

JOURNAL

de Théorie des Nombres
de BORDEAUX

anciennement Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux

Masanobu KANEKO, Fumi SAKURAI et Hirofumi TSUMURA

On a duality formula for certain sums of values of poly-Bernoulli polynomials and its application

Tome 30, n° 1 (2018), p. 203-218.

http://jtnb.cedram.org/item?id=JTNB_2018__30_1_203_0

© Société Arithmétique de Bordeaux, 2018, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux » (<http://jtnb.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://jtnb.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie de cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>

On a duality formula for certain sums of values of poly-Bernoulli polynomials and its application

par MASANOBU KANEKO, FUMI SAKURAI et HIROFUMI TSUMURA

RÉSUMÉ. Nous prouvons une formule de dualité pour certaines sommes de valeurs de polynômes poly-Bernoulli qui généralise les dualités pour les nombres de poly-Bernoulli. On calcule d'abord deux types de fonctions génératrices de ces sommes, dont la formule de dualité est apparente. Ensuite, nous donnons une preuve analytique de la dualité du point de vue de notre étude précédente de fonctions zêta de type Arakawa–Kaneko. Comme application, nous donnons une formule qui relie les nombres de poly-Bernoulli aux nombres de Genocchi.

ABSTRACT. We prove a duality formula for certain sums of values of poly-Bernoulli polynomials which generalizes dualities for poly-Bernoulli numbers. We first compute two types of generating functions for these sums, from which the duality formula is apparent. Secondly we give an analytic proof of the duality from the viewpoint of our previous study of zeta functions of Arakawa–Kaneko type. As an application, we give a formula that relates poly-Bernoulli numbers to the Genocchi numbers.

1. Introduction

Two types of poly-Bernoulli numbers $\{B_n^{(k)}\}$ and $\{C_n^{(k)}\}$ are defined by the generating series

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\operatorname{Li}_k(1 - e^{-t})}{1 - e^{-t}} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(k)} \frac{t^n}{n!}, \\ \frac{\operatorname{Li}_k(1 - e^{-t})}{e^t - 1} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n^{(k)} \frac{t^n}{n!} \end{aligned}$$

Manuscrit reçu le 28 avril 2016, accepté le 12 septembre 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B68, 11M32.

Mots-clés. Poly-Bernoulli numbers, Poly-Bernoulli polynomials, Arakawa–Kaneko zeta-functions, Genocchi numbers.

This work was supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) 16H06336, (B) 23340010 and (C) 15K04788.

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\text{Li}_k(z)$ is the polylogarithm function given by

$$(1.2) \quad \text{Li}_k(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^m}{m^k} \quad (|z| < 1)$$

(see Kaneko [10] and Arakawa–Kaneko [2], also Arakawa–Ibukiyama–Kaneko [1]). Noting $\text{Li}_1(z) = -\log(1-z)$, we see that $C_n^{(1)}$ coincides with the ordinary Bernoulli number B_n defined by

$$\frac{t}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{t^n}{n!},$$

and that $B_n^{(1)} = B_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $n \neq 1$.

These numbers have been actively investigated and many interesting properties and formulas for them have been discovered (see, for example, [4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11]). Of them we highlight the following duality formulas obtained by the first-named author:

$$(1.3) \quad B_m^{(-l)} = B_l^{(-m)},$$

$$(1.4) \quad C_m^{(-l-1)} = C_l^{(-m-1)}$$

for any $l, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, which can be shown by considering their generating functions in two variables (see [10, Theorem 2] and [11, §2]).

The poly-Bernoulli polynomials are defined by

$$(1.5) \quad e^{-xt} \frac{\text{Li}_k(1 - e^{-t})}{1 - e^{-t}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(k)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

(see Coppo–Candelpergher [7]). It can be easily checked that

$$B_n^{(k)}(0) = B_n^{(k)}, \quad B_n^{(k)}(1) = C_n^{(k)},$$

$$B_n^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^{n-j} \binom{n}{j} B_j^{(k)} x^{n-j}.$$

The main purpose of this paper is to generalize the duality formulas (1.3) and (1.4) as follows: the identity

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} B_m^{(-l-j)}(n) = \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} B_l^{(-m-j)}(n)$$

holds for any $l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ (see Corollary 2.2), where $\{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} \mid n, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ are the Stirling numbers of the first kind (for the definition, see (2.1)). In particular, we easily see that (1.6) for the cases of $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ coincide with (1.3) and (1.4), respectively. Hence (1.6) can be regarded as a “one-parameter” generalization of the duality formula for poly-Bernoulli numbers. It is an interesting question whether this generalization also has some nice combinatorial interpretations like those described in [4, 5, 6].

In Section 2, we give an elementary proof of (1.6). In fact, denoting the left-hand side of (1.6) by $\mathcal{B}_m^{(-l)}(n)$, we calculate two types of generating functions of $\{\mathcal{B}_m^{(-l)}(n)\}_{l,m \geq 0}$ in two variables (see Theorem 2.1), which turn out to be symmetric and hence (1.6) follows. In Section 3, we give an analytic proof of (1.6) from the viewpoint of our previous study of zeta functions of Arakawa–Kaneko type. The method is similar to that used by the first-named and the third-named authors in [12]. In the final Section 4, as an application of Theorem 2.1, we prove the relation

$$\sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l C_{n-l}^{(-l-1)} = -G_{n+2} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$$

(see Theorem 4.2), where $G_n = (2 - 2^{n+1})B_n$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) is the Genocchi number (see, for example, Lucas [13, p. 250], also Stanley [14, Exercise 5.8]). This can be regarded as a “C-version” of the known formula for $B_m^{(-l)}$ (see [3, Proposition]):

$$\sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l B_{n-l}^{(-l)} = 0 \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}).$$

2. A generalization of the duality formula

Let $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}$ and $\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix} \right\}$ ($n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) be the Stirling numbers of the first and the second kind determined respectively by the following recursion relations:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1, \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ m \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad (m, n \neq 0),$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m-1 \end{bmatrix} + n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} \quad (n \geq 0, m \geq 1),$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right\} = 1, \quad \left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 \\ m \end{matrix} \right\} = 0 \quad (m, n \neq 0),$$

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} n+1 \\ m \end{matrix} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ m-1 \end{matrix} \right\} + m \left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix} \right\} \quad (n \geq 0, m \geq 1).$$

(See for example, [1, Definitions 2.2 and 2.4].)

As mentioned in Section 1, we let

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{B}_m^{(-l)}(n) := \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} B_m^{(-l-j)}(n)$$

for $l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Note that

$$\mathcal{B}_m^{(-l)}(0) = B_m^{(-l)}, \quad \mathcal{B}_m^{(-l)}(1) = C_m^{(-l-1)}.$$

The first main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have*

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_m^{(-l)}(n) \frac{x^l y^m}{l! m!} = \frac{n! e^{x+y}}{(e^x + e^y - e^{x+y})^{n+1}}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_m^{(-l)}(n) x^l y^m = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j! (j+n)! Q_j(x) Q_j(y),$$

where

$$Q_j(X) = \frac{X^j}{(1-X)(1-2X)\cdots(1-(j+1)X)} \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}).$$

From (2.3) or (2.4), we immediately obtain the following result which contains (1.3) and (1.4) as the special cases $n = 0, 1$.

Corollary 2.2. *For $l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, it holds $\mathcal{B}_m^{(-l)}(n) = \mathcal{B}_l^{(-m)}(n)$, namely*

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} B_m^{(-l-j)}(n) = \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} B_l^{(-m-j)}(n).$$

To prove Theorem 2.1, we start with the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3 (Takeda [15]). *For $n, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $r \geq n$,*

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{e^{nt}(e^t - 1)^{r-n}}{(r-n)!} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} m+i \\ r \end{Bmatrix} \frac{t^m}{m!},$$

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{i=0}^n \begin{Bmatrix} n \\ i \end{Bmatrix} \frac{e^{it}(e^t - 1)^{r-i}}{(r-i)!} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \begin{Bmatrix} m+n \\ r \end{Bmatrix} \frac{t^m}{m!}.$$

Proof. We sketch the proof of this lemma.

As for (2.6), we use the induction on $n \geq 0$. The case of $n = 0$ reduces to the well-known identity

$$\frac{(e^t - 1)^m}{m!} = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \begin{Bmatrix} n \\ m \end{Bmatrix} \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

(See for instance [1, Proposition 2.6].) Assume (2.6) for the case of n , and compute its derivative. Then, for $r \geq n + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{ne^{nt}(e^t - 1)^{r-n}}{(r-n)!} + \frac{e^{(n+1)t}(e^t - 1)^{r-n-1}}{(r-n-1)!} \\ = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} m+i+1 \\ r \end{Bmatrix} \frac{t^m}{m!}. \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{e^{(n+1)t}(e^t - 1)^{r-n-1}}{(r - n - 1)!} \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} m+i+1 \\ r \end{Bmatrix} \frac{t^m}{m!} - \frac{ne^{nt}(e^t - 1)^{r-n}}{(r - n)!} \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{n-i+1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} m+i \\ r \end{Bmatrix} \frac{t^m}{m!} \\
 &\quad - n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} m+i \\ r \end{Bmatrix} \frac{t^m}{m!} \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{n-i+1} \left(\begin{bmatrix} n \\ i-1 \end{bmatrix} + n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{Bmatrix} m+i \\ r \end{Bmatrix} \frac{t^m}{m!} \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^{n-i+1} \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} m+i \\ r \end{Bmatrix} \frac{t^m}{m!}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we complete the proof of (2.6).

As for (2.7), similar to the above proof, considering the derivative of (2.7), we inductively obtain the assertion. \square

Next we show the following proposition which is a certain generalization of the known result for ordinary poly-Bernoulli numbers given by the first-named author [10, Theorem 1].

Proposition 2.4. For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(2.8) \quad B_m^{(k)}(n) = \sum_{q=1}^{m+1} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{m+n+q-i-1} \frac{(q-1)!}{q^k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} m+i \\ n+q-1 \end{Bmatrix}.$$

Proof. By definition, we have

$$e^{-nt} \frac{\text{Li}_k(1 - e^{-t})}{1 - e^{-t}} = e^{-nt} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{q-1} \frac{(e^{-t} - 1)^{q-1}}{q^k}.$$

Using (2.6) with $r \rightarrow q - 1$ and $t \rightarrow -t$ on the right, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & e^{-nt} \frac{\text{Li}_k(1 - e^{-t})}{1 - e^{-t}} \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{m+n+q-i-1} \frac{(q-1)!}{q^k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} m+i \\ n+q-1 \end{Bmatrix} \frac{t^m}{m!}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of $t^m/m!$ on both sides and noting $\begin{Bmatrix} m+i \\ n+q-1 \end{Bmatrix} = 0$ when $q > m + 1$, we complete the proof. \square

Now we give the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. First we will prove (2.3). Substituting (2.8) with $k \rightarrow -l - j$ into (2.2), we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}_m^{(-l)}(n) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \sum_{q=1}^{m+1} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{m+n+q-i-1} (q-1)! q^{l+j} \binom{n}{i} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m+i \\ n+q-1 \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

With this we compute the generating function

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(x, y) &:= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_m^{(-l)}(n) \frac{x^l y^m}{l! m!} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \sum_{q=1}^{m+1} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{m+n+q-i-1} (q-1)! q^{l+j} \binom{n}{i} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m+i \\ n+q-1 \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{x^l y^m}{l! m!} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=1}^{m+1} (-1)^m \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} q^j \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n+q-i-1} e^{qx} (q-1)! \binom{n}{i} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m+i \\ n+q-1 \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{y^m}{m!}. \end{aligned}$$

By the well-known identity $(x)_n := x(x+1)\cdots(x+n-1) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} x^j$, this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=1}^{m+1} (-1)^m \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n+q-i-1} e^{qx} (q-1)! (q)_n \binom{n}{i} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m+i \\ n+q-1 \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{y^m}{m!} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n+r-i} e^{(r+1)x} r! (r+1)_n \binom{n}{i} \sum_{m=r}^{\infty} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m+i \\ n+r \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{(-y)^m}{m!}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that m may run over all non-negative integers in the last sum because $\left\{ \begin{matrix} m+i \\ n+r \end{matrix} \right\} = 0$ for $0 \leq m \leq r-1$. Hence, by (2.7) and the formula $\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l \binom{n}{l} \left\{ \begin{matrix} l \\ m \end{matrix} \right\} = (-1)^n \delta_{m,n}$ ($\delta_{m,n}$ is the Kronecker delta, see [1, Proposition 2.6]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(x, y) &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{g=0}^i (-1)^{n+r-i} e^{(r+1)x} r! (r+1)_n \binom{n}{i} \frac{\left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ g \end{matrix} \right\} e^{-gy} (e^{-y} - 1)^{n+r-g}}{(n+r-g)!} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+r} e^{(r+1)x} r! (r+1)_n \\ &\quad \times \sum_{g=0}^n \sum_{i=g}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ g \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{e^{-gy} (e^{-y} - 1)^{n+r-g}}{(n+r-g)!} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+r} e^{(r+1)x} r! (r+1)_n \sum_{g=0}^n (-1)^n \delta_{n,g} \frac{e^{-gy} (e^{-y} - 1)^{n+r-g}}{(n+r-g)!} \\
 &= e^{-ny} e^x \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} e^{rx} (1 - e^{-y})^r (r+1)_n \\
 &= e^{-ny} e^x n! \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (e^x - e^{x-y})^r \binom{n+r}{n} \\
 &= \frac{n! e^{x+y}}{(e^x + e^y - e^{x+y})^{n+1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (2.3).

Next we will prove (2.4). From (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_n(x, y) &= \frac{n! e^{x+y}}{\{1 - (e^x - 1)(e^y - 1)\}^{n+1}} \\
 &= n! e^{x+y} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{j+n}{n} (e^x - 1)^j (e^y - 1)^j \\
 &= n! \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{j+n}{n} \frac{1}{(j+1)^2} \frac{d}{dx} (e^x - 1)^{j+1} \frac{d}{dy} (e^y - 1)^{j+1} \\
 &= n! \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=j}^{\infty} \sum_{m=j}^{\infty} (j!)^2 \binom{j+n}{n} \left\{ \begin{matrix} l+1 \\ j+1 \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m+1 \\ j+1 \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{x^l y^m}{l! m!}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, noting $\left\{ \begin{matrix} l+1 \\ j+1 \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m+1 \\ j+1 \end{matrix} \right\} = 0$ for $j > \min(l, m)$, we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{B}_m^{(-l)}(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{\min(l,m)} n! (j!)^2 \binom{j+n}{n} \left\{ \begin{matrix} l+1 \\ j+1 \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m+1 \\ j+1 \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

By the identity (see [1, Proposition 2.6])

$$Q_m(t) = \frac{t^{m+1}}{(1-t)(1-2t)\cdots(1-(m+1)t)} = \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ m+1 \end{matrix} \right\} t^n$$

and (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j! (j+n)! Q_j(x) Q_j(y) \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j! (j+n)! \sum_{l=j}^{\infty} \left\{ \begin{matrix} l+1 \\ j+1 \end{matrix} \right\} x^l \sum_{m=j}^{\infty} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m+1 \\ j+1 \end{matrix} \right\} y^m
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\min(l,m)} n! (j!)^2 \binom{j+n}{n} \begin{Bmatrix} l+1 \\ j+1 \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} m+1 \\ j+1 \end{Bmatrix} x^l y^m \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_m^{(-l)}(n) x^l y^m.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof of (2.4). \square

From (2.3), we immediately obtain the following.

Corollary 2.5.

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_m^{(-l)}(n) \frac{x^l y^m z^n}{l! m! n!} = \frac{e^{x+y}}{e^x + e^y - e^{x+y} - z}.$$

3. An analytic proof of the duality formula for $\mathcal{B}_m^{(-l)}(n)$

In this section, we give an analytic proof of the duality formula (Corollary 2.2) for $\mathcal{B}_m^{(-l)}(n)$ by using a certain zeta function.

Arakawa and the first-named author [2] defined the zeta function

$$\xi_k(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} t^{s-1} \frac{\text{Li}_k(1 - e^{-t})}{e^t - 1} dt \quad (\text{Re}(s) > 0)$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, which can be continued to \mathbb{C} as an entire function. In particular, $\xi_1(s) = s\zeta(s+1)$. It is known that

$$\xi_k(-m) = (-1)^m C_m^{(k)}$$

for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ (see [2, Theorem 6]). Note that they further study a multiple version of $\xi_k(s)$.

Recently the first-named and the third-named author [12] defined another type of Arakawa–Kaneko zeta function by

$$\eta_k(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} t^{s-1} \frac{\text{Li}_k(1 - e^t)}{1 - e^t} dt$$

for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, which interpolates the poly-Bernoulli numbers of B -type, that is,

$$(3.1) \quad \eta_k(-m) = B_m^{(k)} \quad (m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}).$$

We emphasize that $\eta_k(s)$ is defined for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ while $\xi_k(s)$ is defined for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. In fact, investigating $\eta_{-k}(s)$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$), they gave an alternative proof of (1.3) (the case $r = 1$ of [12, Theorem 4.7]).

Here we briefly recall this technique (for the details, see [12, Section 4]), and consider its generalization as follows. Let

$$\mathcal{G}(u, t) := \frac{e^u}{1 - e^u(1 - e^t)}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{F}(u, s) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)(e^{2\pi is} - 1)} \int_{\mathcal{C}} t^{s-1} \mathcal{G}(u, t) dt,$$

where \mathcal{C} is the well-known contour, namely the path consisting of the positive real axis (top side), a circle C_ε around the origin of radius ε (which is sufficiently small), and the positive real axis (bottom side) (see, for example, [16, Theorem 4.2]). We can write the integral as

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{F}(u, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_\varepsilon^\infty t^{s-1} \mathcal{G}(u, t) dt + \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)(e^{2\pi is} - 1)} \int_{C_\varepsilon} t^{s-1} \mathcal{G}(u, t) dt.$$

Suppose $\text{Re}(s) > 0$ and let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{F}(u, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \mathcal{G}(u, t) dt = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \eta_{-m}(s) \frac{u^m}{m!},$$

because

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{G}(u, t) = \frac{1}{1 - e^t} \sum_{m=0}^\infty \text{Li}_{-m}(1 - e^t) \frac{u^m}{m!}$$

(see [12, Lemma 5.9]). We also see that

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{G}(u, t) = \frac{e^u}{1 - e^u(1 - e^t)} = \frac{e^{-t}}{1 - e^{-t}(1 - e^{-u})} = \sum_{l=1}^\infty e^{-lt} (1 - e^{-u})^{l-1}.$$

Substituting (3.6) into the second member of (3.4), we have

$$(3.7) \quad \mathcal{F}(u, s) = \frac{\text{Li}_s(1 - e^{-u})}{1 - e^{-u}} = \sum_{m=0}^\infty B_m^{(s)} \frac{u^m}{m!},$$

where we define $\text{Li}_s(z)$ and $\{B_m^{(s)}\}_{m \geq 0}$ by replacing k by $s \in \mathbb{C}$ in (1.1) and (1.2), respectively. Comparing (3.4) and (3.7), we have

$$(3.8) \quad \eta_{-m}(s) = B_m^{(s)}.$$

Letting $s = -k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ in (3.8) and using (3.1) and (3.3), we obtain $B_k^{(-m)} = B_m^{(-k)}$.

Next we generalize this result. Let

$$\mathcal{G}_n(u, t) := e^{nt} \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^j}{\partial u^j} \mathcal{G}(u, t) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}).$$

Note that $\mathcal{G}_0(u, t) = \mathcal{G}(u, t)$. We prove the following.

Lemma 3.1. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,

$$(3.9) \quad \mathcal{G}_n(u, t) = e^{-nu} \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{(m+n-1)!}{(m-1)!} e^{-mt} (1 - e^{-u})^{m-1}.$$

Proof. We give the proof by induction on n . As for $n = 0$, (3.9) coincides with (3.6).

Using (2.1), we can check that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \mathcal{G}_n(u, t) = e^{-t} \mathcal{G}_{n+1}(u, t) - n \mathcal{G}_n(u, t).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{n+1}(u, t) &= e^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \mathcal{G}_n(u, t) + n \mathcal{G}_n(u, t) \right) \\ &= e^t \left(e^{-nu} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(m+n-1)!}{(m-2)!} e^{-mt} (1-e^{-u})^{m-2} e^{-u} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing m by $m+1$, we have the assertion. \square

Similar to (3.2), let

$$\begin{aligned} (3.10) \quad \mathcal{F}_n(u, s) &:= \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)(e^{2\pi is} - 1)} \int_{\mathcal{C}} t^{s-1} \mathcal{G}_n(u, t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} t^{s-1} \mathcal{G}_n(u, t) dt + \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)(e^{2\pi is} - 1)} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}} t^{s-1} \mathcal{G}_n(u, t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Assume $n \geq 1$. First, for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (3.10). Then we obtain from (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.11) \quad \mathcal{F}_n(u; s) &= \frac{e^{-nu}}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(m+n-1)!}{(m-1)!} (1-e^{-u})^{m-1} \int_0^{\infty} t^{s-1} e^{-mt} dt \\ &= \frac{e^{-nu}}{1-e^{-u}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(m+n-1) \cdots (m+1)m}{m^s} (1-e^{-u})^m \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} e^{-nu} \frac{\operatorname{Li}_{s-j}(1-e^{-u})}{1-e^{-u}} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} B_m^{(s-j)}(n) \frac{u^m}{m!}. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, by (3.5), we have

$$\frac{\partial^j}{\partial u^j} \mathcal{G}(u, t) = \frac{1}{1-e^t} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Li}_{-m-j}(1-e^t) \frac{u^m}{m!}.$$

Hence we obtain from (1.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_n(u, t) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} e^{nt} \frac{\text{Li}_{-m-j}(1 - e^t) u^m}{1 - e^t} \frac{u^m}{m!} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} B_k^{(-m-j)}(n) \frac{(-t)^k}{k!} \frac{u^m}{m!}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, letting $s \rightarrow -l$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ in (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.12) \quad \mathcal{F}_n(u; -l) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow -l} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)(e^{2\pi i s} - 1)} \int_{C_\varepsilon} t^{-l-1} \mathcal{G}_n(u, t) dt \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} B_l^{(-m-j)}(n) \frac{u^m}{m!}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of (3.11) with $s = -l$ and (3.12), we obtain the proof of Corollary 2.2.

Remark 3.2. As a continuation of the observation stated in [12, Section 4], we first found the duality formula (2.5) by the method described in this section. And then we gave its elementary proof presented in Section 2.

4. A formula relating poly-Bernoulli numbers with Genocchi numbers

In this section, we prove the C -type version of the following known result for $B_m^{(-l)}$:

Proposition 4.1 ([3, Proposition]). *For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we have*

$$\sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l B_{n-l}^{(-l)} = 0.$$

If we consider the C -version of the left-hand side of this identity, the value is not 0 but turns out to be the Genocchi number. The Genocchi numbers $\{G_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ are defined by the generating series

$$\frac{2t}{e^t + 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

(See, for example, Lucas [13, p. 250], also Stanley [14, Exercise 5.8]). Note that the relation with Bernoulli numbers

$$G_n = (2 - 2^{n+1})B_n \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$$

holds and G_n is an integer for all n . The first several values of G_n are

$$0, 1, -1, 0, 1, 0, -3, 0, 17, 0, -155, 0, \dots$$

The second main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 4.2. *For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have*

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l C_{n-l}^{(-l-1)} = -G_{n+2}.$$

Remark 4.3. We may write the identity as

$$\sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l C_{n-l}^{(-l)} = G_{n+1},$$

because $C_n^{(0)} = 0$ for $n \geq 1$ and $C_0^{(0)} = 1$. However, because of the duality (1.4), we state and prove the identity as given in the theorem.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 4.2.

The generating function of the left-hand side of (4.1), which we denote by $f(x)$, is obtained from (2.4) by specializing $n = 1$ and $y = -x$:

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_m^{(-l)}(1) x^m (-1)^l x^l = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l C_{n-l}^{(-l-1)} x^n.$$

Let $g(x)$ be the generating function of the sequence $\{-G_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$:

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2^{n+1} - 2) B_n x^n \\ &= -x + x^2 - x^4 + 3x^6 - 17x^8 + \cdots . \end{aligned}$$

Then, our assertion (4.1) can be rewritten as

$$g(x) = x^2 f(x) - x.$$

It is convenient for our purpose to make a shift and define

$$f_1(x) = x^2 f(x), \quad g_1(x) = xg(x).$$

With these, our goal is to prove the identity

$$g_1(x) = x f_1(x) - x^2.$$

To show this, we proceed as follows. We first show that the power series $g_1(x)$ is a unique element of $x \mathbb{Q}[[x]]$ satisfying the functional equation

$$(4.2) \quad g_1 \left(\frac{x}{1-2x} \right) = g_1(x) + \frac{2x^3(x-2)}{(1-x)^2},$$

and then show that the right-hand side $x f_1(x) - x^2$ also satisfies the same functional equation, thereby proving the theorem by the uniqueness.

The first step is carried out in a similar manner as in the proof of the following proposition of Don Zagier.

Proposition 4.4 ([1, Proposition A.1 in Appendix]). *The power series*

$$\beta_1(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n x^{n+1}$$

is the unique solution in $x\mathbb{Q}[[x]]$ of the equation

$$(4.3) \quad \beta_1\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right) = \beta_1(x) + x^2.$$

Since $g_1(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2^{n+1} - 2)B_n x^{n+1} = \beta_1(2x) - 2\beta_1(x)$, the identity (4.2) is easily derived from (4.3) by replacing x by $x/(1-x)$ and applying (4.3) again. The proof of the uniqueness, which we state as the lemma below, is postponed to the end of this section.

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose*

$$h(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n x^{n+1} \in x\mathbb{Q}[[x]]$$

satisfies (4.2), i.e.,

$$(4.4) \quad h\left(\frac{x}{1-2x}\right) = h(x) + \frac{2x^3(x-2)}{(1-x)^2},$$

then we have

$$(4.5) \quad d_n = (2^{n+1} - 2)B_n \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}).$$

Now we are going to prove the series $f_2(x) := xf_1(x) - x^2$ satisfies the same functional equation

$$(4.6) \quad f_2\left(\frac{x}{1-2x}\right) = f_2(x) + \frac{2x^3(x-2)}{(1-x)^2}.$$

By (2.4) ($n = 1$ and $y = -x$), we have

$$f_1(x) = x^2 f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j j! (j+1)! x^{2j+2}}{\prod_{\nu=1}^{j+1} (1-\nu x)(1+\nu x)}.$$

Let $a_j(x)$ be the j th term in the sum on the right,

$$a_j(x) = \frac{(-1)^j j! (j+1)! x^{2j+2}}{\prod_{\nu=1}^{j+1} (1-\nu x)(1+\nu x)},$$

so that $f_1(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(x)$. A simple calculation shows that the functional equation (4.6) is equivalent to the functional equation

$$f_1\left(\frac{x}{1-2x}\right) = (1-2x)f_1(x) + \frac{2x^3(3-6x+2x^2)}{(1-x)^2(1-2x)}$$

for $f_1(x)$. This follows then from the next lemma, because the right-hand side of (4.7) is in $x^{2n+5}\mathbb{Q}[[x]]$ and n can be arbitrary large.

Lemma 4.6. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{j=0}^n \left(a_j \left(\frac{x}{1-2x} \right) - (1-2x)a_j(x) \right) - \frac{2x^3(3-6x+2x^2)}{(1-x)^2(1-2x)}$$

$$= -\frac{2x}{1-x} \cdot \frac{1+(n+2)x}{1-(n+3)x}$$

$$\times \left((n+3)(x-1)^2 - (n+2)(2x-1) \right) a_{n+1}(x).$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on $n \geq 0$, and is a straightforward calculation which we omit. \square

Proofs of Lemma 4.5 and Theorem 4.2. Because of the binomial expansion

$$(1-2x)^{-n-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+j}{j} 2^j x^j,$$

the left-hand side of (4.4) is equal to

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+j}{j} 2^j x^{n+j+1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=0}^m \binom{m}{n} 2^{m-n} d_n \right) x^{m+1}.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\frac{2x^3(x-2)}{(1-x)^2} = -2 + 2x^2 + \frac{4}{1-x} - \frac{2}{(1-x)^2} = -2 \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} mx^{m+1},$$

the right-hand side of (4.4) is equal to

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} d_m x^{m+1} - 2 \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} mx^{m+1}.$$

Comparing the coefficients, we have $d_0 = 0$ and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{n} 2^{m-n} d_n = -2m \quad (m \geq 2).$$

Therefore, since this recursion (with $d_0 = 0$) uniquely determines the numbers d_n ($n \geq 1$), we only need to prove

$$(4.8) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{n} 2^{m-n} (2^{n+1} - 2) B_n = -2m \quad (m \geq 2)$$

in order to establish (4.5). By using the standard recursion

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{n} B_n = 0 \quad (m \geq 2),$$

we can rewrite (4.8) as

$$\sum_{n=0}^m \binom{m}{n} 2^{m-n} B_n = m + B_m \quad (m \geq 2).$$

This can be easily verified by manipulating the generating function:

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} \cdot e^{2x} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=0}^m \binom{m}{n} 2^{m-n} B_n \right) \frac{x^m}{m!}$$

and

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} \cdot e^{2x} = x(e^x + 1) + \frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m \cdot \frac{x^m}{m!} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_m \frac{x^m}{m!} + x.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.5, and thus Theorem 4.2 is proved. \square

References

- [1] T. ARAKAWA, T. IBUKIYAMA & M. KANEKO, *Bernoulli Numbers and Zeta Functions*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, 2014, xi+274 pages.
- [2] T. ARAKAWA & M. KANEKO, “Multiple zeta values, poly-Bernoulli numbers, and related zeta functions”, *Nagoya Math. J.* **153** (1999), p. 189-209.
- [3] ———, “On poly-Bernoulli numbers”, *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli* **48** (1999), no. 2, p. 159-167.
- [4] B. BÉNYI & P. HAJNAL, “Combinatorics of poly-Bernoulli numbers”, *Stud. Sci. Math. Hung.* **52** (2015), no. 4, p. 537-558.
- [5] C. BREWBAKER, “A combinatorial interpretation of the Poly-Bernoulli numbers and two Fermat analogues”, *Integers* **8** (2008), no. 1, article A02.
- [6] P. J. CAMERON, C. A. GLASS & R. SCHUMACHER, “Acyclic orientations and poly-Bernoulli numbers”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.3685>, 2014.
- [7] M.-A. COPPO & B. CANDELPERGHER, “The Arakawa-Kaneko zeta function”, *Ramanujan J.* **22** (2010), no. 2, p. 153-162.
- [8] Y. HAMAHATA & H. MASUBUCHI, “Recurrence formulae for multi-poly-Bernoulli numbers”, *Integers* **7** (2007), no. 1, p. article A46.
- [9] ———, “Special multi-poly-Bernoulli numbers”, *J. Integer Seq.* **10** (2007), no. 4, article 07.4.1.
- [10] M. KANEKO, “Poly-Bernoulli numbers”, *J. Théor. Nombres Bordx.* **9** (1997), no. 1, p. 221-228.
- [11] ———, “Poly-Bernoulli numbers and related zeta functions”, in *Algebraic and analytic aspects of zeta functions and L-functions*, MSJ Memoirs, vol. 21, Mathematical Society of Japan, 2010, p. 73-85.
- [12] M. KANEKO & H. TSUMURA, “Multi-poly-Bernoulli numbers and related zeta functions”, *Nagoya Math. J.* (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1017/nmj.2017.16>.
- [13] F. É. A. LUCAS, *Théorie des nombres. Vol I Le calcul des nombres entiers. Le calcul des nombres rationnels. La divisibilité arithmétique*, Gauthier-Villars et Fils, 1891, xxxiv+520 pages.
- [14] R. P. STANLEY, *Enumerative Combinatorics. Vol. 2*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 62, Cambridge University Press, 1999, xii+581 pages.
- [15] E. TAKEDA, “On Multi-Poly-Bernoulli numbers”, Master’s Thesis, Kyushu University (Japan), 2013.
- [16] L. C. WASHINGTON, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 83, Springer, 1997, xiv+487 pages.

Masanobu KANEKO
Faculty of Mathematics
Kyushu University
Motooka 744, Nishi-ku Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan
E-mail: mkaneko@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp
URL: <http://www2.math.kyushu-u.ac.jp/~mkaneko/index-e.html>

Fumi SAKURAI
Graduate School of Mathematics
Kyushu University
Motooka 744, Nishi-ku Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan
E-mail: f-sakurai@kyudai.jp

Hirofumi TSUMURA
Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences
Tokyo Metropolitan University
1-1, Minami-Ohsawa, Hachioji
Tokyo 192-0397, Japan
E-mail: tsumura@tmu.ac.jp
URL: <http://www.comp.tmu.ac.jp/math-tsumura/En.html>