



Lie algebras

## Action of Weyl group on zero-weight space

*Action du groupe de Weyl sur l'espace de poids nul*Bruno Le Floch<sup>a</sup>, Ilia Smilga<sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup> Princeton Center for Theoretical Science, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA<sup>b</sup> Yale University Mathematics Department, PO Box 208283, New Haven, CT 06520-8283, USA

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 31 May 2018

Accepted after revision 26 June 2018

Available online 13 July 2018

Presented by Michèle Vergne

## ABSTRACT

For any simple complex Lie group, we classify irreducible finite-dimensional representations  $\rho$  for which the longest element  $w_0$  of the Weyl group acts non-trivially on the zero-weight space. Among irreducible representations that have zero among their weights,  $w_0$  acts by  $\pm \text{Id}$  if and only if the highest weight of  $\rho$  is a multiple of a fundamental weight, with a coefficient less than a bound that depends on the group and on the fundamental weight.

© 2018 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## R É S U M É

Pour tout groupe de Lie complexe simple, nous classifions les représentations irréductibles  $\rho$  de dimension finie telles que le plus long mot  $w_0$  du groupe de Weyl agisse non trivialement sur l'espace de poids nul. Parmi les représentations irréductibles dont zéro est un poids,  $w_0$  agit par  $\pm \text{Id}$  si et seulement si le plus haut poids de  $\rho$  est un multiple d'un poids fondamental, avec un coefficient plus petit qu'une borne qui dépend du groupe et du poids fondamental.

© 2018 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction and main theorem

Consider a reductive complex Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\tilde{G}$  be the corresponding simply-connected Lie group.

We choose in  $\mathfrak{g}$  a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the set of roots of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ . We call  $\Lambda$  the root lattice, i.e. the abelian subgroup of  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  generated by  $\Delta$ . We choose in  $\Delta$  a system  $\Delta^+$  of positive roots; let  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$  be the set of simple roots in  $\Delta^+$ . Let  $\varpi_1, \dots, \varpi_r$  be the corresponding fundamental weights. Let  $W := N_{\tilde{G}}(\mathfrak{h})/Z_{\tilde{G}}(\mathfrak{h})$  be the Weyl group, and let  $w_0$  be its longest element (defined by  $w_0(\Delta^+) = -\Delta^+$ ).

E-mail addresses: [blefloch@princeton.edu](mailto:blefloch@princeton.edu) (B. Le Floch), [ilia.smilga@normalesup.org](mailto:ilia.smilga@normalesup.org) (I. Smilga).

URL: <http://gauss.math.yale.edu/~is362/index.html> (I. Smilga).

For each simple Lie algebra, we call  $(e_1, e_2, \dots)$  the vectors called  $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots)$  in the appendix to [2], which form a convenient basis of a vector space containing  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ . Throughout the paper, we use the Bourbaki conventions [2] for the numbering of simple roots and their expressions in the coordinates  $e_i$ .

In the sequel, all representations are supposed to be complex and finite-dimensional. We call  $\rho_\lambda$  (resp.  $V_\lambda$ ) the irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with highest weight  $\lambda$  (resp. the space on which it acts). Given a representation  $(\rho, V)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we call  $V^\lambda$  the weight subspace of  $V$  corresponding to the weight  $\lambda$ .

**Definition 1.1.** We say that a weight  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  is *radical* if  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

**Remark 1.** An irreducible representation  $(\rho, V)$  has non-trivial zero-weight space  $V^0$  if and only if its highest weight is radical.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $(\rho, V)$  be a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The action of  $W = N_{\tilde{G}}(\mathfrak{h})/Z_{\tilde{G}}(\mathfrak{h})$  on  $V^0$  is well-defined, since  $V^0$  is by definition fixed by  $\mathfrak{h}$ , hence by  $Z_{\tilde{G}}(\mathfrak{h})$ . Thus  $w_0$  induces a linear involution on  $V^0$ . Let  $p$  (resp.  $q$ ) be the dimension of the subspace of  $V^0$  fixed by  $w_0$  (resp. by  $-w_0$ ). We say that  $(p, q)$  is the  $w_0$ -signature of the representation  $\rho$  and that the representation is:

- $w_0$ -pure if  $pq = 0$  (of sign  $+1$  if  $q = 0$  and of sign  $-1$  if  $p = 0$ );
- $w_0$ -mixed if  $pq > 0$ .

**Remark 2.** Replacing  $\tilde{G}$  by any other connected group  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  (with a well-defined action on  $V$ ) does not change the definition. Indeed the center of  $\tilde{G}$  is contained in  $Z_{\tilde{G}}(\mathfrak{h})$ , so acts trivially on  $V^0$ .

Our interest in this property originates in the study of free affine groups acting properly discontinuously (see [7]). We prove the following complete classification. To the best of our knowledge, this specific question has not been studied before; see [4] for a survey of prior work on related, but distinct, questions about the action of the Weyl group on the zero-weight space.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be any simple complex Lie algebra; let  $r$  be its rank. For every index  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , we denote by  $p_i$  the smallest positive integer such that  $p_i \varpi_i \in \Lambda$ . For every such  $i$ , let the “maximal value”  $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$  and the “sign”  $\sigma_i \in \{\pm 1\}$  be as given in Table 1 on page 854.

Let  $\lambda$  be a dominant weight.

- (i) If  $\lambda \notin \Lambda$ , then the  $w_0$ -signature of the representation  $\rho_\lambda$  is  $(0, 0)$ .
- (ii) If  $\lambda = kp_i \varpi_i$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $0 \leq k \leq m_i$ , then  $\rho_\lambda$  is  $w_0$ -pure of sign  $(\sigma_i)^k$ .
- (iii) Finally, if  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  but is not of the form  $\lambda = kp_i \varpi_i$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $0 \leq k \leq m_i$ , then  $\rho_\lambda$  is  $w_0$ -mixed.

**Example 1.** Any irreducible representation of  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  is isomorphic to  $S^k \mathbb{C}^2$  (the  $k$ -th symmetric power of the standard representation) for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Its  $w_0$ -signature is  $(0, 0)$  if  $k$  is odd,  $(1, 0)$  if  $k$  is divisible by 4 and  $(0, 1)$  if  $k$  is 2 modulo 4. This confirms the  $A_1$  entries  $(p_1, m_1, \sigma_1) = (2, \infty, -1)$  of Table 1.

Table 1 also gives the values of  $p_i$ . These are not a new result; they are immediate to compute from the known descriptions of the simple roots and fundamental weights (given e.g. in [2]).

Point (i) is an immediate consequence of Remark 1.

For point (ii), we show in Section 3 that certain symmetric and antisymmetric powers of defining representations of classical groups are  $w_0$ -pure, and that almost all representations listed in point (ii) are sub-representations of these powers. The finitely many exceptions are treated by an algorithm described in Section 2.

For point (iii), we prove in Section 4 that the set of highest weights of  $w_0$ -mixed representations of a given group is an ideal of the monoid of dominant radical weights. For any fixed group, this reduces the problem to checking  $w_0$ -mixedness of finitely many representations. In Section 5, we immediately conclude for exceptional groups and for low-rank classical groups by the algorithm of Section 2; we proceed by induction on rank for the remaining classical groups.

## 2. An algorithm to compute explicitly the $w_0$ -signature of a given representation

**Proposition 2.1.** Any simple complex Lie group  $G$  admits a reductive subgroup  $S$  whose Lie algebra is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})^s \times \mathbb{C}^t$ , where  $(t, s)$  is the  $w_0$ -signature of the adjoint representation of  $G$ , and whose  $w_0$  element is compatible with that of  $G$ , in the sense that some representative of the  $w_0$  element of  $S$  is a representative of the  $w_0$  element of  $G$ . This subgroup  $S$  can be explicitly described.

Note that  $s + t = r$  (the rank of  $G$ ) and that  $t = 0$  except for  $A_n$  ( $t = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ ),  $D_{2n+1}$  ( $t = 1$ ) and  $E_6$  ( $t = 2$ ).

**Table 1**

Values of  $(p_i, m_i, \sigma_i)$  for simple Lie algebras. Theorem 1.3 states that among irreducible representations with a highest weight  $\lambda$  that is radical, only those with  $\lambda$  of the form  $kp_i\varpi_i$  with  $k \leq m_i$  are  $w_0$ -pure, with a sign given by  $\sigma_i^k$ . We write N.A. for  $\sigma_i$  entries that are not defined due to  $m_i = 0$ . Since  $A_1 \simeq B_1 \simeq C_1$  and  $B_2 \simeq C_2$  and  $A_3 \simeq D_3$ , the results match up to reordering simple roots (namely reordering  $i = 1, \dots, r$ ).

Values of $i$ and $r$		$p_i$	$m_i$	$\sigma_i$
$A_{r \geq 1}$	$i = 1$ or $r$	$r + 1$	$\infty$	$(-1)^{\lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor}$
	$1 < i < r$	$r = 3$ $r > 3$	$\infty$ $\frac{r+1}{\gcd(i,r+1)}$ $0$	$+1$ $0$ N.A.
$B_{r \geq 1}$	$i = 1$	$r > 1$	$1$	$\infty$
	$i = 2$	$r > 2$	$1$	$2$
	$2 < i < r$		$1$	$1$
	$i = r$	$r = 1, 2$ $r > 2$	$2$ $1$	$\infty$ $1$
$C_{r \geq 1}$	$i = 1$		$2$	$\infty$
	$i = 2$	$r = 2$ $r > 2$	$1$ $2$	$\infty$ $+1$
	$i$ odd $> 2$	$i = r = 3$ $r > 3$	$2$ $0$	$1$ $0$
	$i$ even $> 2$	$i = r = 4$ $r > 4$	$1$ $1$	$2$ $1$
$D_{r \geq 3}$ $r$ odd	$i = 1$		$2$	$\infty$
	$1 < i < r - 1$	$i$ even $i$ odd	$1$ $2$	$0$ N.A.
	$i = r - 1$ or $r$	$r = 3$ $r > 3$	$4$ $0$	$\infty$ $0$
$D_{r \geq 4}$ $r$ even	$i = 1$		$2$	$\infty$
	$i = 2$		$1$	$2$
	$2 < i < r - 1$	$i$ odd $i$ even	$2$ $1$	$0$ $1$
	$i = r - 1$ or $r$	$r = 4$ $r > 4$	$2$ $1$	$\infty$ $1$

  

Values of $i$	$p_i$	$m_i$	$\sigma_i$
$E_6$	$i = 1, 3, 5, 6$ $i = 2, 4$	$3$ $1$	$0$ $0$
$E_7$	$i = 1$ $i = 2, 5$ $i = 3, 4$ $i = 6$ $i = 7$	$1$ $2$ $1$ $1$ $2$	$0$ $0$ $0$ $1$ $1$
$E_8$	$i = 1$ $1 < i < 8$ $i = 8$	$1$ $1$ $1$	$1$ $0$ $2$
$F_4$	$i = 1$ $i = 2, 3$ $i = 4$	$1$ $1$ $1$	$2$ $0$ $2$
$G_2$	$i = 1, 2$	$1$	$2$

**Table 2**

Sets of strongly orthogonal roots that span the vector space  $(\mathfrak{h}^*)^{-w_0}$ . We chose them among the positive roots.

$A_n$	$\{e_i - e_{n+2-i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor\}$	$E_6$	$\{-e_1 + e_4, -e_2 + e_3, \pm \frac{1}{2}(e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4) + \frac{1}{2}(e_5 - e_6 - e_7 + e_8)\}$
$B_{2n}$	$\{e_{2i-1} \pm e_{2i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$	$E_7$	$\{\pm e_1 + e_2, \pm e_3 + e_4, \pm e_5 + e_6, -e_7 + e_8\}$
$B_{2n+1}$	$\{e_{2i-1} \pm e_{2i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{e_{2n+1}\}$	$E_8$	$\{\pm e_1 + e_2, \pm e_3 + e_4, \pm e_5 + e_6, \pm e_7 + e_8\}$
$C_n$	$\{2e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$	$F_4$	$\{e_1 \pm e_2, e_3 \pm e_4\}$
$D_n$	$\{e_{2i-1} \pm e_{2i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor\}$	$G_2$	$\{e_1 - e_2, -e_1 - e_2 + 2e_3\}$

**Proof.** Let  $(\mathfrak{h}^*)^{-w_0}$  be the  $-1$  eigenspace of  $w_0$ . Recall that two roots  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are called *strongly orthogonal* if  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$  and neither  $\alpha + \beta$  nor  $\alpha - \beta$  is a root. Table 2 exhibits pairwise strongly orthogonal roots  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s\} \subset \Delta$  spanning  $(\mathfrak{h}^*)^{-w_0}$  as a vector space. (Our sets are conjugate to those of [1], but these authors did not need the elements  $w_0$  to match.) We then set

$$\mathfrak{s} := \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^s (\mathfrak{g}^{\alpha_i} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha_i}),$$

where  $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha$  denotes the root space corresponding to  $\alpha$ . This is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , as follows from  $[\mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \mathfrak{g}^\beta] \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha+\beta}$  and from strong orthogonality of the  $\alpha_i$ . It is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})^s \times \mathbb{C}^t$ , because it has Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of dimension  $r = s + t$  and a root system of type  $A_1^s$ . We define  $S$  to be the connected subgroup of  $G$  with algebra  $\mathfrak{s}$ .

Let  $\bar{\sigma}_i := \exp[\frac{\pi}{2}(X_{\alpha_i} - Y_{\alpha_i})] \in S$ , where for every  $\alpha$ ,  $X_\alpha$  and  $Y_\alpha$  denote the elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$  introduced in [3, Theorem 7.19]. We claim that  $\bar{\sigma} := \prod_i \bar{\sigma}_i$  is a representative of the  $w_0$  element of  $S$  and of the  $w_0$  element of  $G$ . By [3, Proposition 11.35],  $\bar{\sigma}_i$  is a representative of the reflection  $s_{\alpha_i}$ , which shows the first statement. Now since the  $\alpha_i$  are orthogonal, the product of  $s_{\alpha_i}$  acts by  $-Id$  on their span  $(\mathfrak{h}^*)^{-w_0}$  and acts trivially on its orthogonal complement, like  $w_0$ .  $\square$

Then the  $w_0$ -signature of any representation  $\rho$  of  $G$  is equal to that of its restriction  $\rho|_S$  to  $S$ . We use branching rules to decompose  $\rho|_S = \bigoplus_i \rho_i$  into irreducible representations of  $S$ . The total  $w_0$ -signature is then the sum of those of the  $\rho_i$ .

Each  $\rho_i$  is a tensor product  $\rho_{i,1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_{i,s} \otimes \rho_{i,Ab}$ , where  $\rho_{i,j}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq s$  is an irreducible representation of the factor  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ , and  $\rho_{i,Ab}$  is an irreducible representation of the abelian factor isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^1$ . The  $w_0$ -signature of  $\rho_i$  is then the “product” of those of these factors, according to the rule  $(p, q) \otimes (p', q') = (pp' + qq', pq' + qp')$ . The  $w_0$ -signatures of all irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$  have been described in Example 1; the  $w_0$ -signature of  $\rho_{i,Ab}$  is just  $(1, 0)$  if the representation is trivial and  $(0, 0)$  otherwise.

Branching rules are provided by several software packages. We implemented our algorithm separately in LiE [10] and in Sage [8]. In Sage, we used the Branching Rules module [9], largely written by Daniel Bump.

### 3. Proof of (ii): that some representations are $w_0$ -pure

We must prove that representations of highest weight  $\lambda = kp_i\varpi_i$ ,  $k \leq m_i$  are  $w_0$ -pure of sign  $\sigma_i^k$  (with data  $p_i, m_i, \sigma_i$  given in Table 1). We denote by  $\square$  the defining representation of each classical group ( $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  for  $A_n$ ,  $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$  for  $B_n$ ,  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$  for  $C_n$  and  $D_n$ ), and introduce a basis of it: for every  $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$  and  $i$  such that  $\varepsilon e_i$  (or for  $A_n$  its orthogonal projection onto  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ ) is a weight of  $\square$ , we call  $h_{\varepsilon i}$  some nonzero vector in the corresponding weight space.

For exceptional groups, all  $m_i$  are finite, so the algorithm of Section 2 suffices; we also use it for the representations with highest weight  $2\varpi_3$  of  $C_3$  and  $2\varpi_4$  of  $C_4$ .

Most other cases are subrepresentations of  $S^m \square$  of  $A_n$  or  $D_{2n+1}$ , or one of  $S^m \square$  or  $\Lambda^m \square$  or  $S^2(\Lambda^2 \square)$  of  $B_n$  or  $C_n$  or  $D_{2n}$ , all of which will prove to be  $w_0$ -pure. Here  $S^m \rho$  and  $\Lambda^m \rho$  denote the symmetric and the antisymmetric tensor powers of a representation  $\rho$ . The remaining cases are mapped to these by the isomorphisms  $B_2 \simeq C_2$  and  $A_3 \simeq D_3$  and the outer automorphisms  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  of  $A_n$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  of  $D_4$ .

For  $A_n = \mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$ , the defining representation is  $\square = \mathbb{C}^{n+1} = \text{Span}\{h_1, \dots, h_{n+1}\}$ . A representative  $\overline{w_0} \in \text{SL}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$  of  $w_0$  acts on  $\square$  by  $h_j \mapsto h_{n+2-j}$  for  $1 \leq j < n+1$  and by  $h_{n+1} \mapsto \sigma_1 h_1$  where  $\sigma_1 = (-1)^{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor}$ , the sign being such that  $\det \overline{w_0} = +1$ . We consider the representation  $S^{k(n+1)} \square$ . Its zero-weight space  $V^0$  is spanned by symmetrized tensor products  $h_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes h_{j_{k(n+1)}}$  in which each  $h_j$  appears equally many times, namely  $k$  times. Hence,  $V^0$  is one-dimensional (the representation is thus  $w_0$ -pure) and spanned by the symmetrization of  $v = h_1^{\otimes k} \otimes h_2^{\otimes k} \otimes \cdots \otimes h_{n+1}^{\otimes k}$ . We compute  $\overline{w_0} \cdot v = h_{n+1}^{\otimes k} \otimes \cdots \otimes h_2^{\otimes k} \otimes (\sigma_1 h_1)^{\otimes k}$ , whose symmetrization is equal to  $\sigma_1^k$  times that of  $v$ ; this gives the announced sign  $\sigma_1^k$ .

For  $D_{2n+1} = \mathfrak{so}(4n+2, \mathbb{C})$ , the defining representation is  $\square = \mathbb{C}^{4n+2} = \text{Span}\{h_{\pm j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq 2n+1\}$  and  $\overline{w_0}$  maps  $h_{\pm j} \mapsto h_{\mp j}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq 2n$ , but fixes  $h_{\pm(2n+1)}$ . The zero-weight space  $V^0$  of  $S^{2k} \square$  is spanned by symmetrizations of  $h_{j_1} \otimes h_{-j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes h_{j_k} \otimes h_{-j_k}$ , each of which is fixed by  $\overline{w_0}$ . The representation is  $w_0$ -pure with  $\sigma_1 = +1$ , as announced.

The cases of  $B_n = \mathfrak{so}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $C_n = \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$  and  $D_n$  even  $= \mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C})$  are treated together:

- $B_n$  has  $\square = \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} = \text{Span}\{h_j \mid -n \leq j \leq n\}$  and  $\overline{w_0}$  acts by  $h_j \mapsto h_{-j}$  for  $j \neq 0$  and  $h_0 \mapsto (-1)^n h_0$ ;
- $C_n$  has  $\square = \mathbb{C}^{2n} = \text{Span}\{h_{\pm j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\}$  and  $\overline{w_0}$  acts by  $h_j \mapsto h_{-j}$  and  $h_{-j} \mapsto -h_j$  for  $j > 0$ ;
- $D_n$  has  $\square = \mathbb{C}^{2n} = \text{Span}\{h_{\pm j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\}$  and, for  $n$  even,  $\overline{w_0}$  acts by  $h_j \mapsto h_{-j}$  for all  $j$ .

First consider  $\Lambda^m \square$  and  $S^m \square$ . Their zero-weight spaces are spanned by (anti)symmetrizations of  $h_{j_1} \otimes h_{-j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes h_{j_k} \otimes h_{-j_k} \otimes h_0^{\otimes l}$ , where  $2k+l=m$ . Each of these vectors is fixed by  $\overline{w_0}$  up to a sign that only depends on the group, the representation, and on  $(k, l)$  or equivalently  $(l, m)$ . For  $C_n$  and  $D_n$  we have  $l=0$  so for each  $m$  the representation is  $w_0$ -pure, with a sign  $(-1)^k$  for  $S^{2k} \square$  of  $C_n$  and  $\Lambda^{2k} \square$  of  $D_n$ , and no sign otherwise. For  $\Lambda^m \square$  of  $B_n$  we note that  $l \in \{0, 1\}$  is fixed by the parity of  $m$  so the representation is  $w_0$ -pure; its sign is  $(-1)^{nl+k} = (-1)^{nm+\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} = \sigma_m$ . For  $S^m \square$  of  $B_n$ , only the parity of  $l$  is fixed, but the sign  $(-1)^{nl} = (-1)^{nm} = \sigma_1^m$  still only depends on the representation; it confirms the data of Table 1. Finally, consider the representation  $S^2(\Lambda^2 \square)$ . Its zero-weight space is spanned by symmetrizations of  $(h_j \wedge h_{-j}) \otimes (h_k \wedge h_{-k})$  and  $(h_j \wedge h_k) \otimes (h_{-j} \wedge h_{-k})$  all of which are fixed by  $\overline{w_0}$ .

### 4. Cartan product: $w_0$ -mixed representations form an ideal

Let  $G$  be a simply-connected simple complex Lie group and  $N$  a maximal unipotent subgroup of  $G$ . Define  $\mathbb{C}[G/N]$  the space of regular (i.e. polynomial) functions on  $G/N$ . Pointwise multiplication of functions is  $G$ -equivariant and makes  $\mathbb{C}[G/N]$  into a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra without zero divisors (because  $G/N$  is irreducible as an algebraic variety).

**Theorem 4.1** ([6, (3.20)–(3.21)]). *Each finite-dimensional representation of  $G$  (or equivalently of its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ ) occurs exactly once as a direct summand of the representation  $\mathbb{C}[G/N]$ . The  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $\mathbb{C}[G/N]$  is graded in two ways:*

- by the highest weight  $\lambda$ , in the sense that the product of a vector in  $V_\lambda$  by a vector in  $V_\mu$  lies in  $V_{\lambda+\mu}$  (where  $V_\lambda$  stands here for the subrepresentation of  $\mathbb{C}[G/N]$  with highest weight  $\lambda$ );
- by the actual weight  $\lambda$ , in the sense that the product of a weight vector with weight  $\lambda$  by a weight vector with weight  $\mu$  is still a weight vector, with weight  $\lambda + \mu$ .

For given  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , we call *Cartan product* the induced bilinear map  $\odot : V_\lambda \times V_\mu \rightarrow V_{\lambda+\mu}$ . Given  $u \in V_\lambda$  and  $v \in V_\mu$ , this defines  $u \odot v \in V_{\lambda+\mu}$  as the projection of  $u \otimes v \in V_\lambda \otimes V_\mu = V_{\lambda+\mu} \oplus \dots$ . Since  $\mathbb{C}[G/N]$  has no zero divisor,  $u \odot v \neq 0$  whenever  $u \neq 0$  and  $v \neq 0$ . We deduce the following.

**Lemma 4.2.** *The set of highest weights of  $w_0$ -mixed irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an ideal  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  of the additive monoid  $\mathcal{M}$  of dominant elements of the root lattice.*

**Proof.** Consider a  $w_0$ -mixed representation  $V_\lambda$  and a representation  $V_\mu$  whose highest weight is radical. We can choose  $u_+$  and  $u_-$  in the zero-weight space of  $V_\lambda$  such that  $w_0 \cdot u_+ = u_+$  and  $w_0 \cdot u_- = -u_-$ , and choose  $v$  in the zero-weight space of  $V_\mu$  such that  $w_0 \cdot v = \pm v$  for some sign. Then  $u_+ \odot v$  and  $u_- \odot v$  are non-zero elements of the zero-weight space of  $V_{\lambda+\mu}$  on which  $w_0$  acts by opposite signs.  $\square$

**5. Proof of (iii): that other representations are  $w_0$ -mixed**

Let  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{Table}}$  be the set of dominant radical weights that are not of the form  $\lambda = kp_i\varpi_i$ ,  $k \leq m_i$  (with data  $p_i, m_i$  given in Table 1). Observe that  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{Table}}$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{M}$ . In Section 3 we showed  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{Table}}$ . We now show that  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{Table}} \subset \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ , namely that  $V_\lambda$  is  $w_0$ -mixed for radical  $\lambda$  other than those described by Table 1. By Lemma 4.2, it is enough to show this for the basis of  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{Table}}$ . For any given group,  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{Table}}$  has a finite basis, so we simply used the algorithm of Section 2 to conclude for  $A_{\leq 5}, B_{\leq 4}, C_{\leq 5}, D_{\leq 6}$  and all exceptional groups.

Now let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be one of  $A_{>5}, B_{>4}, C_{>5}, D_{>6}$  and  $\lambda$  be in  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{Table}}$ . We proceed by induction on the rank of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Define as follows a reductive Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{f} \times \mathfrak{g}' \subset \mathfrak{g}$ :

- if  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ , we choose  $\mathfrak{f} \times \mathfrak{g}' \simeq (\mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})) \times \mathfrak{sl}(n-2, \mathbb{C})$ , where  $\mathfrak{f}$  has the roots  $\pm(e_1 - e_n)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}'$  has the roots  $\pm(e_i - e_j)$  for  $1 < i < j < n$ ;
- if  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C})$ , we choose  $\mathfrak{f} \times \mathfrak{g}' \simeq \mathfrak{so}(4, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{so}(n-4, \mathbb{C})$ , where  $\mathfrak{f}$  has the roots  $\pm e_1 \pm e_2$  and  $\mathfrak{g}'$  has the roots  $\pm e_i \pm e_j$  for  $3 \leq i < j \leq n$ ;
- if  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ , we choose  $\mathfrak{f} \times \mathfrak{g}' \simeq \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{sp}(2n-2, \mathbb{C})$ , where  $\mathfrak{f}$  has the roots  $\pm 2e_1$  and  $\mathfrak{g}'$  has the roots  $\pm e_i \pm e_j$  for  $2 \leq i < j \leq n$  and  $\pm 2e_i$  for  $2 \leq i \leq n$ .

In all three cases,  $\mathfrak{f} \times \mathfrak{g}'$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  share their Cartan subalgebra, hence restricting a representation  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  to  $\mathfrak{f} \times \mathfrak{g}'$  does not change the zero-weight space  $V^0$ . Additionally, consider any connected Lie group  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ : then the  $w_0$  elements of the connected subgroup of  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{f} \times \mathfrak{g}'$  and of  $G$  itself coincide, or more precisely have a common representative in  $G$ , because the Lie algebras have the same Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{s}$  defined in Proposition 2.1. It follows that a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is  $w_0$ -mixed if and only if its restriction to  $\mathfrak{f} \times \mathfrak{g}'$  is.

Next, decompose  $V_\lambda = \bigoplus_i (V_{\xi_i} \otimes V_{\mu_i})$  into irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{f} \times \mathfrak{g}'$ , where  $\xi_i$  and  $\mu_i$  are dominant weights of  $\mathfrak{f}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}'$ , respectively. Consider the subspace

$$V_\lambda^{(0, \bullet)} := \bigoplus_i (V_{\xi_i}^0 \otimes V_{\mu_i}) \subset V_\lambda \tag{1}$$

fixed by the Cartan algebra of  $\mathfrak{f}$ . It is a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}'$  whose zero-weight subspace coincides with that of  $V_\lambda$ . The direct sum obviously restricts to radical  $\xi_i$ , and  $\dim V_{\xi_i}^0 = 1$  because we chose  $\mathfrak{f}$  to be a product of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C})$  factors. Thus the  $w_0$  element of  $\mathfrak{g}$  acts on  $V_{\xi_i}^0 \otimes V_{\mu_i}$  in the same way, up to a sign, as the  $w_0$  element of  $\mathfrak{g}'$  acts on  $V_{\mu_i}$ . Lemma 5.2 shows that  $V_\lambda^{(0, \bullet)}$  has an irreducible subrepresentation  $V_\nu$  such that  $\nu \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\text{Table}}$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $V_\nu$  is then  $w_0$ -mixed hence  $w_0$  has both eigenvalues  $\pm 1$  on the zero-weight space  $V_\lambda^0 \subset V_\lambda^{(0, \bullet)}$ , namely  $V_\lambda$  is  $w_0$ -mixed.

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

There remains to state and prove two lemmas. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be  $A_{n-1}, B_n, C_n$  or  $D_n$  and let  $\lambda$  be a dominant radical weight of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . It can then be expressed in the standard basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  as  $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i$  where  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$  are integers subject to: for  $A_{n-1}$ ,  $\sum_i \lambda_i = 0$ ; for  $B_n$ ,  $\lambda_n \geq 0$ ; for  $C_n$ ,  $\lambda_n \geq 0$  and  $\sum_i \lambda_i \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ ; for  $D_n$ ,  $\lambda_{n-1} \geq |\lambda_n|$  and  $\sum_i \lambda_i \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ . In addition, let  $\mathfrak{f} \times \mathfrak{g}' \subset \mathfrak{g}$  be the subalgebra defined above. We identify weights of  $\mathfrak{g}'$  with the corresponding weights of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (acting trivially on the Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{f}$ ). Note that this introduces a shift in their coordinates: the dual of the Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}'$  is spanned by a subset of the vectors  $e_i$  (corresponding to  $\mathfrak{g}$ ) that starts at  $e_2$  or  $e_3$ , not at  $e_1$  as expected.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\mu$  be the dominant weight of  $\mathfrak{g}'$  defined as follows:*

- for  $A_{n-1}$ ,  $\mu = (\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \lambda_i e_{i+1}) + \lambda_\ell e_\ell + (\sum_{i=\ell+1}^n \lambda_i e_{i-1})$  where  $1 < \ell < n$  is an index such that  $\lambda_{\ell-1} + \lambda_\ell \geq 0 \geq \lambda_\ell + \lambda_{\ell+1}$  (when several  $\ell$  obey this,  $\mu$  does not depend on the choice);
- for  $B_n$ ,  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \lambda_i e_{i+2}$ ;

- for  $C_n$ ,  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i e_{i+1} - \eta e_n$  where  $\eta \in \{0, 1\}$  obeys  $\eta \equiv \lambda_n \pmod{2}$ ;
- for  $D_n$ ,  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \lambda_i e_{i+2} - \eta e_n$  where  $\eta \in \{0, 1\}$  obeys  $\eta \equiv \lambda_{n+1} + \lambda_n \pmod{2}$ .

Then  $V_\mu$  is a sub-representation of the space  $V_\lambda^{(0, \bullet)}$  defined earlier.

**Proof for  $A_{n-1}$ .** Let  $\nu = \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \nu_i e_i$  be a dominant radical weight of  $\mathfrak{g}'$ . The weight  $\nu$  is among weights of  $V_\lambda^{(0, \bullet)}$  if and only if it is among weights of  $V_\lambda$ . The condition is that  $\langle \lambda - \tilde{\nu}, \varpi_k \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $k$ , where  $\tilde{\nu}$  is the unique dominant weight of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in the orbit of  $\nu$  under the Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Explicitly,  $\tilde{\nu} = (\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \nu_{i+1} e_i) + \sum_{i=p+2}^n \nu_{i-1} e_i$ , where  $p$  is any index such that  $\nu_p \geq 0 \geq \nu_{p+1}$ . Then the condition is  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \geq \sum_{i=2}^{k+1} \nu_i$  for  $1 \leq k < p$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \geq \sum_{i=2}^p \nu_i$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \geq \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} \nu_i$  for  $p < k < n$ . Let us show that this is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=2}^k \nu_i \leq \min \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \lambda_i, \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \lambda_i \right) \text{ for all } 2 \leq k \leq n-2. \tag{2}$$

In one direction, the only non-trivial statement is that  $2 \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \lambda_i + \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \lambda_i \geq 2 \sum_{i=2}^p \nu_i$ , where we used  $2\lambda_p \geq \lambda_p + \lambda_{p+1}$ . In the other direction, we check  $\sum_{i=2}^k \nu_i \leq \sum_{i=2}^{\min(p, k+2)} \nu_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \lambda_i$  for  $k \leq p-1$  using  $\nu_2 \geq \dots \geq \nu_p \geq 0$ , and similarly for  $p+1 \leq k$  using  $0 \geq \nu_{p+1} \geq \dots \geq \nu_{n-1}$ .

Now,  $\lambda_{\ell-1} + \lambda_\ell \geq 0 \geq \lambda_\ell + \lambda_{\ell+1}$  implies  $\lambda_{\ell-2} \geq \lambda_{\ell-1} \geq \lambda_{\ell-1} + \lambda_\ell + \lambda_{\ell+1} \geq \lambda_{\ell+1} \geq \lambda_{\ell+2}$ , so  $\mu$  is a dominant weight of  $\mathfrak{g}'$ . It is radical because  $\sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \mu_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 0$ . Furthermore,  $\mu$  saturates all bounds (2) (with  $\nu$  replaced by  $\mu$ ), as seen using  $\lambda_k + \lambda_{k+1} \geq 0$  or  $\leq 0$  for  $k < \ell$  or  $k \geq \ell$  respectively. In particular, we deduce that  $\mu$  is among the weights of  $V_\lambda^{(0, \bullet)}$ , hence of some irreducible summand  $V_\nu \subset V_\lambda^{(0, \bullet)}$ . The dominant radical weight  $\nu$  of  $\mathfrak{g}'$  must also obey (2), namely  $\sum_{i=2}^k \nu_i \leq \sum_{i=2}^k \mu_i$  (due to the aforementioned saturation). Since  $\mu$  is dominant and among weights of  $V_\nu$ , we must also have  $\langle \nu - \mu, \varpi'_k \rangle \geq 0$  for all fundamental weights  $\varpi'_k$  of  $\mathfrak{g}'$ . This is precisely the reverse inequality  $\sum_{i=2}^k \nu_i \geq \sum_{i=2}^k \mu_i$ . We conclude that  $\mu = \nu$ .  $\square$

**Proof for  $B_n, C_n, D_n$ .** Let  $\varepsilon = 1$  for  $C_n$  and otherwise  $\varepsilon = 2$ . Again, a dominant radical weight  $\nu = \sum_{i=1+\varepsilon}^n (\nu_i e_i)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}'$  is a weight of  $V_\lambda^{(0, \bullet)}$  if and only if all  $\langle \lambda - \tilde{\nu}, \varpi_k \rangle \geq 0$ , where  $\tilde{\nu}$  is the unique dominant weight of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in the Weyl orbit of  $\nu$ . In all three cases,  $\tilde{\nu} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-\varepsilon} |\nu_{i+\varepsilon}| e_i$ , where the absolute value is only useful for the  $\nu_n$  component for  $D_n$ . The condition is worked out to be  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^k |\nu_{i+\varepsilon}|$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n-\varepsilon$ . It is easy to check that  $\mu$  is a dominant radical weight of  $\mathfrak{g}'$  and that it obeys these conditions.

Consider now an irreducible summand  $V_\nu \subset V_\lambda^{(0, \bullet)}$  that has  $\mu$  among its weights. On the one hand,  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^k |\nu_{i+\varepsilon}|$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n-\varepsilon$ , where the absolute value is only useful for  $\nu_n$  for  $D_n$ . On the other hand,  $\langle \nu - \mu, \varpi' \rangle \geq 0$  for all dominant weights  $\varpi'$  of  $\mathfrak{g}'$  (in particular  $e_{1+\varepsilon} + \dots + e_{k+\varepsilon}$ ), so  $\sum_{i=1}^k \nu_{i+\varepsilon} \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_{i+\varepsilon}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n-\varepsilon$ . The two inequalities fix  $\nu_i = \mu_i$  for all  $i$ , except  $i = n$  when  $\eta = 1$  for  $C_n$  and  $D_n$ : in these cases, we conclude by using  $\sum_i \nu_i - \sum_i \mu_i \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ , since both weights are radical.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** For any  $\lambda \in \mathcal{I}_g^{\text{Table}}$ , there exists  $\nu \in \mathcal{I}_g^{\text{Table}}$  such that the representation of  $\mathfrak{g}'$  with highest weight  $\nu$  is a subrepresentation of  $V_\lambda^{(\bullet, 0)}$ .

**Proof for  $A_{n-1}$  with  $n \geq 7$ .** If the weight  $\mu$  defined by Lemma 5.1 is in  $\mathcal{I}_g^{\text{Table}}$ , we are done. Otherwise,  $\mu = m(n-2)\varpi'_1$  or  $\mu = m(n-2)\varpi'_{n-3}$ . By symmetry under  $e_i \mapsto -e_{n+1-i}$ , it is enough to consider the second case, so  $\mu = \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \mu_i e_i$  with  $\mu_i = m$  for  $2 \leq i \leq n-2$  and  $\mu_{n-1} = -m(n-3)$ . By the construction of  $\mu$  in terms of  $\lambda$ , we know that there exists  $1 < \ell < n$  such that  $\mu_i = \lambda_{i-1} \geq 0$  for  $1 < i < \ell$  and  $\lambda_{\ell-1} \geq \mu_\ell = \lambda_{\ell-1} + \lambda_\ell + \lambda_{\ell+1} \geq \lambda_{\ell+1}$  and  $\mu_i = \lambda_{i+1} \leq 0$  for  $\ell < i < n$ . Since only  $\mu_{n-1} \leq 0$ , the last constraint sets  $\ell = n-2$  or  $\ell = n-1$ . In the first case, we learn that  $\lambda_i = m$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-4$ , but also that  $m = \mu_{n-3} = \lambda_{n-4} \geq \lambda_{n-3} \geq \mu_{n-2} = m$  so  $\lambda_{n-3} = m$ , thus  $\lambda_{n-2} + \lambda_{n-1} = \mu_{n-2} - \lambda_{n-3} = 0$ , and we can change  $\ell$  to  $n-1$  (recall that the choice of  $\ell$  such that  $\lambda_{\ell-1} + \lambda_\ell \geq 0 \geq \lambda_\ell + \lambda_{\ell+1}$  does not affect  $\mu$ ). We are thus left with the case  $\ell = n-1$ , where  $\lambda_i = m$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-3$ , and where  $\lambda_{n-2} + \lambda_{n-1} \geq 0$  and  $m = \lambda_{n-3} \geq \lambda_{n-2}$ .

We conclude that  $\lambda = m(\sum_{i=1}^{n-3} e_i) + l e_{n-2} + k e_{n-1} - ((n-3)m + l + k) e_n$  for integers  $m \geq l \geq |k|$ , with the exclusion of the case  $k = l = m$  because of  $\lambda \in \mathcal{I}_g^{\text{Table}}$ . For these dominant weights, the particular irreducible summand  $V_\mu \subset V_\lambda^{(0, \bullet)}$  of Lemma 5.1 is  $w_0$ -pure, but we now determine another summand that is  $w_0$ -mixed. The branching rules from  $\mathfrak{g}$  to  $\mathfrak{f} \times \mathfrak{g}'$  can easily be deduced from the classical branching rules from  $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$  to  $\mathfrak{gl}(n-1, \mathbb{C})$  (given for example in [5, Theorem 9.14]). Namely, consider the representation of  $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$  on  $V_\lambda$  such that the diagonal  $\mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C})$  acts by zero. Then  $V_\lambda^{(0, \bullet)} \subset V_\lambda$  is the subspace on which all three  $\mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C})$  factors of  $\mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{gl}(n-2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$  act by zero. It decomposes into irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{g}' \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(n-2, \mathbb{C})$  with highest weights  $\lambda'' = \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \lambda''_i e_i$  such that  $\sum_i \lambda''_i = 0$  and such that there exists  $\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_{n-1}$  with  $\sum_i \lambda'_i = 0$ , and  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda'_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda'_{n-1} \geq \lambda_n$  and  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda''_2 \geq \lambda'_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda''_{n-1} \geq \lambda'_{n-1}$ . Concretely we

focus on the summand where  $(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^n$  and  $(\lambda'_i)_{i=1}^{n-1}$  and  $(\lambda''_i)_{i=2}^{n-1}$  all take the form  $(m, \dots, m, l, k, -S)$  where  $S$  is the sum of all other entries, with a different number of  $m$  in each case. Given that we started in rank at least 6, the resulting weight  $\lambda''$  cannot be a multiple of a fundamental weight, hence  $\lambda'' \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}'}$ .  $\square$

**Proof for  $B_n$  with  $n \geq 5$ ,  $C_n$  with  $n \geq 6$ ,  $D_n$  with  $n \geq 7$ .** We recall  $\varepsilon = 1$  for  $C_n$  and otherwise  $\varepsilon = 2$ . If the weight  $\mu$  defined by Lemma 5.1 is in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{Table}}$ , we are done. Otherwise,  $\mu$  can take a few possible forms because we took  $\text{rank } \mathfrak{g}' = n - \varepsilon$  large enough to avoid special values listed in Table 1. Note that, by construction of  $\mu = \sum_{i=1+\varepsilon}^n \mu_i e_i$ , we have  $\lambda_i = \mu_{i+\varepsilon}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 3$  for  $D_n$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$  for  $B_n$  and  $C_n$ . The possible dominant radical weights not in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}'}$  are as follows.

- First,  $\mu = m\varpi'_1 = me_{1+\varepsilon}$ , where additionally  $m$  is even for  $C_n$  and  $D_n$ . Then  $\lambda_1 = \mu_{1+\varepsilon} = m$  and  $\lambda_2 = \mu_{2+\varepsilon} = 0$  fix  $\lambda = m\varpi_1$ , which is not in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{Table}}$ .
- Second,  $\mu = 2\varpi'_2 = 2(e_{1+\varepsilon} + e_{2+\varepsilon})$ , except for  $D_n$  with odd  $n$ . Then  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 2$  and  $\lambda_3 = 0$  fix  $\lambda = 2\varpi_2$ , which is not in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{Table}}$ .
- Third,  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^m e_{i+\varepsilon}$  for some  $m \geq 2$ , except for  $D_n$  with odd  $n$ , and where additionally  $m$  is even for  $D_n$  with even  $n$  and for  $C_n$ . Since  $\lambda_1 = \mu_{1+\varepsilon} = 1$  and  $\lambda$  is dominant, we deduce that either  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_p = 1$  for some  $p$  and all other  $\lambda_i = 0$ , or (only in the  $D_n$  case)  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1} = 1 = -\lambda_n$ . These weights  $\lambda$  are not in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{Table}}$ . Note, of course, that  $p$  and  $m$  are not independent; for example for  $m \leq n - 3$  one has  $m = p$ .
- Fourth,  $\mu = (\sum_{i=1}^{n-3} e_{i+2}) - e_n$  for  $D_n$  with even  $n$ . This weight is not of the form of Lemma 5.1 because one would need  $-1 = \lambda_{n-2} - \eta \geq -\eta \geq -1$ ; hence  $\eta = 1$  and  $\lambda_{n-2} = 0$ , so  $\lambda_{n-1} = \lambda_n = 0$  so  $1 = \eta \equiv \lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_n = 0 \pmod{2}$ .  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Ernest Vinberg, who suggested the crucial idea of using Theorem 4.1 to prove Lemma 4.2; as well as Jeffrey Adams and Yifan Wang for some interesting discussions. The second author of the present paper was supported by the National Science Foundation grant DMS-1709952.

## References

- [1] Y. Agaoka, E. Kaneda, On local isometric immersions of Riemannian symmetric spaces, *Tohoku Math. J.* 36 (1984) 107–140.
- [2] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique, groupes et algèbres de Lie*: chapitres 4, 5 et 6, Hermann, 1968.
- [3] B.C. Hall, *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras and Representations: An Elementary Introduction*, second edition, Springer International Publishing, 2015.
- [4] J. Humphreys, Weyl group representations on zero weight spaces, <http://people.math.umass.edu/~jeh/pub/zero.pdf>, 2014.
- [5] A.W. Knap, *Lie Groups Beyond an Introduction*, Birkhäuser, 1996.
- [6] V.L. Popov, E.B. Vinberg, *Invariant Theory*, Springer, 1994.
- [7] I. Smilga, Proper affine actions: a sufficient criterion, submitted, available at arXiv:1612.08942.
- [8] The Sage Developers, SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 8.1), 2017, <http://www.sagemath.org>.
- [9] The Sage Developers, Branching rules, [http://doc.sagemath.org/html/en/reference/combinat/sage/combinat/root\\_system/branching\\_rules.html](http://doc.sagemath.org/html/en/reference/combinat/sage/combinat/root_system/branching_rules.html).
- [10] M.A.A. van Leeuwen, A.M. Cohen, B. Lissner, LiE, a package for Lie group computations, <http://www.mathlabo.univ-poitiers.fr/~maavl/LiE/>, 2000.