quatrième série - tome 45

fascicule 6

novembre-décembre 2012

ANNALES SCIENTIFIQUES de L'ÉCOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE

Thomas GAUTHIER

Strong bifurcation loci of full Hausdorff dimension

SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

STRONG BIFURCATION LOCI OF FULL HAUSDORFF DIMENSION

BY THOMAS GAUTHIER

ABSTRACT. – In the moduli space \mathcal{M}_d of degree d rational maps, the bifurcation locus is the support of a closed (1, 1) positive current T_{bif} which is called the bifurcation current. This current gives rise to a measure $\mu_{\text{bif}} := (T_{\text{bif}})^{2d-2}$ whose support is the seat of strong bifurcations. Our main result says that $\operatorname{supp}(\mu_{\text{bif}})$ has maximal Hausdorff dimension 2(2d-2). As a consequence, the set of degree d rational maps having (2d-2) distinct neutral cycles is dense in a set of full Hausdorff dimension.

RÉSUMÉ. – Dans l'espace des modules \mathcal{M}_d des fractions rationnelles de degré d, le lieu de bifurcation est le support d'un (1, 1)-courant positif fermé T_{bif} qui est appelé courant de bifurcation. Ce courant induit une mesure $\mu_{\text{bif}} := (T_{\text{bif}})^{2d-2}$ dont le support est le siège de bifurcations maximales. Notre principal résultat stipule que supp (μ_{bif}) est de dimension de Hausdorff maximale 2(2d-2). Par conséquent, l'ensemble des fractions rationnelles de degré d possédant (2d-2) cycles neutres distincts est dense dans un ensemble de dimension de Hausdorff totale.

1. Introduction

The boundary of the Mandelbrot set has Hausdorff dimension 2. This fundamental result is the main Theorem of Shishikura's work [29]. Tan Lei has generalized this by showing that the boundary of the connectedness locus of polynomial families of any degree has maximal Hausdorff dimension. Tan Lei has also shown that the bifurcation locus in any non-stable holomorphic family of rational maps is of full dimension (see [34]). McMullen gave another proof of her result in [23]. Our aim here is to show that dynamically relevent, but a priori much smaller, subsets of the bifurcation locus have maximal Hausdorff dimension in the space Rat_d of all degree d rational maps.

We can define a *bifurcation current* on Rat_d by setting $T_{\operatorname{bif}} := dd^c L$, where L(f) is the Lyapounov exponent of f with respect to its maximal entropy measure. DeMarco has shown in [13] and [14] that the support of T_{bif} is precisely the bifurcation locus. This current and its powers T_{bif}^k ($k \leq 2d-2$) have been used in several recent works for studying the geometry of the bifurcation locus (see [3, 4, 5, 11, 15, 17, 18]). Moebius transformations act by conjugacy on Rat_d and the quotient space is an orbifold known as the *moduli space* \mathcal{M}_d of degree d

rational maps. Giovanni Bassanelli and François Berteloot [3] introduced a measure μ_{bif} on this moduli space, which may be obtained by pushing T_{bif}^{2d-2} forward. We will call *strong bifurcation locus* the support of this measure μ_{bif} . This set can be interpreted as a set on which bifurcations are maximal. Our main result is the following:

THEOREM 1.1. – The support of the bifurcation measure μ_{bif} of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_d of degree d rational maps is homogeneous and has maximal Hausdorff dimension, i.e.,

 $\dim_H(\operatorname{supp}(\mu_{\operatorname{bif}}) \cap \Omega) = 2(2d - 2)$

for any open set $\Omega \subset \mathcal{M}_d$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(\mu_{\operatorname{bif}}) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$.

Let us mention that this implies that the conjugacy classes of rational maps having 2d - 2 distinct neutral cycles are dense in a homogeneous set of full Hausdorff dimension in \mathcal{M}_d (see Main Theorem of [11]).

As we shall now explain, this will be obtained by using Misiurewicz rational maps properties and bifurcation currents techniques. A rational map f is k-Misiurewicz if its Julia set contains exactly k critical points counted with multiplicity, if f has no parabolic cycle and if the ω -limit set of any critical point in its Julia set does not meet the critical set. A classical result of Mañé states that the k critical points of f which are in \mathcal{J}_f eventually fall under iteration in a compact f-hyperbolic set E_0 , which means that f is uniformly expanding on E_0 , and that the 2d-2-k remaining critical points are in attracting basins of f (see Section 2.2).

The first result we need to establish is the following transversality theorem:

THEOREM 1.2 (Weak transversality). – Let $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps parametrized by a ball $\mathbb{B}(0,r) \subset \mathbb{C}^{2d-2}$ with 2d-2 marked critical points. Let f_0 be k-Misiurewicz but not a flexible Lattès map. Let us denote by E_0 the compact f_0 -hyperbolic set such that $f_0^{k_0}(c_1(0)), \ldots, f_0^{k_0}(c_k(0)) \in E_0$. Denote also by h the dynamical holomorphic motion of E_0 . If the set $\{\lambda \mid \exists m \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1), f_{\lambda} \circ m = m \circ f_{\lambda_0}\}$ is discrete for any $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{B}(0, r)$, then

codim {
$$\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r) \mid f_{\lambda}^{k_0}(c_j(\lambda)) = h_{\lambda}(f_0^{k_0}(c_j(0))), 1 \le j \le k$$
} = k.

The establishment of Theorem 1.2 is the subject of Section 3. Let us mention that a stronger transversality result has been proved by van Strien in [33] in the case of (2d - 2)-Misiurewicz maps with a trivial stabilizer for the action by conjugation of the group Aut(\mathbb{P}^1) on Rat_d, and by Buff and Epstein in [11] in the case of strictly postcritically finite rational maps. We want here to give a weaker result which is easier to prove and sufficient for our purpose. In their work [11], Buff and Epstein established their tranversality Theorem using quadratic differential techniques. As these tools are not well adapted when the critical orbits are infinite, we have instead followed van Strien's and Aspenberg's ideas (see [33] and [1]) of using quasiconformal maps. See also [27] for a transversality result concerning quadratic semihyperbolic polynomials.

Section 4 is devoted to local dimension estimates. To achieve our goal we have to prove that the set of (2d - 2)-Misiurewicz maps has maximal Hausdorff dimension in Rat_d. Like in Shishikura's or Tan Lei's work, this basically requires to "copy" big hyperbolic sets in the parameter space. For this, using the transversality Theorem 1.2, we construct a transfer map from the dynamical plane to the parameter space which enjoys good regularity properties.

948

When k = 1, our proof here is actually slightly simpler than the classical ones. Indeed, in his original proof, Shishikura builds two successive holomorphic motions, where we only use one such motion. Using the work of Shishikura [29] on parabolic implosion and Theorem 1.3, and using again the transversality Theorem 1.2, we deduce that the set \mathfrak{M}_k is homogeneous and has maximal Hausdorff dimension 2(2d + 1). The main result of Section 4 is the following:

THEOREM 1.3. – Let $1 \le k \le 2d - 2$. Denote by \mathfrak{M}_k the set of all k-Misiurewicz degree d rational maps with simple critical points which are not flexible Lattès maps. Then for any $f \in \mathfrak{M}_k$ and any neighborhood $V_0 \subset \operatorname{Rat}_d$ of f one has:

$$\dim_H(\mathfrak{M}_k \cap V_0) \ge 2(2d+1-k) + k \dim_{\mathrm{hyp}}(f).$$

For our purpose we have to show that k-Misiurewicz maps belong to the support of T_{bif}^k . To achieve this goal, we give a criterion for a rational map with k critical points eventually falling under iteration in a compact hyperbolic set to belong to the support of T_{bif}^k . The condition is exactly the one that appears in the statement of the Transversality Theorem 1.2. Our approach is inspired by the work of Buff and Epstein [11] who proved that strictly postcritically finite maps, which are (2d - 2)-Misiurewicz, belong to the support of T_{bif}^{2d-2} . The main idea of the proof of this criterion is a dynamical renormalization process which enlightens a similarity phenomenon between parameter and dynamical spaces at maps with critical points eventually falling into a hyperbolic set. To implement this process we need to linearize the map along a repelling orbit. In the geometrically finite case this is just the linearization along repelling cycles. This technical result, which may be of independent interest, is established in Section 5. The renormalization is then performed in Section 6. In the same section, we also prove that the k^{th} -self-intersection of the bifurcation current detects the activity of at least k distinct critical points (see Theorem 6.1). Combining the results of Section 6 with Theorem 1.2, we get the following:

THEOREM 1.4. – Let $1 \le k \le 2d - 2$ and $f \in \operatorname{Rat}_d$ be k-Misiurewicz but not a flexible Lattès map. Then $f \in \operatorname{supp}(T_{\operatorname{bif}}^k) \setminus \operatorname{supp}(T_{\operatorname{bif}}^{k+1})$.

In Section 7, we focus on dimension estimates for the bifurcation measure. The estimates established in Section 4 have the following interesting consequence. Due to Theorem 1.4, they directly imply that the set $\operatorname{supp}(T_{\operatorname{bif}}^{k}) \setminus \operatorname{supp}(T_{\operatorname{bif}}^{k+1})$ has Hausdorff dimension 2(2d+1) for $1 \le k \le 2d-3$, and that $\operatorname{supp}(T_{\operatorname{bif}}^{2d-2})$ is homogeneous and that the set $\dim_H(\operatorname{supp}(T_{\operatorname{bif}}^{2d-2})) = 2(2d+1)$ (see Theorem 7.1). Notice that it is still unknown wether $\operatorname{supp}(T_{\operatorname{bif}}^k)$ is homogeneous or not, when $1 \le k \le 2d-3$. Theorem 1.1 then immediately follows. Recall that, if μ is a Radon measure on a metric space, the *upper pointwise dimension* of μ at x_0 is

$$\overline{\dim}_{\mu}(x_0) := \limsup_{r \to 0} \frac{\log \mu(\mathbb{B}(x_0, r))}{\log r}.$$

In the same section, we also establish a lower bound for the upper pointwise dimension of the bifurcation measure at (2d - 2)-Misiurewicz parameters, which rely on the renormalization process performed in Section 6. In particular, we get the following:

THEOREM 1.5. – There exists a dense subset \mathfrak{M} of $\operatorname{supp}(\mu_{\operatorname{bif}})$ which is homogeneous and has maximal Hausdorff dimension 2(2d-2) such that $\overline{\dim}_{\mu_{\operatorname{bif}}}[f] > 0$ for any $[f] \in \mathfrak{M}$.

Let us mention that all these results have their counterpart in polynomial families. We develop the description of the above results in the context of polynomial families in Section 8. For instance, the equivalent of Theorem 1.1 might be stated as follows:

THEOREM 1.6. – In the moduli space \mathcal{P}_d of degree d polynomials, the Shilov boundary of the connectedness locus is homogeneous and has maximal Hausdorff dimension 2(d-1).

This improves Tan Lei's result. We also give a very easy proof of Theorem 1.2 in the case of a degree d polynomial with k critical points which are preperiodic to repelling cycles (see Lemma 8.2).

1.1. Notation

To end the introduction, let us give some notation:

- P¹ is the Riemann sphere, D is the unit disc of C and D(0, r) is the disc of C of radius r
 centered at 0. Leb denotes the Lebesgue measure on P¹.
- $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on \mathbb{C}^n and $\mathbb{B}(a, r)$ is the ball of \mathbb{C}^n of radius *r* centered at $a \in \mathbb{C}^n$.
- $-\mathcal{J}_f$ is the Julia set and \mathcal{F}_f is the Fatou set of $f \in \operatorname{Rat}_d$.
- The group $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is the group of all Moebius transformations. The quotient space $\mathcal{M}_d := \operatorname{Rat}_d/\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is the *moduli space* of degree *d* rational maps and Π : $\operatorname{Rat}_d \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$ is the quotient map. The space \mathcal{M}_d has a canonical structure of affine variety of dimension 2d 2 (see [32] pages 174–179).
- Finally, \dim_H is the Hausdorff dimension in any metric space.

1.2. Acknowledgment

The author would very much like to thank François Berteloot for his help and encouragement for writing this article. The author also wants to thank Romain Dujardin for his helpful comments and for suggesting Lemma 8.2 and the formulation of Theorem 6.2. Finally, we would like to thank the Referees for their very useful suggestions, which have greatly improved the readability of this work.

2. The framework

2.1. Hyperbolic sets

DEFINITION 2.1. – Let $f \in \operatorname{Rat}_d$ and $E \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ be a compact f-invariant set, i.e., such that $f(E) \subset E$. We say that E is f-hyperbolic if one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:

- 1. there exist constants C > 0 and $\alpha > 1$ such that $|(f^n)'(z)| \ge C\alpha^n$ for all $z \in E$ and all $n \ge 0$,
- 2. for some appropriate metric on \mathbb{P}^1 , there exists K > 1 such that $|f'(z)| \ge K$ for all $z \in E$. One says that K is the hyperbolicity constant of E.

The following theorem is now classical (see [25] Theorem 2.3 page 225 or [29] Section 2 for a sketch of proof):

THEOREM 2.2 (de Melo-van Strien). – Let $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps parametrized by a ball $\mathbb{B}(0,r) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$. Let $E_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ be a compact f_0 -hyperbolic set. Then there exist $0 < \rho \leq r$ and a unique holomorphic motion

$$\begin{aligned} h: \mathbb{B}(0,\rho) \times E_0 &\longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \\ (\lambda,z) &\longmapsto h_\lambda(z) \end{aligned}$$

which conjugates f_0 to f_{λ} on E_0 , i.e., such that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, \rho)$ the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
E_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & E_0 \\
 & & \downarrow \\
h_\lambda & & \downarrow \\
 & & \downarrow \\
E_\lambda & \xrightarrow{f_\lambda} & E_\lambda
\end{array}$$

commutes $(E_{\lambda} := h_{\lambda}(E_0))$. We shall call h the dynamical holomorphic motion of E_0 .

REMARK 2.3. – If $\mathbb{B}(\lambda_0, \varepsilon) \subset \mathbb{B}(0, \rho)$, one may check that there exists a unique holomorphic motion $g : \mathbb{B}(\lambda_0, \varepsilon) \times E_{\lambda_0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that

$$h_{\lambda}(z) = g_{\lambda} \circ h_{\lambda_0}(z)$$

for all $z \in E_0$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda_0, \varepsilon)$.

Let K > 1 be the hyperbolicity constant of E_0 and \mathcal{N}_{δ} be a δ neighborhood of E_0 . Up to reducing r, δ and K we may assume that

$$|f'_{\lambda}(z)| \geq K$$
 for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)$ and $z \in \mathcal{N}_{\delta}$.

Set $B := \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r), z \in \mathcal{N}_{\delta}} |f'_{\lambda}(z)|$. Let us stress that Theorem 2.2 implicitly contains the existence of inverse branches of f_{λ} along E_{λ} :

LEMMA 2.4. – Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $w_0 \in E_0$ and all $k \geq 1$, there exists an inverse branch $f_{f_0^{k-1}(w_0),\lambda}^{-1}(z)$ of f_{λ} defined on $\mathbb{B}(0,r) \times \mathbb{D}(f_0^k(w_0),\varepsilon)$, taking values in $\mathbb{D}(f_0^{k-1}(w_0),\varepsilon)$ and such that:

1.
$$h_{\lambda}(f_{0}^{k-1}(w_{0})) = f_{f_{0}^{k-1}(w_{0}),\lambda}^{-1} \circ h_{\lambda}(f_{0}^{k}(w_{0})) \text{ for } \lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r),$$

2. $\frac{1}{B}|z - w| \leq |f_{f_{0}^{k-1}(w_{0}),\lambda}^{-1}(z) - f_{f_{0}^{k-1}(w_{0}),\lambda}^{-1}(w)| \leq \frac{1}{K}|z - w| \text{ for } \lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r) \text{ and}$
 $z, w \in \mathbb{D}(f_{0}^{k}(w_{0}), \varepsilon).$

2.2. Misiurewicz rational maps

Let us recall that for $z \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and $f \in \operatorname{Rat}_d$ the ω -limit set of z is given by

$$\omega(z) := \bigcap_{n \ge 0} \overline{\{f^k(z) \mid k > n\}}$$

and that z is recurrent if $z \in \omega(z)$.

DEFINITION 2.5. – Let $f \in \operatorname{Rat}_d$. We say that f is Misiurewicz if f has no parabolic cycle, $C(f) \cap \mathcal{J}_f \neq \emptyset$ and $\omega(c) \cap C(f) = \emptyset$ for every $c \in C(f) \cap \mathcal{J}_f$. Moreover, when \mathcal{J}_f contains exactly k critical points of f counted with multiplicity we say that f is k-Misiurewicz.

The three following theorems of Mañé (see [30] Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 page 266) are among the main tools for studying the dynamics of Misiurewicz rational maps.

THEOREM 2.6 (Local version). – Let $f \in \text{Rat}_d$. There exists an integer $N \ge 1$ not depending on f for which if $x \in \mathcal{J}_f$ is not a parabolic periodic point of f and x is not contained in the ω -limit set of any recurrent critical point of f, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a neighborhood U of x in \mathbb{P}^1 such that for every $n \ge 0$ and every component V of $f^{-n}(U)$:

- 1. diam $(V) \leq \varepsilon$ and deg $(f^n : V \longrightarrow U) \leq N$,
- 2. for every ε_1 there exists $n_0 \ge 1$ for which diam $(V) \le \varepsilon_1$ as soon as $n \ge n_0$.

THEOREM 2.7 (Compact version). – Let $f \in \operatorname{Rat}_d$ and $K \subset \mathcal{J}_f$ be a f-invariant compact set not containing critical points nor parabolic periodic points of f. If K does not meet the ω -limit set of any recurrent critical point of f, then K is f-hyperbolic.

THEOREM 2.8 (An application). – Let $f \in \operatorname{Rat}_d$ and Γ be either a Cremer cycle, or the boundary of a Siegel disc or a connected component of the boundary of a Herman ring of f. Then there exists a recurrent critical point c of f for which $\Gamma \subset \omega(c)$.

Recall that $f \in \operatorname{Rat}_d$ is said to be *semi-hyperbolic* if there exist $\delta > 0$ and $d_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that for any $z \in \mathscr{J}_f$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have deg $(f^n : U(z, f^n, \delta) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(f^n(z), \delta)) \leq d_0$, where $U(z, f^n, \delta)$ is the component of $f^{-n}(\mathbb{D}(f^n(z), \delta))$ containing z (see [9] pages 96-97).

Let $f \in \operatorname{Rat}_d$. For $k \ge 0$ we set

 $P^k(f) := \overline{\{f^n(c) \mid n \geq k \text{ and } c \in \mathscr{J}_f \cap C(f)\}}.$

The items 1, 2, 3 and 4 of the next proposition follow from Mañé's Theorems:

PROPOSITION 2.9. – Let $f \in \operatorname{Rat}_d$ be Misiurewicz. Then

- 1. there exists $k_0 \ge 1$ such that $P^{k_0}(f)$ is a compact f-hyperbolic set,
- 2. f has no neutral cycle,
- 3. the periodic Fatou components of f are attracting basins,
- 4. *f* is semi-hyperbolic and in particular, either $\mathcal{J}_f = \mathbb{P}^1$ or $\text{Leb}(\mathcal{J}_f) = 0$,
- 5. if f carries an invariant line field on its Julia set, then f is a flexible Lattès map.

Item (5) uses the fact that the set of conic points of f coincides with \mathcal{J}_f when f is semihyperbolic (see [9] page 109 and [35] Proposition 6.1). In particular if f is Misiurewicz and carries an invariant line field on its Julia set, item (4) implies that f is a Lattès map (see [9] Theorem VII.22). More precisely, f is a flexible Lattès map (see [21] Corollary 3.18).

952

2.3. Bifurcation currents

Let $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in X}$ be a holomorphic family of degree *d* rational maps. The theory of bifurcations of a holomorphic family, introduced by Mañé, Sad and Sullivan, makes a link between the instability of critical orbits, and that of neutral or repelling cycles. To make this precise, we set:

 $\begin{aligned} \mathscr{R}(X) := & \{\lambda_0 \in X \mid \text{ every repelling cycle of } f_{\lambda_0} \text{ moves holomorphically} \\ & \text{ on a fixed neighborhood of } \lambda_0 \} \\ & \mathscr{J}(X) := & \{\lambda_0 \in X \mid (\lambda \longmapsto f_{\lambda}^n(C(f_{\lambda})))_{n \ge 0} \text{ is equicontinuous at } \lambda_0 \} \\ & \mathscr{N}(X) := & \{\lambda_0 \in X \mid f_{\lambda_0} \text{ has a non-persistent neutral cycle} \}. \end{aligned}$

The following theorem is due to Mañé, Sad and Sullivan ([19]):

THEOREM 2.10 (Mañé-Sad-Sullivan). – Let $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in X}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps. Then $\mathscr{R}(X) = \mathscr{G}(X) = X \setminus \overline{\mathscr{N}(X)}$ is an open dense subset of X.

The set $X \setminus \mathscr{R}(X)$ is called the *bifurcation locus* of the family $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in X}$.

DEFINITION 2.11. – A critical point c is said to be marked if there exists a holomorphic function $c: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ satisfying $f'_{\lambda}(c(\lambda)) = 0$ for every $\lambda \in X$.

We say that the critical point c is active at $\lambda_0 \in X$ if $(f_{\lambda}^n(c(\lambda)))_{n\geq 0}$ is not a normal family in any neighborhood of λ_0 . Otherwise we say that c is passive at λ_0 . The activity locus of c is the set of parameters $\lambda \in X$ at which c is active.

When $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in X}$ is a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps with 2d - 2 marked critical points c_1, \ldots, c_{2d-2} , Theorem 2.10 states that the bifurcation locus coincides with the union of the activity loci of the c_i 's.

Recall that $f \in \operatorname{Rat}_d$ admits a unique maximal entropy measure μ_f . The Lyapounov exponent of f with respect to the measure μ_f is the real number $L(f) := \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \log |f'| \mu_f$. For a holomorphic family $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in X}$ of degree d rational maps, we denote by $L(\lambda) := L(f_\lambda)$. Then, the function $\lambda \mapsto L(\lambda)$ is called the Lyapounov function of the family $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in X}$. It is a plurisubharmonic (which we will denote by p.s.h) and continuous function on X (see [3] Corollary 3.4). The Margulis-Ruelle inequality implies that $L(f) \geq \frac{\log d}{2}$.

DEFINITION 2.12. – The bifurcation current of the family $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in X}$ is the (1, 1)-closed positive current on X defined by $T_{\text{bif}} := dd^c L(\lambda)$.

The support of T_{bif} coincides with the bifurcation locus of the family $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in X}$ in the sense of Mañé-Sad-Sullivan. This actually follows from the so-called DeMarco's formula which we now present (see [14] Theorem 1.1 or [3] Theorem 5.2).

Let $\pi : \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the canonical projection. Every $f \in \operatorname{Rat}_d$ admits a lift $F : \mathbb{C}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ under π . The map F is a degree d non-degenerate homogeneous polynomial endomorphism of \mathbb{C}^2 . The *Green function* of a lift F of f is defined by

$$G_F := \lim_{n \to \infty} d^{-n} \log \|F^n\|.$$

The following properties of the Green function will be useful (see [3] Proposition 1.2).

PROPOSITION 2.13. – Let $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in X}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps which admits a holomorphic family of lifts $(F_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in X}$. The function $G_{\lambda}(z)$ is p.s.h and continuous on $X \times (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\})$. Moreover it satisfies the homogeneity property

(2.1)
$$G_{\lambda}(tz) = \log|t| + G_{\lambda}(z)$$

for every $\lambda \in X$ and $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and the functional equation

$$(2.2) G_{\lambda} \circ F_{\lambda} = dG_{\lambda}$$

If U is a small ball in X, there exists a lift $\tilde{c}_i(\lambda)$ of the marked critical points $c_i(\lambda)$ under the projection π and a holomorphic family of lifts $(F_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in U}$. DeMarco's formula may then be stated as:

(2.3)
$$T_{\text{bif}}\Big|_U = \sum_{j=1}^{2d-2} dd^c G_\lambda(\tilde{c}_j(\lambda))$$

Let us stress that the support of $dd^c G_{\lambda}(\tilde{c}_i(\lambda))$ is precisely the activity locus of c_i in U. For more details on pluripotential theory, see [15].

3. Activity and weak transversality

To any k-Misiurewicz rational map f_0 within a holomorphic family $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ is naturally associated an analytic subset X_0 of $\mathbb{B}(0,r)$ such that f_{λ} is k-Misiurewicz for all $\lambda \in X_0$ and $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in X_0}$ is stable. In order to describe this set, we introduce a holomorphic map $\chi : \mathbb{B}(0,r) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ which we call the activity map of $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ at $\lambda = 0$. We show in particular that $X_0 = \chi^{-1}\{0\}$ has codimension k. The map χ will turn out to induce local "changes of coordinates" which will play a crucial role in our study of the bifurcation locus near Misiurewicz parameters.

3.1. The activity map

Let $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps with 2d - 2 marked critical points c_1, \ldots, c_{2d-2} and parametrized by a ball $\mathbb{B}(0,r) \subset \mathbb{C}^{2d-2}$. Assume that $c_1(0), \ldots, c_k(0) \in \mathcal{J}_0$ and that

$$E_0 := \overline{\{f_0^n(c_j(0))/n \ge k_0, 1 \le j \le k\}}$$

is a f_0 -hyperbolic set (which is the case if f_0 is k-Misiurewicz). Denote by $h : \mathbb{B}(0, r) \times E_0 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ the dynamical holomorphic motion of E_0 (see item 1 of Proposition 2.9). For $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r)$, $n \ge 0$ and $1 \le i \le k$ we will adopt the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{n,i}(\lambda) &:= f_{\lambda}^{n+k_0}(c_i(\lambda)), \\ \nu_{n,i}(\lambda) &:= h_{\lambda} \big(f_0^{n+k_0}(c_i(0)) \big) = f_{\lambda}^n \circ h_{\lambda} \big(f_0^{k_0}(c_i(0)) \big), \\ m_{n,i}(\lambda) &:= (f_{\lambda}^n)' \big(\nu_{0,i}(\lambda) \big), \\ \chi_i(\lambda) &:= \xi_{0,i}(\lambda) - \nu_{0,i}(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

To define χ_i correctly one should use a local chart around $\xi_{0,i}(0) = \nu_{0,i}(0)$. Moreover, we will identify the tangent spaces $T_{\nu_{0,i}(\lambda)}\mathbb{P}^1$ and $T_{\nu_{n,i}(\lambda)}\mathbb{P}^1$ with \mathbb{C} to view $m_{n,i}(\lambda)$ as a complex

4° SÉRIE - TOME 45 - 2012 - Nº 6

number. As the next lemma shows, the function χ_i describes the activity locus of the critical point c_i :

LEMMA 3.1 (Activity). – Let $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ be as above. Then, for each $1 \leq i \leq k$,

- 1. as a marked critical point of the restricted family $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \chi_i^{-1}\{0\}}$, c_i is passive at every $\lambda \in \chi_i^{-1}\{0\}$,
- 2. $\xi_{0,i} \neq \nu_{0,i}$ on $\mathbb{B}(0,r)$ if and only if c_i is active at every $\lambda_0 \in \chi_i^{-1}\{0\}$ in the full family $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$.

Proof. – If $\chi_i(\lambda) = 0$ we have $\xi_{0,i}(\lambda) = h_\lambda(\xi_{0,i}(0))$. Let us set $E_\lambda := h_\lambda(E_0)$. Since h_λ conjugates f_0 to f_λ on E_0 , for $\lambda \in \chi_i^{-1}\{0\}$ and $n \ge 0$ we get

$$\xi_{n,i}(\lambda) = f_{\lambda}^n(\xi_{0,i}(\lambda)) = f_{\lambda}^n \circ h_{\lambda}(\xi_{0,i}(0)) = h_{\lambda}(\xi_{n,i}(0)).$$

The equicontinuity of $(\xi_{n,i})_{n\geq 0}$ then follows from the uniform continuity of h on $\mathbb{B}(0, r) \times E_0$. This proves (1).

By (1) we already know that if c_i is active at $\lambda_0 \in \chi_i^{-1}\{0\}$ then $\chi_i \neq 0$. We proceed by contradiction and assume that $\xi_{0,i} \neq \nu_{0,i}$ on $\mathbb{B}(0,r)$ and that c_i is passive at $\lambda_0 \in \chi_i^{-1}\{0\}$. By Remark 2.3 it suffices to consider the case where $\lambda_0 = 0$. Let $\mathcal{N}_{\delta} \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ be a δ -neighborhood of E_0 and let K > 1 be the hyperbolicity constant of E_0 . Up to reducing K and r we may assume that

(3.4)
$$|f'_{\lambda}(z)| \ge K$$
 for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r)$ and every $z \in \mathcal{N}_{\delta}$.

By continuity of h we may also assume that $E_{\lambda} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\delta}$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r)$. Since $(\xi_{n,i})_{n \geq 0}$ is equicontinuous at 0 we may again reduce r so that

(3.5)
$$\xi_{n,i}(\lambda) \in \mathbb{D}(\xi_{n,i}(0), \delta) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\delta}, \text{ for } \lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r) \text{ and } n \ge 0.$$

For $n \ge 0$ we set $\epsilon_{n,i}(\lambda) := \xi_{n,i}(\lambda) - h_{\lambda}(\xi_{n,i}(0)) = \xi_{n,i}(\lambda) - \nu_{n,i}(\lambda)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r)$. Notice that $\epsilon_{0,i} \equiv \chi_i$ on $\mathbb{B}(0, r)$. By assumption $(\epsilon_{n,i})_{n\ge 0}$ is equicontinuous at 0. On the other hand, for every $n \ge 0$ and every $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r)$ we have

$$\epsilon_{n+1,i}(\lambda) = f_{\lambda}(\xi_{n,i}(\lambda)) - f_{\lambda}(\nu_{n,i}(\lambda)),$$

which after differentiation yields

$$D_{\lambda}\epsilon_{n+1,i} = f_{\lambda}' \circ \xi_{n,i} D_{\lambda}\epsilon_{n,i} - (f_{\lambda}' \circ \nu_{n,i} - f_{\lambda}' \circ \xi_{n,i}) D_{\lambda}\nu_{n,i} + D_{\lambda}f_{\lambda} \circ \xi_{n,i} - D_{\lambda}f_{\lambda} \circ \nu_{n,i}.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be small. Since $\xi_{n,i}$ and $\nu_{n,i}$ are equicontinuous, up to reducing r, the estimates 3.4 and 3.5 give

$$\|D_{\lambda}\epsilon_{n+1,i}\| \ge K \|D_{\lambda}\epsilon_{n,i}\| - \varepsilon.$$

By iterating, we get $||D_{\lambda}\epsilon_{n,i}|| \ge K^n (||D_{\lambda}\chi_i|| - \varepsilon(1 - K^{-n})/(K - 1))$ which contradicts the equicontinuity of $(\epsilon_{n,i})_{n>0}$, since $\chi_i \ne 0$.

DEFINITION 3.2. – Let $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps with k marked critical points c_1, \ldots, c_k . Assume that the set $\overline{\{f_0^n(c_j)/n \ge k_0, 1 \le j \le k\}}$ is a compact f_0 -hyperbolic set. The activity map χ of $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ at $\lambda = 0$ is defined by

$$\chi: \mathbb{B}(0, r) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{k}$$
$$\lambda \longmapsto (\chi_{1}(\lambda), \dots, \chi_{k}(\lambda))$$

where the functions χ_i are given by $\chi_i(\lambda) := \xi_{0,i}(\lambda) - \nu_{0,i}(\lambda)$.

The first useful fact we may remark is the following:

LEMMA 3.3. – Let $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps with 2d - 2 marked critical points and parametrized by a ball $\mathbb{B}(0,r) \subset \mathbb{C}^{2d-2}$. Assume that f_0 is k-Misiurewicz. Then, up to reducing r, the family $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \chi^{-1}\{0\}}$ is stable and f_{λ} is k-Misiurewicz for any $\lambda \in \chi^{-1}\{0\}$.

Proof. – Assume that $c_1(0), \ldots, c_k(0) \in \mathcal{J}_0$ and $c_{k+1}(0), \ldots, c_{2d-2}(0) \in \mathcal{F}_0$. Since $\chi = 0$ on $\chi^{-1}\{0\}$, the critical points $c_1(\lambda), \ldots, c_k(\lambda)$ are captured by a hyperbolic set for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r)$ and Lemma 3.1 states that they are passive on $\chi^{-1}\{0\}$. Moreover, by Proposition 2.9, the critical points $c_{k+1}(0), \ldots, c_{2d-2}(0)$ are in attracting basins of f_0 and then, up to reducing r, are passive and stay in the same basin.

The group $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ acts on Rat_d by conjugacy. The quotient space $\operatorname{Rat}_d/\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is denoted by \mathcal{M}_d and is called the *moduli space* of degree *d* rational maps. Let $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ be a holomorphic family of degree *d* rational maps. We denote by $\Pi : \mathbb{B}(0,r) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$ the natural projection. Then we have

 $\Pi^{-1}(\Pi(\lambda_0)) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r) \mid \exists \phi \in PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) \text{ such that } f_\lambda \circ \phi = \phi \circ f_{\lambda_0} \text{ on } \mathbb{P}^1 \}$

for every $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{B}(0, r)$.

Our main goal in this section is to prove Theorem 1.2 we reformulate as follows:

THEOREM 3.4 (Weak transversality). – Let $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps with 2d - 2 marked critical points and parametrized by a ball $\mathbb{B}(0,r) \subset \mathbb{C}^{2d-2}$. Assume that f_0 is k-Misiurewicz but not a flexible Lattès map and that for every $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)$ the set $\Pi^{-1}(\Pi(\lambda_0))$ is discrete. Let χ be the activity map of $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ at $\lambda = 0$. Then codim $\chi^{-1}\{0\} = k$.

3.2. Good families

The notion of good family was introduced by Aspenberg (see [1] pages 3-4); we begin with recalling it:

DEFINITION 3.5. – Let $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps with 2d - 2 marked critical points and let $X \subset \mathbb{B}(0,r)$ be an analytic set containing 0. We say that $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in X}$ is a good family of rational maps if for every $\lambda_0 \in X$ the set $\Pi^{-1}(\Pi(\lambda_0))$ is discrete and if every attracting cycle \mathcal{C}_{λ} of f_{λ} which depends holomorphically on $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)$ satisfies the following assertions:

1. the multiplier of \mathcal{C}_{λ} is constant on X,

- 2. the multiplicity of the critical points of f_{λ} which belong to the attracting basin \mathscr{A}_{λ} of the cycle \mathscr{C}_{λ} for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r)$ are constant on X,
- 3. *if* $z(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}_{\lambda}$ *satisfies* $f_{\lambda}^{p}(z(\lambda)) = z(\lambda)$ *for every* $\lambda \in X$ *and some integer* $p \geq 1$ *, there exists a Koenigs/Böttcher-coordinate* φ_{λ} *for* f_{λ}^{p} *at* $z(\lambda)$ *such that the following holds: let* $c(\lambda) \in C(f_{\lambda})$ *lie in the attracting basin* \mathcal{C}_{λ} *of the cycle* \mathcal{C}_{λ} *for every* $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r)$ *. Then, there exists an integer* $n \geq 1$ *such that* $\varphi_{\lambda}(f_{\lambda}^{n}(c(\lambda))) = \varphi_{0}(f_{0}^{n}(c(0)))$ *for all* $\lambda \in X$.

We say that a good family $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in X}$ is smooth if X is smooth.

The main usefulness of good families is revealed by the following proposition:

PROPOSITION 3.6. – Let $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ be a smooth good family of rational maps. Assume that 0 is a stable parameter in the family $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$. Assume also that f_{λ} admits an attracting cycle \mathcal{C}_{λ} holomorphically depending on $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)$ and denote by \mathcal{C}_{λ} its attracting basin. Then there exists $0 < \rho \leq r/3$ and a unique holomorphic motion $\phi : \mathbb{B}(0,\rho) \times \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,\rho)$:

1. the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbb{P}^1 & \stackrel{\phi_{\lambda}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{P}^1 \\ f_0 & & & \downarrow f_{\lambda} \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \stackrel{\phi_{\lambda}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{P}^1, \end{array}$$

2. $\phi_{\lambda} : \mathscr{A}_0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{A}_{\lambda}$ is a biholomorphism.

Proof. – We adapt here the argument of the proof of Theorem 7.4 of [24]. As 0 is a stable parameter, if $\{c_1(0), \ldots, c_k(0)\} = \mathcal{J}_0 \cap C(f_0)$, then $\{c_1(\lambda), \ldots, c_k(\lambda)\} = \mathcal{J}_\lambda \cap C(f_\lambda)$ for λ close enough to 0 and there exists a holomorphic motion $\phi : \mathbb{B}(0, \rho_1) \times \mathcal{J}_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ which conjugates the dynamics on \mathcal{J}_0 . Remark also that, by items (2) and (3) of the definition of good family, $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} f_0^n(C(f_0) \cap \mathcal{F}_0)$ admits a dynamical holomorphic motion. By the λ -Lemma, it extends uniquely to a dynamical holomorphic motion of $\overline{\bigcup_{n\geq 0} f_0^n(C(f_0))} \cup \mathcal{J}_0$.

Setting $\rho := \rho_1/3$, Bers-Royden extension Theorem gives a unique holomorphic motion $\tilde{\phi} : \mathbb{B}(0, \rho) \times \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ which extends ϕ and such that the Beltrami form μ_{λ} of $\tilde{\phi}_{\lambda}$ is harmonic (see [7] Theorem 3 and [24] page 381 for the definition of harmonic Beltrami forms). To conclude the proof of (1), we thus have to prove that $f_{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\phi}_{\lambda} = \tilde{\phi}_{\lambda} \circ f_0$ on \mathbb{P}^1 . The map

$$f_{\lambda}: \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{n \ge 0} f_{\lambda}^n(C(f_{\lambda}))} \cup \mathscr{J}_{\lambda} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{n \ge 0} f_{\lambda}^n(C(f_{\lambda}))} \cup \mathscr{J}_{\lambda}$$

is a covering map. We define another motion by setting

$$\psi_{\lambda}(z) := f_{\lambda}^{-1} \circ \tilde{\phi}_{\lambda} \circ f_{0}(z), \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{P}^{1} \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{n \geq 0} f_{\lambda}^{n}(C(f_{\lambda}))} \cup \mathcal{J}_{\lambda},$$

where the inverse branch is chosen so that $\psi_0(z) = z$. As ϕ_λ conjugates the dynamics on $\overline{\bigcup_{n\geq 0} f_0^n(C(f_0))} \cup \mathscr{J}_0$, the holomorphic motion ψ is also an extension of ϕ . Since f_0 and f_λ are holomorphic, the Beltrami form of ψ_λ is $f_0^* \mu_\lambda$. The map f_0 being a local isometry for the hyperbolic metric on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{n\geq 0} f_0^n(C(f_0))} \cup \mathscr{J}_0$ and the form μ_λ being harmonic, the Beltrami form $f_0^* \mu_\lambda$ is also harmonic. By uniqueness of Bers-Royden extension, we get $\psi = \tilde{\phi}$, which proves (1). We denote by ϕ this unique extension.

Let us now prove (2). As $\phi_{\lambda} \circ f_0 = f_{\lambda} \circ \phi_{\lambda}$ on \mathbb{P}^1 , it is obvious that $\phi_{\lambda}(\mathscr{C}_0) = \mathscr{C}_{\lambda}$ and that $\phi_{\lambda} \circ f_0^q = f_{\lambda}^q \circ \phi_{\lambda}$ on \mathbb{P}^1 , where q is the period of the cycle \mathscr{C}_{λ} whose attracting basin is \mathscr{C}_{λ} . Take now $z(\lambda) \in \mathscr{C}_{\lambda}$ and φ_{λ} a local coordinate at $z(\lambda)$ which conjugates f_{λ}^q to its normal form. It is easy to see that $\varphi_{\lambda} \circ \phi_{\lambda} = \varphi_0$. In a neighborhood of $z(\lambda)$, this yields:

$$\frac{\partial \phi_{\lambda}}{\partial \overline{z}}(z) = \left(\varphi_{\lambda}' \circ \phi_{\lambda}(z)\right)^{-1} \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi_{0}}{\partial \overline{z}}(z) \equiv 0,$$

i.e., ϕ_{λ} is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $z(\lambda)$. We thus can find $\Omega_0 \subset \mathcal{A}_0$ an f_0 -invariant open set on which ϕ_{λ} is holomorphic. For any $z \in f_0^{-1}(\Omega_0) \setminus (C(f_0) \cup f_0(C(f_0)))$, we have

$$\frac{\partial \phi_{\lambda}}{\partial \overline{z}}(z) = \left(f_{\lambda}' \circ \phi_{\lambda}(z)\right)^{-1} \cdot \frac{\partial \phi_{\lambda}}{\partial \overline{z}} \circ f_{0}(z) \cdot f_{0}'(z) = 0,$$

since ϕ_{λ} is holomorphic on Ω_0 . This means that ϕ_{λ} is holomorphic on $f_0^{-1}(\Omega_0)$. Since $\mathscr{A}_0 = \bigcup_{n>1} f_0^{-n}(\Omega_0)$, the proposition follows by induction.

Let us see how this proposition implies the weak transversality in good families:

PROPOSITION 3.7. – Let $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in X}$ be a good family of degree d rational maps with 2d - 2 marked critical points and $0 \in X$. Assume that f_0 is k-Misiurewicz but not a flexible Lattès map and $c_1(0), \ldots, c_k(0) \in \mathcal{J}_0$. Let χ be the activity map of $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in X}$ at $\lambda = 0$.

- 1. Then there exists $1 \le i \le k$ such that the critical point c_i is active at 0 in X, which means that $\chi_i^{-1}\{0\}$ has codimension 1 in X.
- 2. Assume moreover that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X \ge k$. Then the analytic set $\chi^{-1}\{0\}$ has codimension k in X.

Proof. – Let us begin with proving (1). We proceed by contradiction assuming that c_i is passive in X for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, which means that 0 is stable in X. Let $V_0 \subset X$ be a neighborhood of 0 in X which, since f_0 is not a flexible Lattès map, may be assumed not to contain flexible Lattès maps. By Lemma 3.1, $\chi \equiv 0$ on V_0 and, by Lemma 3.3, f_{λ} is k-Misiurewicz for all $\lambda \in V_0$. Let $\lambda_0 \in V_{0reg}$ and $\psi : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow V_{0reg}$ be a non-constant holomorphic disc centered at λ_0 . In the following we will identify \mathbb{D} and $\psi(\mathbb{D})$ and therefore work with the family $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{D}}$.

If $1 \le k < 2d-2$, then $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda_0} \ne \mathbb{P}^1$. Taking into account item 3 of Proposition 2.9, Proposition 3.6 asserts that (up to reducing \mathbb{D}) there exists a holomorphic motion $\phi : \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ which conjugates f_{λ_0} and f_{λ} and which is holomorphic on \mathcal{T}_{λ_0} for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. Item 4 of Proposition 2.9 ensures that $\phi_{\lambda} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$. This contradicts our assumption that $\Pi^{-1}(\Pi(\lambda_0))$ is discrete.

If k = 2d - 2, then $\mathscr{J}_{\lambda_0} = \mathbb{P}^1$. As λ_0 is a stable parameter, by the Mañé-Sad-Sullivan Theorem (see [19] Theorem B) there exists a quasiconformal holomorphic motion $\phi : \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ which conjugates f_{λ_0} to f_{λ} on \mathbb{P}^1 . For $\lambda \in \mathbb{D} \setminus {\lambda_0}$ we denote by μ^{λ} the Beltrami form satisfying:

$$\frac{\partial \phi_{\lambda}}{\partial \overline{z}} = \mu^{\lambda} \frac{\partial \phi_{\lambda}}{\partial z},$$

4° SÉRIE - TOME 45 - 2012 - Nº 6

and by S_{λ} its support. There exists a $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus {\lambda_0}$ such that $\text{Leb}(S_{\lambda_1}) > 0$, otherwise ϕ_{λ} would belong to $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ and $\Pi^{-1}(\Pi(\lambda_0))$ could not be discrete. Since f_{λ_1} carries an invariant line field on its Julia set, item 5 of Proposition 2.9 states that f_{λ_1} is a flexible Lattès map. This is a contradiction since V_0 contains no flexible Lattès maps.

Let us now establish (2). We have proved in (1) that there exists $1 \le i \le k$ such that codim $\chi_i^{-1}\{0\} = 1$. If $k \ge 2$ then $\chi_i^{-1}\{0\}$ is a good family of dimension $k - 1 \ge 1$ and assertion (1) provides $j \ne i$ and c_j is active at 0 in $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \chi_i^{-1}\{0\}}$. Iterating this k - 1 times we obtain (2).

3.3. Ubiquity of good families and weak transversality

We now prove Theorem 3.4. According to item 2 of Proposition 3.7, it suffices to exhibit a good family $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in X}$ such that $0 \in X \subset \mathbb{B}(0, r)$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X \ge k$.

When k = 2d - 2, f_{λ_0} has empty Fatou set and the family $X = \mathbb{B}(0, r)$ is obviously good. We may therefore suppose $1 \le k < 2d - 2$. As usual we will assume that $c_1(0), \ldots, c_k(0) \in \mathcal{J}_0$ and $c_{k+1}(0), \ldots, c_{2d-2}(0) \in \mathcal{F}_0$. We will be brought to reduce r without mentioning it.

Let us begin with finding a family $N \subset \mathbb{B}(0,r)$ of sufficiently high dimension and satisfying the assumptions 1 and 2 of the definition of good family. By Proposition 2.9, $c_{k+1}(0), \ldots, c_{2d-2}(0)$ are in attracting basins of f_0 . Let us denote by $\mathcal{C}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_p$ the attracting cycles of f_0 , by $q_1, \ldots, q_p \geq 1$ their respective periods and by $m_1, \ldots, m_p \in \mathbb{D}$ their respective multipliers. The set

$$N := \mathbb{B}(0, r) \cap \operatorname{Per}_{q_1}(m_1) \cap \cdots \cap \operatorname{Per}_{q_p}(m_p)$$

is an analytic subset of $\mathbb{B}(0,r)$ of codimension at most p containing 0. Replacing N by $N \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r) \mid c_i(\lambda) = c_j(\lambda)\}$ when $c_i \neq c_j$, $c_i(0) = c_j(0)$ and $i, j \geq k + 1$ we get an analytic subset N of $\mathbb{B}(0,r)$ satisfying items 1 and 2 of the definition of good families. Moreover the codimension of N is at most:

$$p + \sum (\operatorname{mult}(c) - 1)$$

where the sum is taken over all critical points of f_0 contained in \mathcal{F}_0 and counted *without* multiplicity.

To conclude we have to find a subfamily of N satisfying item 3 of the definition of good family with codimension at most 2d - 2 - k in $\mathbb{B}(0, r)$. Let $\mathcal{C}(0)$ be an attracting cycle of f_0 of period p and let $z(0) \in \mathcal{C}(0)$ be such that $f_0^p(z(0)) = z(0)$. By the Implicit Function Theorem $\mathcal{C}(0)$ and z(0) may be followed holomorphically in $\mathbb{B}(0, r)$. Since N satisfies item 1 of the definition of good family we have two distinct cases:

- $\mathscr{C}(0)$ is not super-attracting. Then there exists a critical point $c_i(\lambda)$ converging to $\mathscr{C}(\lambda)$ whose orbit is infinite. Let $\varphi_{\lambda} : V_{\lambda} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the Koenigs coordinate of f_{λ}^p at $z(\lambda)$ and $n_i \ge 1$ be large enough so that $f_{\lambda}^{n_i}(c_i(\lambda)) \in V_{\lambda}$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r)$. We normalize φ_{λ} by setting:

$$\psi_{\lambda}(z) := \frac{\varphi_{\lambda}(z)}{\varphi_{\lambda}(f_{\lambda}^{n_{i}}(c_{i}(\lambda)))}$$

for every $\lambda \in N$ and every $z \in V_{\lambda}$.

- $\mathscr{C}(0)$ is super-attracting. There exist a critical point $c_i(\lambda)$ of f_{λ} and $0 \le n_i \le p-1$ such that $f_{\lambda}^{n_i}(c_i(\lambda)) = z(\lambda)$ and $\varphi_{\lambda}(f_{\lambda}^{n_i}(c_i(\lambda))) \equiv 0$ if φ_{λ} is the Böttcher coordinate of f_{λ}^p at $z(\lambda)$. In that case we set $\psi_{\lambda} := \varphi_{\lambda}$.

If $c_j(0)$ is any other critical point contained in the attracting basin of $\mathcal{C}(0)$, then we replace N by

$$N \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r) \mid \psi_{\lambda}(f_{\lambda}^{n_j}(c_j(\lambda))) = \psi_0(f_0^{n_j}(c_j(0)))\}.$$

Since, by definition of ψ_{λ} , this operation does not change N for p distinct critical points of f_0 , the codimension of N is now at most equal to

$$p + \sum (\text{mult}(c) - 1) - p + \sum 1 = \sum \text{mult}(c) = 2d - 2 - k,$$

where the sums are taken over critical points of f_0 contained in \mathcal{F}_0 and counted *without* multiplicity. This ends the proof of Theorem 3.4.

3.4. An application

Let $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in X}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps with a marked critical point c, which is active at some $\lambda_0 \in X$. Let $E \subset \mathcal{J}_{\lambda_0}$ be a f_{λ_0} -hyperbolic set containing at least three points and h_{λ} its dynamical holomorphic motion. As it is well-known, Montel's Theorem allows one to find $\lambda_1 \in X$, arbitrarily close to λ_0 , and $n_1 \geq 1$ such that $f_{\lambda_1}^{n_1}(c(\lambda_1)) \in h_{\lambda_1}(E)$. However, there is in general no way to extend this to the case of two, or more, active critical points. Weak transversality allows such a generalization when f_{λ_0} is Misiurewicz. This will be used in Section 4.

LEMMA 3.8. – Let $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps with 2d - 2 marked critical points. Assume that for every $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)$, the set $\Pi^{-1}(\Pi(\lambda_0))$ is discrete and that f_0 is k-Misiurewicz but not a flexible Lattès map. Let also $E \subset \mathcal{J}_0$ be a compact f_0 -hyperbolic set containing at least three points. Then for any $0 < \varepsilon < \rho$, there exist $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{B}(0,\varepsilon)$ and an integer $N_0 \ge 1$ such that f_{λ_0} is k-Misiurewicz and $f_{\lambda_0}^{N_0}(c_j(\lambda_0)) \in h_{\lambda_0}(E)$ for $1 \le j \le k$.

Proof. – Let E_1 and E_2 be two compact f_0 -hyperbolic sets. Assume that E_2 contains at least three distinct points and let $h : \mathbb{B}(0, \rho) \times (E_1 \cup E_2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be its dynamical holomorphic motion. Suppose that

$$f_0^{k_0}(c_1(0)), \dots, f_0^{k_0}(c_p(0)) \in E_1 \text{ and } f_0^{k_0}(c_{p+1}(0)), \dots, f_0^{k_0}(c_k(0)) \in E_2.$$

We only need to find a parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, \varepsilon)$ and an integer $N_0 \ge k_0$ such that

$$f_{\lambda_0}^{N_0}(c_1(\lambda_0)), \dots, f_{\lambda_0}^{N_0}(c_{p-1}(\lambda_0)) \in h_{\lambda_0}(E_1) \text{ and } f_{\lambda_0}^{N_0}(c_p(\lambda_0)), \dots, f_{\lambda_0}^{N_0}(c_k(\lambda_0)) \in h_{\lambda_0}(E_2).$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and denote by $\chi : \mathbb{B}(0, \rho) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ the activity map for $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ at 0. By Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 3.7 the critical point c_p is active at 0 in the family $X_0 := \chi_1^{-1}\{0\} \cap \cdots \cap \chi_{p-1}^{-1}\{0\} \cap \chi_{p+1}^{-1}\{0\} \cap \cdots \cap \chi_k^{-1}\{0\}$. By Montel's Theorem, there exist $\lambda_0 \in X \cap \mathbb{B}(0, \varepsilon)$ and $N_0 \ge k_0$ such that $f_{\lambda_0}^{N_0}(c_p(\lambda_0)) \in h_{\lambda_0}(E_2)$. Since $\lambda_0 \in X_0$ and $N_0 \ge k_0$ we get $f_{\lambda_0}^{N_0}(c_j(\lambda_0)) \in h_{\lambda_0}(E_1)$ if $1 \le j \le p-1$ and $f_{\lambda_0}^{N_0}(c_j(\lambda_0)) \in h_{\lambda_0}(E_2)$ if $p+1 \le j \le k$. After item (3) of Proposition 2.9, the 2d-2-k remaining critical points f_{λ_0} belong to attracting basins of f_{λ_0} . Since this condition is stable under small enough perturbations, the map f_{λ_0} is k-Misiurewicz.

960

4. Local Hausdorff dimension estimates in Rat_d

We consider here the sets \mathfrak{M}_k defined by:

 $\mathfrak{M}_k := \{ f \in \operatorname{Rat}_d \mid f \text{ is } k \text{-} \text{Misiurewicz but not a flexible Lattès map} \\ \text{and } f \text{ has simple critical points} \}.$

REMARK 4.1. – The condition "f is not a flexible Lattès map" is superfluous when d is not the square of an integer or k < 2d - 2.

Our goal here is to prove Theorem 1.3. Following Shishikura [29], we define the *hyperbolic* dimension of $f \in \text{Rat}_d$ by:

 $\dim_{hyp}(f) := \sup\{\dim_H(E) \mid E \text{ is compact, } f\text{-hyperbolic and homogeneous}\}.$

Recall that a subset E of a metric space (X, d) is *homogeneous* if, for every open set $U \subset X$ such that $U \cap E \neq \emptyset$, we have $\dim_H(U \cap E) = \dim_H(E)$.

4.1. Technical preliminaries

The proof requires weak transversality in Rat_d . Recall that Π : $\operatorname{Rat}_d \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$ is the quotient map. For $f \in \operatorname{Rat}_d$ we denote by $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ the stabilizer of f under the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$, i.e., $\operatorname{Aut}(f) = \{\phi \in PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid \phi^{-1} \circ f \circ \phi = f\}$.

LEMMA 4.2. – Let $1 \le k \le 2d - 2$ and $f_0 \in \mathfrak{M}_k$. Let $\mathbb{B}(0, r)$ be a ball centered at f_0 small enough so that $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ is with 2d - 2 marked critical points. Let also χ be the activity map of $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ at $\lambda = 0$. Then codim $\chi^{-1}\{0\} = k$.

Proof. – One can prove that for every $f \in \operatorname{Rat}_d$ there exists a local (2d-2)-dimensional submanifold V_f of Rat_d containing f which is transversal to the orbit $\Theta(f) \subset \operatorname{Rat}_d$ of f under the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ (see for example [3] page 226). The stabilizer $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ of f is a finite group and V_f is invariant by the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$. Moreover, $\Pi(V_f)$ is an open subset of \mathcal{M}_d and Π induces a biholomorphism $V_f/\operatorname{Aut}(f) \longrightarrow \Pi(V_f)$.

Thus for every $g \in V_f$, the set $\Pi^{-1}(\Pi(g))$ contains at most card(Aut(f)) < + ∞ elements. We conclude by applying Theorem 1.2 in $\mathbb{B}(0, r) \cap V_f$.

To control how the Hausdorff dimension of a compact set is affected by holomorphic motion, we shall use the following precise Hölder-regularity statement. A proof is provided in [16] Lemma 1.1.

LEMMA 4.3. – Let E be a compact subset of \mathbb{P}^1 and $h : \mathbb{B}(0,r) \times E \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a holomorphic motion. Then there exist $0 < r_1 \leq r$ and $\eta > 0$ such that:

(4.6)
$$\frac{1}{C(r')}|z-z'|^{\frac{r_1+\|\lambda\|}{r_1-\|\lambda\|}} \le |h(\lambda,z)-h(\lambda,z')| \le C(r')|z-z'|^{\frac{r_1-\|\lambda\|}{r_1+\|\lambda\|}}$$

for $0 < r' < r_1$, all $z_0 \in E$, all $z, z' \in \mathbb{D}(z_0, \eta) \cap E$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r')$.

It will be crucial to observe that, after a small perturbation, all active critical points of a Misiurewicz map may be assumed to be captured by some hyperbolic set of "big" Hausdorff dimension.

LEMMA 4.4. – Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $f \in \mathfrak{M}_k$. Let $V_0 \subset \operatorname{Rat}_d$ be a neighborhood of f and $F_0 \subset \mathscr{J}_f$ be a compact homogeneous f-hyperbolic set such that $\dim_H(F_0) \ge \dim_{\operatorname{hyp}}(f) - \varepsilon$. Then we may find $f_t \in \mathfrak{M}_k$ arbitrary close to f and a compact f_t -hyperbolic set F_t such that $f_t^N(C(f_t) \cap \mathscr{J}_{f_t}) \subset F_t$ for some $N \ge 1$ and $\dim_H(U_t \cap F_t) \ge \dim_{\operatorname{hyp}}(f) - 2\varepsilon$ for all open set U_t intersecting F_t .

Proof. – We may assume that $f = f_0$ where $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ is a holomorphic family and $f_\lambda \in V_0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)$. Let $h : \mathbb{B}(0,r) \times F_0 \cup P^{k_0}(f) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the dynamical holomorphic motion and $F_\lambda := h_\lambda(F_0)$. As it follows from Lemma 4.3 and from the homogeneity of F_0 , there exists $0 < r_1 \le r$ such that:

(4.7)
$$\dim_H \left(F_{\lambda} \cap U_{\lambda} \right) \ge \frac{r_1 - \|\lambda\|}{r_1 + \|\lambda\|} \dim_H \left(F_0 \right)$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r_1/2)$ and all open set $U_{\lambda} \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ intersecting F_{λ} .

Suppose that $\mathcal{J}_0 \cap C(f_0) = \{c_1(0), \ldots, c_k(0)\}$. Let $0 < \tau < 1/2$. According to Lemma 3.8, there exist $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{B}(0, \tau r_1)$ and $N \ge 1$ satisfying $f_{\lambda_0}^N(c_j(\lambda_0)) \in F_{\lambda_0} = h_{\lambda_0}(F_0)$ for any $1 \le j \le k$. By 4.7 we have $\dim_H (F_{\lambda_0} \cap U_{\lambda_0}) \ge \frac{1-\tau}{1+\tau} \dim_H (F_0) \ge \frac{1-\tau}{1+\tau} (\dim_{\mathrm{hyp}}(f) - \varepsilon)$ and we conclude by taking τ small enough.

Let us now describe briefly the mechanism of the proof of Theorem 1.3. Let E_f be a compact homogeneous f-hyperbolic set with $\dim_H(E_f) \ge \dim_{hyp}(f) - \varepsilon$. Using Lemma 4.4 we may find $g \in \mathfrak{M}_k$, arbitrary close to f, and $N \ge 1$ such that $g^N(C(g) \cap \mathcal{J}_g) \subset E_g$ and $\dim_H(E_g \cap \mathbb{D}(g^N(c_j(g)), \eta)) \ge \dim_{hyp}(f) - 2\varepsilon$. Using holomorphic motions we will build a transfer map which "copies" small pieces of $E_g^k \times \mathbb{C}^{2d+1-k}$ into a small neighborhood of g in \mathfrak{M}_k and enjoys good Hölder regularity properties. Finally, we precisely estimate the Hausdorff dimension of these copies to get a lower bound for the local Hausdorff dimension of \mathfrak{M}_k near f.

4.2. The transfer map

In this subsection, we define a transfer map and investigate its regularity properties in the following setting: let $g \in \mathfrak{M}_k$ and V_0 be a neighborhood of g in Rat_d . We may assume that $g = g_0$ where $(g_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ is a holomorphic family and $g_\lambda \in V_0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{B}(0,r) = 2d + 1$. Assume that $g_0^N(c_j(0)) \in E_0$, where E_0 is a g_0 -hyperbolic compact set, for $j \leq k$. Set $z_0 := (g_0^N(c_1(0)), \ldots, g_0^N(c_k(0)))$ and let $h : \mathbb{B}(0,r) \times E_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the dynamical holomorphic motion of E_0 . By Bers-Royden's Theorem (see [7] Theorem 3), the motion h extends to a holomorphic motion $h : \mathbb{B}(0, \rho) \times \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, with $\rho := r/3$. Denote by $\mathbb{D}_{\eta}^k(z_0) \subset (\mathbb{P}^1)^k$ the polydisc of radius η centered at z_0 . Let $\eta_0 > 0$ and set:

$$\mathcal{X}: \mathbb{B}(0,
ho) imes \mathbb{D}_{\eta_0}^k(z_0) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^k \ (\lambda, z) \longmapsto ig(\mathcal{X}_1(\lambda, z), \dots, \mathcal{X}_k(\lambda, z)ig),$$

where $\mathscr{X}_j(\lambda, z) := g_\lambda^N(c_j(\lambda)) - h_\lambda(z_i)$. The map \mathscr{X} defined above is well-defined in an appropriate system of coordinate on $\mathbb{D}_{\eta_0}^k(z_0)$ and continuous. Moreover, $\mathscr{X}(\lambda, \cdot)$ is injective on $\mathbb{D}_{\eta_0}^k(z_0)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, \rho)$ and $\mathscr{X}(\cdot, z)$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{B}(0, \rho)$ for every $z \in \mathbb{D}_{\eta_0}^k(z_0)$.

4° SÉRIE – TOME 45 – 2012 – Nº 6

In what follows we will write $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{2d+1-k}$ under the form $\lambda = \lambda' + \lambda''$ with $\lambda' \in \mathbb{C}^k$ and $\lambda'' \in \mathbb{C}^{2d+1-k}$. Similarly we write $\mathbb{B}'(0', r')$ (resp. $\mathbb{B}''(0'', r'')$) the ball of \mathbb{C}^k (resp. \mathbb{C}^{2d+1-k}) of radius r' (resp. r'') centered at the origin.

PROPOSITION-DEFINITION 4.5. – Under the above assumptions, up to reducing ρ , there exist $\eta_1 > 0, 0 < \delta_1'' \le \delta_1' \le \rho$ and a continuous map

$$\mathscr{T}: \mathbb{D}^k_{\eta_1}(z_0) imes \mathbb{B}''(0'', \delta_1'') \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}'(0', \delta_1')$$

such that $\mathscr{X}(\mathscr{T}(z,\lambda'')+\lambda'',z)=0$ and satisfying the following properties: for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exist $0 < \delta'' \leq \delta' \leq \varepsilon \rho$ such that the following occurs:

1. there exists a constant $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that:

$$\left\|\mathcal{T}(z_1,\lambda'') - \mathcal{T}(z_2,\lambda'')\right\| \ge C_{\varepsilon} \|z_1 - z_2\|^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}$$

for every $\lambda'' \in \mathbb{B}''(0'', \delta'')$ and every $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{D}_{\eta_1}^k(z_0)$, 2. $\mathscr{T}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\eta_1}^k(z_0) \cap (E_0)^k \times \{\lambda''\}\right) + \lambda'' \subset \mathfrak{M}_k \cap \mathbb{B}(0, \varepsilon \rho_1)$ for any $\lambda'' \in \mathbb{B}''(0'', \delta'')$.

The map \mathcal{T} is called the transfer map associated to g.

Proof. – Let χ be the activity map of $(g_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ at $\lambda = 0$ (see Section 3.1), then $\mathcal{X}(\lambda, z_0) = \chi(\lambda)$. Therefore, by Lemma 4.2, the analytic set $\{\mathcal{X}(\lambda, z_0) = 0\}$ has pure codimension k. Up to a linear change of coordinate and after reducing ρ we may assume that $\mathbb{C}^{2d+1} = \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{2d+1-k}$ and

$$\{\lambda' \in \mathbb{B}'(0', \rho) \mid \mathcal{X}(\lambda', z_0) = 0\} = \{0'\}.$$

Let p be the multiplicity of 0' as a zero of $\mathcal{X}(\cdot, z_0)$ in $\mathbb{B}'(0', \rho)$. Let $0 < \delta'_1 \leq r$ be such that $|\mathcal{X}(\lambda', z_0)| \neq 0$ on $\partial \mathbb{B}'(0', \delta'_1)$. Then

$$0 = |\mathcal{X}(\lambda', z_0) - \mathcal{X}(\lambda', z_0)| < |\mathcal{X}(\lambda', z_0)|$$

for any $\lambda'_1 \in \partial \mathbb{B}'(0', \delta'_1)$ and, by continuity, there exist $0 < \delta''_1 \le \delta'_1$ and $\eta_1 > 0$ such that

$$|\mathscr{X}(\lambda'+\lambda'',z)-\mathscr{X}(\lambda',z_0)|-|\mathscr{X}(\lambda',z_0)|<0$$

for any $\lambda'' \in \mathbb{B}''(0'', \delta_1'')$, $z \in \mathbb{D}_{\eta_1}^k(z_0)$ and $\mathbb{B}'(0', \delta_1') \times \mathbb{B}''(0'', \delta_1'') \subset \mathbb{B}(0, \rho)$. A Rouchélike Theorem then states that the map $\mathcal{X}(\cdot + \lambda'', z)$ admits exactly p zeros counted with multiplicity in $\mathbb{B}'(0', \delta_1')$ for every $\lambda'' \in \mathbb{B}''(0'', \delta_1'')$ and every $z \in \mathbb{D}_{\eta_1}^k(z_0)$ (see [12], Theorem 1, Section 10.3 page 110). To define $\mathcal{T}(z, \lambda'')$ it thus suffices to pick one of the pelements of $\{\mathcal{X}(\cdot + \lambda'', z) = 0\}$. Since the set $\{(\lambda', \lambda'', z) \in \mathbb{B}'(0', \delta_1') \times \mathbb{B}''(0'', \delta_1'') \times \mathbb{D}_{\eta_1}^k(z_0) \mid \mathcal{X}(\lambda' + \lambda'', z) = 0\}$ is an analytic set, one can choose $(\lambda'', z) \mapsto \mathcal{T}(z, \lambda'')$ continuous.

Let us establish (1). According to Lemma 4.3, up to reducing η_1 and ρ , for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there exist constants $C_{\varepsilon}, C'_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, \varepsilon \rho)$ and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{D}^k_{\eta_1}(z_0)$ we have:

$$C_{\varepsilon}'\|z_1-z_2\|^{\frac{\rho+\|\lambda\|}{\rho-\|\lambda\|}} \le \|\mathscr{X}(\lambda,z_1)-\mathscr{X}(\lambda,z_2)\| \le C_{\varepsilon}\|z_1-z_2\|^{\frac{\rho-\|\lambda\|}{\rho+\|\lambda\|}}.$$

Moreover, as $||z_1 - z_2|| < 1$,

(4.8)
$$C_{\varepsilon}' \| z_1 - z_2 \|^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} \le \| \mathcal{X}(\lambda, z_1) - \mathcal{X}(\lambda, z_2) \| \le C_{\varepsilon} \| z_1 - z_2 \|^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}}.$$

Since \mathcal{X} is continuous in both variables and holomorphic in λ on $\mathbb{B}(0, \rho)$, there exists $\tilde{C}_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that

(4.9) $\|\mathscr{X}(\lambda_1, z) - \mathscr{X}(\lambda_2, z)\| \le \tilde{C}_{\varepsilon} \|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2\|$

for every $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{B}(0, \varepsilon \rho)$ and every $z \in \mathbb{D}_{\eta_1}^k(z_0)$. Combining 4.8 and 4.9 we find:

$$|\mathcal{X}(\lambda_1, z_1) - \mathcal{X}(\lambda_2, z_2)|| \ge C_{\varepsilon}' ||z_1 - z_2||^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} - \tilde{C}_{\varepsilon} ||\lambda_1 - \lambda_2||$$

for every $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{B}(0, \varepsilon \rho_1)$ and every $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{D}_{\eta_1}^k(z_0)$. As \mathcal{T} is continuous, up to reducing η_1 , one can find $0 < \delta'' \leq \delta' \leq \varepsilon \rho_1$ such that $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D}_{\eta}^k(z_0) \times \mathbb{B}''(0'', \delta'')) \subset \mathbb{B}'(0', \delta') \subset \mathbb{B}'(0', \varepsilon \rho)$. Taking $\lambda_1 = \mathcal{T}(z_1, \lambda'') + \lambda''$ and $\lambda_2 = \mathcal{T}(z_2, \lambda'') + \lambda''$ yields the announced estimate. The above construction and Lemma 3.3 directly give (2).

4.3. Local Hausdorff dimension estimates

We are now ready to end the proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $f \in \mathfrak{M}_k$ and V_0 be a neighborhood of f in Rat_d. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and F_0 be a homogeneous compact f-hyperbolic set such that $\dim_H(F_0) \ge \dim_{\mathrm{hyp}}(f) - \varepsilon$. Assume that $f = f_0$ where $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,R)}$ is a holomorphic family and $f_\lambda \in V_0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, R)$, with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{B}(0, R) = 2d + 1$.

By Lemma 4.4, there exist $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{B}(0, R/2)$ and a compact f_{λ_0} -hyperbolic set F_{λ_0} such that $f_{\lambda_0}^N(C(f_{\lambda_0}) \cap \mathscr{J}_{f_{\lambda_0}}) \subset F_{\lambda_0}$ for some $N \ge 1$ and such that $\dim_H(U_j \cap F_{\lambda_0}) \ge \dim_{\mathrm{hyp}}(f) - 2\varepsilon$ for any neighborhood U_j of $f_{\lambda_0}^N(c_j(\lambda_0))$ for $1 \le j \le k$.

Let $0 < r \le R/2$ and set $E_0 := F_{\lambda_0}$, $g_0 := f_{\lambda_0}$ and $g_{\lambda} := f_{\lambda+\lambda_0}$. Let us now denote by \mathcal{T} the transfer map associated to g in the family $(g_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$. Let $\rho \le r$, η_1 , $\delta'' \le \delta' \le \varepsilon \rho$ be given by Proposition 4.5. For $\lambda'' \in \mathbb{B}''(0'', \delta'')$ we set:

$$\mathscr{E}_{\lambda''} := \mathscr{T}\Big(\mathbb{D}^k_\eta(z_0) \cap \big(E_0\big)^k \times \{\lambda''\}\Big) + \lambda''.$$

From item (1) of Proposition 4.5 we get:

$$\dim_{H} \left(\mathscr{E}_{\lambda^{\prime\prime}} \right) \geq \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} \dim_{H} \left(\left(E_{0} \right)^{k} \cap \mathbb{D}_{\eta}^{k}(z_{0}) \right) \geq \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \dim_{H} \left(E_{0} \cap \mathbb{D}(z_{0,i},\eta) \right).$$

By the choice of E_0 , we then get

(4.10)
$$\dim_{H} \left(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda^{\prime\prime}} \right) \geq \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} k \big(\dim_{\mathrm{hyp}}(f) - 2\varepsilon \big)$$

Set $\mathcal{E} := \{\lambda = \lambda' + \lambda'' \in \mathbb{B}'(0', \delta') \times \mathbb{B}''(0'', \delta'') \mid \lambda' \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda''}\} \subset \mathbb{B}'(0', \delta') \times \mathbb{B}''(0'', \delta'')$. We shall now use the following lemma (see [23] Lemma 5.1 page 15):

LEMMA 4.6. – Let Y be a metric space and $X \subset Y \times [0,1]^k$. Denote by X_t the slice $X_t := \{y \in Y \mid (y,t) \in X\}$. If $X_t \neq \emptyset$ for almost every $t \in [0,1]^k$, then

 $\dim_H (X) \ge k + \dim_H (X_t)$, for almost every t.

Lemma 4.6 states that for almost every $\lambda'' \in \mathbb{B}''(0'', \delta'')$

(4.11)
$$\dim_H(\mathcal{E}) \ge \dim_H(\mathbb{B}''(0'',\delta'')) + \dim_H(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda''}).$$

Since $\dim_H (\mathbb{B}''(0'', \delta'')) = 2(2d + 1 - k)$, 4.10 and 4.11 give:

$$\dim_{H}(\mathcal{E}) \geq 2(2d+1-k) + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}k\big(\dim_{\mathrm{hyp}}(f) - 2\varepsilon\big).$$

As $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathfrak{M}_k \cap V_0$ (see item (2) of Proposition 4.5) we find:

$$\dim_H \left(\mathfrak{M}_k \cap V_0\right) \ge 2(2d+1-k) + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} k \left(\dim_{\mathrm{hyp}}(f) - 2\varepsilon\right).$$

We conclude by letting ε tend to 0.

4° SÉRIE – TOME 45 – 2012 – N° 6

4.4. The Hausdorff dimension of \mathfrak{M}_k

Here we give the Hausdorff dimension of \mathfrak{M}_k :

THEOREM 4.7. – For any $1 \le k \le 2d - 2$ the set \mathfrak{M}_k has Hausdorff dimension 2(2d + 1) and is homogeneous.

When k = 1, this has already been proved by Aspenberg and Graczyk in [2]. When k < 2d - 2, the proof of this result is based on a finer perturbation lemma than Lemma 4.4 which can be established with parabolic implosion techniques:

LEMMA 4.8. – Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $f \in \mathfrak{M}_k$. Let $V_0 \subset \operatorname{Rat}_d$ be a neighborhood of f. Then we may find f_t arbitrary close to f and a compact f_t -hyperbolic set E_t such that $f_t^N(C(f_t) \cap \mathcal{J}_{f_t}) \subset E_t$ for some $N \ge 1$ and $\dim_H(U_t \cap E_t) \ge 2 - 2\epsilon$ for all open set U_t intersecting E_t .

Proof. – Take $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps parametrized by a ball $\mathbb{B}(0,r) \subset \mathbb{C}^{2d+1}$, with $f = f_0$ and $f_{\lambda} \in V_0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)$. Recall that we denoted by $\chi(\lambda) = (\chi_1(\lambda), \dots, \chi_k(\lambda))$ the activity map of f_0 .

Lemma 3.7, combined with Lemma 4.2, asserts that the critical point c_1 is active at 0 in $X := \chi_2^{-1}\{0\} \cap \cdots \cap \chi_k^{-1}\{0\} \cap \mathbb{B}(0, r)$. Mañé-Sad-Sullivan's Theorem gives $\lambda_1 \in X$, arbitrary close to 0, such that f_{λ_1} has a non-persistent parabolic cycle. Since every critical point except c_1 is passive in X, its immediate parabolic basin contains exactly one critical point which must be $c_1(\lambda_1)$. In these precise conditions, Shishikura proved, using fine parabolic implosion techniques (see [29] Theorem 2 and [34] Theorem 1.1), that there exists $\lambda_2 \in X$, arbitrary close to λ_1 , such that $\dim_{\text{hyp}}(f_{\lambda_2}) > 2 - \epsilon$ and f_{λ_2} has a neutral cycle which is non-persistent in X.

Let now $E \subset \mathcal{J}_{\lambda_2}$ be a compact homogeneous f_{λ_2} -hyperbolic set with $\dim_H(E) \ge 2 - \epsilon$ and $h : \mathbb{B}(\lambda_2, \rho) \times E \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the dynamical holomorphic motion of $E(\rho \le r - ||\lambda_2||)$. By Lemma 4.3 we may find $0 < \rho' < \rho$ such that

$$\dim_H \left(h_{\lambda}(E) \cap U_{\lambda} \right) \ge \dim_H(E) - \epsilon$$

for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda_2, \rho')$ and every open set U_{λ} intersecting $h_{\lambda}(E)$. Since f_{λ_2} has a nonpersistent neutral cycle, the parameter λ_2 is in the bifurcation locus of $X \cap \mathbb{B}(\lambda_2, \rho')$ and the critical point c_1 is active at λ_2 . By Montel's Theorem there exist $\lambda_3 \in X \cap \mathbb{B}(\lambda_2, \rho')$, arbitrary close to λ_2 , and $N \ge 1$ such that $f_{\lambda_3}^N(c_1(\lambda_3)) \in h_{\lambda_3}(E)$ and f_{λ_3} is k-Misiurewicz. To conclude we now proceed as in the proof of Lemma 4.4.

Proof of Theorem 4.7. By Theorem 1.1 of [22], one has $\dim_{\text{hyp}}(f) = \dim_H(\mathcal{J}_{\text{rad},f})$ for any $f \in \text{Rat}_d$, where $\mathcal{J}_{\text{rad},f} \subset \mathcal{J}_f$ is the set of radial points of f (see [22] page 541 for the definition). Moreover, Proposition 6.1 of [35] implies that $\mathcal{J}_f \setminus \mathcal{J}_{\text{rad},f}$ is countable whenever f is Misiurewicz.

Let now $f \in \operatorname{Rat}_d$ be k-Misiurewicz. If k = 2d-2 then $\mathscr{J}_f = \mathbb{P}^1$ and, by Theorem 1.3, the proof is over (see Proposition 2.9). Assume now that k < 2d-2. According to Lemma 4.8, for any k-Misiurewicz map $f \in \operatorname{Rat}_d$ and any neighborhood $U \subset \operatorname{Rat}_d$ of f and any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $g \in \mathfrak{M}_k \cap U$ such that $\dim_{\operatorname{hyp}}(g) = \dim_H(\mathscr{J}_g) \ge 2 - \epsilon$. As $g \in U$, combined with Theorem 1.3, this gives the wanted estimate. \Box

5. Linearization along infinite repelling orbits

We aim here to prove the following linearization process along infinite repelling orbits:

PROPOSITION 5.1. – Let $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps. Let $E_0 \subset \mathcal{J}_0$ be a compact f_0 -hyperbolic set and $h : \mathbb{B}(0,r) \times E_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be its dynamical holomorphic motion. Let $w_0 \in E_0$ and, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)$, $w(\lambda) := h_{\lambda}(w_0)$. Then there exist constants $\rho, C > 0$ and for $n \ge 1$, continuous functions $\rho_n : \mathbb{B}(0,r) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^*_+$ and holomorphic injections $\psi_{0,\lambda}^{(n)} : \mathbb{D}(0,\rho_n(\lambda)) \to \mathbb{D}(0,2\rho_n(\lambda))$ and $\psi_{1,\lambda}^{(n)} : \mathbb{D}(0,\rho) \to \mathbb{D}(0,2\rho)$ holomorphically depending on $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)$ and satisfying:

$$- f_{\lambda}^{n}(z+w(\lambda)) - f_{\lambda}^{n}(w(\lambda)) = \psi_{1,\lambda}^{(n)}\Big(\Big(f_{\lambda}^{n}\Big)'(w(\lambda)).\psi_{0,\lambda}^{(n)}(z)\Big), - \rho_{n}(\lambda) := \frac{\rho}{2}|(f_{\lambda}^{n})'(w(\lambda))|^{-1}, - |\psi_{i,\lambda}^{(n)}(z) - z| \le C|z|^{2},$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r)$ and all $z \in \mathbb{D}(0, \rho_n(\lambda))$, $z \in \mathbb{D}(0, \rho)$. Moreover, we may assume that $C\rho < 1$.

The proof uses two ingredients. The first one is a linearization principle for chains of contractions. The second one is a construction of "good" inverse branches along an orbit in E_0 to which apply this principle.

5.1. Linearization for chains of contractions

DEFINITION 5.2. – Let $a \leq b < 0$. A (a,b)-chain of holomorphic contractions is a sequence of holomorphic maps

$$g_j: \mathbb{D}(0,\eta) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(0,\eta)$$

for which there exists $a_j \leq b_j < 0$ such that $e^a |z| \leq e^{a_j} |z| \leq |g_j(z)| \leq e^{b_j} |z| \leq e^b |z|$, for all $z \in \mathbb{D}(0,\eta)$. We say that the chain depends holomorphically on $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)$ if $g_j(z) = g_{j,\lambda}(z)$ is holomorphic on $(\lambda, z) \in \mathbb{B}(0, r) \times \mathbb{D}(0, \eta)$ for each $j \geq 1$.

The linearization principle for chains of contractions is a version of the classical Koenigs Theorem in the non-autonomous setting. The idea of the proof is essentially the same as for the classical Theorem of Koenigs. In a more general setting, Berteloot, Dupont and Molino establish a similar result (see [8]).

THEOREM 5.3 (Linearization). – Let $a \le b < 0$ and $\eta \le 1$. Let $(g_{j,\lambda})_{j\ge 1}$ be a(a, b)-chain of holomorphic contractions on $\mathbb{D}(0, \eta)$ which holomorphically depend on $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r)$. Denote by $l_{j,\lambda}$ the linear part of $g_{j,\lambda}$ at 0 and assume that $2b_j - a_j \le -\delta$ for all $j \ge 1$ and some $\delta > 0$. Then there exist a constant $0 < \rho \le \eta/2$ and a sequence $\varphi_{j,\lambda} : \mathbb{D}(0, \rho) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(0, 2\rho)$ of holomorphic injections holomorphically depending on $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r)$ such that the following diagram commutes:

Moreover, there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that

4° SÉRIE - TOME 45 - 2012 - Nº 6

$$|\varphi_{j,\lambda}(z) - z| \leq \frac{2}{\eta} e^{b-a} C_0 |z|^2, \forall j \geq 1, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r), \forall z \in \mathbb{D}(0,\rho).$$

Proof. – For any $z \in \mathbb{D}(0, \eta)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r)$ we have

$$|g_{j,\lambda}(z) - l_{j,\lambda}(z)| = \left|\sum_{n \ge 2} \frac{g_{j,\lambda}^{(n)}(0)}{n!} z^n\right| \le |z|^2 \sum_{n \ge 2} \frac{|g_{j,\lambda}^{(n)}(0)|}{n!} . |z|^{n-2}.$$

By Cauchy's inequalities we get $\sum_{n\geq 2} \frac{|g_{j,\lambda}^{(n)}(0)|}{n!} |z|^{n-2} \leq e^b \sum_{n\geq 2} \frac{|z|^{n-2}}{\eta^{n-1}} = \frac{e^{b_j}}{\eta} \sum_{k\geq 0} \left(\frac{|z|}{\eta}\right)^k$ and thus

(5.12)
$$|g_{j,\lambda}(z) - l_{j,\lambda}(z)| \le 2\frac{e^{b_j}}{\eta}|z|^2 \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{D}(0,\frac{\eta}{2}), \lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r) \text{ and } j \ge 1.$$

For $j \ge 1$, $n \ge 1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r)$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}(0, \eta)$, we set:

$$\varphi_{j,n,\lambda}(z) := l_{j,\lambda}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ l_{j+n-1,\lambda}^{-1} \circ g_{j+n-1,\lambda} \circ \cdots \circ g_{j,\lambda}(z)$$

and $\varphi_{j,0,\lambda} = \text{id.}$ By induction on $n \ge 0$, we will establish the assertion

$$(\mathscr{A}_n) : \quad \forall j \ge 1, \, \forall z \in \mathbb{D}(0, \frac{\eta}{2}), \, |\varphi_{j,n+1,\lambda}(z) - \varphi_{j,n,\lambda}(z)| \le \frac{2}{\eta} e^{b-a-n\delta} |z|^2.$$

By 5.12 we have (\mathscr{C}_0) : $|\varphi_{j,1,\lambda}(z) - \varphi_{j,0,\lambda}(z)| \le e^{-a}|g_{j,\lambda}(z) - l_{j,\lambda}(z)| \le \frac{2}{\eta}e^{b-a}|z|^2$.

Suppose now that (\mathscr{C}_n) is true. Since $|g_{j,\lambda}(z)| \le e^{b_j}|z| \le \eta/2$ when $z \in \mathbb{D}(0, \eta/2)$ we get

$$|\varphi_{j+1,n+1,\lambda}(g_j(z)) - \varphi_{j+1,n,\lambda}(g_j(z))| \le \frac{2}{\eta} e^{b-a-n\delta} |g_{j,\lambda}(z)|^2 \le \frac{2}{\eta} e^{b-a-n\delta+2b_j} |z|^2$$

which, post-composing by $l_{j,\lambda}^{-1}$, yields (\mathcal{A}_{n+1}) .

As $\delta > 0$, the sequence $(\varphi_{j,n,\lambda})_{n\geq 1}$ uniformly converges to a holomorphic function $\varphi_{j,\lambda} = \operatorname{id} + \sum_{n\geq 0} (\varphi_{j,n+1,\lambda} - \varphi_{j,n,\lambda})$ on $\mathbb{D}(0, \frac{\eta}{2}) \times \mathbb{B}(0,r)$. Moreover, setting $C_0 := (1-e^{-\delta})^{-1}$ and $\rho := \frac{1}{2} \min\left(\frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{\eta}{2e^{b-a}C_0}\right)$, one has

(5.13)
$$|\varphi_{j,\lambda}(z) - z| \leq \frac{2}{\eta} e^{b-a} C_0 |z|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} |z| \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{D}(0, 2\rho) \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r).$$

Making $n \to \infty$ in $\varphi_{j+1,n,\lambda} \circ g_{j,\lambda} = l_{j,\lambda} \circ \varphi_{j,n+1,\lambda}$ we get $\varphi_{j+1,\lambda} \circ g_{j,\lambda} = l_{j,\lambda} \circ \varphi_{j,\lambda}$.

Let us set $\psi_{j,\lambda}(z) := \varphi_{j,\lambda}(z) - z$. The first inequality in 5.13 gives $|\psi'_{j,\lambda}(z)| \le \frac{1}{2}$ on $\mathbb{D}(0,\rho)$. This implies that $\varphi_{j,\lambda}$ is injective on $\mathbb{D}(0,\rho)$.

5.2. Families of inverse branches

Let us return to the case where $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ is a holomorphic family and $E_0 \subset \mathcal{J}_0$ is a compact f_0 -hyperbolic subset of \mathbb{P}^1 . Let h be the dynamical holomorphic motion of E_0 . Using the compactness of E_0 , we will construct inverse branches of f_{λ} , at any depth, along the orbit of $h_{\lambda}(w_0)$.

LEMMA 5.4. – The assumptions and notations are those of Proposition 5.1. Let $n \ge 1$, $w_0 \in E_0$ and $w(\lambda) := h_{\lambda}(w_0)$. There exist constants B > K > 1, $\eta > 0$, independent of w_0 and n, and a sequence $(f_{\lambda,j}^{-1})_{j\ge 1}$ of inverse branches of f_{λ} holomorphically depending on $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)$ such that

1.
$$f_{\lambda,j}^{-1}$$
 is defined on $\mathbb{D}(z_j(\lambda), \eta)$ for every $j \ge 1$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r)$, where
 $z_j(\lambda) := f_{\lambda,j-1}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\lambda,1}^{-1}(f_{\lambda}^n(w(\lambda))),$
2. $z_i(\lambda) = f_{\lambda}^{n-i+1}(w(\lambda))$ for every $1 \le i \le n+1,$
3. for any $j \ge 1, \lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r)$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}(z_j(\lambda), \eta)$ one has
(5.14) $\frac{1}{B}|z - z_j(\lambda)| \le |f_{\lambda,j}^{-1}(z) - z_{j+1}(\lambda)| \le \frac{1}{K}|z - z_j(\lambda)|.$

Proof. – Let K > 1 be the hyperbolicity constant of E_0 and \mathcal{N}_{δ} be a δ -neighborhood of E_0 in \mathbb{P}^1 . Up to reducing r, δ and K we may assume that $|f'_{\lambda}(z)| \geq K$ for all $(\lambda, z) \in \mathbb{B}(0, r) \times \mathcal{N}_{\delta}$. Set $B := \max_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r), z \in \mathcal{N}_{\delta}} |f'_{\lambda}(z)|$. Let us reformulate Lemma 2.4. There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $f_{f_0^{q-1}(w_0),\lambda}^{-1}$ is defined on $\mathbb{B}(0, r) \times \mathbb{D}(f_0^q(w_0), \alpha)$ for any $q \geq 1$ and satisfies

$$- f_{\lambda}^{q-1}(w(\lambda)) = f_{f_{0}^{q-1}(w_{0}),\lambda}^{-1} \circ f_{\lambda}^{q}(w(\lambda)) \text{ for every } \lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r).$$

$$- \frac{1}{B}|z - w| \leq |f_{f_{0}^{q-1}(w_{0}),\lambda}^{-1}(z) - f_{f_{0}^{q-1}(w_{0}),\lambda}^{-1}(w)| \leq \frac{1}{K}|z - w| \text{ for } \lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r) \text{ and } z, w \in \mathbb{D}(f_{0}^{q}(w_{0}),\alpha).$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\frac{K\varepsilon}{K-1} < \frac{\alpha}{4}$. By compactness of E_0 we may find $n_0 \ge 0$ and $m \ge 1$ such that $|f_0^{m+n_0}(w_0) - f_0^{n_0}(w_0)| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. It is clearly sufficient to make the construction for $f_0^{n_0}(w_0)$. We thus will assume that $|f_0^m(w_0) - w_0| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and, after shrinking r, that:

 $|f_{\lambda}^{m}(w(\lambda)) - w(\lambda)| \leq \varepsilon$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r)$.

Set $z_i(\lambda) := f_{\lambda}^{n-i+1}(w(\lambda))$ and $f_{\lambda,i}^{-1}(z) := f_{f_0^{n-i}(w_0),\lambda}^{-1}(z)$ for $1 \le i \le n$, $z_{n+1}(\lambda) := w(\lambda)$. Denote $\varepsilon_k := \varepsilon \left(1 + \frac{1}{K^m} + \dots + \frac{1}{K^{km}}\right)$, then $\varepsilon_k < \frac{\alpha}{4}$ by our choice of ε .

Set $\eta := \alpha/4$. Observe that the map $f_{\lambda,n}^{-1}$ is defined on $\mathbb{D}(f_{\lambda}(w(\lambda)), \eta)$ and takes values in $\mathbb{D}(w(\lambda), \eta/K) \subset \mathbb{D}(f_{\lambda}^m(w(\lambda)), \alpha/2)$. Since $z_{n+1}(\lambda) = w(\lambda)$ we obviously have $|z_{n+1}(\lambda) - f_{\lambda}^m(w(\lambda))| \leq \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon$. According to the above reformulation of Lemma 2.4, we may take $f_{\lambda,n+1}^{-1} := f_{f_0^{m-1}(w_0),\lambda}^{-1}$ on $\mathbb{D}(z_{n+1}(\lambda), \eta)$. By induction one easily sees that the inverse branches $f_{\lambda,i}^{-1}$ exist, that the points $z_j(\lambda)$ are well-defined for $j \geq n+1$ and satisfy

$$|z_j(\lambda) - f_{\lambda}^{m-r}(w(\lambda))| \le \frac{\varepsilon_k}{K^r}$$

when j is of the form j = n + km + r + 1 where $0 \le r \le m - 1$ and $k \ge 0$. We may moreover observe that there exists $1 \le r(j) \le m$ such that $z_j(\lambda) \in \mathbb{D}(f_{\lambda}^{r(j)}(w(\lambda)), \eta)$ for all $j \ge 1$. \Box

5.3. Linearization along repelling orbits

We are ready for proving Proposition 5.1:

Proof of Proposition 5.1. Recall that $w_0 \in E_0$ is fixed and that $w(\lambda) = h_{\lambda}(w_0)$. Let K, B, $f_{\lambda,j}^{-1}$ and $z_j(\lambda)$ be given by Lemma 5.4. In particular $z_1(\lambda) = f_{\lambda}^n(w(\lambda))$. For $j \ge 1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r)$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}(0, \eta)$ we set:

$$g_{j,\lambda}(z) := f_{\lambda,j}^{-1}(z+z_j(\lambda)) - z_{j+1}(\lambda),$$
$$l_{j,\lambda}(z) := (f_{\lambda,j}^{-1})'(z_j(\lambda)).z.$$

Up to a translation $g_{j,\lambda}$ is an inverse branch of f_{λ} . Let us stress that one must consider the full sequence $(g_{j,\lambda})_{j\geq 1}$ for applying Theorem 5.3. We then set

 $4^{\,e}\,S\acute{E}RIE-TOME\,45-2012-N^{o}\,6$

$$a_j := \log \inf_{\substack{|z| \le \eta \\ \|\lambda\| \le r}} |g'_{j,\lambda}(z)|, \ a := \inf_{j \ge 1} a_j, \ b_j := \log \sup_{\substack{|z| \le \eta \\ \|\lambda\| \le r}} |g'_{j,\lambda}(z)| \ \text{and} \ b := \sup_{j \ge 1} b_j.$$

According to Lemma 5.4, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r)$, $j \ge 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}(0, \eta)$ we have:

(5.15)
$$\frac{1}{B}|z| \le e^a |z| \le e^{a_j} |z| \le |g_{j,\lambda}(z)| \le e^{b_j} |z| \le e^b |z| \le \frac{1}{K} |z|.$$

Shrinking η , we may assume that $e^{2b_j - a_j} \leq e^{b/2}$ for any $j \geq 1$ and taking the $\sup_{j\geq 1}$ that $\sup_{j\geq 1} e^{2b_j - a_j} \leq e^{b/2}$.

We will denote by f_{λ}^{-n} the inverse branch of f_{λ}^{n} satisfying $f_{\lambda}^{-n}(f_{\lambda}^{n}(w(\lambda))) = w(\lambda)$ obtained by composing the branches given by Lemma 5.4. We now apply Theorem 5.3 to the sequence $(g_{j,\lambda})_{j\geq 1}$. This yields a chain linearization which we cut at j = n. We find in this way holomorphic injections $\tilde{\psi}_{1,\lambda}^{(n)}$ and $\psi_{0,\lambda}^{(n)}$ defined on $\mathbb{D}(0,\rho)$ and taking values in $\mathbb{D}(0,2\rho)$ holomorphically depending on $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)$ and such that

(5.16)
$$\psi_{0,\lambda}^{(n)}\left(f_{\lambda}^{-n}\left(z+f_{\lambda}^{n}(w(\lambda))\right)-w(\lambda)\right) = \left((f_{\lambda}^{n})'(w(\lambda))\right)^{-1}\tilde{\psi}_{1,\lambda}^{(n)}(z)$$

for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r)$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}(0, \rho)$. Moreover, there exists C > 0 such that

$$|\psi_{0,\lambda}^{(n)}(z) - z| \le C |z|^2$$
 and $|\tilde{\psi}_{1,\lambda}^{(n)}(z) - z| \le C |z|^2$

for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r)$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}(0, \rho)$. Up to reducing ρ we may assume that $C\rho < 1$ and $\psi_{1,\lambda}^{(n)} := (\tilde{\psi}_{1,\lambda}^{(n)})^{-1}$ is defined and injective on $\mathbb{D}(0, \rho)$. Changing C and reducing ρ again, we also have $|\psi_{1,\lambda}^{(n)}(z) - z| \le C|z|^2$. Moreover, for $z \in \mathbb{D}(0, \rho_n(\lambda))$, we have $|\psi_{0,\lambda}^{(n)}(z)| \le 2\rho_n(\lambda)$ and $|(f_{\lambda}^n)'(w(\lambda))\psi_{0,\lambda}^{(n)}(z)| \le \rho$. To end the proof of the proposition, it remains to invert 5.16.

6. A criterion for self-intersections of the bifurcation current

We wish here to establish a criterion for a rational map to belong to the support of T_{bif}^k . When f_0 has q critical points eventually falling in a compact f_0 -hyperbolic set, we show that $f_0 \in \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^q)$ as soon as the fibers of the activity map defined in Section 3 have codimension q. This leads to a sufficient condition for a q-Misiurewicz rational map to lie in the support of T_{bif}^q , which is precisely the statement of Theorem 1.2.

6.1. No self-intersections for the bifurcation current of a critical point

The aim of this subsection is to prove that in any holomorphic family of rational maps, the bifurcation current of a critical point never has self-intersections. This has already been proved by Dujardin and Favre for polynomial families (see [18] Proposition 6.9). As a consequence, we see that for a parameter to lie in the support of the k-th self-intersection T_{biff}^q , at least q distinct critical points need to be active at this parameter.

THEOREM 6.1. – Let $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in X}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps with 2d-2marked critical points. Assume that there exists a holomorphic family $(F_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in X}$ of polynomial lifts of the family $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in X}$ and that the 2d-2 marked critical points admit holomorphic lifts $\tilde{c}_j : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ for which det $D_z F_{\lambda} = \prod_{j=1}^{2d-2} \tilde{c}_j(\lambda) \wedge z$. Then

$$dd^c G_\lambda(\tilde{c}_i(\lambda)) \wedge dd^c G_\lambda(\tilde{c}_i(\lambda)) \equiv 0.$$

In particular, for any $1 \le q \le \max(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X, 2d - 2)$, we get

$$T^{q}_{\text{bif}} = \sum_{i_{1} \neq i_{2} \neq \dots \neq i_{q}} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{q} dd^{c} G_{\lambda}(\tilde{c}_{i_{j}}(\lambda)).$$

Proof. – The idea of the proof is the same as the one used by Bassanelli and Berteloot to prove Fact page 224 of [3]. If c_j is passive on X or if dim $X \leq 1$, there is nothing to do. We thus assume that dim $X = m \geq 2$ and $dd^c G_{\lambda}(\tilde{c}_j(\lambda)) \neq 0$ on X. Set $g(\lambda) := G_{\lambda}(\tilde{c}_j(\lambda))$ and consider $\lambda_0 \in \text{supp}(dd^c g)$. As the desired property is local, we may assume that $X = \mathbb{C}^m$. Let $\mathbb{B}(\lambda_0, r)$ be a ball of \mathbb{C}^m centered at λ_0 .

Let V be a 2-dimensional affine subspace of $\mathbb{B}(\lambda_0, r)$ and let $\mu_V := (dd^c(g|_V))^2$. By a slicing argument, μ_V is the slice of $(dd^cg)^2$ by V. To prove that $(dd^cg)^2 = 0$ on $\mathbb{B}(\lambda_0, r)$, it suffices to show that μ_V vanishes on $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{B}$ for any ball $\mathbb{B} \in V$, for any 2-dimensional affine subspace V of $\mathbb{B}(\lambda_0, r)$.

Let *h* be the solution to the Dirichlet-Monge-Ampère problem on \mathbb{B} with data $g|_V$ on the boundary. The function *h* is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{B}}$, coincides with $g|_V$ on $\partial \mathbb{B}$ and is maximal *p.s.h* on \mathbb{B} (see [6]). By maximality of *h* one has $g|_V \le h$ on $\overline{\mathbb{B}}$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, we set

$$\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon} := \{ \lambda \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{B} \mid 0 \leq h(\lambda) - g|_{V}(\lambda) \leq \varepsilon \}.$$

By a Theorem of Briend-Duval (see [31] Theorem A.10.2), there exists a constant C only depending on $g|_V$ and \mathbb{B} such that $\mu_V(\mathscr{G}_{\varepsilon}) \leq C\varepsilon$. To show that μ_V vanishes on $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{B}$, it thus suffices to prove that $\sup(\mu_V) \cap \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{B} \subset \mathscr{G}_{\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Set now $\operatorname{Per}(n) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda_0, r) \mid f_{\lambda}^n(c_j(\lambda)) = c_j(\lambda)\}$ for $n \ge 1$. As the activity locus of c_j intersects $\mathbb{B}(\lambda_0, r)$, the analytic sets $\operatorname{Per}(n)$ are curves of $\mathbb{B}(\lambda_0, r)$ and in $\operatorname{Per}(n)$ one has $\partial(\operatorname{Per}(n) \cap \mathbb{B}) = \operatorname{Per}(n) \cap \partial \mathbb{B}$.

Since for any $n \ge 1$ the function $g|_V$ is harmonic on the curve $\operatorname{Per}(n) \cap \mathbb{B}$, the function $h - g|_V$ is subharmonic on $\operatorname{Per}(n) \cap \mathbb{B}$. As $h - g|_V$ vanishes at every point of $\operatorname{Per}(n) \cap \partial \mathbb{B}$, the maximum principle yields $h \le g|_V$ on $\operatorname{Per}(n) \cap \mathbb{B}$ and the maximality of h on \mathbb{B} gives $h - g|_V \equiv 0$ on $\operatorname{Per}(n) \cap \mathbb{B}$.

By Montel's Theorem, we have $\operatorname{supp}(dd^c(g|_V)) \subset \bigcup_{n\geq 1} \operatorname{Per}(n)$. The function $h - g|_V$ being continuous, this implies $h - g|_V \equiv 0$ on $\operatorname{supp}(dd^c(g|_V)) \cap \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{B}$. Finally, by definition of μ_V , we get $\operatorname{supp}(\mu_V) \subset \operatorname{supp}(dd^c(g|_V))$, which means that $h - g|_V \equiv 0$ on $\operatorname{supp}(\mu_V) \cap \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{B}$. Thus we have shown that $\operatorname{supp}(\mu_V) \cap \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{B} \subset \mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

6.2. Statement and preliminaries

Here we establish our criterion. We will use the notations introduced at the beginning of the subsection 3.1. Let $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps with 2d - 2 marked critical points parametrized by a ball of \mathbb{C}^m . For $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)$ we denote by F_{λ} a non-degenerate homogeneous polynomial lift of f_{λ} to \mathbb{C}^2 . Up to reducing r, we may assume that the marked critical points $c_i(\lambda)$ admit holomorphic lifts $\tilde{c}_i(\lambda)$ to \mathbb{C}^2 and that F_{λ} holomorphically depends on λ . Our precise result may be stated as follows:

4° SÉRIE – TOME 45 – 2012 – Nº 6

THEOREM 6.2. – Let $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps with 2d - 2 marked critical points parametrized by a ball of \mathbb{C}^m . Assume that there is $k_0 \ge 1$ and $1 \le q \le m$ such that $\overline{\{f_0^n(c_j(0))/n \ge k_0 \text{ and } 1 \le j \le q\}}$ is a compact f_0 -hyperbolic set. Let χ be the activity map of $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ at $\lambda = 0$. If $\chi^{-1}\{0\}$ has pure codimension q, then $f_0 \in \text{supp}(dd^c G_{\lambda}(\tilde{c}_1(\lambda)) \land \cdots \land dd^c G_{\lambda}(\tilde{c}_q(\lambda)))$.

Our method for proving Theorem 6.2 is directly inspired by the work of Buff and Epstein [11]. We give here a more general criterion. Let us remark that if f_0 is geometrically finite and χ is invertible, the proof of Theorem 6.2 is exactly the same as the one of Buff and Epstein. Lemma 3.8 guarantees that any q-Misiurewicz map can be approximated by geometrically finite q-Misiurewicz maps and therefore, it suffices to prove Theorem 6.2 in the geometrically finite case. Making the proof for any q-Misiurewicz map allows us to establish estimates for the pointwise dimension of the bifurcation measure at Misiurewicz maps (see Section 7.2).

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let f be a k-Misiurewicz map which is not a flexible Lattès map. Up to taking a finite branch cover of Rat_d , we can assume that the family has 2d - 2 marked critical points in a neighborhood of f. Assume that $c_1, \ldots, c_k \in \mathcal{J}_f$. Since $c_{k+1}, \ldots, c_{2d-2}$ are passive at f, Theorem 6.1 implies that $f \notin \operatorname{supp}(T_{\operatorname{bif}}^{k+1})$ and gives

$$T^k_{\text{bif}} = k! \bigwedge_{i=1}^k dd^c G_\lambda(\tilde{c}_i(\lambda))$$

in a neighborhood of f. By Theorem 6.2 and Lemma 4.2, we have $f \in \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^k)$.

After replacing \mathbb{C}^m by a transversal subspace \mathbb{C}^q to $\chi^{-1}\{0\}$, the situation may be reduced to the case where m = q and $\chi^{-1}\{0\}$ is discrete:

LEMMA 6.3. – Let $1 \leq q \leq m$ and let u_1, \ldots, u_q be continuous psh functions on a ball $\mathbb{B}(0,r) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$. If $0 \in \operatorname{supp}(dd^c(u_1|_{\mathbb{C}^q}) \land \cdots \land dd^c(u_q|_{\mathbb{C}^q}))$, then $0 \in \operatorname{supp}(dd^c u_1 \land \cdots \land dd^c u_q)$.

Proof. – By definition of the slice $(dd^c u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c u_q)|_{\mathbb{C}^q \cap \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$, we have

 $(dd^c u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_q)|_{\mathbb{C}^q \cap \mathbb{B}(0,r)} = dd^c (u_1|_{\mathbb{C}^q \cap \mathbb{B}(0,r)}) \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c (u_q|_{\mathbb{C}^q \cap \mathbb{B}(0,r)}).$

Moreover, if $0 \in \operatorname{supp}(dd^c(u_1|_{\mathbb{C}^q \cap \mathbb{B}(0,r)}) \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c(u_q|_{\mathbb{C}^q \cap \mathbb{B}(0,r)}))$, then the positive measure $dd^c(u_1|_{\mathbb{C}^q \cap \mathbb{B}(0,r)}) \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c(u_q|_{\mathbb{C}^q \cap \mathbb{B}(0,r)})$ is a nonzero on any neighborhood V of 0 in $\mathbb{C}^q \cap \mathbb{B}(0,r)$. By continuity of u_1, \ldots, u_q , the psh functions $u_j(\cdot + a)|_{\mathbb{C}^q \cap \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ converge uniformly locally to the psh function $u_j|_{\mathbb{C}^q \cap \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$. Therefore, the measures

$$\mu_a := dd^c (u_1(\cdot + a)|_{\mathbb{C}^q \cap \mathbb{B}(0,r)}) \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c (u_q(\cdot + a)|_{\mathbb{C}^q \cap \mathbb{B}(0,r)})$$

converge to $\mu_0 = dd^c(u_1|_{\mathbb{C}^q \cap \mathbb{B}(0,r)}) \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c(u_q|_{\mathbb{C}^q \cap \mathbb{B}(0,r)})$ when $a \to 0$. In particular, μ_a is also non-zero on $\{\lambda \in V \mid \lambda + a \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)\}$ for any $a \in \mathbb{C}^{m-q} \cap \mathbb{B}(0,r)$ close enough to 0. Finally, if $dd^c u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c u_q$ were zero in a neighborhood of 0, then almost all its slices would be zero.

Note then \mathbb{B}_r for $\mathbb{B}(0, r)$ and χ for the activity map:

$$\chi: \mathbb{B}_r \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^q$$

 $\lambda \longmapsto (\chi_1(\lambda), \dots, \chi_q(\lambda)).$

After reducing r we may assume that $\chi^{-1}\{0\} = \{0\}$.

DEFINITION 6.4. – For $\epsilon > 0$ denote by $\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}^{q} := \mathbb{D}(0, \epsilon) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{D}(0, \epsilon)$ a polydisc of \mathbb{C}^{q} centered at 0. Recall that $m_{n,i}(0) = (f_{0}^{n})'(\nu_{0,i}(0)) = (f_{0}^{n})'(f_{0}^{k_{0}}(c_{i}(0)))$ and $|m_{n+1,i}(0)| \geq K|m_{n,i}(0)|$ where K > 1. We define a sequence of dilations D_{n} by: $D_{0} := \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^{q}}$ and

$$D_n : \mathbb{C}^q \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^q$$
$$(x_1, \dots, x_q) \longmapsto (m_{n,1}(0).x_1, \dots, m_{n,q}(0).x_q).$$

For every $n \ge 0$ we set $E_n := D_n^{-1}(\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}^q)$ and denote by Ω_n the connected component of $\chi^{-1}(E_n)$ containing 0. The following properties are either obvious or classical. The third assertion is a Lojaciewicz type inequality:

PROPOSITION 6.5. – Up to reducing r and ϵ :

- 1. $\Omega_{n+1} \subset \Omega_n$ for $n \ge 0$ and $(\Omega_n)_{n>0}$ is a neighborhood basis of 0 in \mathbb{B}_r .
- 2. $\chi : \Omega_n \longrightarrow E_n$ is a finite ramified cover of constant degree p for any $n \ge 0$.
- 3. There exists $C_1 > 0$ such that $\|\lambda\| \leq C_1 \|\chi(\lambda)\|^{1/p}$ for $\lambda \in \Omega_0$.
- 4. There exist $C_2 > 0$ and K > 1 such that $\Omega_n \subset \mathbb{B}(0, C_2(\frac{\epsilon}{K^n})^{1/p})$ for any $n \ge 1$.

The following lemma refines a lemma of Aspenberg (see [1] Lemma 3.2):

LEMMA 6.6 (Distortion). – Let $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r)}$ be a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps. Let $E_0 \subset \mathcal{J}_0$ be a compact f_0 -hyperbolic set and $h : \mathbb{B}(0,r) \times E_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be its dynamical holomorphic motion. Then there exists a constant C > 0 such that for all $n \ge 1$ and all $w_0 \in E_0$

$$\left|\frac{(f_{\lambda}^{n})'(h_{\lambda}(w_{0}))}{(f_{0}^{n})'(w_{0})} - 1\right| \leq e^{nC\|\lambda\|} - 1 \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0,r).$$

Proof. – Up to reducing r, one may assume that $r \leq 1$. As h is uniformly continuous on $\mathbb{B}(0, r) \times E_0$ and $|f'_0(z)| \geq K > 1$ for any $z \in E_0$, the family $\{f'_\lambda \circ h_\lambda(z)/f'_0(z) - 1\}_{z \in E_0}$ is equicontinuous on $\mathbb{B}(0, r)$. Since $f'_\lambda \circ h_\lambda(z)/f'_0(z) - 1$ vanishes at 0 for any $z \in E_0$, the Cauchy inequalities yield the existence of C' > 0 independent of z such that

(6.17)
$$\left|\frac{f_{\lambda}' \circ h_{\lambda}(z)}{f_{0}'(z)} - 1\right| \leq \frac{C'}{r} \|\lambda\| \text{ for any } \lambda \in \mathbb{B}(0, r).$$

Let $n \ge 1$ and $w_0 \in E_0$. Set $w_j(\lambda) := f_{\lambda}^j(h_{\lambda}(w_0)) = h_{\lambda}(f_0^j(w_0)), 0 \le j \le n-1$. Then

$$\frac{(f_{\lambda}^{n})'(w_{0}(\lambda))}{(f_{0}^{n})'(w_{0})} - 1 = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{f_{\lambda}'(w_{j}(\lambda))}{f_{0}'(w_{j}(0))} - 1 = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{f_{\lambda}'(w_{j}(\lambda))}{f_{0}'(w_{j}(0))} - 1 + 1\right) - 1$$

and Lemma 15.3 of [28] gives:

$$\left|\frac{(f_{\lambda}^{n})'(w_{0}(\lambda))}{(f_{0}^{n})'(w_{0})} - 1\right| \le \exp\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left|\frac{f_{\lambda}'(w_{j}(\lambda))}{f_{0}'(w_{j}(0))} - 1\right|\right) - 1.$$

The conclusion then follows from setting C := C'/r in estimate 6.17.

4° SÉRIE - TOME 45 - 2012 - Nº 6

The linearization process (see Proposition 5.1) states that there exist continuous functions $\rho_{n,i} : \mathbb{B}_r \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^*_+$ and local biholomorphisms $\psi_{0,\lambda}^{(n,i)}, \psi_{1,\lambda}^{(n,i)}$ holomorphically depending on $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}_r$, respectively defined on $\mathbb{D}(0, \rho_{n,i}(\lambda)), \mathbb{D}(0, \rho)$ and such that

$$(6.18)f_{\lambda}^{n}(z+\nu_{0,i}(\lambda))=\psi_{1,\lambda}^{(n,i)}\left(m_{n,i}(\lambda).\psi_{0,\lambda}^{(n,i)}(z)\right)+\nu_{n,i}(\lambda) \text{ for every } z\in\mathbb{D}(0,\rho_{n,i}(\lambda)).$$

Here we have used the notations introduced at the beginning of Subsection 3.1. Let us recall that $\rho_{n,i}(\lambda) = \frac{\rho}{2} |m_{n,i}(\lambda)|^{-1}$. The following lemma clarifies how we are going to use 6.18 and the Distortion Lemma to renormalize the unstable critical orbits (see Subsection 5.3):

LEMMA 6.7. – 1. There exists $n_0 \ge 1$ such that the following hold for $1 \le i \le q, n \ge n_0$ and $\lambda \in \Omega_n$:

(a)
$$\rho_{n,i}(\lambda) \geq \frac{1}{|m_{n,i}(0)|}$$
,
(b) $|m_{n,i}(\lambda)\psi_{0,\lambda}^{(n,i)}(\chi_i(\lambda))| \leq \rho$ and
(c) $f_{\lambda}^{n+k_0}(c_i(\lambda)) = \xi_{n,i}(\lambda) = \psi_{1,\lambda}^{(n,i)}\left(m_{n,i}(\lambda).\psi_{0,\lambda}^{(n,i)}(\chi_i(\lambda))\right) + \nu_{n,i}(\lambda).$

2. Modulo extraction, the sequence $(\nu_{n,i}(0))_{n\geq 0}$ converges to $z_i \in \mathcal{J}_0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq q$. Moreover, there exist sections $\sigma_i : \mathbb{D}(z_i, \alpha) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ of π , such that the maps

$$\tilde{\xi}_{n,i}(\lambda) := \sigma_i \circ \xi_{n,i}(\lambda)$$

are well-defined on Ω_n for every $n \ge n_0$ and such that $\sigma_i(\mathbb{D}(z_i, \alpha)) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. – Up to reducing ρ we may assume that for any $z \in \mathbb{P}^1$ there exists a section $\sigma_z : \mathbb{D}(z, 2\rho) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ of π . By the Distortion Lemma 6.6 and item 4 of Proposition 6.5 there exist C > 0, K > 1 and $p \ge 1$ such that

$$\left|\frac{m_{n,i}(\lambda)}{m_{n,i}(0)}\right| - 1 \le \left|\frac{m_{n,i}(\lambda)}{m_{n,i}(0)} - 1\right| \le \exp\left(\frac{nC}{K^{n/p}}\right) - 1,$$

for every $\lambda \in \Omega_n$. Thus $\rho_{n,i}(\lambda) = \frac{\rho}{2|m_{n,i}(\lambda)|} \ge \frac{\rho}{3|m_{n,i}(0)|}$ for any *n* large enough and every $\lambda \in \Omega_n$. Up to reducing ϵ we have $\epsilon \le \rho/3$ and (*a*) follows.

Now if $\lambda \in \Omega_n$ we have $|\chi_i(\lambda)| \le \frac{\epsilon}{|m_{n,i}(0)|} \le \rho_{n,i}(\lambda)$ and Proposition 5.1 gives a constant C > 0 such that $C\rho_{n,i}(\lambda) \le C\rho \le 1$ and

$$\left|\psi_{0,\lambda}^{(n,i)}(\chi_i(\lambda)) - \chi_i(\lambda)\right| \le C |\chi_i(\lambda)|^2$$

Then $\left|\psi_{0,\lambda}^{(n,i)}(\chi_i(\lambda))\right| \leq 2\rho_{n,i}(\lambda) = \rho |m_{n,i}(\lambda)|^{-1}$ and (b) is proved. Since

$$f_{\lambda}^{n+k_0}(c_i(\lambda)) = f_{\lambda}^n(\xi_{0,i}(\lambda)) = f_{\lambda}^n(\chi_i(\lambda) + \nu_{0,i}(\lambda)),$$

the assertion 6.18 becomes

$$\xi_{n,i}(\lambda) = f_{\lambda}^{n+k_0}(c_i(\lambda)) = \psi_{1,\lambda}^{(n,i)}\Big(m_{n,i}(\lambda).\psi_{0,\lambda}^{(n,i)}\big(\chi_i(\lambda)\big)\Big) + \nu_{n,i}(\lambda),$$

which is (c). Since $(\Omega_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a neighborhood basis of 0 and since $\{\nu_{n,i}(0) \mid n \geq 0\}$ is relatively compact in \mathbb{P}^1 , item (2) is now clear.

The map $\chi : \Omega_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}^q_{\epsilon}$ being a finite branched cover, there exists a pure codimension 1 analytic subset \mathscr{R} of \mathbb{D}^q_{ϵ} such that $\chi : \Omega_0 \setminus \chi^{-1}(\mathscr{R}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}^q_{\epsilon} \setminus \mathscr{R}$ is a finite cover. Set $A_n := D_n(E_n \cap \mathscr{R})$ for $n \ge 1$. We have the following:

LEMMA 6.8. – After taking a subsequence, the sequence $(A_n)_{n\geq 1}$ of pure codimension 1 analytic sets converges to a pure codimension 1 analytic set A_{∞} .

Proof. – Write $\mathscr{R} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}^{q} \mid F(\lambda) = 0\}$ and choose $\alpha_{(1)}$ a *q*-tuple such that the coefficient of $\lambda^{\alpha_{(1)}}$ in the power expansion of *F* is non-zero. Then, we may write

$$F(\lambda) = a_{\alpha_{(1)}}\lambda^{\alpha_{(1)}} + \dots + a_{\alpha_{(N)}}\lambda^{\alpha_{(N)}} + \sum_{\alpha_j > \alpha_{(1),j}} a_{\alpha}\lambda^{\alpha}.$$

For a q-tuple α , denote by $m_n^{\alpha} := m_{n,1}(0)^{\alpha_1} \dots m_{n,q}(0)^{\alpha_q}$. Let $1 \leq s \leq N$ be such that $\left|m_n^{\alpha_{(s)}}\right| = \inf_{1 \leq j \leq N} \left|m_n^{\alpha_{(j)}}\right|$. We then clearly have $A_n = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}^q \mid m_n^{\alpha_{(s)}} \cdot F \circ D_n^{-1}(\lambda) = 0\}$ for $n \geq 1$. The series expansion of $m_n^{\alpha_{(s)}} F \circ D_n^{-1}$ may be written

$$m_n^{\alpha_{(s)}}F \circ D_n^{-1}(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^N a_{\alpha_{(j)}} \frac{m_n^{\alpha_{(s)}}}{m_n^{\alpha_{(j)}}} \lambda^{\alpha_{(j)}} + \sum_{\alpha_j > \alpha_{(1),j}} a_\alpha \frac{m_n^{\alpha_{(s)}}}{m_n^{\alpha}} \lambda^{\alpha}.$$

By the choice of s, the first sum defines a bounded sequence of polynomial functions and locally uniformly converges (up to extraction) to a polynomial F_{∞} on $\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}^{q}$. Again by the choice of s, the second sum clearly uniformly converges to 0 on $\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}^{q}$. Thus the sequence $(A_{n})_{n\geq 1}$ converges (up to extraction) to a pure codimension 1 analytic subset $A_{\infty} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}^{q} \mid F_{\infty}(\lambda) = 0\}$ of $\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}^{q}$.

We now set

$$X_{\infty} := \overline{\bigcup_{n \ge 0} A_n} = A_{\infty} \cup \bigcup_{n \ge 0} A_n \text{ and } \dot{\mathbb{D}}_{\epsilon}^q := \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}^q \setminus X_{\infty}.$$

By a covering theorem of Besicovitch (see [20] page 30), there exist an integer $P(q) \ge 1$ only depending on q and a countable family $(\mathbb{B}_i)_{i\ge 1}$ of closed balls such that $2\mathbb{B}_i \subset \dot{\mathbb{D}}_{\epsilon}^q$ and:

(6.19)
$$\mathbf{1}_{\dot{\mathbb{D}}_{\epsilon}^{q}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{B}_{i}} \leq P(q).\mathbf{1}_{\dot{\mathbb{D}}_{\epsilon}^{q}}.$$

By the Lebesgue convergence Theorem, this gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}^{q}} \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{B}_{i}} \cdot \mu = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \mu(\mathbb{B}_{i})$$

for any finite Radon measure μ on \mathbb{D}^q_{ϵ} . We may now start to prove Theorem 6.2.

6.3. First step: local bounds for T_{bif}^q

Recall that by Proposition 6.5, the map

$$\Omega_n \xrightarrow{D_n \circ \chi} \mathbb{D}^q_{\epsilon}$$

is a finite branched cover of degree p on Ω_n .

LEMMA 6.9. – 1. Let us set $\mu^{(q)} := dd^c G_{\lambda}(\tilde{c}_1(\lambda))|_{\mathbb{B}_r} \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c G_{\lambda}(\tilde{c}_q(\lambda))|_{\mathbb{B}_r}$. Then for any $n \ge 0$,

$$\frac{1}{P(q)} \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} (D_n \circ \chi)_* \mu^{(q)}(\mathbb{B}_i) \le \mu^{(q)}(\Omega_n) \le \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} (D_n \circ \chi)_* \mu^{(q)}(\mathbb{B}_i).$$

4° SÉRIE - TOME 45 - 2012 - Nº 6

2. There exists $n_0 \ge 1$ such that for any $n \ge n_0$, there exist p inverse branches $S_{n,1,j}, \ldots, S_{n,p,j} : \mathbb{B}_j \longrightarrow \Omega_n$ of $D_n \circ \chi$ so that the union $\bigcup_{1 \le l \le p} S_{n,l,j}(\mathbb{B}_j)$ is a disjoint union and:

$$(D_n \circ \chi)_* \mu^{(q)}(\mathbb{B}_j) = d^{-q(n+k_0)} \sum_{l=1}^p \int_{\mathbb{B}_j} \bigwedge_{i=1}^q dd^c G_{S_{n,l,j}(x)}(\tilde{\xi}_{n,i}(S_{n,l,j}(x))).$$

Proof. – (1) As $G_{\lambda}(\tilde{c}_i(\lambda))$ is continuous for any $1 \leq i \leq q$, the measure $\mu^{(q)}$ does not give mass to pluripolar sets. In particular, $\mu^{(q)}(\Omega_n) = \mu^{(q)}(\Omega_n \setminus (D_n \circ \chi)^{-1}(X_\infty))$. By 6.19, we have

$$\mathbf{1}_{\dot{\mathbb{D}}^q_{\epsilon}} \circ (D_n \circ \chi) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{B}_i} \circ (D_n \circ \chi) \leq P(q) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\dot{\mathbb{D}}^q_{\epsilon}} \circ (D_n \circ \chi),$$

which gives

$$\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n \setminus (D_n \circ \chi)^{-1}(X_\infty)} \le \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{B}_i} \circ (D_n \circ \chi) \le P(q) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n \setminus (D_n \circ \chi)^{-1}(X_\infty)}$$

Evaluating the positive measure $\mu^{(q)}$, we find

$$\mu^{(q)}(\Omega_n) = \mu^{(q)}(\Omega_n \setminus (D_n \circ \chi)^{-1}(X_\infty)) \le \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \mu^{(q)}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{B}_i} \circ (D_n \circ \chi)) = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} (D_n \circ \chi)_* \mu^{(q)}(\mathbb{B}_i).$$

We prove the same way the other inequality.

(2) After item (2) of Lemma 6.7, the current $dd^c G_{\lambda}(\tilde{\xi}_{n,i}(\lambda))$ is well-defined on Ω_n for $1 \leq i \leq q$ and $n \geq n_0$. For $\lambda \in \Omega_n$ we have $\pi \circ F_{\lambda}^{n+k_0}(\tilde{c}_i(\lambda)) = \pi \circ \tilde{\xi}_{n,i}(\lambda)$. Owing to 2.1 and 2.2 it yields

(6.20)
$$d^{n+k_0} dd^c G_\lambda(\tilde{c}_i(\lambda)) = dd^c G_\lambda(\tilde{\xi}_{n,i}(\lambda)).$$

From 6.20, we deduce that

$$\mu^{(q)}(U_n) = \int_{U_n} \bigwedge_{i=1}^q dd^c G_\lambda(\tilde{c}_i(\lambda)) = d^{-q(n+k_0)} \int_{U_n} \bigwedge_{i=1}^q dd^c G_\lambda(\tilde{\xi}_{n,i}(\lambda))$$

for every Borel set $U_n \subset \Omega_n$ and every $n \ge n_0$.

By assumption $\mathbb{B}_j \cap X_{\infty} = \emptyset$. Since $D_n \circ \chi$ is a degree *p* covering map on $\Omega_n \setminus \chi^{-1}(A_n)$, there exist *p* inverse branches $S_{n,1,j}, \ldots, S_{n,p,j}$ of $D_n \circ \chi$ defined on \mathbb{B}_j and taking values in Ω_n . It thus comes

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{q!} d^{q(n+k_0)} (D_n \circ \chi)_* \mu^{(q)}(\mathbb{B}_j) &= \sum_{l=1}^p \int_{S_{n,l,j}(\mathbb{B}_j)} (D_n \circ \chi)^* \bigwedge_{i=1}^q dd^c G_{S_{n,l,j}(x)} \big(\tilde{\xi}_{n,i}(S_{n,l,j}(x)) \big), \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^p \int_{\mathbb{B}_j} \bigwedge_{i=1}^q dd^c G_{S_{n,l,j}(x)} \big(\tilde{\xi}_{n,i}(S_{n,l,j}(x)) \big). \end{split}$$

This is the announced estimate.

ANNALES SCIENTIFIQUES DE L'ÉCOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE

6.4. Second step: renormalization

Recall that $\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}^{q} = \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}^{q} \setminus X_{\infty}$. Let $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}^{q} \setminus X_{\infty}$ be a closed ball and let $S_{n,1} \ldots, S_{n,p}$ be the inverse branches of $D_{n} \circ \chi$ defined on \mathbb{B} and taking values in Ω_{n} . The family $(S_{n,l})_{n\geq 0}$ is a sequence of holomorphic maps defined on \mathbb{B} .

LEMMA 6.10. – Let \mathbb{B} be a closed ball contained in $\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}^{q}$. Modulo extraction the following sequences $(1 \leq l \leq p \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq q)$ uniformly converge on \mathbb{B} when $n \to +\infty$:

$$S_{n,l} \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$\xi_{n,i} \circ S_{n,l}(x) \longrightarrow p_i(x_i),$$

$$G_{S_{n,l}(x)} \Big(\tilde{\xi}_{n,i} \circ S_{n,l}(x) \Big) \longrightarrow G_0 \circ \sigma_i \big(p_i(x_i) \big),$$

where $p_i : \mathbb{D}(0, \epsilon) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is holomorphic and satisfies $p'_i(0) = 1$ and $p_i(0) = z_i \in \mathcal{J}_0$. Moreover, there exists a constant M > 0 such that:

$$\left\|G_{S_{n,l}}\left(\tilde{\xi}_{n,i}\circ S_{n,l}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{B})}\leq M,$$

for any ball $\mathbb{B} \subset \hat{\mathbb{D}}_{\epsilon}^q$, any $n \ge n_0$, any $1 \le i \le q$ and any $1 \le l \le p$.

Proof. – By construction $S_{n,l}(\mathbb{B}) = \phi_{n,l} \circ D_n^{-1}(\mathbb{B}) \subset \Omega_n$. Thus the sequence $(S_{n,l})_{n\geq 0}$ uniformly converges to 0 on \mathbb{B} . Let us use Lemma 6.7. Item 1 (c) allows us to write

$$\xi_{n,i}(S_{n,l}(x)) = \psi_{1,S_{n,l}(x)}^{(n,i)} \left(m_{n,i}(S_{n,l}(x)) \cdot \psi_{0,S_{n,l}(x)}^{(n,i)} \left(\frac{x_i}{m_{n,i}(0)} \right) \right) + \nu_{n,i}(S_{n,l}(x)).$$

As the sequence $(\nu_{n,i}(0))_{n\geq 0}$ converges up to extraction to $z_i \in \mathcal{J}_0$ and as $(\nu_{n,i})_{n\geq 0}$ is equicontinuous, we see that $(\nu_{n,i} \circ S_{n,l})_{n\geq 0}$ uniformly converges to z_i on \mathbb{B} .

Let us now look at $\xi_{n,i} \circ S_{n,l} - \nu_{n,i} \circ S_{n,l}$. Set

$$u_{n,i}(x_i) := \psi_{1,0}^{(n,i)} \left(m_{n,i}(0) \cdot \psi_{0,0}^{(n,i)} \left(\frac{x_i}{m_{n,i}(0)} \right) \right)$$

for $n \ge 0$, $x_i \in \mathbb{D}(0, \epsilon)$ and $1 \le i \le q$. According to Proposition 5.1 there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that

(6.21)
$$|\psi_{0,\lambda}^{(n,i)}(z) - z| \le C_1 |z|^2 \text{ for } \lambda \in \mathbb{B}_r \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{D}(0,\rho_{n,i}(\lambda)),$$

(6.22)
$$|\psi_{1,\lambda}^{(n,i)}(w) - w| \le C_1 |w|^2 \text{ for } \lambda \in \mathbb{B}_r \text{ and } w \in \mathbb{D}(0,\rho).$$

We deduce from it that the family $(u_{n,i})_{n\geq 0}$ is equicontinuous and locally uniformly converges up to extraction to a holomorphic function q_i on $\mathbb{D}(0,\epsilon)$ which verifies $q'_i(0) = 1$ and $q_i(0) = 0$. Set $p_i := q_i + z_i$.

Set now for every $x \in \mathbb{B}$

$$\begin{split} u_{n,l,i}(x) &:= \psi_{1,S_{n,l}(x)}^{(n,i)} \Big(m_{n,i}(S_{n,l}(x)) . \psi_{0,S_{n,l}(x)}^{(n,i)} \Big(\frac{x_i}{m_{n,i}(0)} \Big) \Big), \\ v_{n,l,i}(x) &:= m_{n,i} \Big(S_{n,l}(x) \Big) \psi_{0,S_{n,l}(x)}^{(n,i)} \Big(\frac{x_i}{m_{n,i}(0)} \Big) \text{ and } \\ v_{n,i}(x_i) &:= m_{n,i}(0) \psi_{0,0}^{(n,i)} \Big(\frac{x_i}{m_{n,i}(0)} \Big). \end{split}$$

To conclude it suffices to prove that $(u_{n,l,i}(x) - u_{n,i}(x_i))_{n \ge 0}$ uniformly converges to 0 on \mathbb{B} . As $S_{n,l}(\mathbb{B}) \subset \Omega_n$, the Distortion Lemma 6.6 and item 4. of Proposition 6.5 give $C_2 > 0$,

4° SÉRIE - TOME 45 - 2012 - Nº 6

K > 1 and $p \ge 1$ such that $\left| \frac{m_{n,i}(S_{n,l}(x))}{m_{n,i}(0)} - 1 \right| \le \exp(C_2 \frac{n}{K^{n/p}}) - 1$. This together with 6.21 gives for $x \in \mathbb{B}$ and $n \ge n_0$

$$|v_{n,l,i}(x) - v_{n,i}(x_i)| \le \left(\exp\left(\frac{nC_2}{K^{n/p}}\right) - 1\right)|x_i| + C_1\left(1 + \exp\left(\frac{nC_2}{K^{n/p}}\right)\right)\frac{|x_i|^2}{|m_{n,i}(0)|}$$

and the sequence $(v_{n,l,i}(x) - v_{n,i}(x))_{n \ge 0}$ uniformly converges to 0 on \mathbb{B} .

To conclude, we remark that

$$u_{n,l,i}(x) - u_{n,i}(x) = \left(\psi_{1,S_{n,l}(x)}^{(n,i)}(v_{n,l,i}(x)) - \psi_{1,0}^{(n,i)}(v_{n,l,i}(x))\right) \\ + \left(\psi_{1,0}^{(n,i)}(v_{n,l,i}(x)) - \psi_{1,0}^{(n,i)}(v_{n,i}(x))\right).$$

Since $S_{n,l}(\mathbb{B}) \subset \Omega_n$ item 1 (b) of Lemma 6.7 says that $|v_{n,l,i}(x)| \leq \rho$ and $|v_{n,i}(x_i)| \leq \rho$ for any $n \geq n_0$ and $x \in \mathbb{B}$. It is now clear that $(u_{n,l,i} - u_{n,i})_{n \geq 1}$ uniformly converges to 0 on \mathbb{B} . Finally, if $W \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ is a neighborhood of $\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq q} \sigma_j(\mathbb{D}(0, \tilde{\alpha}))$ (see item 2 of Lemma 6.7), then $\Omega_0 \times W \in \mathbb{B}_r \times \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ and $(S_{n,l}(x), \xi_{n,i} \circ S_{n,l}(x)) \in \Omega_0 \times W$ for any $x \in \mathbb{B}$ and any $n \geq n_0$ and, by continuity of $(\lambda, z) \longmapsto G_\lambda(z)$ on $\mathbb{B}_r \times \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, we get M > 0 such that $\|G_\lambda(z)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_0 \times W)} \leq M$.

6.5. Third step: asymptotic reduction to a dynamical data

Using the p_i which are given by Lemma 6.10, we define a Radon measure on \mathbb{C}^q by setting

$$\mu(B) := \int_{\mathbb{D}^q_{\epsilon} \cap B} \bigwedge_{i=1}^q dd^c G_0 \circ \sigma_i(p_i(x_i)) \text{ for any Borel set } B \subset \mathbb{C}^q$$

LEMMA 6.11. – Let $\ell := \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mu(\mathbb{B}_j)$. Then: $0 < \mu(\mathbb{D}^q_{\epsilon}) \le \ell \le P(q) \cdot \mu(\mathbb{D}^q_{\epsilon}) < +\infty$.

Proof. – As the functions $G_0 \circ \sigma_i(p_i(x_i))$ are continuous, the measure μ does not give mass to pluripolar sets. In particular, $\mu(\mathbb{D}^q_{\epsilon}) = \mu(\dot{\mathbb{D}}^q_{\epsilon})$. By 6.19, we thus find

$$\mu(\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}^{q}) = \mu(\dot{\mathbb{D}}_{\epsilon}^{q}) \le \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mu(\mathbb{B}_{j}) \le P(q).\mu(\dot{\mathbb{D}}_{\epsilon}^{q}) = P(q).\mu(\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}^{q}).$$

The function $G_0 \circ \sigma_i(p_i(x_i))$ only depending on the *i*-th variable, the Fubini Theorem gives

$$\mu(\mathbb{D}^q_{\epsilon}) = \int_{\mathbb{D}^q_{\epsilon}} \bigwedge_{i=1}^q dd^c G_0 \circ \sigma_i \big(p_i(x_i) \big) = \prod_{i=1}^q \int_{\mathbb{D}(0,\epsilon)} dd^c G_0 \circ \sigma_i \big(p_i(z) \big).$$

Set now $W_i := p_i(\mathbb{D}(0, \epsilon))$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$. As p_i is non-constant, the set W_i is an open neighborhood of $p_i(0) = z_i \in \mathcal{J}_0$. Thus we have $0 < \mu_{f_0}(W_i) < +\infty$ and

$$0 < \mu(\mathbb{D}^q_{\epsilon}) = \prod_{i=1}^q \mu_{f_0}(W_i) < +\infty,$$

which gives the announced estimate.

We are now in position to end the proof of Theorem 6.2:

ANNALES SCIENTIFIQUES DE L'ÉCOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE

Lemma 6.12. $-0 \in \operatorname{supp}(\mu^{(q)})$. More precisely, $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\log \mu^{(q)}(\Omega_n)}{n} = -q \log d \neq 0$.

Proof. – By Chern-Levine-Nirenberg inequalities and Lemma 6.10, there exists a constant M > 0 such that for any $n \ge n_0$, any $j \ge 1$ and any $1 \le l \le p$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_j} \bigwedge_{i=1}^q dd^c G_{S_{n,l,j}(x)}(\tilde{\xi}_{n,i} \circ S_{n,l,j}(x)) \le \operatorname{Leb}(\mathbb{B}_j).M^q < +\infty.$$

Therefore, taking the sum over j and l we find for any $N \ge 1$:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{l=1}^{p} \sum_{j \ge N+1} \int_{\mathbb{B}_{j}} \bigwedge_{i=1}^{q} dd^{c} G_{S_{n,l,j}(x)}(\tilde{\xi}_{n,i} \circ S_{n,l,j}(x)) &\leq \left(\sum_{l=1}^{p} \sum_{j \ge N+1} \operatorname{Leb}(\mathbb{B}_{j}) \right) . M^{q} \\ &\leq p.M^{q} \sum_{j \ge N+1} \operatorname{Leb}(\mathbb{B}_{j}) \end{split}$$

for $n \ge n_0$. Since $\sum_{j\ge 1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{B}_j} \le P(q) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{D}^q_{\epsilon}}$, the series $\sum_{j\ge 1} \operatorname{Leb}(\mathbb{B}_j)$ is convergent and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N_0 \ge 1$ such that for any $N \ge N_0$ we find:

$$0 \leq \sum_{l=1}^{p} \sum_{j \geq N+1} \int_{\mathbb{B}_j} \bigwedge_{i=1}^{q} dd^c G_{S_{n,l,j}(x)}(\tilde{\xi}_{n,i} \circ S_{n,l,j}(x)) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Similarly, we prove that there exists $N_1 \ge N_0$ such that for $N \ge N_1$ one has:

$$0 \le p.\ell - \sum_{l=1}^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{B}_{j}} \bigwedge_{i=1}^{q} dd^{c} G_{0}(\sigma_{i} \circ p_{i}(x_{i})) \le \varepsilon.$$

After Lemma 6.10, the sequence $G_{S_{n,l,j}(x)}(\tilde{\xi}_{n,i} \circ S_{n,l,j}(x))$ converges to $G_0(\sigma_i \circ p_i(x_i))$ uniformly on \mathbb{B}_j . We thus have shown that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \sum_{l=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{B}_j} \bigwedge_{i=1}^q dd^c G_{S_{n,l,j}(x)}(\tilde{\xi}_{n,i} \circ S_{n,l,j}(x)) = p.\ell > 0.$$

Combined with Lemma 6.9 and Lemma 6.11, this gives a sequence $\ell_n \longrightarrow \ell$, so that

$$P(q)^{-1}p.d^{-q(n+k_0)}\ell_n \le \mu^{(q)}(\Omega_n) \le p.d^{-q(n+k_0)}\ell_n$$

As $\ell > 0$ and as (Ω_n) is a basis of neighborhood of 0, we have proved that $0 \in \operatorname{supp}(\mu^{(q)})$. Moreover, the previous estimates may be rewritten:

$$\frac{\log(P(q)^{-1}p\ell_n)}{n} - \left(1 + \frac{k_0}{n}\right)q\log d \le \frac{\log\mu^{(q)}(\Omega_n)}{n} \le \frac{\log(p\ell_n)}{n} - \left(1 + \frac{k_0}{n}\right)q\log d.$$

$$\square$$

$$\square$$

As ℓ_n converges, one concludes letting n tend to ∞ .

7. Dimension estimates for the bifurcation measure

In this section, we want to summarize the dimension estimates for the bifurcation measure which can be deduced from the previous sections.

4° SÉRIE – TOME 45 – 2012 – N° 6

978

7.1. Hausdorff dimension of the support of $\mu_{\rm bif}$

Recall that a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ is said *homogeneous* if for any open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ such that $U \cap E \neq \emptyset$, then $\dim_H(E) = \dim_H(E \cap U)$. Theorem 1.3 combined with fine parabolic implosion techniques allows to establish the following result:

THEOREM 7.1. – In the family Rat_d , we have the following:

- The set supp(T^k_{bif}) \ supp(T^{k+1}_{bif}) has maximal Hausdorff dimension 2(2d + 1),
 the support of T^{2d-2}_{bif} is homogeneous and dim_H(supp(T^{2d-2}_{bif})) = 2(2d + 1).

Proof. – Item (1) is just the combination of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 4.7. Moreover, by the Main Theorem of [11], the set \mathfrak{M}_{2d-2} is dense in $\operatorname{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^{2d-2})$ and, using again Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 4.7, we conclude.

The Lyapounov function $L : \operatorname{Rat}_d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ induces a p.s.h and continuous function L on \mathcal{M}_d . Recall that the space \mathcal{M}_d has complex dimension 2d - 2. Bassanelli and Berteloot define the *bifurcation measure* in \mathcal{M}_d by setting $\mu_{\text{bif}} := (dd^c \tilde{L})^{2d-2}$ (see [3] Section 6). As the natural projection Π : $\operatorname{Rat}_d \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$ is a principal fibration on the complement of an analytic set (see [3] page 226), Theorem 7.1 immediatly yields

$$\dim_H \left(\operatorname{supp}(\mu_{\operatorname{bif}}) \right) = 2(2d-2)$$

and the homogeneity of the set $supp(\mu_{bif})$. This is Theorem 1.1.

7.2. Pointwise dimension of the bifurcation measure at Misiurewicz maps

Recall that, when μ is a Radon measure on \mathbb{R}^k , the *upper pointwise dimension* of μ at $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is given by (see [20]):

$$\overline{\dim}_{\mu}(x) := \limsup_{r \to 0} \frac{\log \mu(\mathbb{B}(x, r))}{\log r}$$

Owing to Theorem 1.3 and Lemma 6.12, we can easily give a lower bound for the upper pointwise dimension of the bifurcation measure at Misiurewicz parameters:

THEOREM 7.2. – Let $[f] \in \mathcal{M}_d$ be the conjugacy class of a (2d-2)-Misiurewicz rational map $f \in \operatorname{Rat}_d$. Assume that [f] is not a singular point of \mathcal{M}_d . Then the upper pointwise dimension of μ_{bif} at [f] satisfies

$$\overline{\dim}_{\mu_{\mathrm{bif}}}[f] \ge (2d-2) \cdot \frac{\log d}{\liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\log \mathfrak{m}_n^+}{n}} > 0,$$

where $k_0 \ge 1$ is the least integer such that $P^{k_0}(f)$ is an *f*-hyperbolic set and

$$\mathfrak{m}_n^+ := \max_{1 \le i \le 2d-2} \left| (f^n)'(f^{k_0}(c_i)) \right|.$$

Proof. – Let *f* be a (2*d*−2)-Misiurewicz map and let *V_f* be the local (2*d*−2)-dimensional submanifold of Rat_{*d*} containing *f* which is transversal to the orbit $\partial(f) \subset \text{Rat}_d$ of *f* under the action of Aut(\mathbb{P}^1) (see for example [3] page 226). Let $\mathbb{B}(f, r_0) \subset V_f$ be a ball centered at *f*. Recall that, since $P^{k_0}(f)$ is an *f*-hyperbolic set, there exist constants 0 < A < B < 1 such that $A^n \leq |(f^n)'(f^{k_0}(c_i))|^{-1} \leq B^n$ for any $n \geq 0$ and any $1 \leq i \leq 2d - 2$ and that we have defined a basis of neighborhood of *f* in *V_f* by defining Ω_n as the component of

 $\chi^{-1}\mathbb{D}(0,\epsilon/(f^n)'(f^{k_0}(c_1)))\times\cdots\times\mathbb{D}(0,\epsilon/(f^n)'(f^{k_0}(c_{2d-2})))$

containing f, where χ is the activity map defined in Section 3.1. After items 3 and 4 of Proposition 6.5, there exists a constant C > 0 such that $\mathbb{B}(f, C/\mathfrak{m}_n^+) \subset \Omega_n$. If we set $\mu := (dd^c L|_{V_f})^{2d-2}$, it thus comes

$$\frac{\log \mu(\mathbb{B}(f, C/\mathfrak{m}_n^+))}{\log(C/\mathfrak{m}_n^+)} \geq \frac{\log \mu(\Omega_n)}{\log(C/\mathfrak{m}_n^+)} = \frac{\log \mu(\Omega_n)}{-n} \cdot \frac{-n}{\log C - \log \mathfrak{m}_n^+}$$

By Theorem 6.1 and Lemma 6.12, taking the lim sup over n, we find:

$$\limsup_{r \to 0} \frac{\log \mu(\mathbb{B}(f, r))}{\log r} \ge \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\log \mu(\mathbb{B}(f, C/\mathfrak{m}_n^+))}{\log C/\mathfrak{m}_n^+} \ge (2d - 2) \cdot \log d \cdot \frac{1}{\liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\log \mathfrak{m}_n^+}{n}}$$

The natural projection $\Pi: V_f \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$ being a finite branched cover, we get

$$\overline{\dim}_{\mu_{\mathrm{bif}}}[f] = \limsup_{r \to 0} \frac{\log \mu(\mathbb{B}(f, r))}{\log r}.$$

Since $|(f^n)'(f^{k_0}(c_i))| \le A^{-n}$ with A < 1, we can conclude that $\overline{\dim}_{\mu_{\text{bif}}}[f] > 0$.

Recall that the family Rat_d of all degree d rational maps is a quasiprojective variety of \mathbb{P}^{2d+1} which is connected (see [3] Section 1.1). We denote by ω the Fubini-Study form of \mathbb{P}^{2d+1} restricted to Rat_d .

REMARK 7.3. – The proof should be similar when the point [f] is singular. Let:

$$\sigma_{T^k_{\mathrm{bif}}} := \Pi_*(T^k_{\mathrm{bif}} \wedge \omega^{2d-2-k}) = (\Pi_*T_{\mathrm{bif}})^k \wedge (\Pi_*\omega)^{2d-2-k}$$

where Π : $\operatorname{Rat}_d \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$ is the quotient map. As in the classical situation, we call this measure the trace measure of $(\Pi_* T_{\operatorname{bif}})^k$. Theorem 7.2 may also generalize for k-Misiurewicz maps in the following way:

$$\overline{\dim}_{\sigma_{T^k_{\mathrm{bif}}}}[f] \geq k \cdot \frac{\log d}{\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \mathfrak{m}_n^+}{n}} > 0.$$

In the case of strictly postcritically finite rational maps, Theorem 7.2 can be precised:

COROLLARY 7.4. – Let $[f] \in \mathcal{M}_d$ be the conjugacy class of a strictly postcritically finite rational map $f \in \operatorname{Rat}_d$ which is not a flexible Lattès map and such that [f] is not a singular point of \mathcal{M}_d . Let α_j be the multipliers of the p_j -repelling cycles capturing the critical point c_j and let $p := \operatorname{gcd}(p_j)$. Then:

$$\overline{\dim}_{\mu_{\mathrm{bif}}}[f] \ge (2d-2) \cdot \frac{\log d}{\log \max_{1 \le i \le 2d-2} |\alpha_i|^{p/p_i}}.$$

In particular, if f is a rigid (i.e., non-flexible) Lattès map, then $\overline{\dim}_{\mu_{\text{bif}}}(f) = 2(2d-2)$.

4° SÉRIE - TOME 45 - 2012 - Nº 6

Proof. – Let f be a strictly postcritically finite degree d rational map which is not a flexible Lattès map, then the same proof as for Theorem 7.2 gives the wanted estimate, since

$$(f^{n_j p})'(f_0^k(c_i)) = \left((f^p)'(f^{k_0}(c_i))\right)^{n_j} = (\alpha_i^{p/p_i})^{n_j}.$$

If f is a Lattès map, the multiplier of any n-cycles has modulus $(\sqrt{d})^n$ (see [26] Corollary 3.9) and the result follows.

The proof of Theorem 1.5 is now obvious: there exists a projective variety $V \subset \operatorname{Rat}_d$ such that $\mathcal{M}_d \setminus \Pi(V)$ is a complex manifold. Taking $\mathfrak{M} := \Pi(\mathfrak{M}_{2d-2} \setminus V)$ gives directly Theorem 1.5.

8. In the family of degree d polynomials

Let Poly_d be the family of all degree d complex polynomials. The group $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ acts by conjugacy on Poly_d and we denote by $\mathscr{P}_d := \operatorname{Poly}_d / \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ the quotient space. For $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_{d-2}) \in \mathbb{C}^{d-2}$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$ we denote $\lambda := (c, a) \in \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$ and we set

$$p_{\lambda}(z) := \frac{1}{d} z^{d} + \sum_{j=2}^{d-1} (-1)^{d-j} \frac{\sigma_{d-j}(c)}{j} z^{j} + a^{d}.$$

This parametrization was introduced by Branner and Hubbard in [10] to study the connectedness locus \mathcal{C}_d of the family \mathcal{P}_d . This family has d - 1 marked critical points $c_0(\lambda) := 0, c_1(\lambda) := c_1, \ldots, c_{d-2}(\lambda) := c_{d-2}$ and admits a natural compactification as \mathbb{P}^{d-1} . The following proposition (see [10] Section 2 and Corollary 3.7 or [18] Proposition 5.1, Proposition 6.2 and Proposition 6.14 or [5] Section 4.2) summarizes the interest of this parametrization:

PROPOSITION 8.1. – 1. The natural projection $\Pi : \mathbb{C}^{d-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_d$ is a degree d(d-1) analytic branched cover,

- 2. The loci $\mathcal{B}_i := \{\lambda \mid (p_{\lambda}^n(c_i(\lambda)))_{n \geq 1} \text{ is bounded in } \mathbb{C}\}\$ accumulate at infinity of \mathbb{C}^{d-1} in \mathbb{P}^{d-1} on codimension 1 algebraic sets Γ_i of the hyperplane $\mathbb{P}_{\infty} = \mathbb{P}^{d-1} \setminus \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$ which intersect two-by-two transversally. As a consequence, \mathcal{C}_d is compact in \mathbb{C}^{d-1} ,
- 3. The support of the bifurcation measure $\mu_{\text{bif}} := T_{\text{bif}}^{d-1}$ is the Shilov boundary \mathcal{C}_d .

In the family $(p_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{d-1}}$, the main results stated throughout the paper hold. Let us mention that for proving Lemma 4.8, we do not use the fact that we have a family of rational maps. Therefore, Lemma 4.8 and Theorem 4.7 remain valid in this context. This again implies that $\operatorname{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^k) \setminus \operatorname{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^{k+1})$ has maximal Hausdorff dimension 2(d-1) and, for k = d-1, that the support of the measure μ_{bif} is homogeneous and has maximal Hausdorff dimension. Owing to Proposition 8.1, this is exactly Theorem 1.6.

The following lemma allows us to generalize Theorem 1.3 for polynomials having critical points preperiodic to repelling cycles: to have transversality at p_{λ_0} , you do not need any assumptions on the critical points of p_{λ_0} which are not preperiodic to repelling cycles. In particular, the formalism of good families is not anymore relevant for geometrically finite Misiurewicz polynomials:

LEMMA 8.2. – Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$ and $1 \leq k \leq d-1$. Assume that p_{λ_0} has k critical points $c_{j_1}(\lambda_0), \ldots, c_{j_k}(\lambda_0)$ preperiodic to repelling cycles with $p_{\lambda_0}^{k_0+n_i}(c_{j_i}(\lambda_0)) = p_{\lambda_0}^{k_0}(c_{j_i}(\lambda_0))$. Set $\chi_i(\lambda) := p_{\lambda}^{k_0+n_i}(c_{j_i}(\lambda)) - p_{\lambda}^{k_0}(c_{j_i}(\lambda))$ and let χ be the activity map of p_{λ_0} :

$$\chi: \mathbb{C}^{d-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$$
$$\lambda \longmapsto (\chi_1(\lambda), \dots, \chi_k(\lambda)).$$

Then codim $\chi^{-1}\{0\} = k$.

Proof. – First remark that the activity map exhibited in the lemma coincides with the one introduced in Section 3.1 in a neighborhood of λ_0 . Consider the algebraic set

$$H_i := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{d-1} \mid p_{\lambda}^{k_0 + n_i}(c_{j_i}(\lambda)) = p_{\lambda}^{k_0}(c_{j_i}(\lambda)) \} = \chi_i^{-1}\{0\}.$$

Since $H_i \subset \mathcal{B}_{j_i}$, it is a hypersurface which extends as a hypersurface of \mathbb{P}^{d-1} , still denoted by H_i . Proposition 8.1 implies that $H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_k \cap \mathbb{P}_\infty \subset \Gamma_{j_1} \cap \cdots \cap \Gamma_{j_k} \cap \mathbb{P}_\infty$. Using again Proposition 8.1, we get codim $H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_k \cap \mathbb{P}_\infty \ge k + 1$. As \mathbb{P}_∞ is a hypersurface, we have codim $H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_k \ge k$. Since $\lambda_0 \in H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_k$, the set $H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_k$ is not empty and thus codim $H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_k \le k$.

Due to the above lemma, applying Theorem 6.2 we find:

THEOREM 8.3. – Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$ and $1 \leq k \leq d-1$. Assume that p_{λ_0} has k critical points which are preperiodic to repelling cycles, then $\lambda_0 \in \text{supp}(T_{\text{bif}}^k)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. ASPENBERG, Rational Misiurewicz maps are rare, Comm. Math. Phys. 291 (2009), 645–658.
- [2] M. ASPENBERG, J. GRACZYK, Dimension and measure for semi-hyperbolic rational maps of degree 2, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 347 (2009), 395–400.
- [3] G. BASSANELLI, F. BERTELOOT, Bifurcation currents in holomorphic dynamics on \mathbb{P}^k , J. reine angew. Math. 608 (2007), 201–235.
- [4] G. BASSANELLI, F. BERTELOOT, Lyapunov exponents, bifurcation currents and laminations in bifurcation loci, *Math. Ann.* 345 (2009), 1–23.
- [5] G. BASSANELLI, F. BERTELOOT, Distribution of polynomials with cycles of a given multiplier, Nagoya Math. J. 201 (2011), 23–43.
- [6] E. BEDFORD, B. A. TAYLOR, The Dirichlet problem for a complex Monge-Ampere equation, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 82 (1976), 102–104.
- [7] L. BERS, H. L. ROYDEN, Holomorphic families of injections, Acta Math. 157 (1986), 259–286.
- [8] F. BERTELOOT, C. DUPONT, L. MOLINO, Normalization of bundle holomorphic contractions and applications to dynamics, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 58 (2008), 2137–2168.
- [9] F. BERTELOOT, V. MAYER, Rudiments de dynamique holomorphe, Cours Spécialisés 7, Soc. Math. France, 2001.

- [10] B. BRANNER, J. H. HUBBARD, The iteration of cubic polynomials. I. The global topology of parameter space, *Acta Math.* 160 (1988), 143–206.
- [11] X. BUFF, A. L. EPSTEIN, Bifurcation measure and postcritically finite rational maps, in *Complex dynamics : families and friends / edited by Dierk Schleicher*, A K Peters, Ltd., 2009, 491–512.
- [12] E. M. CHIRKA, *Complex analytic sets*, Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series) 46, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, 1989.
- [13] L. DEMARCO, Dynamics of rational maps: a current on the bifurcation locus, *Math. Res. Lett.* 8 (2001), 57–66.
- [14] L. DEMARCO, Dynamics of rational maps: Lyapunov exponents, bifurcations, and capacity, *Math. Ann.* 326 (2003), 43–73.
- [15] T.-C. DINH, N. SIBONY, Dynamics in several complex variables: endomorphisms of projective spaces and polynomial-like mappings, in *Holomorphic dynamical systems*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1998**, Springer, 2010, 165–294.
- [16] R. DUJARDIN, Approximation des fonctions lisses sur certaines laminations, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 55 (2006), 579–592.
- [17] R. DUJARDIN, Cubic polynomials: a measurable view on parameter space, in *Complex dynamics : families and friends / edited by Dierk Schleicher*, A K Peters, Ltd., 2009, 451–490.
- [18] R. DUJARDIN, C. FAVRE, Distribution of rational maps with a preperiodic critical point, Amer. J. Math. 130 (2008), 979–1032.
- [19] R. MAÑÉ, P. SAD, D. P. SULLIVAN, On the dynamics of rational maps, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 16 (1983), 193–217.
- [20] P. MATTILA, Geometry of sets and measures in Euclidean spaces, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math. 44, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [21] C. T. MCMULLEN, Complex dynamics and renormalization, Annals of Math. Studies 135, Princeton Univ. Press, 1994.
- [22] C. T. MCMULLEN, Hausdorff dimension and conformal dynamics. II. Geometrically finite rational maps, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 75 (2000), 535–593.
- [23] C. T. MCMULLEN, The Mandelbrot set is universal, in *The Mandelbrot set, theme and variations*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 274, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2000, 1–17.
- [24] C. T. MCMULLEN, D. P. SULLIVAN, Quasiconformal homeomorphisms and dynamics. III. The Teichmüller space of a holomorphic dynamical system, *Adv. Math.* 135 (1998), 351–395.
- [25] W. DE MELO, S. VAN STRIEN, One-dimensional dynamics, Ergebn. Math. Grenzg. 25, Springer, 1993.
- [26] J. MILNOR, On Lattès maps, in *Dynamics on the Riemann sphere*, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006, 9–43.
- [27] J. RIVERA-LETELIER, On the continuity of Hausdorff dimension of Julia sets and similarity between the Mandelbrot set and Julia sets, *Fund. Math.* 170 (2001), 287–317.
- [28] W. RUDIN, Real and complex analysis, third ed., McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1987.

- [29] M. SHISHIKURA, The Hausdorff dimension of the boundary of the Mandelbrot set and Julia sets, Ann. of Math. 147 (1998), 225–267.
- [30] M. SHISHIKURA, L. TAN, An alternative proof of Mañé's theorem on non-expanding Julia sets, in *The Mandelbrot set, theme and variations*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 274, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2000, 265–279.
- [31] N. SIBONY, Dynamique des applications rationnelles de P^k, in *Dynamique et géométrie complexes (Lyon, 1997)*, Panor. & Synthèses 8, Soc. Math. France, 1999.
- [32] J. H. SILVERMAN, *The arithmetic of dynamical systems*, Graduate Texts in Math. 241, Springer, 2007.
- [33] S. VAN STRIEN, Misiurewicz maps unfold generically (even if they are critically nonfinite), *Fund. Math.* 163 (2000), 39–54.
- [34] L. TAN, Hausdorff dimension of subsets of the parameter space for families of rational maps. (A generalization of Shishikura's result), *Nonlinearity* 11 (1998), 233–246.
- [35] M. URBAŃSKI, Rational functions with no recurrent critical points, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **14** (1994), 391–414.

(Manuscrit reçu le 1^{er} avril 2011; accepté, après modification, le 20 avril 2012.)

Thomas GAUTHIER Université Paul Sabatier Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse 118, route de Narbonne 31062 Toulouse Cedex, France E-mail: thomas.gauthier@math.univ-toulouse.fr