $\eta'_2 < 1$, which leads to construct unique solutions for $E = M_1(E)$ and all b_n 's, $n \geq 1$.

As f increases, the conditions $Z_1 > 0$ and $\eta_2 < 1, \eta'_2 < 1$ can be expected to break down. Then, the inequality (4.9) would become meaningless for $n = 1$, and the convergence of the series for b_1 obtained by iterating (4.6)

or (5. B. 2) would not be warranted. In order to solve this problem, we start by noticing that $l(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt kernel

$$\left(\| l \|_2 = \left[\int d^3 \bar{k}_1 d^3 \bar{k}'_1 | l(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1) |^2 \right]^{1/2} < + \infty \right)$$

by virtue of assumptions 1)-4) in section 2. Then, the modified Fredholm theory [43] leads to:

$$b_1(\bar{k}_1) = b_{1,in}(\bar{k}_1) + \frac{1}{\Delta} \int d^3 \bar{k}'_1 N(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1) b_{1,in}(\bar{k}'_1) \tag{5. B. 11}$$

$$N(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1) = l(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1) + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} N^{(n)}(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1) \tag{5. B. 12}$$

$$N^{(n)}(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1) = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int \prod_{j=1}^n d^3 \bar{k}''_j \det \begin{pmatrix} l(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}'_1) & l(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}''_1) & l(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}''_2) \cdots l(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}''_n) \\ l(\bar{k}''_1 \bar{k}'_1) & 0 & l(\bar{k}''_1 \bar{k}''_2) \cdots l(\bar{k}''_1 \bar{k}''_n) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ l(\bar{k}''_n \bar{k}'_1) & l(\bar{k}''_n \bar{k}''_1) & l(\bar{k}''_n \bar{k}''_2) \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5. B. 13}$$

$$\| N^{(n)} \|_2 = \left[\int d^3 \bar{k}_1 d^3 \bar{k}'_1 | N^{(n)}(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1) |^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \frac{\exp((n+1)/2)}{n^{n/2}} \cdot (\| l \|_2)^{n+1}, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{5. B. 14}$$

$$\| N \|_2 = \left[\int d^3 \bar{k}_1 d^3 \bar{k}'_1 | N(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1) |^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \| l \|_2 + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \| N^{(n)} \|_2 \tag{5. B. 15}$$

$$\Delta = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \Delta^{(n)} \tag{5. B. 16}$$

$$\Delta^{(n)} = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int \prod_{j=1}^n d^3 \bar{k}''_j \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & l(\bar{k}''_1 \bar{k}''_2) & l(\bar{k}''_1 \bar{k}''_3) \cdots l(\bar{k}''_1 \bar{k}''_n) \\ l(\bar{k}''_2 \bar{k}''_1) & 0 & l(\bar{k}''_2 \bar{k}''_3) \cdots l(\bar{k}''_2 \bar{k}''_n) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ l(\bar{k}''_n \bar{k}''_1) & l(\bar{k}''_n \bar{k}''_2) & l(\bar{k}''_n \bar{k}''_3) \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5. B. 17}$$

$$|\Delta_n| \leq \frac{\exp(n/2)}{n^{n/2}} \cdot (\| l \|_2)^n, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{5. B. 18}$$

According to Smithies [43], the following properties are valid: *i*) the series (5. B. 12), (5. B. 16) for $N(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1)$ and Δ (the modified first Fredholm minor and Fredholm determinant, respectively) always converge, by virtue of the bounds (5. B. 14-15) and (5. B. 18), and $\|N\|_2 < +\infty$, *ii*) by assuming $\Delta \neq 0$, the right-hand-side of Eq. (5. B. 11) gives the unique solution of Eq. (5. B. 2), which is valid even when the series formed by all the iterations of Eq. (5. B. 2) diverges. The bound (5. B. 8) is also valid, provided that $N_m(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1)$ and Δ_m be replaced by $N(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1)$ and Δ respectively. Eqs. (5. B. 1), (5. B. 3), (5. B. 11) and the right-hand-side of (4. 5) define a new mapping, also denoted by $M_1 : E \rightarrow M_1(E)$. As in previous cases, the application of fixed-point theorems to the actual mapping allows to construct solutions for $E = M_1(E)$ and all b_n 's, $n \geq 1$.

We shall apply the developments of this subsection to the large polaron model:

a) A numerical solution of $-\tilde{E}'_2 = \tau_1(\tilde{E}'_2) \cdot \|b_1(\tilde{E}'_2)\|_2$, $\|b_1(\tilde{E}'_2)\|_2$ being replaced by the right-hand-side of (5. B. 8), yields values for $-\tilde{E}'_2$ which are slightly smaller than those for $-\tilde{E}_2$ (Table I): thus, for $\alpha = 0.3, 0.4$ and 0.5 , we get respectively $-\tilde{E}'_2 = 0.362, 0.551, 0.795$. This leads to, essentially, the same conclusions as in subsection 5. A: the method based upon Eq. (4. 5) and the series formed by the iterations of Eqs. (5. B. 1-2) does converge if $\alpha \leq 0.3$, its convergence being quite plausible for $\alpha = 0.4$, and uncertain for $\alpha > 0.4$ (increasingly doubtful as α increases).

b) A numerical study for $\alpha > 0.4$, based upon Eqs. (4. 5), (5. B. 1) and Fredholm theory is more complicated. For this reason, we shall limit ourselves to some qualitative estimates. We use separable approximations for $l(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1)$ of the type

$$l(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1) \simeq \frac{\sigma_1(\bar{k}_1) \cdot 2^{1/2} \cdot \alpha}{(2\pi)^2 e_1(\bar{k}_1)^{1/2} k_1} \cdot \left[\frac{2 - E}{2 - E + (k_1^2/2)} \right]^{n/2} \frac{1}{k'_1 \cdot |e_1(\bar{k}'_1)|^{1/2} \cdot (2 - E + (k_1'^2/2))} \equiv l_s(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1)$$

with $n = 1$ or 2 ($m_0 = \omega_0 = 1$). Even if it is hard to estimate the error of such an approximation, we expect the latter to be moderately adequate when $l_s(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1)$ acts upon functions whose \bar{k}'_1 -behavior resembles that of the exact $b_1(\bar{k}'_1)$, in a limited range above $\alpha = 0.4$. Here, one finds

$$N(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1) = l_s(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}'_1), \quad \Delta = 1 - \int d^3 \bar{k}'_1 l_s(\bar{k}'_1 \bar{k}'_1)$$

With these, we have carried out a numerical analysis similar to the one outlined in *a*) above. Instead of $-\tilde{E}'_2$, we now find out numerical solutions $-\tilde{E}_3 = 0.515, 0.755$, for $\alpha = 0.4, 0.5$, respectively (essentially the same for both $n = 1$ and $n = 2$), which are systematically a bit smaller than $-\tilde{E}_2$ or $-\tilde{E}'_2$. This fact could be perhaps regarded as a numerical

hint of the reliability of Fredholm theory. We stress that $Z_r > 0$ for $r \geq 2$ is always fulfilled and believe that \tilde{E}_3 is also a lower bound for the true polaron energy. Here, the main qualitative conclusion is: the method based upon Eq. (4.5), the series of iterations for Eq. (5.B.1) and Eqs. (5.B.11-18) can be expected to converge for $0.4 \leq \alpha \leq 0.5$ (while that of subsection 5.A and the one based upon the iterations of Eq. (5.B.2) are of uncertain validity in such a range), at least.

5.C. R = 3.

Here, we assume that $Z_r > 0$ if $r \geq 3$, in a certain domain of E and for given $\bar{\pi}$ and somewhat larger values of f . We allow for (4.9) to break down for $n = 1, 2$ so that we do not expect that either b_1 or b_2 could be found by successive iterations. The set of all Eqs. (4.3) for $n \geq 3$ can be cast into the matrix system $\mathbf{B}_3 = \mathbf{B}_3^{(0)} + \mathbf{W}_3 \cdot \mathbf{B}_3$ (\mathbf{B}_3 being a column vector obtained from \mathbf{B}_1 , Eq. (4.4), by dropping b_1 and b_2 , etc.): by iterating the latter, one obtains a series for b_n , $n \geq 3$, which converges in L^2 -norm, as the bound (4.9) is meaningful for $n \geq 3$.

We shall concentrate in displaying and solving a new difficulty regarding b_2 , which did not appear when $R = 2$, omitting unnecessary details. By considering Eq. (4.3) for $n = 2$ and replacing in it b_3 by the right-hand-side of Eq. (4.3) for $n = 3$, one finds the linear integral equation:

$$b_2(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}_2) = b_{2,in}(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}_2) + \int d^3 \bar{k}'_1 l_1(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}_2; \bar{k}'_1) b_2(\bar{k}'_1 \bar{k}_2) + \int d^3 \bar{k}'_2 l_2(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2; \bar{k}'_2) b_2(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}'_2) \quad (5.C.1)$$

$$b_{2,in}(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}_2) = \sigma_2(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2) \left\{ \frac{f}{e_2(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2)^{1/2} \cdot 2^{1/2}} \sum_{i=1}^2 v(k_i)^* \frac{b_1(\bar{k}_i)}{|e_1(\bar{k}_i)|^{1/2}} + \frac{f^2 (12)^{1/2}}{e_2(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2)^{1/2}} \int d^3 \bar{k}' d^3 \bar{k}'' \frac{v(k') v(k'') b_4(\bar{k}' \bar{k}'' \bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2)}{e_3(\bar{k}'' \bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2) |e_4(\bar{k}' \bar{k}'' \bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2)|^{1/2}} \right\} \quad (5.C.2)$$

$$l_i(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2; \bar{k}'_i) = \frac{\sigma_2(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2) \cdot f^2 v^*(k_i) v(k'_i)}{e_2(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2)^{1/2} \cdot e_3(\bar{k}'_i \bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2) \cdot |e_2(\bar{k}'_i \bar{k}_j)|_{i=1,2}^{1/2}} \equiv l_i, \quad i \neq j \quad (5.C.3)$$

where $j = 1, 2$, $j \neq i$ in Eqs. (5.C.2-3) and σ_2 is given in Eq. (5.B.5). Symbolically, we shall rewrite Eq. (5.C.1) as $b_2 = b_{2,in} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 l_i \right) \cdot b_2$. The

kernel $\sum_{i=1}^2 l_i$ is not Hilbert-Schmidt in all threemomenta $\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}_2, \bar{k}'_1, \bar{k}'_2$, due to the structure of the right-hand-side of Eq. (5.C.1), so that the modified

Fredholm theory cannot be used to solve (5.C.1) as it stands. To solve this difficulty, we shall apply rearrangement techniques typical of multi-particle scattering theory [44]. Using symbolic notation partially, the basic new equations read:

$$b_2 = b_{2,in} + \sum_{i=1}^2 d_i, \quad d_i = (\xi_i + \xi_i \xi_j) b_{2,in} + \xi_i \xi_j d_i \quad i, j = 1, 2, i \neq j \quad (5.C.4)$$

$$\xi_1 = \xi_1(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2; \bar{k}'_1) = l_1(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}_2; \bar{k}'_1) + \int d^3 \bar{k}''_1 l_1(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2; \bar{k}''_1) \xi_1(\bar{k}''_1 \bar{k}_2; \bar{k}'_1) \quad (5.C.5)$$

$$\xi_2 = \xi_2(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2; \bar{k}'_2) = l_2(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2; \bar{k}'_2) + \int d^3 \bar{k}''_2 l_2(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2; \bar{k}''_2) \xi_2(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}''_2; \bar{k}'_2)$$

Notice that either by iterating eqs. (5.C.5) and the second Eq. (5.C.4) and inserting the resulting series into the first Eq. (5.C.4), or by iterating directly Eq. (5.C.1), one arrives at the same formal series. The main properties of Eqs. (5.C.4-5) are:

a) The kernel l_i is Hilbert-Schmidt in \bar{k}_i, \bar{k}'_i , for fixed $\bar{k}_j, j \neq i$. Then, the solution of Eq. (5.C.5) is given by the modified Fredholm theory, that is, by performing suitable replacements in Eqs. (5.B.11-17). Symbolically, we write: $\xi_i = l_i + \frac{1}{\Delta_i} N_i l_i, i = 1, 2$. Notice that Δ_i depends only on $\bar{k}_j, j \neq i$.

b) We notice that the kernel $\xi_i \xi_j, i \neq j$, is defined for any $\varphi = \varphi(\bar{k}'_1, \bar{k}'_2)$ as follows:

$$\xi_1 \xi_2 \varphi = \int d^3 \bar{k}'_1 d^3 \bar{k}'_2 \xi_1(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2; \bar{k}'_1) \xi_2(\bar{k}'_1 \bar{k}_2; \bar{k}'_2) \varphi(\bar{k}'_1 \bar{k}'_2),$$

and so on for $\xi_2 \cdot \xi_1$. In what follows, we shall assume that

$$\hat{\lambda}_i = \text{Max}_{\bar{k}_j} \frac{1}{|\Delta_i(\bar{k}_j)|} < + \infty, \quad i \neq j.$$

Let

$$\| \varphi \|_2 = \left[\int d^3 \bar{k}_1 d^3 \bar{k}_2 | \varphi(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2) |^2 \right]^{1/2},$$

$$\| l_1(\bar{k}_2) \|_2 = \left[\int d^3 \bar{k}_1 d^3 \bar{k}'_1 | l_1(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2; \bar{k}'_1) |^2 \right]^{1/2}, \quad l_{1,2} = \text{Max}_{\bar{k}_2} \| l_1(\bar{k}_2) \|_2,$$

and so on for $\| l_2(\bar{k}_1) \|_2, l_{2,1}$. Notice that $l_{1,2} < + \infty, l_{2,1} < + \infty$. We can prove that $\xi_i \xi_j = \left(l_i + \frac{1}{\Delta_i} N_i l_i \right) \left(l_j + \frac{1}{\Delta_j} N_j l_j \right)$ is a bounded operator.

In fact, by using the analogues of (5.B.12) and (5.B.14), some direct majorations give:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{\Delta_1} N_1 l_1 \frac{1}{\Delta_2} N_2 l_2 \varphi \right\|_2 &\leq \tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2 (l_{1,2} \cdot l_{2,1})^2 \\ &\cdot \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\exp \frac{1}{2}(n+1)}{n^{n/2}} \cdot (l_{1,2})^n + \sum_{r=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\exp \frac{1}{2}(r+1)}{r^{r/2}} \cdot (l_{2,1})^r \right. \\ &\left. + \sum_{n,r=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\exp \frac{1}{2}(n+1)}{n^{n/2}} \cdot \frac{\exp \frac{1}{2}(r+1)}{r^{r/2}} (l_{1,2})^n \cdot (l_{2,1})^r \right\} \|\varphi\|_2 \quad (5.C.6) \end{aligned}$$

The series in (5.C.6) converge, and the proof for the remaining contributions to $\xi_i \xi_j$ is similar. Moreover, since

$$\text{Max}_{\bar{k}_2} \int d^3 \bar{k}_1 d^3 \bar{k}'_1 |\xi_1(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2; \bar{k}'_1)|^2 < +\infty$$

and so on for ξ_2 and

$$\begin{aligned} \|b_{2,in}\|_2 &\leq \left[\text{Max}_{\bar{k}} \cdot \frac{2f^2}{|e_1(\bar{k})|} \int d^3 \bar{k}' \frac{|\sigma_2(\bar{k} \bar{k}')|^2 \cdot |v(k')|^2}{|e_2(\bar{k} \bar{k}')|} \right]^{1/2} \cdot \|b_1\|_2 \\ + \left[\text{Max}_{\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2} \left(\frac{12f^4}{|e_2(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2)|} \int d^3 \bar{k}' d^3 \bar{k}'' \frac{|v(k')|^2 \cdot |v(k'')|^2}{|e_3(\bar{k}'' \bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2)|^2 \cdot |e_4(\bar{k}' \bar{k}'' \bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2)|} \right. \right. \\ &\left. \left. \cdot |\sigma_2(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2)|^2 \right) \right]^{1/2} \cdot \|b_4\|_2 \quad (5.C.7) \end{aligned}$$

one finds easily a finite bound for $\|\xi_i + \xi_i \xi_j\|_{b_{2,in}}$ in terms of $\|b_1\|_2$ and $\|b_4\|_2$.

c) The kernel $\xi_i \xi_j$ is Hilbert-Schmidt:

$$\|\xi_1 \xi_2\|_2 = \left[\int d^3 \bar{k}_1 d^3 \bar{k}_2 d^3 \bar{k}'_1 d^3 \bar{k}'_2 |\xi_1(\bar{k}_1 \bar{k}_2; \bar{k}'_1)|^2 |\xi_2(\bar{k}'_1 \bar{k}_2; \bar{k}'_2)|^2 \right]^{1/2} < +\infty$$

and similarly for $\xi_2 \xi_1$. Let us sketch a direct proof. By using the analogues

of (5.B.12), namely, $N_i = l_i + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} N_{i,n}$, $i = 1, 2$, one finds:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi_1 \xi_2\|_2 &\leq \tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2 \left\{ \|l_1 l_2\|_2 + \|l_1 l_2^2\|_2 + \|l_1^2 l_2\|_2 + \|l_1^2 l_2^2\|_2 \right. \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \|l_1 N_{2,n} l_2\|_2 + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \|l_1^2 N_{2,n} l_2\|_2 \\ &\left. + \sum_{r=1}^{+\infty} \|N_{1,r} l_1 l_2\|_2 + \sum_{r=1}^{+\infty} \|N_{1,r} l_1 l_2^2\|_2 + \sum_{n,r=1}^{+\infty} \|N_{1,r} l_1 N_{2,n} l_2\|_2 \right\} \quad (5.C.8) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{l}(\bar{k}_2; \bar{k}_1'') = \left[\int d^3 \bar{k}_1''' |l_1(\bar{k}_1''' \bar{k}_2; \bar{k}_1')|^2 \right]^{1/2}$. Then, by using appropriately the Schwartz inequality and the Mean-Value theorem, one gets:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\|N_{1,r} l_1 N_{2,n} l_2\|_2)^2 &\leq \int d^3 \bar{k}_1'' (\|l_2(\bar{k}_1'')\|_2)^2 \\
 &\cdot \left\{ \int d^3 \bar{k}_1 d^3 \bar{k}_1' d^3 \bar{k}_2 d^3 \bar{k}_2' |N_{2,n}(\bar{k}_1'' \bar{k}_2; \bar{k}_2')|^2 \cdot |N_{1,r}(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}_2; \bar{k}_1')|^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \cdot |\tilde{l}_1(\bar{k}_2; \bar{k}_1'')|^2 \right\} \\
 &\leq \int d^3 \bar{k}_1'' (\|l_2(\bar{k}_1'')\|_2)^2 \cdot |\tilde{l}_1(\bar{k}_{2,mv}(\bar{k}_1''); \bar{k}_1'')|^2 \\
 &\left[\int d^3 \bar{k}_2 d^3 \bar{k}_2' |N_{2,n}(\bar{k}_1'', \bar{k}_2; \bar{k}_2')|^2 \cdot \frac{\exp(r+1)}{r^r} (\|l_1(\bar{k}_2)\|_2)^{2(r+1)} \right] \\
 &\leq \int d^3 \bar{k}_1'' |\tilde{l}_1(\bar{k}_{2,mv}(\bar{k}_1''); \bar{k}_1'')|^2 \\
 &\cdot \frac{\exp(r+1)}{r^r} (\|l_1(\bar{k}_{2,mv})\|_2)^{2(r+1)} \cdot \frac{\exp(n+1)}{n^n} (\|l_2(\bar{k}_1'')\|_2)^{2(n+2)} \quad (5.C.9)
 \end{aligned}$$

where use is also made of (5.B.14), and the subscript « *mv* » denotes suitable intermediate threemomenta, which arise due to the application of the Mean-Value theorem and depend, in each case, on the unintegrated threemomentum. One has: $\|l_2(\bar{k}_1'')\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $|\bar{k}_1''| \rightarrow \infty$, which implies $\|N_{1,r} l_1 N_{2,n} l_2\|_2 < +\infty$, for any n larger than some n_0 and any $r \geq 1$. Similar arguments, with slight modifications, imply the finiteness of $\|l_1^h N_{2,n} l_2\|_2$, $h = 1, 2$, for $n > n'_0$, of $\|N_{1,r} l_1 l_2^h\|_2$, $h = 1, 2$, for $r > r_0$, and of $\|N_{1,r} l_1 N_{2,n} l_2\|_2$ for $n \leq n_0$ and $r > r'_0$. The finiteness of the first four terms in (5.C.8) and, eventually, of the remaining first few terms in the series of (5.C.8) (say, of $\|l_1^h N_{2,n} l_2\|_2$, $h = 1, 2$, for $n \leq n'_0$, etc.) follows readily by power-counting arguments. All this leads easily to $\|\xi_1 \xi_2\|_2 < +\infty$ and so on for $\|\xi_2 \xi_1\|_2$.

d) Consequently, both $d_i(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}_2)$, $i = 1, 2$, can be constructed by applying the modified Fredholm method [43] to the second Eq. (5.C.4).

Now, we have a new mapping, still denoted by M_1 , $E \rightarrow M_1(E)$, which is defined through the series of all iterations of $B_3 = B_3^{(0)} + W_3 \cdot B_3$, Eqs. (5.B.11-17), Eqs. (5.C.4-5) and the right-hand-side of Eq. (4.5). One can obtain bounds for $\|b_1\|_2$, $\|b_2\|_2$ which generalize (5.B.8). Again, the application of fixed-point theorems to the mapping M_1 would lead to construct solutions for E and all b_n 's, $n \geq 1$. For brevity, we shall omit details, and the discussion of extensions to cases with $R > 3$.

Generalizations to scattering processes and to other models of Quantum Field Theory are under study.

APPENDIX A

RIGOROUS DEFINITION OF H' USING QUADRATIC FORMS

For any ψ_1, ψ_2 in $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\pi}}$, let us define the quadratic form $F(\psi_1, \psi_2) = (\psi_1, (H'_{1,1} + H'_{1,2})\psi_2)_{\bar{\pi}}$. Then, for any ψ belonging both to $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\pi}}$ and the domain of $H_0^{1/2}$ ($\|\psi\|_{\bar{\pi}} < +\infty$), one proves, by extending the rigorous analysis in [25], that:

$$|F(\psi, \psi)| \leq e'_1 (\|H_0^{1/2}\psi\|_{\bar{\pi}})^2 + e'_2 (\|\psi\|_{\bar{\pi}})^2 \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$e'_1 = \frac{\varepsilon\lambda_1}{\omega_0^{1/2}} + \frac{\lambda_2^2}{m_0} + 2\lambda_2 + \frac{\lambda_3}{m_0} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_0^{1/2}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$e'_2 = \lambda_1 \left(2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\omega_0^{1/2}} \right) + \frac{\lambda_3}{2\varepsilon m_0}, \quad \lambda_1 = f \left[\int d^3\bar{k} \theta(\Lambda - k) |v(k)|^2 \right]^{1/2} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\lambda_2 = \left[\int d^3\bar{k} \frac{k^2 |\beta(k)|^2}{\omega(k)} \right]^{1/2}, \quad \lambda_3 = \int d^3\bar{k} \frac{k^2 |\beta(k)|^2}{\omega(k)^{1/2}} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

ε being any strictly positive quantity with dimension (energy) $^{-1/2}$ (for instance, $\omega_0^{-1/2}$). For fixed Λ , one has $\lambda_i < +\infty$ and $\lambda_i \rightarrow 0$ if $f \rightarrow 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Let us assume $e'_1 < 1$, which holds for suitably small f . Then, one shows, as in [25], that H' is a self-adjoint operator, whose domain is contained inside that of $H_0^{1/2}$ and that it is bounded below by $-e'_2$. Moreover, for any ψ_1, ψ_2 in the domain of H' and $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\pi}}$, one has $(\psi_1, H'\psi_2) = (H_0^{1/2}\psi_1, H_0^{1/2}\psi_2) + F(\psi_1, \psi_2)$.

APPENDIX B

UPPER BOUND FOR $\|g_1(E_1, E_2)\|_{\bar{\pi}}$.

The bound (A.1) and lemma 3.1, page 336 in [34] ensures the existence of a bounded operator g_3 that

$$F((1 - Q_{\bar{\pi}})\psi_1, (1 - Q_{\bar{\pi}})\psi_2) = \left(\left(H_0 + \frac{e'_2}{e'_1} \right)^{1/2} (1 - Q_{\bar{\pi}})\psi_1, g_3 \left(H_0 + \frac{e'_2}{e'_1} \right)^{1/2} (1 - Q_{\bar{\pi}})\psi_2 \right)_{\bar{\pi}} \quad (B.1)$$

for any ψ_1, ψ_2 in $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\pi}}$, and $\|g_3\|_{\bar{\pi}} < e'_1$. Since the left-hand-side of Eq. (B.1) also equals

$$((H_0 + E' - E_1)^{1/2}(1 - Q_{\bar{\pi}})\psi_1, g_1(E_1, E_2)(H_0 + E' - E_2)^{1/2}(1 - Q_{\bar{\pi}})\psi_2)_{\bar{\pi}}$$

we derive easily:

$$\|g_1(E_1, E_2)\|_{\bar{\pi}} \leq \left\| (H_0 + E' - E_1)^{-1/2} \left(H_0 + \frac{e'_2}{e'_1} \right)^{1/2} (1 - Q_{\bar{\pi}}) \right\|_{\bar{\pi}} \cdot \left\| (1 - Q_{\bar{\pi}}) \left(H_0 + \frac{e'_2}{e'_1} \right)^{1/2} (H_0 + E' - E_2)^{-1/2} \right\|_{\bar{\pi}} \cdot e'_1 \quad (B.2)$$

APPENDIX C

SOLUTION OF A RECURRENCE OF INEQUALITIES

Let us consider the following recurrence of inequalities for the unknowns x_n :

$$x_n \leq \tau'_{1,n} x_{n-1} + \tau'_{2,n} x_{n+1} + \tau'_{0,n}, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{C.1}$$

where $\tau'_{1,n}$, $\tau'_{2,n}$ and $\tau'_{0,n}$ are known positive quantities. Let

$$Z'_r = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\tau'_{1,r+1} \tau'_{2,r}}{1 - \frac{\tau'_{1,r+2} \tau'_{2,r+1}}{1 - \frac{\tau'_{1,r+3} \tau'_{2,r+2}}{1 - \dots}}}}$$

Some lengthy, but direct, calculations show that the recurrence (C.1) implies the bounds:

$$x_n \leq Z'_n \cdot \left\{ \tau'_{1,n} x_{n-1} + \tau'_{0,n} + \sum_{l=1}^{+\infty} \tau'_{0,n+l} [(\tau'_{2,n} Z'_{n+1}) \cdot (\tau'_{2,n+1} Z'_{n+2}) \cdot \dots \cdot (\tau'_{2,n+l-1} Z'_{n+l})] \right\} \tag{C.3}$$

It is easy to check that the bound (C.3) for x_{n+1} in terms of x_n and the inequality (C.1) imply the bound (C.3) for x_n in terms of x_{n-1} .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author acknowledges to G. GARCÍA ALCAINE and F. RAMIREZ CACHO and, specially, to L. GARCÍA GONZALO and J. USÓN for their kind help and facilities regarding the numerical computations displayed in Table I. For the last reason, he is also indebted to Junta de Energía Nuclear (JEN), Madrid. He thanks Instituto de Estudios Nucleares, JEN, Madrid for partial financial support.

REFERENCES

[J] W. JONES and N. H. MARCH, *Theoretical Solid State Physics*, vol. 2 : Non-Equilibrium and Disorder, chapter 9. Wiley-Interscience, John Wiley, London, 1973.

- [2] C. KITTEL, *Quantum Theory of Solids*, chapter 7, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1964.
- [3] *Polarons and Excitons* (Scottish Universities Summer School), edited by C. G. Kuper and G. D. Whitfield, Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, 1963.
- [4] J. APPEL, *Polarons, Solid State Physics (Advances in Research and Applications)* edited by F. Seitz, D. Turnbull and H. EHRENREICH, t. **21**, 1968, p. 193-392.
- [5] *Polarons in Ionic Crystals and Polar Semiconductors*, edited by J. Devreese, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1972.
- [6] J. DEVREESE and R. EVRARD in *Linear Electron Transport in Solids*, edited by J. Devreese and V. E. Van Doren, Plenum Press, New York, 1976.
- [7] J. DEVREESE in *Path Integrals and their Applications in Quantum, Statistical and Solid State Physics*, edited by G. J. Papadopoulos and J. Devreese, Plenum Press, New York, 1978.
- [8] R. P. FEYNMAN, *Statistical Mechanics. A set of Lectures*. Chapter 8, *Frontiers in Physics*, W. A. Benjamin, Reading, Mass., 1972.
- [9] R. P. FEYNMAN and A. R. HIBBS, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals*, chapter 11, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965.
- [10] K. FRIEDRICHS, *Mathematical Aspects of Quantum Field Theory*, Interscience, New York, 1953.
- [11] K. FRIEDRICHS and A. GALINDO, *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.*, t. **15**, 1962, p. 427.
- [12] Y. KATO and N. MUGIBAYASHI, *Progr. Theor. Phys.*, t. **30**, 1963, p. 103.
- [13] K. FRIEDRICHS, Perturbation of Spectra in Hilbert Space, *American Math. Soc.*, Providence, Rhode Island, 1965.
- [14] F. J. YNDURAIN, *Rev. Acad. Ciencias Zaragoza*, t. **20**, 1965, p. 1.
- [15] R. SCHRADER, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, t. **10**, 1968, p. 155.
- [16] K. HEPP, Theorie de la Renormalisation, *Lecture Notes in Physics*, vol. 2, Berlin, Springer Verlag, 1969.
- [17] J. P. ECKMANN, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, t. **18**, 1970, p. 247.
- [18] J. T. CANNON, *J. Funct. Anal.*, t. **8**, 1971, p. 101.
- [19] A. D. SLOAN, *J. Math. Phys.*, t. **15**, 1974, p. 190.
- [20] L. GROSS, *J. Funct. Anal.*, t. **10**, 1972, p. 52.
- [21] S. ALBEVERIO, *J. Math. Phys.*, t. **14**, 1973, p. 1800.
- [22] J. J. RAMOS, Teoría de Campos No-Relativista para la Interacción N, *Ph. D. Thesis*, Univ. Complutense, Madrid, February 1977; R. F. ALVAREZ-ESTRADA and J. J. RAMOS, *Il Nuovo Cimento A*, t. **50**, 1979, p. 323.
- [23] Y. KATO, *Progr. Theor. Phys.*, t. **26**, 1961, p. 99.
- [24] E. P. GROSS, *Ann. Phys.*, t. **19**, 1962, p. 219.
- [25] E. NELSON, *J. Math. Phys.*, t. **5**, 1964, p. 1190.
- [26] J. FROHLICH, *Fortsch. der Phys.*, t. **22**, 1974, p. 159.
- [27] J. FROHLICH, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré*, t. **19**, 1973, p. 1.
- [28] *Statistical Mechanics and Quantum Field Theory*, edited by C. de Witt and R. Stora, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1971.
- [29] J. M. ZIMAN, *Elements of Advanced Quantum Theory*, Chapter 3, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1969.
- [30] I. TAMM, *J. Phys. (U. S. S. R.)*, t. **9**, 1945, p. 449.
- [31] S. M. DANCOFF, *Phys. Rev.*, t. **78**, 1950, p. 382.
- [32] H. FROHLICH, H. PELZER and S. ZIENAU, *Phil. Mag.*, t. **41**, 1950, p. 221.
- [33] E. HAGA, *Progr. Theor. Phys.*, t. **11**, 1954, p. 449.
- [34] T. KATO, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer, New York, 1966.
- [35] L. COLLATZ, *Functional Analysis and Numerical Mathematics*, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [36] L. D. LANDAU and E. LIFCHITZ, *Mécanique Quantique. Théorie Non Relativiste*, chapter 15, Editions Mir, Moscou, 1967.

- [37] E. J. JOHNSON and D. M. LARSEN, *Phys. Rev. Let.*, t. **16**, 1966, p. 655.
- [38] D. M. LARSEN, *Phys. Rev.*, **135 A**, 1964, p. 419 and references therein.
- [39] D. M. LARSEN, *Phys. Rev.*, t. **144**, 1966, p. 697.
- [40] O. W. GREENBERG, *Phys. Rev.*, t. **139**, 1965, B1038.
- [41] L. M. SCARFONE and J. A. BURNS, *J. Math. Phys.*, t. **18**, 1977, p. 2031 and references therein.
- [42] E. LIEB and K. YAMAZAKI, *Phys. Rev.*, t. **111**, 1958, p. 728.
- [43] F. SMITHIES, *Integral Equations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1970.
- [44] L. D. FADDEEV, *Soviet Physics JETP*, t. **12**, 1961, p. 1014.

(Manuscrit reçu le 24 avril 1979)