RAIRO-Inf. Theor. Appl. **40** (2006) 427-441 DOI: 10.1051/ita:2006020

A GENERATOR OF MORPHISMS FOR INFINITE WORDS

PASCAL OCHEM¹

Abstract. We present an algorithm which produces, in some cases, infinite words avoiding both large fractional repetitions and a given set of finite words. We use this method to show that all the ternary patterns whose avoidability index was left open in Cassaigne's thesis are 2-avoidable. We also prove that there exist exponentially many $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free ternary words and $\frac{7}{5}^+$ -free 4-ary words. Finally we give small morphisms for binary words containing only the squares 0^2 , 1^2 and $(01)^2$ and for binary words avoiding large squares and fractional repetitions.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 68R15.

1. INTRODUCTION

We assume that the reader is familiar with Combinatorics on Words (see for instance [11]). A pattern is a finite word of variables. An infinite word w avoids pattern P if for any substitution ϕ of the variables of P with non-empty words, $\phi(P)$ is not a factor of w. Let Σ_i denote the *i*-letter alphabet $\{0, 1, \ldots, i-1\}$. The avoidability index $\mu(P)$ of P is the smallest integer k such that there exists an infinite word w over Σ_k avoiding P.

In the early 1900's, Thue [16,17] (see also [3]) showed that there exists an infinite word over a three-letter alphabet which contains no square, *i.e.* two consecutive occurrences of the same factor. He thus proved that $\mu(AA) = 3$. He also obtained that $\mu(AAA) = 2$.

In 1979, Zimin [18] and Bean, Ehrenfeucht, and McNulty [2] indepently considered the avoidability of patterns and gave a caracterization of the patterns Psuch that $\mu(P) = \infty$ (unavoidable patterns). For more informations on pattern avoidability, we refer to chapter 3 in [11].

© EDP Sciences 2006

 $^{^1}$ LaBRI, Université Bordeaux I, 351, cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence Cedex, France; <code>ochem@labri.fr</code>

Baker, McNulty, and Taylor [1] found a pattern P_4 such that $\mu(P_4) = 4$ and Clark [5] found a pattern P_5 such that $\mu(P_5) = 5$. The question whether there exists a pattern P_k such that $k \leq \mu(P_k) < \infty$ for every k remains open.

Let t(n) be the number of words of length n satisfying a property \mathcal{P} . We say that there exist polynomially (resp. exponentially) many words satisfying \mathcal{P} if there exists a constant c > 1 such that $t(n) \leq n^c + c$ (resp. $t(n) \geq c^n$) for every n. A surprising result in [1] states that there exist polynomially many words avoiding P_4 over Σ_4 .

Cassaigne [4] obtained the avoidability index of every binary pattern and of some ternary patterns. In Section 3, we end the determination of the avoidability index of ternary patterns.

Let $\alpha > 1$ be a rational number and let $\ell \ge 1$ be an integer. A word w is an (α, ℓ) -repetition if we can write it as $w = x^n x'$ where x' is a prefix of $x, |x| = \ell$, and $|w| = \alpha |x|$. Let β be a real number and let $n \ge 1$ be an integer. A word is (β^+, n) -free if it contains no (α, ℓ) -repetition such that $\alpha > \beta$ and $\ell \ge n$. A word is β^+ -free if it is $(\beta^+, 1)$ -free. The repetition threshold is the smallest real number R_k such that there exists an infinite R_k^+ -free word over Σ_k . The exact value of the repetition threshold is known for $2 \le k \le 11$: $R_2 = 2$ [3, 16, 17], $R_3 = \frac{7}{4}$ [7], $R_4 = \frac{7}{5}$ [13], and $R_k = \frac{k}{k-1}$ for $5 \le k \le 11$ [12]. Dejean [7] conjectured that $R_k = \frac{k}{k-1}$ for every $k \ge 5$. In Section 4, we show that there exist exponentially many $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free ternary words and $\frac{7}{5}^+$ -free 4-ary words. We use this result to prove that for every pattern P considered in Section 3, there exist exponentially many words avoiding P over $\Sigma_{\mu(P)}$.

The length of a square u^2 is |u|. In Section 5, we give a simple construction for four special types of binary words containing squares of bounded length only.

The main results in Sections 3–5 were obtained using the method presented in Section 2. Notice that we also used this method in [9] to obtain upper bounds on some generalized repetition thresholds.

2. The method

A morphism is q-uniform if the image of every letter has length q. A uniform morphism $h: \Sigma_s^* \to \Sigma_e^*$ is synchronizing if for any $a, b, c \in \Sigma_s$ and $v, w \in \Sigma_e^*$, if h(ab) = vh(c)w, then either $v = \varepsilon$ and a = c or $w = \varepsilon$ and b = c.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}$, $1 < \alpha < \beta < 2$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Let $h: \Sigma_s^* \to \Sigma_e^*$ be a synchronizing q-uniform morphism (with $q \ge 1$). If h(w) is (β^+, n) -free for every α^+ -free word w such that $|w| < \max\left(\frac{2\beta}{\beta-\alpha}, \frac{2(q-1)(2\beta-1)}{q(\beta-1)}\right)$, then h(t) is (β^+, n) -free for every (finite or infinite) word α^+ -free word t.

Proof. Suppose w is an α^+ -free word such that h(w) is not (β^+, n) -free and w is of minimum length with this property. Thus h(w) contains a β^+ -repetition, that is, a factor uvu such that $\frac{|uvu|}{|uv|} > \beta$. Denote x = |u| and y = |v|. Since $\frac{|uvu|}{|uv|} = \frac{2x+y}{x+y} > \beta$, we have $y < \frac{2-\beta}{\beta-1}x$. If $x \ge 2q-1$, then each occurrence of

u contains at least one full *h*-image of a letter. As *h* is synchronizing, the two occurrences of *u* in *uvu* contain the same *h*-images and in the same positions. Let *U* be the factor of *w* that contains all letters whose *h*-images are contained in *u*, and let *V* be the factor of *w* that contains all letters whose *h*-images intersect *v*. Denoting X = |U| and Y = |V|, we have Yq < y + 2q and Xq > x - 2q, or equivalently x < (X + 2)q. Since UVU is a factor of *w* and *w* is α^+ -free, then $\frac{2X+Y}{X+Y} \leq \alpha$, which gives $X \leq \frac{\alpha-1}{2-\alpha}Y$. Now we have

$$Yq < y+2q < \frac{2-\beta}{\beta-1}x+2q < \frac{2-\beta}{\beta-1}(X+2)q+2q \le \frac{2-\beta}{\beta-1}\left(\frac{\alpha-1}{2-\alpha}Y+2\right)q+2q,$$

implying that $Y < \frac{2(2-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}$. By the minimality of w we get

$$|w| \le 2 + Y + 2X \le 2 + Y\left(1 + 2\frac{\alpha - 1}{2 - \alpha}\right) < 2 + \frac{2(2 - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha}\frac{\alpha}{2 - \alpha} = \frac{2\beta}{\beta - \alpha}$$

If $x \leq 2q-2$, then $y < \frac{2-\beta}{\beta-1}(2q-2)$ and thus $2x + y < \frac{2\beta}{\beta-1}(q-1)$. The minimality of w implies that $(|w|-2)q \leq |uvu|-2 = 2x + y - 2$. By the above we get that $|w| < \frac{2(q-1)(2\beta-1)}{q(\beta-1)}$, which completes the proof.

To obtain the results in Sections 3, 4, and 5 we need to construct infinite words over Σ_e that satisfies a property \mathcal{P} consisting of some (β^+, n) -freeness properties and the avoidance of a set S of finite words. Such an infinite word is obtained as the *h*-image of any infinite α^+ -free word $t \in \Sigma_s^*$ by a synchronizing morphism $h: \Sigma_s^* \to \Sigma_e^*$ such that for every α^+ -free word $t \in \Sigma_s^*$, h(t) satisfies a property \mathcal{P} , where \mathcal{P} consists of some (β^+, n) -freeness properties and the avoidance of a set Sof finite words. It is easy to check that *h* is synchronizing and that h(t) avoids the set S. Using Lemma 2.1 with $\alpha \geq R_s$ allows us to check that the *h*-image of any (infinite) α^+ -free word over Σ_s is (β^+, n) -free with a finite amount of computation.

We fix $s, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $s \leq 11$ and $R_s \leq \alpha < 2$, to ensure that there exist an infinite α^+ -free word over Σ_s . We use depth-first search to find a word w over Σ_e of size $s \times q$ which defines the q-uniform morphism h by posing $w = h(0)h(1) \dots h(s-1)$. Obviously, we can restrict the search to words satisfying $h(s-1) \prec \dots \prec h(1) \prec h(0)$, where \prec is the lexicographic order of Σ_e^q . We prune the search tree by checking property \mathcal{P} on the prefixes of a potential w. If no morphism is found, we increase the value of q and try again¹.

3. PATTERN AVOIDANCE

We consider here the ternary patterns whose 2-avoidability was left open in Cassaigne's thesis [4]. One of them, namely *ABCBABC*, is shown to be 2-avoidable in [9]. We add to that list the binary pattern *AABBA* (resp. *ABAAB*)

¹The C++ sources of the programs used to find and check the morphisms discussed in this paper are available at: http://dept-info.labri.fr/~ochem/morphisms/

which was already known to be 2-avoidable, and is here 2-avoided together with its reverse ABBAA (resp. ABBAB). In particular, the 2-avoidability of ABCACB was one of Currie's open problems [6], which was mentioned mainly because ABCACB and its reverse are not simultaneously 2-avoidable.

Lemma 3.1. Any factor uvu of a (β^+, n) -free word w, with $\beta < 2$, is such that

$$|u| \le \max\left(n-1-|v|, \left\lfloor \frac{\beta-1}{2-\beta}|v| \right\rfloor\right).$$

Proof. If |uv| < n then $|u| \le n - 1 - |v|$ and we are done, so suppose $|uv| \ge n$. Since w is (β^+, n) -free, we have $\frac{|uvu|}{|uv|} \le \beta \Longrightarrow |u| \le \frac{\beta - 1}{2 - \beta} |v|$. \Box

Theorem 3.2. The ternary patterns listed in table 1 are 2-avoidable.

Proof. Suppose that we are given a synchronizing morphism $h : \Sigma_s^* \to \Sigma_e^*$ and a pattern P over the alphabet $\{A, B, \ldots\}$. We can try to prove that h(t) avoids P for every α^+ -free word $t \in \Sigma_s^*$ in three steps:

- (1) Check useful (β^+, n) -freeness properties of h(t) thanks to Lemma 2.1.
- (2) Consider a potential occurrence $\phi(P)$ of P, where ϕ is a non-erasing morphism. Then use Lemma 3.1 and the results of step (1) to obtain upper bounds on the quantities $a = |\phi(A)|, b = |\phi(B)|, \ldots$
- (3) Use the bounds of step (2) to exhaustively check by computer that no occurrence of P appears in h(t).

Let P^R denote the reverse of the pattern P. We have $\mu(P^R) = \mu(P)$ since a word w avoids P if and only the reverse of w avoids P^R . Notice that the bounds obtained in step (2) using Lemma 3.1 that hold for potential occurrences of a pattern P also hold for those of P^R . Thus we try, when possible, to avoid simultaneously P and P^R . The method discussed in Section 2 is thus used so that the set S contains the small occurrences of P (and maybe P^R). Each line of Table 1 contains one of these pattern P and informations about the morphism h we used to show that $\mu(P) = 2$: the q-uniform morphism $h : \Sigma_s^* \to \Sigma_2^*$ is such that for every α^+ -free word $t \in \Sigma_s^*$, h(t) avoids P. We also precise whether such a word h(t) also avoids P^R . Now, for each pattern, we give the bounds obtained in step (2) of the proof and the morphism found with the method in Section 2.

The following 8-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern AABAACBAAB. The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{24}{13}^+, 3\right)$ -free. It contains no square of length at least three, and

The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{24}{13}^+,3\right)$ -free. It contains no square of length at least three, and since the square AA occurs in the pattern, we have that $a \leq 2$. By Lemma 3.1, the factor BAAB implies $b \leq 11a$. For each occurrence of BAAB appearing in h(t), we have checked that the corresponding occurrence of AABAA does not appear. For instance, the occurrence $\phi(BAAB) = 0110$ (where $\phi(A) = 1$, $\phi(B) = 0$)

Pattern	s	α	q	Comments
AABAACBAAB	3	7/4	8	self-reverse
AABACCB	3	7/4	24	avoided with its reverse
AABBA	3	7/4	21	avoided with its reverse
AABBCABBA	3	7/4	102	unavoidable with its reverse
AABBCAC	3	7/4	86	avoided with its reverse
AABBCBC	3	7/4	34	avoided with its reverse
AABBCC	3	7/4	52	self-reverse
AABCBC	3	7/4	46	avoided with its reverse
AABCCAB	3	7/4	34	avoided with its reverse
AABCCBA	3	7/4	56	avoided with its reverse
ABAAB	3	7/4	10	avoided with its reverse
ABAACBC	4	7/5	17	avoided with its reverse
ABAACCB	3	7/4	74	avoided with its reverse
ABACACB	3	7/4	12	avoided with its reverse
ABACBC	4	7/5	29	self-reverse
ABACCAB	3	7/4	19	avoided with its reverse
ABACCBA	3	7/4	14	avoided with its reverse
ABBACCA	3	7/4	12	self-reverse
ABBACCB	3	7/4	42	avoided with its reverse
ABBCACB	4	7/5	16	avoided with its reverse
ABBCBAC	4	7/5	14	avoided with its reverse
ABBCBCA	3	7/4	22	avoided with its reverse
ABBCCCAB	3	7/4	20	avoided with its reverse
ABCAACB	3	7/4	24	avoided with its reverse
ABCACAB	3	7/4	10	avoided with its reverse
ABCACB	6	5/4	810	unavoidable with its reverse
ABCBBAC	4	7/5	18	avoided with its reverse

TABLE 1. Table of 2-avoidable ternary patterns.

appears in h(t), but the factor $\phi(AABAA) = 11011$ does not.

```
0\mapsto 01101011
1\mapsto 00111010
2\mapsto 00101110
```

The following 24-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern AABACCB and its reverse. The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{31}{16}^+, 3\right)$ -free, so $a \leq 2$ and $c \leq 2$. The factor BACCB implies $h \leq 15(a+2c)$

 $b \le 15(a+2c).$

 $0\mapsto 0001010011010110010101111$ $1\mapsto 000101000111010111001011$ $2\mapsto 000101000110100111001011$

The following 21-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern AABBA and its reverse. The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{33}{17}^+, 4\right)$ -free, so $a \leq 3$ and $b \leq 3$.

 $\begin{array}{l} 0 \mapsto 001001011100011101101 \\ 1 \mapsto 001000111000101101101 \\ 2 \mapsto 000111000100101101101 \end{array}$

The following 102-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern AABBCABBA.

The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{31}{16}^+, 27\right)$ -free, so $a \leq 26$ and $b \leq 26$. For each occurrence of AABB we have checked that the corresponding occurrence of ABBA does not appear. Notice that the k-avoidability of AABBCABBA implies the k-avoidability of AABBA. A simple backtracting algorithm shows that AABBCABBA and ABBAA (*i.e.* the reverse of AABBA) are not simultaneously 2-avoidable, so that the two previous results are tight, in a way.

The following 86-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern AABBCAC and its reverse. The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{43}{22}^+, 3\right)$ -free, so $a \leq 2$ and $b \leq 2$. The factor CAC implies $c \leq \max(2 - a, 21a) = 21a$.

The following 34-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern AABBCBC and its reverse. The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{33}{17}^+, 3\right)$ -free, so $a \leq 2$ and b = c = 1.

 $\begin{array}{l} 0 \mapsto 11101011100010100011100010100011\\ 1 \mapsto 1110101110001010100011100010100011\\ 2 \mapsto 1110101110001110001110001010000\end{array}$

The following 52-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern *AABBCC*. The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{59}{30}^+, 3\right)$ -free, so $a \leq 2, b \leq 2$, and $c \leq 2$.

The following 46-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern AABCBC and its reverse. The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{367}{184}^+, 3\right)$ -free, so $a \leq 2$ and b = c = 1. Notice that the only occurrences of AABB in h(t) are 0011 and 1100.

The following 34-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern AABCCAB and its reverse. The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{257}{136}^+, 4\right)$ -free, so $a \leq 3$ and $c \leq 3$. The factor ABCCAB implies $a + b \leq \lfloor \frac{242}{15}c \rfloor$, thus $b \leq \lfloor \frac{242}{15}c \rfloor - a$.

 $\begin{array}{l} 0 \mapsto 00001011111010000111111010001011111 \\ 1 \mapsto 0000101110100001111010001011111100 \\ 2 \mapsto 00001011101000000111101000101111111 \end{array}$

The following 56-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern AABCCBA and its reverse. The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{36}{19}^+, 3\right)$ -free, so $a \leq 2$ and $c \leq 2$. The factor BCCB implies $b \leq 17c$.

The following 10-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern ABAAB and its reverse. The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{39}{20}^+, 3\right)$ -free, so $a \leq 2$. The factor BAAB implies $b \leq 38a$.

 $\begin{array}{l} 0 \mapsto 0001110101 \\ 1 \mapsto 0000111101 \\ 2 \mapsto 0000101111 \end{array}$

The following 17-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{5}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_4^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern ABAACBC and its reverse.

The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{13}{7}, 7\right)$ -free, so $a \leq 6$. The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{23}{16}, 20\right)$ -free. Suppose $b + c \geq 20$, then the factor *CBC* implies $c \leq \lfloor \frac{7}{9}b \rfloor$ and the factor *BAACB* implies $b \leq \lfloor \frac{7}{9}(2a+c) \rfloor$. From these relations we can deduce $b \leq 22$ and $c \leq 17$. Thus we have $b \leq 22$ and $c \leq 18$.

 $\begin{array}{l} 0 \mapsto 01110000110000111\\ 1 \mapsto 01011100110011101\\ 2 \mapsto 01000110011000101\\ 3 \mapsto 00011110011110001\end{array}$

The following 74-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern ABAACCB and its reverse. The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{193}{104}^+, 3\right)$ -free, so $a \leq 2$ and $c \leq 2$. The factor BAACCB implies $b \leq \lfloor \frac{89}{15}(a+c) \rfloor$.

The following 12-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern ABACACB and its reverse. The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{15}{8}^+, 3\right)$ -free, so a = c = 1. The factor BACACB implies $b \leq 14(a+c) = 28$.

 $0 \mapsto 001010011111 \qquad 1 \mapsto 000110100111 \qquad 2 \mapsto 000001101011$

The following 29-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{5}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_4^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern *ABACBC*.

The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{41}{29}^+, 291\right)$ -free. Suppose $a+b \ge 291$ and $b+c \ge 291$. The factors *ABA*, *CBC*, and *BACB* respectively imply that $17a \le 12b$ (*i*), $17c \le 12b$ (*ii*), and $17b \le 12(a+c)$ (*iii*). The combination $17 \times (i) + 17 \times (ii) + 24 \times (iii)$ gives $a+c \le 0$, a contradiction. So we can suppose without loss of generality that $b+c \le 290$ (*iv*). If $291 \le a+b$ (*v*) then (*i*) and (*iii*) still hold and the combination $2324 \times (i) + 1649 \times (iii) + 19788 \times (iv) + 19720 \times (v)$ gives $213b \le 0$.

This contradiction shows that $a + b \leq 290$ and $b + c \leq 290$.

 $\begin{array}{l} 0 \mapsto 00011010110000111100101001110 \\ 1 \mapsto 00011010110000011100101001111 \\ 2 \mapsto 00001101011000111101110011110 \\ 3 \mapsto 00001101011000011110011101111 \end{array}$

The following 19-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern ABACCAB and its reverse. The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{35}{19}^+, 5\right)$ -free, so $c \leq 4$. The factor ACCA implies $a \leq \max(4-2c, \lfloor \frac{32}{3}c \rfloor) = \lfloor \frac{32}{3}c \rfloor$. The factor ABACCAB implies $a + b \leq \lfloor \frac{16}{3}(a + 2c) \rfloor$, thus $b \leq \lfloor \frac{13a+32c}{3} \rfloor$. $0 \mapsto 01011100101000001111$

 $\begin{array}{c} 1 \mapsto 0101100000010011100 \\ 2 \mapsto 0100010111010100011 \end{array}$

The following 14-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern ABACCBA and its reverse.

If w is an occurrence of ABACCBA such that a > 1, then the suffix of w of size |w| - a + 1 is a smaller occurrence of ABACCBA such that a = 1. So we assume without loss of generality that a = 1. The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{23}{12}^+, 3\right)$ -free, so $c \le 2$. The factor BACCBA implies $a + b \le 22c$, thus $b \le 22c - 1$.

 $\begin{array}{l} 0 \mapsto 10101100001110 \\ 1 \mapsto 01010111100011 \\ 2 \mapsto 01010000111110 \end{array}$

The following 12-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern ABBACCA.

The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{31}{16}^+, 4\right)$ -free, so $b \leq 3$ and $c \leq 3$. The factor *ABBA* implies $a \leq \max(3-2b, 30b) = 30b$.

 $\begin{array}{c} 0 \mapsto 000111001011 \\ 1 \mapsto 000101111010 \\ 2 \mapsto 000100111011 \end{array}$

The following 42-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern ABBACCB and its reverse.

The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{53}{28}^+, 3\right)$ -free, so $b \leq 2$ and $c \leq 2$. The factor *ABBA* implies $a \leq \lfloor \frac{50}{3}b \rfloor$.

The following 16-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{5}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_4^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern ABBCACB and its reverse.

The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{9}{5}^+, 4\right)$ -free, so $b \leq 3$. The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{233}{160}^+, 49\right)$ -free. Suppose $a + c \geq 49$. The factors *ABBCA* and *CAC* respectively imply that $a \leq \left\lfloor \frac{73}{87}(2b+c) \right\rfloor$ and $c \leq \left\lfloor \frac{73}{87}a \right\rfloor$. From these relations we can deduce $a \leq 15$ and $c \leq 12$. This contradiction shows that $a + c \leq 48$.

 $\begin{array}{l} 0 \mapsto 0010000001101111 \\ 1 \mapsto 0000111010001111 \\ 2 \mapsto 000010011111011 \\ 3 \mapsto 000000100110111 \end{array}$

The following 14-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{5}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_4^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern ABBCBAC and its reverse. The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{18}{11}^+, 7\right)$ -free, so $b \leq 6$. The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{29}{20}^+, 43\right)$ -free. Suppose $a + b + c \geq 43$. The factors ABBCBA and CBAC respectively imply that $a \leq \lfloor \frac{9}{11}(3b+c) \rfloor$ and $c \leq \lfloor \frac{9}{11}(a+b) \rfloor$. From these relations we can deduce $a \leq 54$ and

 $\begin{array}{l} 0 \mapsto 001010101010111\\ 1 \mapsto 00010001110111\\ 2 \mapsto 00000101011111\\ 3 \mapsto 00000010111111\end{array}$

The following 22-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern ABBCBCA and its reverse. The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{173^+}{3}\right)$ -free so h = c = 1. The factor ABBCBCA implies

The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{173}{88}^+, 3\right)$ -free, so b = c = 1. The factor *ABBCBCA* implies $a \leq \left\lfloor \frac{85}{3}(3b+2c) \right\rfloor = 141$.

The following 20-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern ABBCCAB and its reverse.

The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{15}{8}^+, 4\right)$ -free, so $b \leq 3$ and $c \leq 3$. The factor *ABBCCAB* implies $a + b \leq 7(b + 2c)$, thus $a \leq 6b + 14c$.

 $\begin{array}{c} 0 \mapsto 00010100100101011111\\ 1 \mapsto 00010010001110110111\\ 2 \mapsto 000001010110110110111\end{array}$

The following 24-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern *ABCAACB* and its reverse.

436

 $c \leq 49.$

The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{187}{96}^+, 4\right)$ -free, so $a \leq 3$. The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{355}{192}^+, 97\right)$ -free. The factor *CAAC* implies $c \leq \max\left(96 - 2a, \lfloor\frac{326a}{29}\rfloor\right) = 96 - 2a$. The factor *BCAACB* implies $b \leq \max\left(96 - 2a - 2c, \lfloor\frac{326(a+c)}{29}\rfloor\right)$.

 $\begin{array}{l} 0 \mapsto 000001011111001000110111 \\ 1 \mapsto 000001011111000100111011 \\ 2 \mapsto 000001010111110010011011 \end{array}$

The following 10-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern ABCACAB and its reverse. The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{79}{40}^+, 3\right)$ -free, so a = c = 1. The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{149}{80}^+, 41\right)$ -free. The factor ABCACAB implies $a + b \leq \max\left(40 - a - 2c, \lfloor \frac{69}{11}(a + 2c) \rfloor\right)$, thus $b \leq 40 - 2a - 2c = 36$.

 $0 \mapsto 0001110101$ $1 \mapsto 0001011101$ $2 \mapsto 0001010111$

The 810-uniform morphism $h = m_{4,2} \circ m_{6,4}$ is such that for any $\frac{5}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_6^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern *ABCACB*.

The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{1073}{810}^+, 3241\right)$ -free. Suppose $a + c \ge 3241$. The factors ABCA, BCACB, and CAC respectively imply that $547a \le 263(b+c)$ $(i), 547b \le 263(a+2c)$ (ii), and $547c \le 263a$ (iii). The combination $2 \times (i) + (ii) + 2 \times (iii)$ gives $305a + 568b + 42c \le 0$. This contradiction shows that $a + c \le 3240$ (iv). The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{29}{14}^+, 4\right)$ -free, so the factor CAC implies $c \le 14a$ (v). Suppose now $3238 \le b$ (vi), so that $a + b + 2c \ge 3241$ and (ii) still holds. The combination $15 \times (ii) + 7627 \times (iv) + 263 \times (v) + 7632 \times (vi)$ gives $573b + 936 \le 0$. This contradiction shows that $b \le 3237$.

The 135-uniform morphism $m_{4,2}$ is given by:

The 6-uniform morphism $m_{6,4}$ is given by:

$0\mapsto 032131$	$1\mapsto 031232$	$2\mapsto 023121$
$3\mapsto 021323$	$4\mapsto 013212$	$5\mapsto 012313$

The following 18-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{5}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_4^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ avoids the pattern *ABCBBAC* and its reverse.

The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{8}{5}^+, 7\right)$ -free, so $b \le 6$. The word h(t) is $\left(\frac{527}{378}^+, 181\right)$ -free. Suppose $a + 2b + c \ge 181$. The factors CBBAC and ABCBBA respectively imply that $c \le \lfloor \frac{149}{229}(a+2b) \rfloor$ and $a \le \lfloor \frac{149}{229}(3b+c) \rfloor$. From these relations we can deduce $a \le 28$ and $c \le 26$. This contradiction shows that $a + 2b + c \le 180$.

 $\begin{array}{l} 0 \mapsto 010000011011011011\\ 1 \mapsto 001001001001111101\\ 2 \mapsto 00100000011111011\\ 3 \mapsto 000000101010111111\end{array}$

4. Application to repetition-free words

The repetition threshold for binary words is 2, and this result is tight in the following senses:

- (1) There exist polynomially many 2^+ -free binary words.
- (2) There exist arbitrarily large squares in any infinite 2^+ -free binary word.

In this section we show that no similar situation occurs for ternary and 4-ary words. We use the following easy lemma, which is already implicitly used in [10].

Lemma 4.1. There are at least $2^{\left\lceil \frac{n}{k} \right\rceil} R_k^+$ -free words of length n over Σ_{k+1} .

Proof. Consider an R_k^+ -free word w in Σ_k^n . At least one letter in Σ_k , say 0, occurs at least $\left\lceil \frac{n}{k} \right\rceil$ times in w. The letter k belongs to Σ_{k+1} but does not belong to Σ_k . Notice that replacing zero or more occurrences of 0 by an occurrence of k in w produces an R_k^+ -free word of length n over Σ_{k+1} , and that we can obtain at least $2^{\left\lceil \frac{n}{k} \right\rceil}$ such words.

Theorem 4.2.

- (1) There exist exponentially many $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free ternary words with no large repetition of exponent $\frac{7}{4}$.
- (2) There exist exponentially many $\frac{7}{5}^+$ -free 4-ary words with no large repetition of exponent $\frac{7}{5}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, there exist exponentially many $\frac{7}{5}^+$ -free words over Σ_5 and exponentially many $\frac{5}{4}^+$ -free words over Σ_6 .

The following 59-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{5}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_5^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_3^*$ is $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free and $\left(\frac{3}{2}^+, 10\right)$ -free.

The following 132-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{5}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_6^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_4^*$ is $\frac{7}{5}^+$ -free and $\left(\frac{61}{44}^+, 11\right)$ -free.

Corollary 4.3. For each pattern P listed in Table 1, there exist exponentially many words avoiding P over Σ_2 .

Proof. Binary words avoiding ABCACB are constructed from $\frac{5}{4}^+$ -free words over Σ_5 , and there are exponentially many such words by Lemma 4.1. For each other pattern P listed in Table 1, binary words avoiding P are constructed from either $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free words over Σ_3 , or $\frac{7}{5}^+$ -free words over Σ_4 . In both cases, there are exponentially many such words by Theorem 4.2.

We have not been able to extend Theorem 4.2 to Σ_5 . However, we believe that the following strong form of Dejean's conjecture holds.

Conjecture 4.4. For every $k \ge 5$, there exist exponentially many $\frac{k}{k-1}^+$ -free words over Σ_k .

5. Binary words avoiding large squares

Fraenkel and Simpson constructed in [8] an infinite binary word containing only three squares. Another construction using uniform morphisms is given in [14]. Shallit [15] also gives uniform morphisms for binary words avoiding:

- squares of length at least 3 and 3⁺-repetitions (10-uniform);
- squares of length at least 4 and $\frac{5}{2}^+$ -repetitions (1560-uniform);
- squares of length at least 7 and $\frac{7}{3}^+$ -repetitions (252-uniform).

In this section we give small $\Sigma_3^* \to \Sigma_2^*$ uniform morphisms producing words having these properties.

The following 50-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ contains only the squares in $\{0^2, 1^2, (01)^2\}$ and is $\left(\frac{37}{19}^+, 3\right)$ -free.

The following 8-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ is 3⁺-free, $\left(\frac{5}{2}^+, 2\right)$ -free, and $\left(\frac{59}{32}^+, 3\right)$ -free.

 $0\mapsto 01101011 \qquad 1\mapsto 00111010 \qquad 2\mapsto 00101110$

The following 103-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ is $\frac{5}{2}^+$ -free, $\left(\frac{7}{3}^+, 3\right)$ -free, and $\left(\frac{823}{412}^+, 4\right)$ -free.

The following 30-uniform morphism h is such that for any $\frac{7}{4}^+$ -free word $t \in \Sigma_3^*$, $h(t) \in \Sigma_2^*$ is $\frac{7}{3}^+$ -free and $\left(\frac{79}{40}^+, 7\right)$ -free.

 $\begin{array}{l} 0 \mapsto 001011001011010011011001001101 \\ 1 \mapsto 001011001011010011001001001101 \\ 2 \mapsto 001011001001101100101101001101 \end{array}$

References

- K.A. Baker, G.F. McNulty and W. Taylor, Growth Problems for Avoidable Words. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 69 (1989) 319–345.
- D.R. Bean, A. Ehrenfeucht, G.F. McNulty, Avoidable Patterns in Strings of Symbols. *Pacific J. Math.* 85 (1979) 261–294.
- [3] J. Berstel, Axel Thue's Papers on Repetitions in Words: a Translation. Number 20 in Publications du Laboratoire de Combinatoire et d'Informatique Mathématique. Université du Québec à Montréal (February 1995).
- [4] J. Cassaigne, Motifs évitables et régularité dans les mots, Thèse de Doctorat, Université Paris VI (Juillet 1994).
- [5] R.J. Clark. Avoidable formulas in combinatorics on words, Ph.D. Thesis, University of California, Los Angeles (2001).
- [6] J.D. Currie, Open problems in pattern avoidance. Amer. Math. Monthly 100 (1993) 790–793.
- [7] F. Dejean, Sur un théorème de Thue. J. Combin. Theory. Ser. A 13 (1972) 90–99.
- [8] A.S. Fraenkel and R.J. Simpson, How many squares must a binary sequence contain? *Elect. J. Combin.* 2 (1995) #R2.
- [9] L. Ilie, P. Ochem and J.O. Shallit, A generalization of Repetition Threshold. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 345 (2005) 359-369.
- [10] J. Karhumäki and J. O. Shallit, Polynomial versus exponential growth in repetition-free binary words. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 105 (2004) 335–347.
- [11] M. Lothaire, Algebraic Combinatorics on Words. Cambridge Univ. Press (2002).
- [12] J. Moulin-Ollagnier, Proof of Dejean's conjecture for alphabets with 5,6,7,8,9,10 and 11 letters. Theoret. Comput. Sci. 95 (1992) 187–205.
- [13] J.-J. Pansiot, A propos d'une conjecture de F. Dejean sur les répétitions dans les mots. Disc. Appl. Math. 7 (1984) 297–311.
- [14] N. Rampersad, J. Shallit and M.-W. Wang, Avoiding large squares in infinite binary words. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **339** (2005) 19–34.
- [15] J.O. Shallit, Simultaneous avoidance of large squares and fractional powers in infinite binary words. Internat. J. Found. Comput. Sci. 15 (2004) 317–327.
- [16] A. Thue, Über unendliche Zeichenreihen, Norske vid. Selsk. Skr. Mat. Nat. Kl. 7 (1906), 1–22. Reprinted in Selected Mathematical Papers of Axel Thue, edited by T. Nagell, Universitetsforlaget, Oslo (1977) 139–158.
- [17] A. Thue, Über die gegenseitige Lage gleicher Teile gewisser Zeichenreihen, Norske vid. Selsk. Skr. Mat. Nat. Kl. 1 (1912) 1–67. Reprinted in Selected Mathematical Papers of Axel Thue, edited by T. Nagell, Universitetsforlaget, Oslo (1977) 413–478.
- [18] A.I. Zimin, Blocking sets of terms. Math. USSR Sbornik 47 (1984) 353–364. English translation.