

VLADIMIR GOL'DSHTEIN

MARC TROYANOV

**The  $L_{pq}$ -Cohomology of SOL**

*Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse 6<sup>e</sup> série*, tome 7, n<sup>o</sup> 4  
(1998), p. 687-698

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AFST\\_1998\\_6\\_7\\_4\\_687\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AFST_1998_6_7_4_687_0)

© Université Paul Sabatier, 1998, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse » (<http://picard.ups-tlse.fr/~annales/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

## The $L_{pq}$ -Cohomology of SOL<sup>(\*)</sup>

VLADIMIR GOL'DSHTEIN<sup>(1)</sup> and MARC TROYANOV<sup>(2)</sup>

**RÉSUMÉ.** — On prouve un résultat de non-annulation de la cohomologie non réduite du groupe de Lie SOL.

**ABSTRACT.** — We prove a non vanishing result for the unreduced  $L_{pq}$ -cohomology of the Lie group SOL.

### 1. Introduction

SOL is the three dimensional Lie group of  $3 \times 3$  real matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^z & 0 & x \\ 0 & e^{-z} & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is a solvable and unimodular group; it is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (with coordinates  $x, y, z$ ). A left invariant Riemannian metric is  $ds^2 = e^{-2z} dx^2 + e^{2z} dy^2 + dz^2$ ; its volume measure is given by  $dx dy dz$  and is bi-invariant. For more information about the geometry of this group, see [9].

Let us recall the definition of the unreduced  $L_{pq}$ -cohomology groups, let  $(M, ds^2)$  be a complete connected Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ . We

(\*) reçu le 12 septembre 1997, accepté le 19 juin 1998

(1) Département of Mathematics, Ben Gurion University of The Negev, P.O. Box 653, IL-84105 Beer Sheva (Israel)  
E-mail : vladimir@bgumail.bgu.ac.il

The first author was supported by U. S.-Israeli Binational Science Foundation, grant No 94-00732

(2) Département de Mathématiques, E.P.F.L., CH-1015 Lausanne (Switzerland)  
E-mail : troyanov@math.epfl.ch

note  $L^p(M, \Lambda^k)$  the space of differential forms of degree  $k$  with measurable coefficients on  $M$  such that

$$\|\theta\|_p := \left( \int_M |\theta|^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty .$$

We denote by  $Z_p^k(M)$  the set of differential forms in  $L^p(M, \Lambda^k)$  which are closed in the sense of current and by  $B_{pq}^k(M)$  the set of forms  $\theta \in L^p(M, \Lambda^k)$  such that there exists a form  $\phi \in L^q(M, \Lambda^{k-1})$  with  $d\phi = \theta$ . The unreduced  $L_{pq}$ -cohomology of  $(M, ds^2)$  is by definition the quotient

$$H_{pq}^k(M) := Z_p^k(M) / B_{pq}^k(M) .$$

Other papers dealing with  $L_{pq}$  cohomology are [2], [3], [8] and [10]. The goal of this paper is to prove the following result about the unreduced  $L_{pq}$ -cohomology of SOL.

**THEOREM 1.** — *We have  $\dim(H_{pq}^2(\text{SOL})) = \infty$  for every  $1 < p, q < \infty$ .*

## 2. Auxiliary results

The main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1 is the next proposition (which is a kind of duality argument in  $L_{pq}$ -cohomology).

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** — *Let  $\alpha \in Z_p^k(M)$ , and suppose that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a form*

$$\gamma = \gamma_\epsilon \in L^{p'}(M, \Lambda^{n-k}) \cap L^{q'}(M, \Lambda^{n-k})$$

*such that*

$$\|d\gamma\|_{q'} \leq \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \int_M \gamma \wedge \alpha \geq a$$

*where  $a > 0$  is independent of  $\epsilon$  (here  $1/q + 1/q' = 1/p + 1/p' = 1$ ). Then  $\alpha \notin B_{pq}^k(M)$  (in particular,  $H_{pq}^k(M) \neq 0$ ).*

For the proof, we will need the following integration-by-part lemma (for differential forms of class  $C^1$ , this lemma is due to Gaffney [1]).

**LEMMA 2.1.** — *Let  $M$  be a complete Riemannian manifold. Let  $\beta \in L^q(M, \Lambda^{k-1})$  be such that  $d\beta \in L^p(M, \Lambda^k)$ , and  $\gamma \in L^{p'}(M, \Lambda^{n-k}) \cap$*

$L^{q'}(M, \Lambda^{n-k})$  be such that  $d\gamma \in L^{q'}(M, \Lambda^{n-k+1})$  where  $1/p + 1/p' = 1/q + 1/q' = 1$ .

Then  $d\gamma \wedge \beta$  and  $\gamma \wedge d\beta$  are integrable and

$$\int_M \gamma \wedge d\beta = (-1)^{n-k+1} \int_M d\gamma \wedge \beta.$$

*Proof.* — By Hölder's inequality, the forms  $d\gamma \wedge \beta$ ,  $\gamma \wedge d\beta$  and  $\gamma \wedge \beta$  all belong to  $L^1$ . For smooth forms  $\beta$  with compact support, the lemma is true by definition of the weak exterior differential (of  $\gamma$ ).

Assume first that  $\beta$  is smooth with non compact support and satisfies the conditions of the lemma. On a complete Riemannian manifold  $M$ , we can construct a sequence  $\{\lambda_i\}$  of smooth functions with compact support such that  $\lambda_i(x) \rightarrow 1$  uniformly on every compact subset,  $0 \leq \lambda_i(x) \leq 1$  and  $|\mathrm{d}\lambda_i|_x \leq 1$  for all  $x \in M$ . The forms  $\lambda_i \beta$  have compact support, thus the lemma holds for each  $\lambda_i \beta$ . Since

$$\left| \gamma \wedge \mathrm{d}(\lambda_i \beta) + (-1)^{n-k} d\gamma \wedge (\lambda_i \beta) \right| \leq |d\gamma \wedge \beta| + |\gamma \wedge d\beta| + |\gamma \wedge \beta| \in L^1,$$

we can apply Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \left( \gamma \wedge d\beta + (-1)^{n-k} d\gamma \wedge \beta \right) &= \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_M \left( \gamma \wedge \mathrm{d}(\lambda_i \beta) + (-1)^{n-k} d\gamma \wedge (\lambda_i \beta) \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for any  $\beta \in L^q(M, \Lambda^{k-1})$  with  $d\beta \in L^p(M, \Lambda^k)$ , we can construct a sequence  $\beta_j$  of smooth forms such that  $\beta_j \rightarrow \beta$  in  $L^p$ -topology and  $d\beta_j \rightarrow d\beta$  in  $L^p$ -topology (see Corollary 1 of [4]). Thus the same limiting process proves the lemma in all its generality.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 2.1.* — Suppose that  $\alpha = d\beta$  for some  $\beta \in L^q(M, \Lambda^{k-1})$ . We have by Lemma 1,

$$\int_M \gamma \wedge \alpha = \int_M \gamma \wedge d\beta = (-1)^{n-k+1} \int_M d\gamma \wedge \beta.$$

Using Hölder's inequality, we get

$$a \leq \int_M \gamma \wedge \alpha \leq \left| \int_M d\gamma \wedge \beta \right| \leq \|d\gamma\|_q \|\beta\|_q \leq \epsilon \|\beta\|_q.$$

This is impossible since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary.  $\square$

Proposition 2.1 can be completed in the following way.

LEMMA 2.2. — *Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r \in Z_p^k(M)$  and suppose that we can find pairwise disjoint sets  $S_i \subset M$  such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\gamma_i = \gamma_{i,\epsilon} \in L^{p'}(M, \Lambda^{n-k}) \cap L^{q'}(M, \Lambda^{n-k})$  with  $\text{supp}(\alpha_i) \cup \text{supp}(\gamma_i) \subset S_i$  and such that  $\|d\gamma_i\|_{q'} \leq \epsilon$  and  $\int_M \gamma_i \wedge \alpha_i \geq a$  where  $a > 0$  is independent of  $\epsilon$  and  $i$ . Then  $[\alpha_1], [\alpha_2], \dots, [\alpha_r]$  are linearly independent elements of  $H_{p,q}^k(M)$ .*

*Proof.* — Choose  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , and set  $\alpha = \sum \lambda_i \alpha_i$  and  $\gamma = \gamma_\epsilon = \sum \lambda_i \gamma_i$ . The assumption on the supports of these forms implies that

$$\int_M \alpha \wedge \gamma = \sum_i \lambda_i^2 \int_M \alpha_i \wedge \gamma_i \geq a \sum_i \lambda_i^2.$$

This sum vanishes if and only if all  $\lambda_i = 0$ . Since  $\gamma \in L^{p'} \cap L^{q'}$  and

$$\|d\gamma\|_{q'} \leq \sum_i |\lambda_i| \|d\gamma_i\|_{q'} \leq \epsilon \sum_i |\lambda_i|$$

we can deduce from Proposition 1 that  $\sum \lambda_i [\alpha_i] = [\alpha] \neq 0 \in H_{p,q}^k(M)$  unless all  $\lambda_i = 0$ .  $\square$

For all  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  the surface

$$\mathcal{H}_{x_0} := \{(x, y, z) \in \text{SOL} \mid x = x_0\} \subset \text{SOL}$$

is a totally geodesic surface isometric to the hyperbolic plane  $\mathbb{H}^2$ . In particular a function  $f : \text{SOL} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is invariant under all  $x$ -translations (i.e.,  $f = f(y, z)$ ) can be seen as a function on the hyperbolic plane.

LEMMA 2.3. — *There exists two non negative smooth functions  $f$  and  $g$  on  $\mathbb{H}^2 \simeq \mathcal{H}_{x_0}$  such that:*

- (1)  $f(y, z) = g(y, z) = 0$  if  $z \leq 0$  or  $|y| \geq 1$ ;
- (2)  $df$  and  $dg \in L^r(\mathbb{H}^2, \Lambda^1)$  for any  $1 < r \leq \infty$ ;

- (3) the support of  $df \wedge dg$  is contained in  $\{(y, z) \mid |y| \leq 1, 0 \leq z \leq 1\}$  and  $df \wedge dg \geq 0$ ;
- (4)  $\int_{\mathbb{H}^2} df \wedge dg = 1$ ;
- (5)  $\partial f / \partial y$  and  $\partial g / \partial y \in L^\infty(\mathbb{H}^2)$ , and  $\partial f / \partial z, \partial g / \partial z$  have compact support.

*Remark.* — The forms  $df$  and  $dg$  cannot have compact support, otherwise, by Stokes theorem, we would have

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}^2} df \wedge dg = 0.$$

*Proof.* — Choose non negative smooth functions  $h_1, h_2$  and  $k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $h_i(y) = 0$  if  $|y| \geq 1$ ,  $h'_1(y)h_2(y) \geq 0$  and  $h_1(y)h'_2(y) \leq 0$  for all  $y$ ;
- (ii) the function  $(h'_1(y)h_2(y) - h_1(y)h'_2(y))$  has non empty support;
- (iii)  $k'(z) \geq 0$  for all  $z$ , furthermore

$$k(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } z \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

We set  $f(y, z) := h_1(y)k(z)$  and  $g(y, z) := h_2(y)k(z)$ . Property (1) of the lemma is clear. We prove (3) (i.e., that  $df \in L^r$  for any  $1 < r \leq \infty$ ), we have

$$df = h_1(y)k'(z) dz + k(z)h'_1(y) dy.$$

The first term  $h_1(y)k'(z) dz$  has compact support, and the second term  $k(z)h'_1(y) dy$  has its support in the infinite rectangle  $Q = \{|y| \leq 1, z \geq 0\}$ .

Choose  $D < \infty$  such that  $|k(z)h'_1(y)| \leq D$  on  $\Omega$ . We have

$$|k(z)h'_1(y) dy| \leq D|dy| = D e^{-z},$$

thus, since the element of area of  $\mathbb{H}^2$  is  $dA = e^z dy dz$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{H}^2} |k(z)h'_1(y) dy|^r dA &\leq D^r \int_Q e^{-rz} e^z dy dz \\ &\leq 2D^r \int_0^\infty e^{(1-r)z} dz < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

from which one gets  $df \in L^r$ .

Now observe that

$$df \wedge dg = (k(z)k'(z))(h_1'(y)h_2(y) - h_1(y)h_2'(y)) dy \wedge dz,$$

hence the property (3) follows from the construction of  $h_1, h_2$  and  $k$ .

Property (4) is only a normalisation, and property (5) is easy to check.  $\square$

The following is a vanishing result for some kind of “anisotropic weighted capacity”.

LEMMA 2.4. — *Given any numbers  $\delta$  and  $q'$  such that  $1 < q' < \infty$  and  $0 < \delta < (1/2)(q' - 1)$ , we can construct a family of Lipschitz functions  $\psi_t = \psi_t(x, z)$ ,  $t \geq 1$ , on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that:*

- (i)  $0 \leq \psi_t \leq 1$ ,  $\text{supp } \psi_t \subset \{(x, z) \mid x^2 + |z|^{2s} \leq 2t\}$ ,  $\psi_t(x, z) = 1$  if  $x^2 + |z|^{2s} \leq t$ ;
- (ii)  $\iint_{z>0} \left( \left| \frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial x} \right|^{q'} + \left| \frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial z} e^{-z} \right|^{q'} \right) dx dz \leq Ct^{-\delta}$ ,

where the constant  $C = C(\delta)$  is independent of  $t$ .

*Proof.* — We first choose some number  $s > 0$  so large that  $(s + 1 - q')/2s < -\delta$  and set  $\rho(x, z) := x^2 + |z|^{2s}$ . We now define  $\psi_t : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  by

$$\psi_t(x, z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \rho(x, z) \leq t \\ \frac{\log(2t) - \log(\rho(x, z))}{\log(2)} & \text{if } t \leq \rho(x, z) \leq 2t \\ 0 & \text{if } \rho(x, z) \geq 2t. \end{cases}$$

We will prove that

$$\iint_{z>0} \left( \left| \frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial x} \right|^{q'} + \left| \frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial z} e^{-z} \right|^{q'} \right) dx dz \leq Ct^{(s+1-q')s/2s}, \quad (2.1)$$

where the constant  $C$  is independent of  $t$ .

It will be convenient to introduce new variables  $X = x/\sqrt{t}$  and  $Z = z^s/\sqrt{t}$  (we assume  $z \geq 0$ ). We have

$$x = t^{1/2} X \quad \text{and} \quad z = t^{1/2s} Z^{1/s}$$

thus  $\rho = t(X^2 + Z^2)$ . Let us set  $\Psi_t(X, Z) := \psi_t(x, z)$ , then

$$\Psi_t(X, Z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (X^2 + Z^2) \leq 1 \\ \frac{\log(2) - \log(X^2 + Z^2)}{\log(2)} & \text{if } 1 \leq (X^2 + Z^2) \leq 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } (X^2 + Z^2) \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

In particular,  $\Psi_t$  is independent of  $t$  (and will henceforth be written as  $\Psi$ ) and its support is the annulus  $A = \{(X, Z) \mid 1 \leq (X^2 + Z^2) \leq 2\}$ .

The partial derivatives of  $\psi_t$  may be written as

$$\frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial x} = t^{-1/2} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial X} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial z} = st^{-1/2} z^{s-1} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial Z}. \quad (2.2)$$

The maximum of the function  $z \rightarrow sz^{s-1}e^{-z}$  on  $0 \leq z < \infty$  is achieved at  $z = (s - 1)$ , hence

$$|z|^{s-1} e^{-z} \leq c_1 := s(s-1)^{s-1} e^{-s+1} \quad (2.3)$$

for all  $z \geq 0$ . From the second equation in (2.2) and (2.3), we conclude that

$$e^{-z} \left| \frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial z} \right| \leq c_1 t^{-1/2} \left| \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial Z} \right|. \quad (2.4)$$

We see from the first equation in (2.2) and the inequality (2.4) that

$$\left( \left| \frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial x} \right|^{q'} + \left| \frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial z} e^{-z} \right|^{q'} \right) \leq t^{-q'/2} \left( \left| \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial X} \right|^{q'} + \left| \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial Z} c_1 \right|^{q'} \right).$$

Since

$$dx dz = \frac{1}{s} t^{(s+1)/2s} Z^{(s-1)/s} dx dz$$

we obtain (2.1) with

$$C = \iint_{A^+} \left( \left| \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial X} \right|^{q'} + \left| \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial Z} c_1 \right|^{q'} \right) \frac{Z^{(s-1)/s}}{s} dx dz < \infty,$$

where the domain of integration is the half annulus  $A^+ = \{(X, Z) \mid Z \geq 0 \text{ and } 1 \leq (X^2 + Z^2) \leq 2\}$ .  $\square$

### 3. Proof of the main theorem

The proof is technical and will be divided in five steps: we first fix some arbitrarily  $\epsilon > 0$ .

*Step 1. We construct a closed 2-form  $\alpha \in Z_p^2(\text{SOL})$*

We start by choosing a pair of functions  $f = f(y, z)$  and  $g = g(y, z)$  with the properties of Lemma 2.3. We then choose a smooth function  $\lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\lambda(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u \leq -1 \\ 1 & \text{if } u \geq 1 \\ 0 \leq \lambda'(u) \leq 1 & \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Then we set  $\varphi(x, z) = \lambda(e^{-z}x)$ , and note that

$$d\varphi = (\lambda'(e^{-z}x)e^{-z})(dx - x dz).$$

We finally define

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &:= d\varphi \wedge df = d(\varphi df) \\ &= (\lambda'(e^{-z}x)e^{-z}) \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} dx \wedge dy + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} dx \wedge dz + x \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} dy \wedge dz \right). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $d\alpha = 0$  and

- $\text{supp}(\alpha) \subset \Omega := \{(x, y, z) \in \text{SOL} \mid |y| \leq 1, z > 0, |x| < e^z\}$ ;
- $\lambda'(e^{-z}x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$  has compact support;
- $\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right| |dx \wedge dy|$  is bounded (since  $|dx \wedge dy| = 1$  and  $\partial f / \partial y$  is bounded);
- $\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right| |x| |dy \wedge dz|$  is bounded (since  $|dy \wedge dz| = e^z$  and  $|x| \leq e^{-z}$  on  $\Omega$ ).

From these estimates and  $0 \leq \lambda' \leq 1$ , we deduce easily that  $|\alpha| \leq \text{const } e^{-z}$  on  $\Omega$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^p \leq \text{const} \int_0^{\infty} e^{(1-p)z} dz < \infty$$

for all  $1 < p \leq \infty$ . It follows that  $\alpha \in Z_p^2(\text{SOL})$ .

*Step 2. We construct a family of almost closed forms  $\gamma_t \in L^r(\text{SOL}, \Lambda^1)$*

Fix  $0 < \delta < (1/2)(q' - 1)$  and choose a function  $\psi_t = \psi_t(x, z)$  as in Lemma 2.4. Define  $\gamma_t := \psi_t(x, z) dg$ . In order to show that  $\gamma_t \in L^r(\text{SOL}, \Lambda^1)$ , observe that  $\gamma_t$  has its support contained in the box

$$Q_t := \{(x, y, z) \in \text{SOL} \mid |y| \leq 1, z \geq 0, |x| \leq \sqrt{2t}\}.$$

Recall that  $0 \leq \psi_t(x, z) \leq 1$  and the volume form of SOL is  $d(\text{vol}) = dx dy dz$ . We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma_t\|_r^r &= \int_{x=-\sqrt{2t}}^{\sqrt{2t}} \int_{y=-1}^1 \int_{z=0}^{\infty} |\psi_t(x, z)|^r |dg|^r dx dy dz \\ &\leq \int_{x=-\sqrt{2t}}^{\sqrt{2t}} dx \int_{y=-1}^1 \int_{z=0}^{\infty} |dg|^r dy dz \\ &\leq (2\sqrt{2t}) \int_{y=-1}^1 \int_{z=0}^{\infty} |dg|^r e^z dy dz. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3, we know that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |dg|^r e^z dy dz < \infty \quad \text{for any } 1 < r < \infty,$$

from which one gets an estimates  $\|\gamma_t\|_r \leq C_1(r) t^{1/2r}$ . In particular

$$\gamma_t \in \bigcap_{1 < r < \infty} L^r(\text{SOL}, \Lambda^1). \quad (3.1)$$

*Step 3. We estimate  $\|d\gamma_t\|_{q'}$*

We have

$$d\gamma_t = \frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial x} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} dx \wedge dy + \frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial x} \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} dx \wedge dz + \frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial z} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} dz \wedge dy$$

and

$$|dx \wedge dy| = 1, \quad |dx \wedge dz| = e^z, \quad |dz \wedge dy| = e^{-z}.$$

Recall that  $\partial g / \partial y$  is bounded,  $\partial g / \partial z$  has compact support and  $d\gamma_t$  has its support in the region  $Q_t$ . Thus

$$|d\gamma_t| \leq C_2 \left( \left| \frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial x} \right| + \left| \frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial z} \right| e^{-z} \right).$$

Since  $0 < \delta < (1/2)(q' - 1)$ , Lemma 2.4 implies

$$\|d\gamma_t\|_{q'} \leq C_3 t^{-\delta/q'}. \tag{3.2}$$

*Step 4. We estimate the integral of  $\alpha \wedge \gamma_t$*

Let

$$A_t := \int_{\text{SOL}} \alpha \wedge \gamma_t.$$

We have

$$\alpha \wedge \gamma_t = \psi_t(x, z) d\varphi \wedge df \wedge dg = (\lambda'(e^{-z}x) e^{-z} \psi_t(x, z)) dx \wedge df \wedge dg$$

(since  $dz \wedge df \wedge dg = 0$ ). By Lemma 2.3,  $df \wedge dg \geq 0$ , and since  $\lambda'(e^{-z}x) \geq 0$  we see that  $\alpha \wedge \gamma_t$  is a non negative 3-form. In particular  $A_t \geq \int_{\Delta} \alpha \wedge \gamma_t$  for every measurable subset  $\Delta \subset \text{SOL}$ .

We set

$$\Delta_t := \{(x, y, z) \in \text{SOL} \mid |y| \leq 1, 0 \leq z \leq 1, |x| \leq \sqrt{t}\}.$$

Recall that if  $t \geq 1$ ,  $0 \leq z \leq 1$  and  $|x| \leq \sqrt{t}$ , then  $\psi_t(x, z) = 1$ , we thus get

$$A_t \geq \int_{\Delta_t} \alpha \wedge \gamma_t = \int_{y=-1}^{+1} \int_{z=0}^1 \int_{x=-\sqrt{t}}^{\sqrt{t}} \lambda'(e^{-z}x) e^{-z} dx \wedge df \wedge dg.$$

Now set  $u = e^{-z}x$ ,  $du = e^{-z} dx$ ,  $u_0 = -e^{-z}\sqrt{t}$  and  $u_1 = e^{-z}\sqrt{t}$ . We have

$$\int_{x=-\sqrt{t}}^{\sqrt{t}} \lambda'(e^{-z}x) e^{-z} dx = \int_{u_0}^{u_1} \lambda'(u) du = 1$$

if  $t$  is large enough (i.e.,  $e^{-1}\sqrt{t} \geq 1$ ). Thus

$$A_t \geq C_4 := \int_{y=-1}^1 \int_{z=0}^1 df \wedge dg > 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Observe that the constant  $C_4$  is positive and independent of  $t$  (in fact, using equation (4) of Lemma 2.3 and (i) of Lemma 2.4, we see that  $A_t \rightarrow 1$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ).

*Step 5. Recapitulation*

Let us summarize the previous estimates (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3):

$$\|\gamma_t\|_{p'} + \|\gamma_t\|_{q'} < \infty, \quad \|d\gamma_t\|_{q'} \leq C_3 t^{-\delta/q'} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\text{SOL}} \alpha \wedge \gamma_t \geq C_4 > 0.$$

If we let  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and apply Proposition 2.1, we obtain  $\alpha \notin B_{pq}^2(M)$ .

By the construction

$$S_t := \text{supp}(\alpha) \cup \text{supp}(\gamma_t) \subset Q := \{(x, y, z) \mid |y| \leq 1, 0 \leq z\}.$$

Using the group of isometries  $T : (x, y, z) \rightarrow (x, y + 2k, z)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we can produce an infinite family of forms  $\alpha_i \in Z_p^k(\text{SOL})$  satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 2.2. Therefore

$$\dim H_{pq}^2(\text{SOL}) = \infty$$

for all  $1 < p, q < \infty$ . The proof is complete  $\square$

#### 4. Final remark

The above proof of Theorem 1 is only true for unreduced cohomology. In fact, the work of Jeff Cheeger and Mikhael Gromov gives us the following result in the reduced case (for  $p = q = 2$ ).

**THEOREM 2.** — *The reduced  $L_2$ -cohomology of SOL is trivial.*

*Proof.* — The Lie group SOL admits uniform lattices (i.e., discrete cocompact subgroups), see [11] for explicit constructions. The result thus follows from [5], [6] and [7] since every lattice in SOL is amenable.  $\square$

#### Acknowledgments

Finally, we thank the referee who discovered a mistake in an earlier version of Lemma 2.1.

#### References

- [1] GAFFNEY (M.) . — *A Special Stoke's Theorem for Complete Riemannian Manifolds*, Ann. of Math. **60** (1954), pp. 140-145.

- [2] GOL'DSHTEIN (V. M.), KUZ'MINOV (V. I.) and SHVEDOV (I. A.) .— *Differential Forms on Lipschitz Manifolds*, Siberian Math. Journal **23**, No 2 (1982).
- [3] GOL'DSHTEIN (V. M.), KUZ'MINOV (V. I.) and SHVEDOV SHVEDOV (I. A.) .— *Integration of Differential Forms of the Classes  $W_{p,q}^*$* , Siberian Math. Journal **23**, No 5 (1982).
- [4] GOL'DSHTEIN (V. M.), KUZ'MINOV (V. I.) and SHVEDOV (I. A.) .— *A Property of de Rham Regularization Operators*, Siberian Math. Journal **25**, No 2 (1984).
- [5] CHEEGER (J.) and GROMOV (M.) .— *On the characteristic numbers of complete manifolds of bounded curvature and finite volume*, in *Differential Geometry and Complex Analysis*, Rauch Memorial Volume, I. Chavel and H. M. Farkas eds., Springer (1985).
- [6] CHEEGER (J.) and GROMOV (M.) .— *Bounds of the Von Neuman Dimensions of  $L^2$ -cohomology and the Gauss-Bonnet formula for open manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **21** (1981), pp. 1-34.
- [7] CHEEGER (J.) and GROMOV (M.) .—  *$L^2$ - cohomology and group theory*, Topology **25** (1986), pp. 189-215.
- [8] PANSU (P.) .— *Cohomologie  $L^p$  des variétés à courbure négative, cas du degré 1*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino Fascicolo Speciale P.D.E and Geometry (1989), pp. 95-119.
- [9] TROYANOV (M.) .— *L'horizon de SOL*, Expositiones Math. **16**, No 5 (1998), pp. 441-479.
- [10] ZUCKER (S.) .—  *$L^p$ -cohomology: Banach Spaces and Homological Methods on Riemannian Manifolds*, Proceedings Symp. Pure Math. Part 2, **54** (1993), pp. 637-655.
- [11] SCOTT (P.) .— *The Geometries of 3-manifolds*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **15** (1983), pp. 401-487.