

MÉMOIRES DE LA S. M. F.

C. JOURON

On some structural design problems

Mémoires de la S. M. F., tome 60 (1979), p. 87-93

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=MSMF_1979__60__87_0

© Mémoires de la S. M. F., 1979, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Mémoires de la S. M. F. » (<http://smf.emath.fr/Publications/Memoires/Presentation.html>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

*Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/*

ON SOME STRUCTURAL DESIGN PROBLEMS

C. JOURON

Analyse Numérique et Fonctionnelle
C.N.R.S. et Université de Paris-Sud Département de Mathématiques
Bâtiment 425, 91405 - Orsay

Conférence au Colloque d'Analyse non convexe - Pau, Mai 1977 -

1. INTRODUCTION

Some structural design problems may be stated as

$$(\mathcal{P}) \quad \inf_{u \in C} J(u) \\ \lambda(u) = \lambda_1$$

where u is the thickness of the structure, $J(u)$ its weight, $\lambda(u)$ its fundamental frequency of vibration, λ_1 the fundamental frequency of the structure with uniform thickness and C is the convex of constraints on u .

Here we consider the problems (\mathcal{P}) when J and λ have the following properties

$$\begin{aligned} J &\text{ is convex on } C \\ \lambda &\text{ is pseudoconcave on } C. \end{aligned}$$

We shall state necessary and sufficient conditions of optimality for an abstract problem (\mathcal{P}) . And we shall apply these results in structural design. We refer to [4] [5] for the proof of these results and for more details.

2. PROBLEM (\mathcal{P}) : ABSTRACT CASE.

Let E a locally convex Hausdorff space, C a convex of E , J and λ

two real valued functions defined on C . We consider the two following problems

$$(P) \quad \inf_{u \in C} J(u)$$

$$\lambda(u) = \lambda_1$$

$$(Q) \quad \inf_{u \in C} J(u)$$

$$\lambda(u) > \lambda_1$$

where $\lambda_1 = \lambda(u_1)$ $u_1 \in C$.

Proposition 2.1. Assume

$$(2.1) \quad J \text{ pseudoconvex on } C$$

$$(2.2) \quad \lambda \text{ continuous on } C$$

$$(2.3) \quad \exists u_\alpha \in C \text{ such that } \lambda(u_\alpha) < \lambda_1 \text{ and}$$

$$J(u_\alpha) < J(u) \quad \forall u \in C, u \neq u_\alpha$$

then the problems (P) and (Q) are equivalent (i.e. if u is a solution of (P) u is a solution of (Q) and reciprocally).

Let's recall the definition of a pseudoconvex function. J is a pseudoconvex on C if J is Gateaux-differentiable on C and if

$$\forall (u, v) \in C \times C, J'(u).(v-u) \geq 0 \implies J(v) \geq J(u).$$

The reader can find the properties of pseudoconvex and quasiconvex functions in MANGASARIAN [6].

We make use of these properties for the proof of Proposition 2.1.

Remark. (Q) is a convex problem.

Proposition 2.2. Assume (2.1),

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda \text{ pseudoconcave on } C \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2.5) \quad \exists u_\gamma \in C \text{ such that } \lambda(u_\gamma) > \lambda_1.$$

Then if $\bar{u} \in C$ and if $\lambda(\bar{u}) > \lambda_1$, \bar{u} is a solution of (Q) if and only if

$$\exists \bar{n} \leq 0 \text{ such that}$$

$$(2.6) \quad J'(\bar{u}).(v-\bar{u}) + \bar{n} \lambda'(\bar{u}).(v-\bar{u}) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in C$$

$$(2.7) \quad \bar{n}(\lambda(\bar{u}) - \lambda_1) = 0.$$

The proof of the necessary condition is based on the results of HALKIN [2] and (2.4)-(2.5) assumptions.

The proof of the sufficiency is easy.

Corollary 2.1. Assume (2.1)-(2.3)-(2.4)-(2.5). Let $\bar{u} \in C$, $\lambda(\bar{u}) = \lambda_1$ then \bar{u} is a solution of (P) if and only if

$$\exists \bar{n} < 0 \text{ such that } J'(\bar{u}).(v-\bar{u}) + \bar{n} \lambda'(\bar{u}).(v-\bar{u}) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in C.$$

3. THE PROBLEM (P) IN STRUCTURAL DESIGN.

Let Ω be an open bounded connected set in \mathbb{R}^n let a and b two functions

$$a :]0, +\infty[\rightarrow]0, +\infty[\quad C^2, \text{ concave}$$

$$b :]0, +\infty[\rightarrow]0, +\infty[\quad C^2, \text{ convex}.$$

Denote by $(.,.)$ the scalar product of $L^2(\Omega)$

$\langle ., . \rangle$ the bilinear canonical pairing over $H_0^1(\Omega) \times H^{-1}(\Omega)$.

$U = \{u \in L^\infty(\Omega) ; \exists \alpha > 0 \text{ such that } u(x) \geq \alpha \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}$

$$(3.1) \quad C = \{u \in L^\infty(\Omega) ; 0 < \alpha \leq u(x) \leq \beta \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\} \quad \alpha, \beta \text{ given with } 0 < \alpha < 1 < \beta < +\infty$$

a and b the maps from U to U defined by

$$a(u)(x) = a(u(x)), b(u)(x) = b(u(x)).$$

A_u the isomorphism from $H_0^1(\Omega)$ to $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ defined by

$$\langle A_u w, \phi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} a(u)(x) \nabla w(x) \cdot \nabla \phi(x) dx, \quad (w, \phi) \in H_0^1(\Omega)^2$$

B_u the operator of $L^2(\Omega)$ defined by

$$B_u w = b(u) w.$$

3.1. The spectral problem.

It is known that the first eigenvalue $\lambda(u)$ of

$$A_u w = \lambda B_u w$$

is simple and that the associated eigenvector $w(u)$ satisfies

$$w(u;x) \geq 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega$$

$$\lambda(u) = \inf_{\substack{w \in H_0^1(\Omega) \\ w \neq 0}} \frac{\langle A_u w, w \rangle}{\langle B_u w, w \rangle} = \frac{\langle A_u w(u), w(u) \rangle}{\langle B_u w(u), w(u) \rangle}$$

We suppose that $w(u)$ is normalized in $L^2(\Omega)$.

Using the Implicit Function Theorem we can prove that the function

$$u \in U \longrightarrow \lambda(u)$$

is differentiable on U and that

$$(3.2) \quad \lambda'(u).v = \frac{\int_{\Omega} \{(a'(u).v)(x) |\nabla w(u;x)|^2 - \lambda(u)(b'(u).v)(x) w^2(u;x)\} dx}{\int_{\Omega} b(u) w^2(u;x) dx}.$$

From the concavity of a and $(-b)$, it follows that :

$$(3.3) \quad \lambda(v) \leq \lambda(u) + \lambda'(u).(v-u) \frac{\int_{\Omega} b(u)(x) w^2(u;x) dx}{\int_{\Omega} b(v)(x) w^2(u;x) dx} \quad \forall (u,v) \in U \times U.$$

Using this inequality we get

Theorem 3.1. λ is pseudo concave on U . λ is upper semicontinuous on C for the weak* topology of $L^\infty(\Omega)$.

3.2. Statement of the problem and results.

Ω is the form of the structure, u is the thickness and therefore the weight is

$$J(u) = \int_{\Omega} u(x) dx .$$

Let u_1 be the function in C defined by

$$u_1(x) = 1 \quad \forall x \in \Omega ,$$

and

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda(u_1) .$$

We shall consider the following optimisation problem :

minimize $J(u)$ subject to $\lambda(u) = \lambda_1$ and $u \in C$ i.e.

$$(P) \quad \inf_{\substack{u \in C \\ \lambda(u) = \lambda_1}} J(u) .$$

Let u_α be the function defined on C by

$$(3.4) \quad u_\alpha(x) = \alpha \quad \forall x \in \Omega .$$

This function satisfies

$$J(u_\alpha) < J(u) \quad \forall u \in C, u \neq u_\alpha .$$

Introduce the problem

$$(Q) \quad \inf_{\substack{u \in C \\ \lambda(u) \leq \lambda_1}} J(u) .$$

Then using the previous results it is easy to prove

Theorem 3.2. Assume

$$(3.5) \quad \lambda(u_\alpha) < \lambda_1$$

$$(3.6) \quad \text{if } u_\gamma \in C \text{ such that } \lambda(u_\gamma) > \lambda_1 \\ \text{then} \quad \text{i) (P) and (Q) are equivalent ;}$$

ii) (\mathcal{P}) has at least one solution;

iii) $\bar{u} \in C$ is a solution of (\mathcal{P}) if $\lambda(\bar{u}) = \lambda_1$ and

(3.7) $\exists \bar{n} < 0$ such that

$$J(v) - J(\bar{u}) + \bar{n} \lambda'(u) \cdot (v - \bar{u}) > 0 \quad \forall v \in C;$$

iv) the set $S(\mathcal{P})$ of solutions of (\mathcal{P}) is convex and $\{w(u); u \in S(\mathcal{P})\}$ is reduced to one function.

Remark. The condition (3.7) is equivalent to (3.8) $\exists e > 0$ such that

$$g(\bar{u}; x) \leq e \text{ a.e. in } \Omega_\beta = \{x \in \Omega; \bar{u}(x) < \beta\}$$

and

$$g(\bar{u}, x) \geq e \text{ a.e. in } \Omega_\alpha = \{x \in \Omega; \bar{u}(x) > \alpha\}$$

where

$$g(\bar{u}; x) = \mathbf{a}'(u(x)) |\nabla w(u; x)|^2 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{b}'(u(x)) w^2(u; x).$$

3.3. Examples.

The previous results can be applied to numerous examples and in particular to

$$\text{Example 1} \quad a(u) = u \quad b(u) = 1$$

$$\text{Example 2} \quad a(u) = u \quad b(u) = u + \delta \text{ where } \delta \text{ is a constant positive}$$

$$\text{Example 3} \quad a(u) = 1 \quad b(u) = \frac{1}{u^2}.$$

We refer to [1] and [3] for the motivation of these problems.

In these examples the function u_α defined by (3.4) satisfies (3.5) and the function u_γ defined by

$$u_\gamma(x) \equiv \gamma \text{ where } 1 < \gamma < \beta$$

satisfies (3.6) and we can apply Theorem 3.2. In particular in Example 3, (3.8) gives $\exists e > 0$ such that

$$\frac{w^2(\bar{u};x)}{\bar{u}^3(x)} \leq e \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega_\beta$$

$$\frac{w^2(\bar{u};x)}{\bar{u}^3(x)} \geq e \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega_\alpha$$

and this implies that, in this example (\mathcal{P}) has only one solution \bar{u} . Moreover if $\Omega =]0,1[$ we can construct this solution.

Remark. We have used the same method to study problems of the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sup } & \lambda(u) . \\ J(u) = J_1 & \\ u \in C & \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES.

- [1] J.L.P. ARMAND - Applications of the theory of optimal control of distributed parameter systems to structural optimization.
N.A.S.A., C.R. 2044, Juin 1972.
 - [2] H. HALKIN - Calculus of variations classical and modern.
C.I.M.E., 1966, p.177-192.
 - [3] E.J. HAUG, K.C. PAN and T.D. STREETER - A computational method for optimal structural design : II continuous problems.
Int. J. num. Math. Eng. 9, 1975, p. 649-667.
 - [4] C. JOURON - Analyse théorique et numérique de quelques problèmes d'optimisation intervenant en théorie des structures.
Publication Mathématique d'Orsay, n°210-76-62, 1976.
 - [5] C. JOURON - Sur un problème d'optimisation où la contrainte porte sur la fréquence fondamentale.
Article à paraître à la R.A.I.R.O. série Rouge.
 - [6] O.L. MANGASARIAN - Nonlinear Programming.
Mc. Graw-Hill, 1969.
-