



ANNALES

DE

L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Shihoko ISHII

Corrigendum to “Mather discrepancy and the arc spaces”

Tome 67, n° 4 (2017), p. 1609-1612.

http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF_2017__67_4_1609_0



© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, 2017,

Certains droits réservés.



Cet article est mis à disposition selon les termes de la licence
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION – PAS DE MODIFICATION 3.0 FRANCE.
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/3.0/fr/>

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier »
(<http://aif.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales
d'utilisation (<http://aif.cedram.org/legal/>).

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>

CORRIGENDUM TO “MATHER DISCREPANCY AND THE ARC SPACES”

Annales de l’institut Fourier, vol. 63 (2013), n°1, 89–111

by Shihoko ISHII

ABSTRACT. — This paper gives a correction of a theorem in “Mather discrepancy and the arc spaces”.

RÉSUMÉ. — Dans cette note nous corrigeons un théorème de « Discrédance de Mather et les espaces d’arcs ».

In this paper, we make a correction of the statement of Theorem 4.7 in [2], where (v) was misstated as:

“The tangent cone of (X, x) has a reduced irreducible component.”

This statement should be corrected as:

“Let $\bar{b} : \bar{Y} \rightarrow X$ be the composite of the blow up $b : Y \rightarrow X$ at the point $x \in X$ and the normalization $\nu : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$. Then, the fiber scheme $\bar{b}^{-1}(x) = \bar{E}$ has a reduced irreducible component.”

The whole statement of the corrected theorem is as follows:

THEOREM 4.7. — *For a singularity (X, x) of dimension n the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\widehat{\text{mld}}(x; X, \mathcal{O}_X) = n$;
- (ii) $\lambda_m = 0$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (iii) $\lambda_m^0 = 0$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (iv) $\lambda_1^0 = 0$;

Keywords: singularities, arc space, log-canonical threshold, minimal log-discrepancy.
Math. classification: 14E18, 14B05.

- (v) Let $\bar{b} : \bar{Y} \rightarrow X$ be the composite of the blow up $b : Y \rightarrow X$ at the point $x \in X$ and the normalization $\nu : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$. Then, the fiber scheme $\bar{b}^{-1}(x) = \bar{E}$ has a reduced irreducible component, where a reduced irreducible component means an irreducible component which is reduced at the generic point.

Here, we give a proof for the relevant parts under this alteration.

Proof. — The proof in [2] of equivalence among (i), (ii) and (iii) is not affected by the change in (v). The implication (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) is obvious. The implication (iv) \Rightarrow (v) is proved as follows:

Let $E \subset Y$ be the scheme theoretic fiber of x by the blow up $b : Y \rightarrow X$. Let $g : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be a log resolution of (Y, E) and let g be factored as

$$\tilde{Y} \xrightarrow{h} \bar{Y} \xrightarrow{\nu} Y.$$

Then, by the same argument in the corresponding part of the proof in the paper [2], we obtain

$$\dim g(E'_{reg}) = n - 1,$$

where E' is the scheme theoretic fiber of x by the morphism $b \circ g : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow X$ and E'_{reg} is the locus of non-singular points of E' . Therefore we obtain

$$\dim h(E'_{reg}) = n - 1.$$

As h is isomorphic at the generic point of each irreducible component of $h(E'_{reg})$, this shows that $\bar{E} = \bar{b}^{-1}(x)$ is reduced at an irreducible component, which implies (v).

For the proof of (v) \Rightarrow (iii), we show that we can reduce the discussion into the case that E has a reduced component and Y is non-singular at the generic point of the component. Then the discussion in the proof of the corresponding part in [2] would work.

Let $\bar{E}_0 \subset \bar{Y}$ be an irreducible component of \bar{E} with the coefficient 1 in \bar{E} and let $E_0 \subset Y$ be the irreducible components of E corresponding to \bar{E}_0 . Let e and \bar{e} be the generic points of E_0 and \bar{E}_0 , respectively. The normalization $\nu : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$ induces a homomorphism

$$\hat{\nu}^* : \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{Y,e}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y},\bar{e}}}.$$

of k -algebras. Let \mathcal{O}_0 be the image of $\hat{\nu}^*$:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{Y,e}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_0 \subset \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y},\bar{e}}}.$$

Then $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_0$ is an analytic branch of Y at e that is dominated by $\text{Spec } \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y},\bar{e}}}$. Here, as \bar{Y} is non-singular at \bar{e} we have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y},\bar{e}}} = K[[s]],$$

for some extension field K of k . For $f = \sum_i a_i s^i \in K[[s]]$, we denote the lowest degree i with $a_i \neq 0$ by $\text{ord}_s f$ and call it the order of f with respect to the variable s .

We will show that \mathcal{O}_0 is a regular local ring. For that, we first prove that \mathcal{O}_0 contains an element of order 1 with respect to s . Assume contrary, then every element of \mathcal{O}_0 is either a unit or an element of order greater than 1. Let $\ell \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{Y,e}}$ be the defining equation of E in Y around e . We also denote by ℓ the images of ℓ in \mathcal{O}_0 and in $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y},\bar{e}}}$ by abuse of notation. Then, in particular, $\text{ord}_s \ell \geq 2$. As ℓ is also the defining equation of \bar{E} in \bar{Y} around \bar{e} by the assumption on \bar{E} . Then, the above inequality shows that \bar{E} is not reduced at \bar{e} , which yields a contradiction.

Now we may assume there is an element $s' \in \mathcal{O}_0$ with order 1 with respect to s . As $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y},\bar{e}}} = K[[s]] = K[[s']]$, we may assume that $s \in \mathcal{O}_0$, by replacing s by s' . By Cohen's structure theorem, the residue field K' of the complete local ring \mathcal{O}_0 is contained in \mathcal{O}_0 and therefore we obtain

$$K'[[s]] \subset \mathcal{O}_0.$$

Note that the base field k is of characteristic 0. Then the extension $K' \hookrightarrow K$ of fields is separable, therefore it is étale. Now as $K'[[s]] \rightarrow K[[s]]$ is étale and $\mathcal{O}_0 \rightarrow K[[s]]$ is flat, it follows that

$$K'[[s]] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_0$$

is étale by [1, IV, 17.7.7]. Therefore, \mathcal{O}_0 is also regular and $\text{ord}_s(\ell) = 1$.

Now one branch of Y at e is non-singular and E is reduced at the the generic point. We restrict the discussion onto this branch. So, we may assume that Y is non-singular at e and E is reduced at e . Then, the proof of (v) \Rightarrow (iii) in [2] completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgments

Weichen Gu kindly provides us the following example which shows a contradiction to the previous statement in the Theorem 4.7 in [2]. The author would like to thank him.

Example. — Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^5$ be a hypersurface defined by

$$y^2 - x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 = 0.$$

Then, the tangent cone has no reduced component, but $(X, 0)$ satisfies (iv). We should also note that X satisfies the condition (v).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] A. GROTHENDIECK, “Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV: Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas”, *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.* **32** (1967), p. 1-361.
- [2] S. ISHII, “Mather discrepancy and the arc spaces”, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **63** (2013), no. 1, p. 89-111.

Manuscrit reçu le 10 août 2016,
accepté le 10 février 2017.

Shihoko ISHII
School of Art and Science,
Tokyo Woman's Christian University,
Zempukuji, Suginami, Tokyo (Japan)
shihoko@lab.twc.u.ac.jp