



# ANNALES

DE

# L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Lior BARY-SOROKER, Arno FEHM & Sebastian PETERSEN

**On varieties of Hilbert type**

Tome 64, n° 5 (2014), p. 1893-1901.

[http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF\\_2014\\_\\_64\\_5\\_1893\\_0](http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF_2014__64_5_1893_0)

© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, 2014, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://aif.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://aif.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie de cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

*Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du  
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques  
<http://www.cedram.org/>*

## ON VARIETIES OF HILBERT TYPE

by Lior BARY-SOROKER,  
Arno FEHM & Sebastian PETERSEN

---

ABSTRACT. — A variety  $X$  over a field  $K$  is of Hilbert type if  $X(K)$  is not thin. We prove that if  $f: X \rightarrow S$  is a dominant morphism of  $K$ -varieties and both  $S$  and all fibers  $f^{-1}(s)$ ,  $s \in S(K)$ , are of Hilbert type, then so is  $X$ . We apply this to answer a question of Serre on products of varieties and to generalize a result of Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc on algebraic groups.

RÉSUMÉ. — Une variété  $X$  sur un corps  $K$  a la propriété de Hilbert si  $X(K)$  n'est pas mince. Nous montrons que si  $f: X \rightarrow S$  est un morphisme de  $K$ -variétés dominant et si  $S$  ainsi que toutes les fibres  $f^{-1}(s)$  pour  $s \in S(K)$  ont la propriété de Hilbert, alors  $X$  aussi. Ceci nous permet de répondre à une question de Serre concernant les produits de variétés, et de généraliser un résultat de Colliot-Thélène et Sansuc sur les groupes algébriques.

### 1. Introduction

In the terminology of thin sets (we recall this notion in Section 2), Hilbert's irreducibility theorem asserts that  $\mathbb{A}_K^n(K)$  is not thin, for any number field  $K$  and any  $n \geq 1$ . As a natural generalization a  $K$ -variety  $X$  is called of Hilbert type if  $X(K)$  is not thin. The importance of this definition stems from the observation of Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc [2] that the inverse Galois problem would be settled if every unirational variety over  $\mathbb{Q}$  was of Hilbert type.

In this direction, Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc [2, Cor. 7.15] prove that any connected reductive algebraic group over a number field is of Hilbert type. This immediately raises the question whether the same holds for all linear algebraic groups (note that these are unirational). Another question, asked by Serre [19, p. 21], is whether a product of two varieties of Hilbert

---

*Keywords:* Thin set, variety of Hilbert type, Hilbertian field, algebraic group.

*Math. classification:* 12E25, 12E30, 20G30.

type is again of Hilbert type. The main result of this paper gives a sufficient condition for a variety to be of Hilbert type:

**THEOREM 1.1.** — *Let  $K$  be a field and  $f: X \rightarrow S$  a dominant morphism of  $K$ -varieties. Assume that the set of  $s \in S(K)$  for which the fiber  $f^{-1}(s)$  is a  $K$ -variety of Hilbert type is not thin. Then  $X$  is of Hilbert type.*

As an immediate consequence we get the following result for a family of varieties over a variety of Hilbert type:

**COROLLARY 1.2.** — *Let  $K$  be a field and  $f: X \rightarrow S$  a dominant morphism of  $K$ -varieties. Assume that  $S$  is of Hilbert type and that for every  $s \in S(K)$  the fiber  $f^{-1}(s)$  is of Hilbert type. Then  $X$  is of Hilbert type.*

Using this result we resolve both questions discussed above affirmatively, see Corollary 3.4 and Proposition 4.2.

## 2. Background

Let  $K$  be a field. A  $K$ -variety is a separated scheme of finite type over  $K$  which is geometrically reduced and geometrically irreducible. Thus, a non-empty open subscheme of a  $K$ -variety is again a  $K$ -variety. If  $f: X \rightarrow S$  is a morphism of  $K$ -varieties and  $s \in S(K)$ , then  $f^{-1}(s) := X \times_S \text{Spec}(\kappa(s))$ , where  $\kappa(s)$  is the residue field of  $s$ , denotes the scheme theoretic fiber of  $f$  at  $s$ . This fiber is a separated scheme of finite type over  $K$ , which needs not be reduced or connected in general. We identify the set  $f^{-1}(s)(K)$  of  $K$ -rational points of the fiber with the set theoretic fiber  $\{x \in X(K) \mid f(x) = s\}$ .

Let  $X$  be a  $K$ -variety. A subset  $T$  of  $X(K)$  is called *thin* if there exists a proper Zariski-closed subset  $C$  of  $X$ , a finite set  $I$ , and for each  $i \in I$  a  $K$ -variety  $Y_i$  with  $\dim(Y_i) = \dim(X)$  and a dominant separable morphism  $p_i: Y_i \rightarrow X$  of degree  $\geq 2$  (in particular,  $p_i$  is generically étale, cf. Lemma 3.3) such that

$$T \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} p_i(Y_i(K)) \cup C(K).$$

A  $K$ -variety  $X$  is of Hilbert type if  $X(K)$  is not thin, cf. [19, Def. 3.1.2]. Note that  $X$  is of Hilbert type if and only if some (or every) open subscheme of  $X$  is of Hilbert type, cf. [19, p. 20]. A field  $K$  is *Hilbertian* if  $\mathbb{A}_K^1$  is of Hilbert type. We note that if there exists a  $K$ -variety  $X$  of positive dimension such that  $X$  is of Hilbert type, then  $K$  is Hilbertian [5, Prop. 13.5.3].

All global fields and, more generally, all infinite fields that are finitely generated over their prime fields are Hilbertian [5, Thm. 13.4.2]. Many more fields are known to be Hilbertian, for example the maximal abelian Galois extension  $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , [5, Thm. 16.11.3]. On the other hand, local fields like  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\mathbb{F}_q((t))$  are not Hilbertian [5, Ex. 15.5.5].

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

A key tool in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the following consequence of Stein factorization.

LEMMA 3.1. — *Let  $K$  be a field and  $\psi: Y \rightarrow S$  a dominant morphism of normal  $K$ -varieties. Then there exists a nonempty open subscheme  $U \subset S$ , a  $K$ -variety  $T$  and a factorization*

$$\psi^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{g} T \xrightarrow{r} U$$

of  $\psi$  such that the fibers of  $g$  are geometrically irreducible and  $r$  is finite and étale.

*Proof.* — See [13, Lemma 9]. □

LEMMA 3.2. — *Let  $K$  be a field and  $f: X \rightarrow S$  a dominant morphism of normal  $K$ -varieties. Assume that the set  $\Sigma$  of  $s \in S(K)$  for which  $f^{-1}(s)$  is a  $K$ -variety of Hilbert type is not thin. Let  $I$  be a finite set and let  $p_i: Y_i \rightarrow X$ ,  $i \in I$ , be finite étale morphisms of degree  $\geq 2$ . Then  $X(K) \not\subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} p_i(Y_i(K))$ .*

*Proof.* — For  $i \in I$  consider the composite morphism  $\psi_i := f \circ p_i: Y_i \rightarrow S$ . By Lemma 3.1 there is a nonempty open subscheme  $U_i$  of  $S$  and a factorization

$$\psi_i^{-1}(U_i) \xrightarrow{g_i} T_i \xrightarrow{r_i} U_i$$

of  $\psi_i$  such that the morphism  $g_i$  has geometrically irreducible fibers,  $r_i$  is finite and étale, and such that  $T_i$  is a  $K$ -variety. We now replace successively  $S$  by  $\bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$ ,  $X$  by  $f^{-1}(S)$ ,  $T_i$  by  $r_i^{-1}(S)$  and  $Y_i$  by  $p_i^{-1}(X)$ , to assume in addition that  $r_i: T_i \rightarrow S$  is finite étale for every  $i \in I$ .

For  $s \in S(K)$  denote by  $X_s := f^{-1}(s)$  the fiber of  $f$  over  $s$ . Then  $X_s$  is a  $K$ -variety of Hilbert type for each  $s \in \Sigma$ . Furthermore we define  $Y_{i,s} := \psi_i^{-1}(s)$  and let  $p_{i,s}: Y_{i,s} \rightarrow X_s$  be the corresponding projection morphism. Then  $p_{i,s}$  is a finite étale morphism of the same degree as  $p_i$ . In

particular, the  $K$ -scheme  $Y_{i,s}$  is geometrically reduced. For every  $s \in S(K)$  and every  $i \in I$  we have constructed a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Y_{i,s} & \longrightarrow & Y_i & \xrightarrow{g_i} & T_i \\
 p_{i,s} \downarrow & & p_i \downarrow & \searrow \psi_i & \downarrow r_i \\
 X_s & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{f} & S
 \end{array}$$

in which the left hand rectangle is cartesian. Set  $J := \{i \in I : \deg(r_i) \geq 2\}$ . Then  $\bigcup_{i \in J} r_i(T_i(K)) \subseteq S(K)$  is thin, so by assumption there exists

$$s \in \Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{i \in J} r_i(T_i(K)).$$

For  $i \in J$  there is no  $K$ -rational point of  $T_i$  over  $s$ , hence  $Y_{i,s}(K) = \emptyset$  for every  $i \in J$ . For  $i \in I \setminus J$ , the finite étale morphism  $r_i$  is of degree 1, hence an isomorphism, and therefore  $Y_{i,s}$  is geometrically irreducible. Thus,  $Y_{i,s}$  is a  $K$ -variety. So since  $X_s$  is of Hilbert type, there exists  $x \in X_s(K)$  such that  $x \notin \bigcup_{i \in I \setminus J} p_{i,s}(Y_{i,s}(K))$ . Thus

$$x \notin \bigcup_{i \in J \setminus I} p_{i,s}(Y_{i,s}(K)) = \bigcup_{i \in I} p_{i,s}(Y_{i,s}(K)),$$

hence  $x \notin \bigcup_{i \in I} p_i(Y_i(K))$ , as needed. □

The following fact is well-known, but for the sake of completeness we provide a proof:

LEMMA 3.3. — *Let  $K$  be a field, let  $X, Y$  be  $K$ -varieties with  $\dim(X) = \dim(Y)$ , and let  $p: Y \rightarrow X$  be a dominant separable morphism. Then there exists a nonempty open subscheme  $U$  of  $X$  such that the restriction of  $p$  to a morphism  $p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$  is finite and étale.*

*Proof.* — By the theorem of generic flatness (cf. [11, 6.9.1]) there is a non-empty open subscheme  $V$  of  $X$  such that the restriction of  $p$  to a morphism  $p^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$  is flat (and in particular open). This restriction is quasi-finite by [12, 14.2.4], because the generic fiber of  $f$  is finite due to our assumption  $\dim(X) = \dim(Y)$ . By Zariski’s main theorem there exists a  $K$ -variety  $\bar{Y}$ , an open immersion  $i: p^{-1}(V) \rightarrow \bar{Y}$  and a finite morphism  $f: \bar{Y} \rightarrow V$  such that  $f \circ i = p$ . The ramification locus  $C \subset \bar{Y}$  of  $f$  is closed (cf. [8, I.3.3]), and  $C \neq \bar{Y}$  because  $f$  is separable. Define  $U := V \setminus f((\bar{Y} \setminus \text{im}(i)) \cup C)$ . Then  $U$  is open (cf. [6, 6.1.10]) and non-empty, and  $f^{-1}(U) \subset \text{im}(i) \setminus C$ . Hence the restriction of  $f$  to a morphism  $f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$  is finite and étale, and the assertion follows from that. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* — Let  $K$  be a field, and  $f: X \rightarrow S$  a dominant morphism of  $K$ -varieties. Assume that the set  $\Sigma$  of those  $s \in S(K)$  for which  $f^{-1}(s)$  is of Hilbert type is not thin. Let  $C \subseteq X$  be a proper Zariski-closed subset. Let  $I$  be a finite set and suppose that  $Y_i$  is a  $K$ -variety with  $\dim(Y_i) = \dim(X)$  and  $p_i: Y_i \rightarrow X$  is a dominant separable morphism of degree  $\geq 2$ , for every  $i \in I$ . We have to show that  $X(K) \not\subseteq C(K) \cup \bigcup_{i \in I} p_i(Y_i(K))$ .

By Lemma 3.3 and [11, 6.12.6, 6.13.5] there exists a normal nonempty open subscheme  $X' \subset X \setminus C$  such that the restriction of each  $p_i$  to a morphism  $p_i^{-1}(X') \rightarrow X'$  is finite and étale. The image  $f(X')$  contains a nonempty open subscheme  $S'$  of  $S$  (cf. [10, 1.8.4], [7, 9.2.2]). Furthermore,  $S'$  contains a nonempty normal open subscheme  $S''$ . Let us define  $X'' := f^{-1}(S'') \cap X'$  and  $Y_i'' := p_i^{-1}(X'')$ . Then the restriction of  $f$  to a morphism  $f'': X'' \rightarrow S''$  is a surjective morphism of normal  $K$ -varieties,  $\Sigma \cap S''(K)$  is not thin, and  $f''^{-1}(s)$  is of Hilbert type for every  $s \in \Sigma \cap S''(K)$  because it is an open subscheme of  $f^{-1}(s)$ . The restriction  $p_i''$  of  $p_i$  to a morphism  $Y_i'' \rightarrow X''$  is finite and étale for every  $i \in I$ . By Lemma 3.2 applied to  $f''$  and the  $p_i''$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset \neq X''(K) \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} p_i''(Y_i''(K)) \\ = X''(K) \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} p_i(Y_i(K)) \\ \subseteq X(K) \setminus \left( C(K) \cup \bigcup_{i \in I} p_i(Y_i(K)) \right), \end{aligned}$$

so  $X(K) \not\subseteq C(K) \cup \bigcup_{i \in I} p_i(Y_i(K))$ , as needed. □

As an immediate consequence we get an affirmative solution of Serre’s question mentioned in the introduction.

**COROLLARY 3.4.** — *Let  $K$  be a field. If  $X, Y$  are  $K$ -varieties of Hilbert type, then  $X \times Y$  is of Hilbert type.*

*Proof.* — Denote by  $f: X \times Y \rightarrow X$  the projection. Then  $f^{-1}(x)$  is isomorphic to  $Y$  and hence of Hilbert type for every  $x \in X(K)$ . Thus Corollary 1.2 gives that  $X \times Y$  is of Hilbert type. □

### 4. Algebraic groups of Hilbert type

By an *algebraic group* over a field  $K$  we shall mean a connected smooth group scheme over  $K$ . Recall that such an algebraic group is a  $K$ -variety,

see [9, Exp VI<sub>A</sub>, 0.3, 2.1.2, 2.4]. If  $G$  is an algebraic group over  $K$ , then  $G(K_s)$  is a  $\text{Gal}(K)$ -group, where  $K_s$  denotes a separable closure of  $K$  and  $\text{Gal}(K) = \text{Gal}(K_s/K)$  is the absolute Galois group of  $K$ , and there is an associated Galois cohomology pointed set  $H^1(K, G) = H^1(\text{Gal}(K), G(K_s))$ , which classifies isomorphism classes of  $G(K_s)$ -torsors, cf. [15, Prop. 1.2.3].

PROPOSITION 4.1. — *Let  $K$  be a field and let*

$$1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{p} Q \rightarrow 1$$

*be a short exact sequence of algebraic groups over  $K$ . If  $H^1(K, N) = 1$  and both  $N$  and  $Q$  are of Hilbert type, then  $G$  is of Hilbert type.*

*Proof.* — It suffices to show that  $p^{-1}(x)$  is of Hilbert type for every  $x \in Q(K)$ , because then Corollary 1.2 implies the assertion. Let  $x \in Q(K)$  and  $F = p^{-1}(x)$ . There is an exact sequence of  $\text{Gal}(K)$ -groups

$$1 \rightarrow N(K_s) \rightarrow G(K_s) \rightarrow Q(K_s) \rightarrow 1,$$

where the right hand map is surjective, because for every point  $x \in Q(K_s)$  the fiber over  $x$  is a non-empty  $K_s$ -variety and thus has a  $K_s$ -rational point. Since the  $\text{Gal}(K)$ -set  $F(K_s)$  is a coset of  $N(K_s)$ , it is a  $N(K_s)$ -torsor. Our hypothesis  $H^1(K, N) = 1$  implies that  $F(K_s)$  is isomorphic to the trivial  $N(K_s)$ -torsor  $N(K_s)$ . It follows that  $F$  is isomorphic to  $N$  as a  $K$ -variety, hence  $F$  is of Hilbert type.  $\square$

Using this, we generalize the result of Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc [2, Cor. 7.15] from reductive groups to arbitrary linear groups.

THEOREM 4.2. — *Every linear algebraic group  $G$  over a perfect Hilbertian field  $K$  is of Hilbert type.*

*Proof.* — We denote by  $G_u$  the unipotent radical of  $G$  (cf. [14, Prop. XVII.1.2]). We have a short exact sequence of algebraic groups over  $K$

$$(*) \quad 1 \rightarrow G_u \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$$

with  $Q$  reductive, cf. [14, Prop. XVII.2.2]. By [2, Cor. 7.15],  $Q$  is of Hilbert type. Since  $K$  is perfect,  $G_u$  is split, i.e. there exists a series of normal algebraic subgroups

$$1 = U_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq U_n = G_u$$

such that  $U_{i+1}/U_i \cong \mathbb{G}_a$  for each  $i$ , cf. [1, 15.5(ii)]. The groups  $U_i$  are unipotent, hence  $H^1(K, U_i) = 1$  by [18, Ch. III §2.1, Prop. 6], and  $\mathbb{G}_a$  is of Hilbert type since  $K$  is Hilbertian. Thus, an inductive application of Proposition 4.1 implies that  $G_u$  is of Hilbert type. Finally we apply Proposition 4.1 to the exact sequence  $(*)$  to conclude that  $G$  is of Hilbert type.  $\square$

*Remark 4.3.* — In the special case where  $K$  is a number field, Sansuc proved a much more precise result: It follows from [17, Cor. 3.5(ii)] that a linear algebraic group  $G$  over a number field satisfies the so-called *weak weak approximation* property [19, Def. 3.5.6], which, by a theorem of Colliot-Thélène and Ekedahl, in particular implies that  $G$  is of Hilbert type, cf. [19, Thm. 3.5.7].

*Remark 4.4.* — The special case of Theorem 4.2 where  $G$  is simply connected and  $K$  is finitely generated is also a consequence of a result of Corvaja, see [4, Cor. 1.7].

*Remark 4.5.* — We point out that Theorem 4.2 could be deduced also from Corollary 3.4 (instead of Corollary 1.2) via [16, Cor. 1] and the fact that a unipotent group over a perfect field is rational, cf. [9, XIV, 6.3].

As a consequence of Theorem 4.2, we get a more general statement for homogeneous spaces, which was pointed out to us by Borovoi:

**COROLLARY 4.6.** — *If  $G$  is a linear algebraic group over a perfect Hilbertian field  $K$ , and  $H$  is a connected algebraic subgroup of  $G$ , then the quotient  $G/H$  is of Hilbert type.*

*Proof.* — For the existence of the quotient  $Q := G/H$  see for example [1, Ch. II Thm. 6.8]. If  $\mathcal{H}$  denotes the generic fiber of  $G \rightarrow Q$  and  $\overline{F}$  is an algebraic closure of the function field  $K(Q)$  of  $Q$ , then  $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{F}} \cong H_{\overline{F}}$  by translation on  $G$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{H}$  is geometrically irreducible since  $H$  is, so [2, Prop. 7.13] implies that  $Q$  is of Hilbert type.  $\square$

We also get a complete classification of the algebraic groups that are of Hilbert type over a number field:

**COROLLARY 4.7.** — *An algebraic group  $G$  over a number field  $K$  is of Hilbert type if and only if it is linear.*

*Proof.* — If  $G$  is linear, then it is of Hilbert type by Theorem 4.2. Conversely, assume that  $G$  is of Hilbert type. Chevalley's theorem [3, Thm. 1.1] gives a short exact sequence of algebraic groups over  $K$ ,

$$1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow A \rightarrow 1$$

with  $H$  linear and  $A$  an abelian variety. As in the proof of Corollary 4.6 we conclude that the generic fiber of  $G \rightarrow A$  is geometrically irreducible, and therefore  $A$  is of Hilbert type. Since no nontrivial abelian variety over a number field is of Hilbert type, cf. [5, Remark 13.5.4],  $A$  is trivial and  $G \cong H$  is linear.  $\square$



*Acknowledgements.* — The authors would like to thank Mikhail Borovoi for pointing out to them Corollary 4.6, Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène and Moshe Jarden for helpful comments on a previous version, Daniel Krashen for some references concerning algebraic groups, and the anonymous referee for many helpful suggestions.

This research was supported by the Lion Foundation Konstanz – Tel Aviv, the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, the Hermann Minkowski Minerva Center for Geometry at Tel Aviv University, the Ministerium für Wissenschaft, Forschung und Kunst Baden-Württemberg, and by a grant from the GIF, the German-Israeli Foundation for Scientific Research and Development.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] A. BOREL, *Linear algebraic groups*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 126, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, xii+288 pages.
- [2] J.-L. COLLIOT-THÉLÈNE & J.-J. SANSUC, “Principal homogeneous spaces under flasque tori: applications”, *J. Algebra* **106** (1987), no. 1, p. 148-205.
- [3] B. CONRAD, “A modern proof of Chevalley’s theorem on algebraic groups”, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **17** (2002), no. 1, p. 1-18.
- [4] P. CORVAJA, “Rational fixed points for linear group actions”, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* **6** (2007), no. 4, p. 561-597.
- [5] M. D. FRIED & M. JARDEN, *Field arithmetic*, third ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 11, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008, Revised by Jarden, xxiv+792 pages.
- [6] A. GROTHENDIECK, “Éléments de géométrie algébrique: II. Étude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphismes”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1961), no. 8, p. 5-222.
- [7] ———, “Éléments de géométrie algébrique: III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents, Première partie”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1961), no. 11, p. 5-167.
- [8] ———, *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental. Fasc. I: Exposés 1 à 5*, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique, vol. 1960/61, Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Paris, 1963, iv+143 pages.
- [9] ———, *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental. Fasc. II: Exposés 6, 8 à 11*, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique, vol. 1960/61, Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Paris, 1963, i+163 pages.
- [10] ———, “Éléments de géométrie algébrique: IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Première partie”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1964), no. 20, p. 5-259.
- [11] ———, “Éléments de géométrie algébrique: IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Deuxième partie”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1965), no. 24, p. 5-231.

- [12] ———, “Éléments de géométrie algébrique (rédigés avec la collaboration de Jean Dieudonné): IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Troisième partie”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1966), no. 28, p. 5-255.
- [13] J. KOLLÁR, “Rationally connected varieties and fundamental groups”, in *Higher dimensional varieties and rational points (Budapest, 2001)*, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., vol. 12, Springer, Berlin, 2003, p. 69-92.
- [14] J. MILNE, “Basic Theory of Affine Group Schemes”, Available at [www.jmilne.org](http://www.jmilne.org), 2012.
- [15] J. NEUKIRCH, A. SCHMIDT & K. WINGBERG, *Cohomology of number fields*, second ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 323, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008, xvi+825 pages.
- [16] M. ROSENBLIETH, “Questions of rationality for solvable algebraic groups over non-perfect fields”, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **61** (1963), p. 97-120.
- [17] J.-J. SANSUC, “Groupe de Brauer et arithmétique des groupes algébriques linéaires sur un corps de nombres”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **327** (1981), p. 12-80.
- [18] J.-P. SERRE, *Galois cohomology*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997, Translated from the French by Patrick Ion and revised by the author, x+210 pages.
- [19] ———, *Topics in Galois theory*, second ed., Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1, A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 2008, notes written by Henri Darmon, xvi+120 pages.

Manuscrit reçu le 5 mars 2013,  
révisé le 16 septembre 2013,  
accepté le 27 novembre 2013.

Lior BARY-SOROKER  
Schreiber 208  
School of Mathematical Sciences  
Tel Aviv University  
Ramat Aviv  
Tel Aviv 6997801 (Israel)  
[barylior@post.tau.ac.il](mailto:barylior@post.tau.ac.il)

Arno FEHM  
Universität Konstanz  
Fachbereich Mathematik und Statistik  
Fach 203  
78457 Konstanz (Germany)  
[arno.fehm@uni-konstanz.de](mailto:arno.fehm@uni-konstanz.de)

Sebastian PETERSEN  
Fachbereich Mathematik  
Universität Kassel  
Heinrich-Plettstr. 40  
D-34132 Kassel (Germany)  
[basti.petersen@googlemail.com](mailto:basti.petersen@googlemail.com)