

THE CYCLICITY PROBLEM FOR THE IMAGES OF \mathbb{Q} -RATIONAL SERIES

JUHA HONKALA¹

Abstract. We show that it is decidable whether or not a given \mathbb{Q} -rational series in several noncommutative variables has a cyclic image. By definition, a series r has a cyclic image if there is a rational number q such that all nonzero coefficients of r are integer powers of q .

Mathematics Subject Classification. 11B85, 11U05, 68Q45.

1. INTRODUCTION

We study \mathbb{Q} -rational power series in noncommutative variables and their images. By definition, the image $\text{Im}(r)$ of a series r is the set of its coefficients. We say that the image $\text{Im}(r)$ of r is cyclic if there is a rational number q such that

$$\text{Im}(r) \subseteq \{q^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Hence the image of r is cyclic if and only if the set of nonzero coefficients of r is included in a cyclic subgroup of the multiplicative group of nonzero rationals.

If the image of r is cyclic, then in particular the set of prime factors of r is finite. Recall that a prime p is called a prime factor of r if there is a nonzero coefficient of r such that p divides either its numerator or its denominator. \mathbb{Q} -rational series in *one* variable having finitely many prime factors are characterized by a theorem of Polya stating that a \mathbb{Q} -rational series r in one variable has finitely many prime factors if and only if r is the sum of a polynomial and of a merge of geometric series (see [1,2,4]).

In this note we prove that it is decidable whether or not a given \mathbb{Q} -rational series (in several noncommutative variables) has a cyclic image. Our result is related to the conjecture stating that a noncommutative rational series has only

Keywords and phrases. Rational series, images of rational series, decidability.

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Turku, 20014 Turku, Finland.
juha.honkala@utu.fi

finitely many prime factors if and only if it is unambiguously rational (see [1], p. 76).

For other decidability results concerning the images of \mathbb{Q} -rational series we refer to [1,2]. Below we will use the result of Jacob stating that the finiteness of the image of a rational series is a decidable property (see [3]).

2. DEFINITIONS AND RESULTS

Let X be a finite nonempty set of variables. The set of *formal power series* with noncommutative variables in X and rational coefficients is denoted by $\mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$. If $r \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, r is a mapping from the free monoid X^* generated by X into \mathbb{Q} . The image by r of a word $w \in X^*$ is denoted by (r, w) and r is written as

$$r = \sum_{w \in X^*} (r, w)w.$$

The rational number (r, w) is called the *coefficient* of w in r . A power series $r \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ is called *proper* if $(r, \varepsilon) = 0$. (Here ε is the empty word).

If $r \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, the *image* $\text{Im}(r)$ of r is the set of its coefficients. Hence

$$\text{Im}(r) = \{(r, w) \mid w \in X^*\}.$$

We say that $r \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ has a *cyclic image* if there is a nonzero $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\text{Im}(r) \subseteq \{q^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{0\}.$$

In other words, r has a cyclic image if and only if there is a cyclic subgroup H of nonzero rationals such that all nonzero coefficients of r belong to H .

Example 2.1. If $w \in X^*$ is a word and $x \in X$ is a letter, then $|w|_x$ stands for the number of occurrences of the letter x in w .

Let $X = \{x, y\}$ be an alphabet with two letters and let

$$r = \sum_{w \in X^*} 2^{|w|_x} 3^{|w|_y} w.$$

Define

$$L_1 = (xy)^*, \quad L_2 = xy^*, \quad L_3 = \{x^n y^{n^2} \mid n \geq 0\}, \quad L_4 = \{w \in X^* \mid |w|_x = |w|_y\}.$$

For $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, define

$$r_i = \sum_{w \in L_i} (r, w)w.$$

Then r_1 and r_4 have cyclic images while r_2 and r_3 do not.

Next we recall the definitions of \mathbb{Q} -recognizable and \mathbb{Q} -rational series.

A series $r \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ is called \mathbb{Q} -recognizable if there exist an integer $n \geq 1$, a monoid morphism

$$\mu : X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$$

and two matrices $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^{1 \times n}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times 1}$ such that for all $w \in X^*$,

$$(r, w) = \lambda\mu(w)\gamma.$$

Then the triple (λ, μ, γ) is called a *linear representation* of r and n is its *dimension*.

To define the family of \mathbb{Q} -rational series we first recall what is meant by a rationally closed subset of $\mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$.

If $r \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ is a proper series, the *star* r^* of r is defined by

$$r^* = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n.$$

A subset A of $\mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ is called *rationally closed* if the following conditions hold:

- (i) If $r, s \in A$ and $a \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $r + s \in A$, $rs \in A$ and $ar \in A$.
- (ii) If $r \in A$ is a proper series, then $r^* \in A$.

Now, a power series $r \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ is called \mathbb{Q} -rational if r belongs to the smallest subset of $\mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ which contains all polynomials and is rationally closed.

By the theorem of Schützenberger, a power series is \mathbb{Q} -recognizable if and only if it is \mathbb{Q} -rational (see [1,2,6]).

In the next section we will prove the following result.

Theorem 2.2. *It is decidable whether or not a given \mathbb{Q} -rational series has a cyclic image.*

3. PROOFS

In this section we will prove Theorem 2.2. We will use Jacob’s theorem stating that it is decidable whether or not the image of a given rational series is finite (see [1], Cor. VI.2.7, [3]).

Let $r \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ be a \mathbb{Q} -rational series. First, decide whether or not r has a finite image. If so, the image can be computed effectively and it can be decided whether or not r has a cyclic image. Assume then that the image of r is infinite and compute a coefficient q_1 of r such that $q_1 \neq 0$, $q_1 \neq 1$. (To find such a coefficient we compute initial coefficients of r until we find a coefficient q_1 such that $q_1 \neq 0$, $q_1 \neq 1$. Because we know that the image of r is infinite this computation will succeed). Then there are only finitely many rational numbers q such that $q_1 = q^i$ for some integer i . Hence to prove Theorem 2.2 it suffices to show that it is decidable whether or not

$$\text{Im}(r) \subseteq \{q^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{0\}$$

holds for a given \mathbb{Q} -rational series r and a given rational number q .

In the rest of this section we assume that q is a fixed rational number with $|q| \geq 1$.

We first prove a technical lemma.

If b_0, b_1, \dots, b_k are rational numbers we say that no partial sum of $b_0 + b_1 + \dots + b_k$ equals zero if for any $s \geq 1$ and i_1, \dots, i_s with $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s \leq k$ we have $b_{i_1} + \dots + b_{i_s} \neq 0$.

Let $a_0 \in \mathbb{Q} - \{0\}$ and $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q} - \{0\}$ and define

$$r(a_0, A) = \{ |a_0 + a_1q^{-\alpha_1} + \dots + a_kq^{-\alpha_k}| \mid \alpha_i \text{ is a nonnegative integer for } i = 1, \dots, k \text{ and no partial sum of } a_0 + a_1q^{-\alpha_1} + \dots + a_kq^{-\alpha_k} \text{ equals zero} \}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *One can effectively compute a positive lower bound for the set $r(a_0, A)$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume that $|q| > 1$. (Recall that we have $|q| \geq 1$. If $|q| = 1$, then the claim is clear because then $r(a_0, A)$ is a finite set). First, compute a positive integer e such that

$$|a_0 + a_1q^{-\beta_1} + \dots + a_kq^{-\beta_k}| > \frac{1}{2}|a_0|$$

whenever $\beta_j \geq e$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$. (In fact, it is enough to choose e such that $|a_iq^{-e}| < \frac{1}{2k}|a_0|$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$). Because $r(a_0, A)$ is included in the union of the sets

$$\{|a_0 + a_1q^{-\beta_1} + \dots + a_kq^{-\beta_k}| \mid \beta_j \geq e \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, k\} \tag{3.1}$$

and the sets

$$r(a_0 + a_jq^{-\alpha}, A - \{a_j\}) \tag{3.2}$$

where $1 \leq j \leq k$, $0 \leq \alpha < e$ and $a_0 + a_jq^{-\alpha} \neq 0$, a positive lower bound for $r(a_0, A)$ is obtained by computing positive lower bounds for the sets (3.1) and (3.2). Finally, $\frac{1}{2}|a_0|$ is a lower bound for (3.1) and for sets (3.2) positive lower bounds can be computed inductively. \square

For the rest of this section we assume that $r \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ is a fixed \mathbb{Q} -rational series and that (λ, μ, γ) is a linear representation of r having dimension k .

Let $w_0 \in X^*$ be a word of length k . Then there exist words $w_1, \dots, w_k \in X^*$, each having length less than k , and rational numbers c_1, \dots, c_k such that

$$(r, ww_0) = c_1(r, ww_1) + \dots + c_k(r, ww_k)$$

for all $w \in X^*$ (see, e.g., [5], exercise II.3.7).

Lemma 3.2. *Let $w_0 \in X^*$ be a word of length k . Let w_1, \dots, w_k and c_1, \dots, c_k be as above. One can compute an integer $K(w_0)$ which has the following property. If*

$$\text{Im}(r) \subseteq \{q^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{0\} \tag{3.3}$$

and $w \in X^*$, then either

$$(r, ww_0) = 0$$

or there is an integer $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and an integer β such that

$$(r, ww_0) = q^\beta \cdot (r, ww_i) \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$|\beta| \leq K(w_0). \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. The claim holds if $|q| = 1$. Indeed, in this case the claim holds if we take $K(w_0) = 1$. Assume that $|q| > 1$. (Recall that we have $|q| \geq 1$). By Lemma 3.1 we can compute a positive rational number B_1 such that

$$B_1 \leq x$$

whenever $x \in r(c_i, D)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $D \subseteq \{c_1, \dots, c_k\} - \{c_i\}$. (Here we assume that $\{c_i\} \cup D \subseteq \mathbb{Q} - \{0\}$.) Define

$$B_2 = |c_1| + \dots + |c_k|$$

and compute a nonnegative integer $K(w_0)$ such that

$$B_1 \geq |q|^{-K(w_0)} \quad \text{and} \quad B_2 \leq |q|^{K(w_0)}.$$

Now, suppose (3.3) holds, $w \in X^*$ and $(r, ww_0) \neq 0$. Then there exist an integer t , integers $i_1, \dots, i_t \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and integers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$ such that

$$(r, ww_0) = c_{i_1} \cdot q^{\alpha_1} + \dots + c_{i_t} \cdot q^{\alpha_t} \tag{3.6}$$

and no partial sum of the right side of (3.6) equals zero. Furthermore,

$$(r, ww_{i_j}) = q^{\alpha_j}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, t$.

Without loss of generality assume that

$$\alpha_1 = \max\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t\}.$$

Then

$$(r, ww_0) = q^{\alpha_1} (c_{i_1} + c_{i_2} q^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} + \dots + c_{i_t} q^{\alpha_t - \alpha_1}),$$

where

$$B_1 \leq |c_{i_1} + c_{i_2} q^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} + \dots + c_{i_t} q^{\alpha_t - \alpha_1}| \leq B_2.$$

Hence

$$|q|^{-K(w_0)} \leq \left| \frac{(r, ww_0)}{(r, ww_{i_1})} \right| \leq |q|^{K(w_0)}.$$

Because by assumption $(r, ww_0) \in \{q^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $(r, ww_{i_1}) \in \{q^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, it follows that there is an integer $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and an integer β such that (3.4) and (3.5) hold. \square

Let again $w_0 \in X^*$ be a word of length k . Let $w_1, \dots, w_k \in X^*$ and $K(w_0)$ be as in Lemma 3.2. Define the series $S(w_0) \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ by

$$(S(w_0), w) = (r, ww_0) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k, |\beta| \leq K(w_0)} ((r, ww_0) - q^\beta (r, ww_i))$$

for $w \in X^*$.

Lemma 3.3. *The series $S(w_0)$ is \mathbb{Q} -rational.*

Proof. Let $1 \leq i \leq k$ and let β be an integer such that $|\beta| \leq K(w_0)$. Because

$$(r, ww_0) - q^\beta (r, ww_i) = \lambda\mu(ww_0)\gamma - q^\beta \lambda\mu(ww_i)\gamma = \lambda\mu(w)(\mu(w_0)\gamma - q^\beta \mu(w_i)\gamma)$$

for all $w \in X^*$, the series

$$\sum_{w \in X^*} ((r, ww_0) - q^\beta (r, ww_i))w$$

is \mathbb{Q} -rational. The claim follows because the Hadamard product of finitely many \mathbb{Q} -rational series is \mathbb{Q} -rational. \square

The following lemma explains the connection between the cyclicity of the image of r and the vanishing of the series $S(w_0)$ for all $w_0 \in X^*$ with $|w_0| = k$.

Lemma 3.4. *We have*

$$\text{Im}(r) \subseteq \{q^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{0\} \tag{3.7}$$

if and only if

$$(r, w) \in \{q^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{0\} \text{ whenever } w \in X^* \text{ and } |w| < k \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$S(w_0) = 0 \text{ whenever } w_0 \in X^* \text{ and } |w_0| = k. \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. Assume first that (3.7) holds. Then trivially (3.8) holds. By Lemma 3.2 and the definition of the series $S(w_0)$ also (3.9) holds.

Conversely, assume that (3.8) and (3.9) hold. Suppose there is a word v such that (r, v) does not belong to $\{q^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{0\}$. Choose v such that its length is as small as possible. By (3.8), the length of v is at least k . Write $v = ww_0$, where $w, w_0 \in X^*$ and $|w_0| = k$. Because $S(w_0) = 0$, there is a word \bar{w} of length less than k and an integer β such that

$$(r, v) = (r, ww_0) = q^\beta \cdot (r, w\bar{w}).$$

Because (r, v) is not an integer power of q , neither is $(r, w\bar{w})$. This contradicts the choice of v because $|w\bar{w}| < |v|$. \square

Now the decidability of (3.7) follows because we can decide (3.8) and (3.9). To decide (3.9) we use Lemma 3.3 and the fact that it is decidable whether or not a given rational series equals zero (see [1], Prop. VI.1.1). This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Acknowledgements. The useful suggestions of two referees are gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Berstel and C. Reutenauer, *Rational Series and Their Languages*. Springer, Berlin (1988).
- [2] J. Berstel and C. Reutenauer, *Noncommutative Rational Series with Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2011).
- [3] G. Jacob, La finitude des représentations linéaires des semi-groupes est décidable. *J. Algebra* **52** (1978) 437–459.
- [4] G. Polya, Arithmetische Eigenschaften der Reihenentwicklungen rationaler Funktionen. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **151** (1921) 1–31.
- [5] A. Salomaa and M. Soittola, *Automata-Theoretic Aspects of Formal Power Series*. Springer, Berlin (1978).
- [6] M.-P. Schützenberger, On the definition of a family of automata, *Inf. Control* **4** (1961) 245–270.

Communicated by C. Choffrut.

Received May 31, 2010. Accepted June 27, 2011.