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# MEROMORPHIC EXTENSION OF HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS WITH GROWTH CONDITIONS 

## BY

Leif Abrahamsson (*)


#### Abstract

We prove that if $f$ is a holomorphic function, defined on an open subset $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ except on some exceptional set $P$ of sufficiently small Haudorff dimension, and if $f$ satisfies certain integrability conditions in a neighbourhood of each point in $P$, then $f$ extends meromorphically to $\Omega$. We also get an upper bound for the order of the pole of the extension at each piont in $P$.

RESUME. - Soit $f$ une fonction holomorphe, définie dans un ouvert $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ dans $\mathbb{C}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ sauf sur un ensemble exceptionnel $P$ ayant une dimension de Hausdorff suffisamment petite. Nous démontrons que sif satisfait à certaines conditions d'intégrabilité au voisinage de chaque point de $P$, alors $f$ se prolonge en une fonction meromorphe dans $\Omega$. Nous obtenons aussi une borne supérieure de l'ordre de pôle de l'extension à chaque point de $P$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n}, n \geqslant 1$, and denote by $O(\Omega)$ and $\operatorname{PSH}(\Omega)$ the class of holomorphic functions on $\Omega$ and the class of plurisubharmonic functions on $\Omega$, respectively. We do not include functions identically equal to $-\infty$ on some component of $\Omega$ in $\operatorname{PSH}(\Omega)$.

Definition 1.1. - A meromorphic function on $\Omega$ is a collection $\left(V_{i}, g_{i}, h_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ such that $\left(V_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ is an open covering of $\Omega, g_{i}, h_{i} \in O\left(V_{i}\right), g_{i}$ is not identically equal to 0 on any component of $V_{i}$ and $g_{i} h_{j}=g_{j} h_{i}$ on $V_{i} \cap V_{j}$. The class of meromorphic functions on $\Omega$ is denoted by $M(\Omega)$.
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Remark. - If $F=\left(V_{i}, g_{i}, h_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in M(\Omega)$, then $F$ is represented by $\left(f_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ where $f_{i}=h_{i} / g_{i}$ at every point in $V_{i}$ where $g_{i}$ is different from 0 . Since $g_{i} \mathrm{~h}_{j}=g_{j} \mathrm{~h}_{i}$ on $V_{i} \cap V_{j}$ we get that $f_{i}=f_{j}$ at every point in $V_{i} \cap V_{j}$ where $g_{i}$ and $g_{j}$ both are different from 0 . Therefore $F$ is represented by $f=h_{i} / g_{i}$ at every point in $V_{i}$ where $g_{i}$ is different from 0.

Definition 1.2. - Two meromorphic functions $\left(V_{i}, g_{i}, h_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ and $\left(W_{j}, G_{j}, H_{j}\right)_{j \in J}$ are equal if $G_{j} \mathrm{~h}_{i}=g_{i} H_{j}$ on $W_{j} \cap V_{i}, j \in J$ and $i \in I$.

Remark. - If $\left(W_{j}, G_{j}, H_{j}\right)_{j \in J}$ and $\left(V_{i}, g_{i}, h_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ are meromorphic functions on $\Omega$ and if they are equal in the sense of Definition 1.2, then it follows from Definitions 1.1 and 1.2 and the remark above that $F=f$, where $F=H_{j} / G_{j}$ on $W_{j}$ and $f=h_{i} / g_{i}$ on $V_{i}$ are the above representations of $\left(W_{j}, G_{j}, H_{j}\right)_{j \in J}$ and $\left(V_{i}, g_{i}, h_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$, respectively, at every point where the denominators are different from 0 .

Now, let $f \in M(\Omega)$ and let $f=h_{i} / g_{i}$ in $V_{i}$ as above. If $z \in \Omega$, then there is an $i \in I$ such that $z \in V_{i}$, and since $h_{i} \in O\left(V_{i}\right)$ we have that $h_{i} \in L_{\text {loc }}^{r}\left(V_{i}\right)$ for all $r$ such that $1 \leqslant r<+\infty$. Hence $f g_{i} \in L_{\text {loc }}^{r}\left(V_{i}\right)$ for all $r, 1 \leqslant r<+\infty$. This can be stated as follows:

If $f \in M(\Omega)$, then given $z \in \Omega$ and $r$ such that $1 \leqslant r<+\infty$, there exists a neighbourhood $V$ of $z$ in $\Omega$ and a function $\varphi \in \operatorname{PSH}(V)$ such that $\int_{V}|f|^{r} e^{\oplus} d \lambda<+\infty$, where $d \lambda$ denotes Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. (We have just to put $\varphi=r \log \left|g_{i}\right|$ in $V \subset V_{i}$.)

In this paper we study the converse situation. More precisely: let $\Omega$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $P$ a subset of $\Omega$. Given $f \in O(\Omega \backslash P)$ such that for each $z \in P$ there exists a neighbourhood $V$ of $z$ in $\Omega$, an $r, 1 \leqslant r<+\infty$, and a function $\varphi \in P S H(V)$ such that $\int_{V \backslash P}|f|^{r} e^{\varphi} d \lambda<+\infty$, find sufficient conditions on $P$ and $r$ such that this estimate leads to a meromorphic extension of $f$ to $\Omega$. Furthermore, if such an extension exists, what can be said about the order of the pole of this extension at a point $z \in P$ ? (Definition 2.1 explains what we mean by the order of the pole of a meromorphic function at a point.)

I wish to express my gratitude to Christer Kiselman and Urban Cegrell for many helpful discussions and valuable remarks on the subject treated in this paper.

## 2. Meromorphic extension

In this section $\Omega$ will always denote an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n}, n \geqslant 1$. Let $H_{d}$ denote the $d$-dimensional Hausdorff measure on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, or in fact on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$, since this does not involve the complex structure on $\mathbb{C}^{n} .(C f$. L. Carleson $[1]$ for an introduction to Hausdorff measures.) If $\varphi \in P S H(\Omega)$ we denote by $v_{\phi}(z)$ the Lelong number (or density) of $\varphi$ at $z \in \Omega$ (P. Lelong [7]).

Definition 2.1. - If $f \in M(\Omega)$ we define the order of the pole of $f$ at $z \in \Omega$ as
 $\Omega$ and $g$ is not identically equal to 0 in any component of $V\}$.

Theorem 2.2. - Let $P$ be a closed subset of an open set $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that $H_{2 n-q}(P)$ is locally finite for some $q>1$. Let $f \in O(\Omega \backslash P)$ and assume that for each $z_{0} \in P$ there exists a neighbourhood $V$ of $z_{0}$ in $\Omega$, an $r>q /(q-1)$ and a function $\varphi \in P S H(V)$ such that $\int_{V \backslash P}|f(z)|^{r} e^{\varphi(z)} d \lambda(z)<+\infty$. Then $f$ can be extended meromorphically to $\Omega$ and the order of the pole of this extension at $z_{0}$ is at most $v_{\varphi}\left(z_{0}\right) /(r-2)$.

Before the proof we need a few lemmas:
Lemma 2.3. - (R. Harvey and J. Polking [4, p. 703, Theorem 1.1 (d) and (e), respectively]. Part (b) was first proved by B. Schiffman in [8].)

Suppose $P$ is a closed subset of $\Omega$ and that $f \in O(\Omega \backslash P)$.
(a) If $f \in L_{\mathrm{ioc}}^{p}(\Omega)$ for some $p, 2 \leqslant p<+\infty$, and if $H_{2 n-q}(P)$ is locally finite, $1 / q+1 / p=1$, then $f$ extends holomorphically to $\Omega$.
(b) If $H_{2 n-2}(P)=0$, then $f$ extends holomorphically to $\Omega$.

Before the next lemma we need a definition.
Definition 2.4.
(a) A subset $P$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ is called polar if there exists a subharmonic function $\varphi$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ such that the restriction of $\varphi$ to $P$ is equal to $-\infty$.
(b) A subset $P$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is called pluripolar if there exists a function $\varphi \in \operatorname{PSH}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ such that the restriction of $\varphi$ to $P$ is equal to $-\infty$.

Remark. - A pluripolar subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is polar as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$, since plurisubharmonic functions on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ are subharmonic on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$.

Lemma 2.5. - (Cf. L. Carleson [1, p. 91, Theorem 2]). If $P$ is a polar subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$, then $H_{2 n-q}(P)$ is locally finite for all $q, 1 \leqslant q<2$, in fact $H_{2 n-q}(P)=0$ for all such $q$.

Lemma 2.6. - (Y.-T. Siu [10, p. 1201, Lemma 1.1]). Let $\varphi \in \operatorname{PSH}(\Omega)$ and suppose that $P$ is a submanifold of $\Omega$ of codimension 1 such that the ideal sheaf of $P$ is generated by a single function $g \in O(\Omega)$. If $v_{\varphi} \geqslant c$ on $P$, then $\varphi-c \log |g| \in \operatorname{PSH}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2.7. - (B. Schiffman [9, p. 338, Theorem 3(ii)]). Suppose that $P$ is a closed subset of $\Omega$ and that $\varphi \in \operatorname{PSH}(\Omega \backslash P) . \quad$ If $H_{2 n-2}(P)=0$ then $\varphi$ extends to a plurisubharmonic function on $\Omega$.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. - Because of Lemma 2.3 (b) we can assume that $1<q \leqslant 2$ and, since $H_{2 n-q}(P)$ is locally finite, $P$ has Lebesgue
 on $P$ ).

We shall now prove the existence of a meromorphic extension of $f$ to $\Omega$ by finding for each $z_{0} \in P$ a neighbourhood $W$ of $z_{0}$ in $\Omega$ and a function $g \in O(W)$ such that $g$ is not identically equal to 0 in any component of $W$ and $g f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p}(W)$ where $1 / p+1 / q=1$. Applying Lemma 2.3(a) we then get that $g f$ extends holomorphically to $W$ and, since $z_{0} \in P$ was arbitrarily chosen, this shows that $f$ extends meromorphically to $\Omega$.

Now, since $r>q /(q-1)$ we get $r>p \geqslant 2$. Put $q^{\prime}=r / p>1$ and choose $p^{\prime}$ such that $1 / q^{\prime}+1 / p^{\prime}=1$, i.e. $p^{\prime}=r /(r-p)$. From Hörmander [5, p. 98, Corollary 4.4.6 and p. 96, Theorem 4.4.4] it follows that there exists a function $G \in O(V)$ such that $G$ is not identically equal to 0 on any component of $V$ and $G \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(V, e^{-p^{\prime} \Phi / q^{\prime}}\right)=\left\{h: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}:|h|^{2} e^{-p^{\prime} \Phi / q^{\prime}} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(V)\right\}$. Now, let $W$ be a neighbourhood of $z_{0}$ contained in $V$ such that $\int_{W}|G|^{2} e^{-p^{\prime} \varphi / q^{\prime}} d \lambda<$
$+\infty$ and $\sup _{z \in W}|G(z)|<+\infty$. $+\infty$ and $\sup _{z \in W}|G(z)|<+\infty$.
Defining $g(z)=G(z) / \sup _{W}|G|$ we get a function $g \in O(W)$ such that $\int_{W}|g|^{2} e^{-p^{\prime} \Phi / q^{\prime}} d \lambda<+\infty$ and $|g(z)| \leqslant 1$ if $z \in W$.

An application of Hölder's inequality gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{W}|f g|^{p} d \lambda & \leqslant\left(\int_{W}|f|^{p^{\cdot \cdot} q^{\prime}} e^{\varphi} d \lambda\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}}\left(\int_{W}|g|^{p \cdot p^{\prime}} e^{-p^{\prime} \Phi / q^{\prime}} d \lambda\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}} \\
& \leqslant\left(\int_{V}|f|^{\mid} e^{\Phi} d \lambda\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}}\left(\int_{W}|g|^{2} e^{-p^{\prime} \varphi / q^{\prime}} d \lambda\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that $p q^{\prime}=r, p p^{\prime}>2$ and $|g| \leqslant 1$ in $W$. Since, by assumption on $f$ and the choice of $g$, the right-hand side of
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this expression is finite, it follows that $f g \in L^{p}(W)$. So, $f$ has a meromorphic extension to $\Omega$, which we also denote by $f$.

The next thing to prove is that the order of the pole of $f$ at $z_{0}$ is at most $v_{\phi}\left(z_{0}\right) /(r-2)$. To do this we first recall a result of Skoda [12, pp. 389-394] which says that if $\psi$ is plurisubharmonic in an open subset $D$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, then $e^{-\psi}$ is summable in a neighbourhood of each point $z \in D$ where $v_{\psi}(z)<2$. Thus $e^{-p^{\prime} \phi / q^{\prime}}$ is summable in a neighbourhood of $z_{0}$ if $v_{\phi}\left(z_{0}\right)<2 q^{\prime} / p^{\prime}$. Hence, we can, shrinking $W$ if necessary, choose $g$ identically equal to one and we get that $f$ is holomorphic in a neighbourhood of $z_{0}$ if $v_{\phi}\left(z_{0}\right)<2 q^{\prime} / p^{\prime}$.

Now, let $z_{0} \in P$ and assume that $v_{\varphi}\left(z_{0}\right) \geqslant 2 q^{\prime} / p^{\prime}$. Choose $g$ and $h$ holomorphic in a connected neighbourhood $W$ of $z_{0}$ contained in $V$, such $g$ is not identically equal to $0, g f=h$ in $W$ and the germs of $g$ and $h$ at $z_{0}$ have no common irreductible factor. The last condition on $g$ and $h$ is possible to fulfil since the ring of germs of holomorphic functions at $z_{0}$ is a unique factorization domain (L. Hörmander [5, p. 152, Theorem 6.3.2]) and Noetherian (L. Hörmander [5, p. 154, Theorem 6.3.3]). (Note that this $g$ is not always an element of $L_{\text {loc }}^{2}\left(W, e^{-p^{\prime} \phi / q^{\prime}}\right)$.) The fact that $f$ is holomorphic outside $\left\{z \in W: v_{\varphi}(z) \geqslant 2 q^{\prime} / p^{\prime}\right\}$ shows that

$$
g^{-1}(0) \subset h^{-1}(0) \cup\left\{z \in W: v_{\varphi}(z) \geqslant 2 q^{\prime} / p^{\prime}\right\} .
$$

But $\left\{z \in W: v_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}(z) \geqslant 2 q^{\prime} / p^{\prime}\right\}$ is an analytic subset of $W$ (Y.-T. Siu [11, pp. 98-104, Main theorem for ( 1,1 )-currents]; see also C. O. Kiselman [6, p. 296, Théorème de Siu] for a much shorter proof). Thus the choice of $g$ and $h$ shows that there is a connected neighbourhood $U$ of $z_{0}$ contained in $W$ such that $\{z \in U: g(z)=0\} \subset\left\{z \in U: v_{\varphi}(z) \geqslant 2 q^{\prime} / p^{\prime}\right\}$. (This is easily seen if we first choose $U$ such that $g$ and $h$ are relatively prime in $O(U)$ and then write $\{z \in U: h(z)=0\},\{z \in U: g(z)=0\}$ and $\left\{z \in U: v_{\varphi}(z) \geqslant 2 q^{\prime} / p^{\prime}\right\}$ as unions of their irreducible components. Note also that, because of this inclusion, $f$ is holomorphic in $U$ if the codimension of $\left\{z \in U: v_{\phi}(z) \geqslant 2 q^{\prime} / p^{\prime}\right\}$ is $\geqslant 2$.)

Since $\left\{z \in U: v_{\Phi}(z) \geqslant c\right\}, c>0$, is an analytic subset of $U$ and thereby polar, Lemma 2.5 gives that $H_{2 n-q_{1}}\left(\left\{z \in U: v_{\phi}(z) \geqslant c\right\}\right)=0$ for all $q_{1}$, $1 \leqslant q_{1}<2$. Now, let $\varepsilon>0$ be given such that $2+\varepsilon<r$ and put $p_{1}=2+\varepsilon$. Choose $q_{1}$ such that $1 / p_{1}+1 / q_{1}=1$ and choose $p^{\prime}$ and $q^{\prime}$ as above with $p$ and $q$ replaced by $p_{1}$ and $q_{1}$, respectively, and put $c=2 q^{\prime} / p^{\prime}$. We have that $H_{2 n-q_{1}}\left(\left\{z \in U: v_{\varphi}(z) \geqslant 2 q^{\prime} / p^{\prime}\right\}\right)=0$ for all $\varepsilon>0$. The function $g$ chosen above does not depend on $\varepsilon$ and in the same way as before
we get that $\{z \in U: g(z)=0\} \subset\left\{z \in U: v_{\varphi}(z) \geqslant 2 q^{\prime} / p^{\prime}\right\}$ for all $\varepsilon>0$ and hence $\{z \in U: g(z)=0\} \subset\left\{z \in U: v_{\phi}(z) \geqslant r-2\right\}$.

Before we proceed we need a lemma.
Lemma 2.8. - Let $D$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and let $\varphi \in \operatorname{PSH}(D)$. Suppose that $g \in O(D)$ is such that $|g|^{-r} e^{\varphi} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(D)$ for some $r>2$. Then $\varphi-(r-2) \log |g| \in \operatorname{PSH}(D)$.

Before the proof of Lemma 2.8 we continue with the proof of Theorem 2.2.
We have that $|h / g|^{r} e^{\varphi} \in L^{1}(U)$ and from this it follows that $|g|^{-r} e^{\varphi} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(U \backslash\left[h^{-1}(0) \cap g^{-1}(0)\right]\right.$. An application of Lemma 2.8 gives that $\varphi-(r-2) \log |g| \in \operatorname{PSH}\left(U \backslash\left[g^{-1}(0) \cap h^{-1}(0)\right]\right)$. The choice of $g, h$ and $U$ shows that $H_{2 n-2}\left(h^{-1}(0) \cap g^{-1}(0) \cap U\right)=0$ and Lemma 2.7 gives that $\varphi-(r-2) \log |g| \in P S H(U)$. Since a plurisubharmonic function has non-negative Lelong number, we get that $v_{\log |g|}\left(z_{0}\right) \leqslant v_{\varphi}\left(z_{0}\right) /(r-2)$ and, by Definition 2.1, the order of the pole of $f$ at $z_{0}$ is at most $v_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left(z_{0}\right) /(r-2)$. This ends the proof of Theorem 2.2

Proof of Lemma 2.8. - It follows from the part of the proof of Theorem 2.2 preceding Lemma 2.8 that $g^{-1}(0) \subset\left\{z \in D: v_{\varphi}(z) \geqslant r-2\right\}$. Since plurisubharmonicity is a local property, it is enough to prove that $\varphi-(r-2) \log |g|$ is plurisubharmonic in a neighbourhood of each point in $D$. Let $z_{0} \in D$ be given'and let $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}$ be the irreducible factors of the germ of $g$ at $z_{0}$, i.e. $g=g_{1}^{k_{1}} \ldots g_{m}^{k_{m}}$, for some $k_{i} \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$, in a neighbourhood $U$ of $z_{0}$. We choose $U$ such that $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}$ are irreducible in $O(U)$ and $g_{i}$ and $g_{j}$ are relatively prime in $O(U), i \neq j, 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant m$, and such that $\int_{U}|g|^{-r} e^{\Phi} d \lambda<$
$+\infty$. $+\infty$.
We shall now look at three different cases for the values of $m$ and the $k_{i}$ :s.

$$
\begin{align*}
& g=g_{1}, \quad \text { i.e. the germ of } g \text { at } z_{0} \text { is irreducible; }  \tag{1}\\
& g=g_{1}^{k_{1}} \quad \text { for some } k_{1} \geqslant 2 ;  \tag{2}\\
& g=g_{1}^{k_{1}} \ldots g_{m}^{k_{m}}, \quad m \geqslant 2 \quad \text { and } \quad k_{i} \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

(1) Put $X=\{z \in U: g(z)=0\}$ and denote by $X_{\text {reg }}$ and $X_{\text {sing }}$ the set of all regular points in $X$ and the set of all singular points in $X$, respectively. The assumption on $g$ and the choice of $U$ shows that

$$
\{h \in O(U): h(z)=0 \text { if } z \in X\}
$$

is generated by $g$. Since $H_{2 n-2}\left(X_{\text {sing }}\right)=0$, Lemma 2.3 (b) shows that $\left\{h \in O\left(U \backslash X_{\text {sing }}\right): h(z)=0\right.$ if $\left.z \in X \backslash X_{\text {sing }}=X_{\text {reg }}\right\}$ is also generated by
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$g \in O\left(U \backslash X_{\text {sing }}\right)$. But $X_{\text {reg }}$ is a submanifold of $U \backslash X_{\text {sing }}$, so Lemma 2.6 gives that $\varphi-(r-2) \log |g| \in \operatorname{PSH}\left(U \backslash X_{\text {sing }}\right)$ and, since $H_{2 n-2}\left(X_{\text {sing }}\right)=0$, Lemma 2.7 shows that $\varphi-(r-2) \log |g| \in P S H(U)$.
(2) We get that $\int_{U}\left|g_{1}\right|^{-r k_{1}} e^{\varphi} d \lambda<+\infty$, so the part of the proof of Theorem 2.2 preceding Lemma 2.8 shows that

$$
\left\{z \in U: g_{1}(z)=0\right\} \subset\left\{z \in U: v_{\varphi}(z) \geqslant r k_{1}-2\right\} .
$$

Part (1) above now shows that $\varphi-\left(r k_{1}-2\right) \log \left|g_{1}\right| \in \operatorname{PSH}(U)$. Since $k_{1} \geqslant 2$ we have that $\left(2 k_{1}-2\right) \log \left|g_{1}\right| \in \operatorname{PSH}(U)$, so
$\varphi-(r-2) \log |g|=\varphi-\left(r k_{1}-2\right) \log \left|g_{1}\right|+\left(2 k_{1}-2\right) \log \left|g_{1}\right| \in P S H(U)$.
(3) Put $X=\{z \in U: g(z)=0\}$. The assumption on $g$ and the choice of $U$ shows that $\{h \in O(U): h(z)=0$ if $z \in X\}$ is generated by $g_{1} \ldots g_{m}$.

We have that

$$
H_{2 n-2}\left(\bigcup_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{m}\left(g_{i}^{-1}(0) \bigcap g_{j}^{-1}(0)\right)\right)=0
$$

and therefore Lemma $2.3(b)$ shows that $\{h \in O(U \backslash A): h(z)=0$ if $z \in X \backslash A\}$ is also generated by $g_{1} \ldots g_{m}$, where

$$
A=\bigcup_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{m}\left(g_{i}^{-1}(0) \bigcap g_{j}^{-1}(0)\right)
$$

With the same arguments as in (1) and (2) we get that

$$
\varphi-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(r k_{i}-2\right) \log \left|g_{i}\right| \in P S H(U)
$$

and since $k_{i} \geqslant 1,1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$,
$\varphi-(r-2) \log |g|=\varphi-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(r k_{i}-2\right) \log \left|g_{i}\right|$

$$
+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(2 k_{i}-2\right) \log \left|g_{i}\right| \in P S H(U)
$$

Remark. - From the proof of Lemma 2.8 it easily follows that if the denominator $g$ of $f$ is equal to $g_{1}^{k_{1}} \ldots g_{m}^{k_{m}}$ in a neighbourhood of $z_{0}$ and if $g_{i}\left(z_{0}\right)=0, k_{i}>1$ for some $i$, then the order of the pole of $f$ at $z_{0}$ is strictly less than $v_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left(z_{0}\right) /(r-2)$.

Corollary 2.9. - Let $\Omega$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $(q, r) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 .} \quad$ Let $P$ be a closed subset of $\Omega$ and $f \in O(\Omega \backslash P)$. Suppose that $P$ and $f$ satisfies the following two conditions
$\left(C_{q}\right): H_{2 n-q}(P)$ is locally finite (possibly $H_{2 n-q}(P)=0$ ),
$\left(C_{r}^{\prime}\right)$ : there exists a function $\varphi \in \operatorname{PSH}(\Omega)$ such that for every $z_{0} \in P$ there is a neighbourhood $V$ of $z_{0}$ in $\Omega$ such that

$$
\int_{V \backslash P}|f(z)|^{r} e^{\varphi(z)} d \lambda(z)<+\infty .
$$

Then $f$ can be extended
(1) holomorphically to $\Omega$ if
(a) $\mathrm{H}_{2 n-2}(P)=0($ in particular if $q>2$ ) or
(b) $q>1, r \geqslant q /(q-1)$ and $\varphi$ an be chosen identically equal to 0 , i.e. if $f \in L_{\text {loc }}^{P}(\Omega)$ where $1 / q+1 / p=1$;
(2) meromorphically to $\Omega$ if $q>1$ and $r>q /(q-1)$. The order of the pole of the extension at $z_{0}$ is at most $v_{\mathrm{o}}\left(z_{0}\right) /(r-2)$.

Corollary 2.9 is just a restatement of Lemmas $2.3(a)$ and $(b)$ and Theorem 2.2 when $\varphi \in \operatorname{PSH}(\Omega)$.

In order that $f$ shall have an extension that is not holomorphic it is obviously necessary that $q \leqslant 2$, since $P$ must contain the set of poles of the extension and, for the same reason, it is also necessary that the set $\left\{z \in \Omega: \nu_{\varphi}(z) \geqslant r-2\right\}$ has codimension 1 .

Remark. - If we in the statement of Theorem 2.2 let $f \in M(\Omega \backslash P)$ instead of $f \in O(\Omega \backslash P)$, then Theorem 2.2 is still valid, i.e. $f$ extends meromorphically to $\Omega$ and the order of the pole at $z_{0} \in P$ is at most $v_{\varphi}\left(z_{0}\right) /(r-2)$. This follows from the fact that the set $A=\{z \in \Omega: f$ is not holomorphic in a neighbourhood of $z\}$ has locally finite $(2 n-2)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure, so if we just replace $P$ by $P^{\prime}=P \cup A$ then the proof of Theorem 2.2 given above applies. (Note that, since the envelope of holomorphy is the same as the envelope of meromorphy, we can still assume that $q \leqslant 2$.)

Remark. - The upper bound of the order of the pole of $f$ given in Theorem 2.2 is the best possible in the following sense:

Given $r>2$ and $z_{0} \in \Omega$, there exists a function $f \in M(\Omega)$, a neighbourhood $V$ of $z_{0}$ and a function $\varphi \in \operatorname{PSH}(V)$ such that $\int_{V}|f|^{r} e^{\varphi} d \lambda<+\infty$ and the order of the pole of $f$ at $z_{0}$ is strictly greater than $v_{\varphi}\left(z_{0}\right) /(r-2)-1$, where the Lelong number is defined such that $v_{\log |g|}$ is always a natural number if $g$ is holomorphic ( P . Thie [13, p. 309, theorem 5.1]).

To prove this we choose $g \in O(\Omega)$ such that $g\left(z_{0}\right)=0$, e.g. let us choose $g(z)=\left(z_{1}-a_{1}\right)^{m}$ where $m \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}, \quad z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ and
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$z_{0}=\left(a_{10}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$. Then $v_{\log |g|}\left(z_{0}\right)=m$ with the above definition of the Lelong number. Put $\varphi=s \log |g|$ for some $s, 0<s<r$. We get that $\varphi$, $r \log |g|-\varphi \in \operatorname{PSH}(\Omega)$ and

$$
r v_{\log |g|}\left(z_{0}\right)-v_{\varphi}\left(z_{0}\right)=m(r-s)
$$

so, if $s>r-2 / m$, then $|g|^{-r} e^{\varphi}$ is summable in a neighbourhood $V$ of $z_{0}$. Furthermore, $v_{\varphi}\left(z_{0}\right) /(r-2)=s m /(r-2)$. We now want to choose $s$ such that $s m /(r-2)<1+m$. This is possible if $r-2 / m<(r-2)(1+1 / m)$ i.e. if $r>2 m$. Hence, if we choose $m \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $r>2 m$ and $g$ as above, then we can find $s$ such that $f=1 / g$ and $\varphi=s \log |g|$ have the required properties.

Corollary 2.10. - Let $P$ be a closed subset of $\Omega$ such that $H_{2 n-q}(P)$ is locally finite for some $q>1$. Suppose that $f \in O(\Omega \backslash P)$ is such that for each $z_{0} \in P$ there exists a neighbourhood $V$ of $z_{0}$ in $\Omega$ and a function $\varphi \in P S H(V)$ such that $\log |f| \leqslant-\varphi$ in $V \backslash P$. Then $f$ extends meromorphically to $\Omega$ and the order of the pole of this extension at $z_{0}$ is at most $v_{\varphi}\left(z_{0}\right)$.

Proof. - As in the proof of Theorem 2.2 we can assume that $q \leqslant 2$. Put $\psi=r \varphi$ where $r>q /(q-1)$, then we get that $\int_{V}|f|^{r} e^{\psi} d \lambda<+\infty$ and thus Theorem 2.2 gives that $f$ extends meromorphically to $\Omega$. We denote this extension also by $f$. Theorem 2.2 also gives that the order of the pole of $f$ at $z_{0}$ is at most $v_{\psi}\left(z_{0}\right) /(r-2)=r \nu_{\varphi}\left(z_{0}\right) /(r-2)$. Since $r>q /(q-1)$ was arbitrarily chosen we get, letting $r \rightarrow+\infty$, that the order of the pole of $f$ at $z_{0}$ is at most $\mathrm{v}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left(z_{0}\right)$.

Remark. - The assumption that $H_{2 n-q}(P)$ is locally finite in Corollary 2.10 is essential. This is seen by the following example :

Let $\Omega=\mathbb{C} \backslash B(0,1)$ and $P=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: z<0\}$, then $H_{2 n-1}(P)=H_{1}(P)$ is locally finite. Let $f$ be the principal branch of $\log z$, then $f \in O(\Omega \backslash P)$ and $\log |f(z)| \leqslant \log |z|+\pi$ in $\Omega \backslash . P . \quad-\log |z|-\pi \in \operatorname{PSH}(\Omega)$, but $f$ has no meromorphic extension to $\Omega$.

This example also shows that the assumption in Theorem 2.2 that $H_{2 n-q}(P)$ is locally finite for some $q>1$ is essential.

Remark. - It is possible to weaken the assumptions made on the plurisubharmonic function $\varphi$ in Corollary 2.10: if we assume that $H_{2 n-q}(P)$ is locally finite for some $q>1$ and that $P$ is a removable singularity set for the bounded plurisubharmonic functions (U. Cegrell [2]), then we only need to
assume that $\varphi \in P S H(V \backslash P)$ and $e^{2 p \varphi} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(V)$, where $1 / p+1 / q=1$ (U. Cegrell [3]). The method of the proof of the existence of an extension under these assumptions is similar, but does not use Theorem 2.2, and we do not, in general, get an estimate of the order of the pole of $f$ as in corollary 2.10 .

Corollary 2.11. - Let $P$ be a closed subset of $\Omega$ such that $H_{2 n-q}(P)$ is locally finite for some $q>1$. Suppose that $f$ is a function on $\Omega$ such that $f \in O(\Omega \backslash P)$ :nd $\log |f| \in \delta P S H(\Omega)($ i.e. $\log |f|=\varphi-\psi$ a.e. where $\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{PSH}(\Omega))$. Then $f$ extends meromorphically to $\Omega$.

Proof. - As in the proof of Theorem 2.2 we can assume that $q \leqslant 2$. Let $z_{0} \in P$ be given. If $\log |f|=\varphi-\psi$ a.e., then there exists a neighbourhood $V$ of $z_{0}$ in $\Omega$ and a real constant $c$ such that $\log |f| \leqslant c-\psi$ in $V$. We rewrite this inequality as $\log |f| \leqslant-\psi^{\prime}$ where $\psi^{\prime}=-c+\psi \in P S H(V)$. From Corollary 2.10 it now follows that $f$ extends meromorphically to $\Omega$.

Remark. - The assumption that $H_{2 n-q}(P)$ is locally finite for some $q>1$ is essential in Corollary 2.11. This is seen by the following example : Let $n=1$ and $\Omega=\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. Put

$$
f(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
z & \text { if } & |z|>1 \\
1 / z & \text { if } & |z|<1
\end{array} \text { and } z \neq 0 .\right.
$$

Then $\log |f(z)|=\sup (\log |z|,-\log |z|)$ in $\Omega$ so $\log |f| \in \operatorname{PSH}(\Omega) \subset \delta P S H(\Omega)$, but $f$ has no meromorphic continuation to $\Omega$. (Here $P=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|=1\}$ and thus $H_{2 n-1}(P)=H_{1}(P)$ is locally finite.)
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