FISEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I

www.sciencedirect.com



Algebraic geometry

## Connections and restrictions to curves



### Connexions et restrictions aux courbes

Indranil Biswas <sup>a,b</sup>, Sudarshan Gurjar <sup>c</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400005, India
- b Mathematics Department, EISTI-University Paris-Seine, Avenue du parc, 95000, Cergy-Pontoise, France
- <sup>c</sup> Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Mumbai 400076, India

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history: Received 8 October 2017 Accepted after revision 15 May 2018 Available online 18 May 2018

Presented by Claire Voisin

#### ABSTRACT

We construct a vector bundle E on a smooth complex projective surface X with the property that the restriction of E to any smooth closed curve in X admits an algebraic connection while E does not admit any algebraic connection.

© 2018 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

### RÉSUMÉ

Nous construisons un fibré vectoriel E sur une surface complexe lisse X tel que la restriction de E à toute courbe lisse fermée contenue dans X admet une connexion algébrique, sans que E lui-même admette une telle connexion algébrique.

© 2018 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Let X be an irreducible smooth complex projective variety with cotangent bundle  $\Omega_X^1$  and E a vector bundle on X. The coherent sheaf of local sections of E will also be denoted by E. A connection on E is a k-linear homomorphism of sheaves  $D: E \longrightarrow E \otimes \Omega_X^1$  satisfying the Leibniz identity, which says that  $D(fs) = fD(s) + s \otimes df$ , where s is a local section of E and E is a locally defined regular function.

Consider the sheaf of differential operators  $\operatorname{Diff}_X^i(E,E)$ , of order i on E, and the associated symbol homomorphism  $\sigma:\operatorname{Diff}_X^1(E,E)\longrightarrow\operatorname{End}(E)\otimes TX$ . The inverse image

$$At(E) := \sigma^{-1}(Id_E \otimes TX)$$

is the Atiyah bundle for E. The resulting short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Diff}_{X}^{0}(E, E) = \operatorname{End}(E) \longrightarrow \operatorname{At}(E) \xrightarrow{\sigma} TX \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\tag{1.1}$$

E-mail addresses: indranil@math.tifr.res.in (I. Biswas), sgurjar@math.iitb.ac.in (S. Gurjar).

is called the Atiyah exact sequence for E. A connection on E is a splitting of (1.1). We refer the reader to [1] for the details; in particular, see [1, p. 187, Theorem 1] and [1, p. 194, Proposition 9].

When X is a complex curve, Weil and Atiyah proved the following [13], [1]:

A vector bundle V on an irreducible smooth projective curve defined over  $\mathbb{C}$  admits a connection if and only if the degree of each indecomposable component of V is zero.

This was first proved in [13]; see also [6, p. 69, THEORÈME DE WEIL] for an exposition of it. The above criterion also follows from [1, p. 188, Theorem 2], [1, p. 201, Theorem 8] and [1, Theorem 10].

A semistable vector bundle V on a smooth complex projective variety X admits a connection if all the rational Chern classes of E vanish [12, p. 40, Corollary 3.10]. On the other hand, a vector bundle W on X is semistable if and only if the restriction of W to a general complete intersection curve, which is an intersection of hyperplanes of sufficiently large degrees, is semistable [5, p. 637, Theorem 1.2], [11, p. 221, Theorem 6.1]. On the other hand, any vector bundle E whose restriction to every curve is semistable actually satisfies very strong conditions [3]; for example, if X is simply connected, then E must be of the form  $L^{\oplus r}$  for some line bundle E.

The following is a natural question to ask.

**Question 1.1.** Let E be a vector bundle on X such that, for every smooth closed curve  $C \subset X$ , the restriction  $E|_C$  admits a connection. Does E admit a connection?

Our aim is to show that, in general, the above vector bundle E does not admit a connection.

To produce an example of such a vector bundle, we construct a smooth complex projective surface X with  $Pic(X) = \mathbb{Z}$  such that X admits an ample line bundle  $L_0$  with  $H^1(X, L_0) \neq 0$ . Since  $Pic(X) = \mathbb{Z}$ , the ample line bundles on X are naturally parametrized by positive integers. Let L be the smallest ample line bundle (with respect to this parametrization) with the property that  $H^1(X, L) \neq 0$ . Let E be a nontrivial extension

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0$$
.

We prove that the vector bundle End(E) has the property that the restriction of it to every smooth closed curve in X admits a connection, while End(E) does not admit a connection; see Theorem 3.1.

A surface X of the above type is constructed by taking a hyper-Kähler 4-fold X' with  $\operatorname{Pic}(X') = \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $Y \subset X'$  be a smooth ample hypersurface such that  $H^j(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(Y)) = 0$  for j = 1, 2, and let Z be a very general ample hypersurface of X' such that  $H^j(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(Z)) = 0$  for j = 1, 2 and  $H^2(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(Z - Y)) = 0$ . Now take the surface X to be the intersection  $Y \cap Z$ .

#### 2. Construction of a surface

We will construct a smooth complex projective surface S with Picard group  $\mathbb{Z}$  that has an ample line bundle L with  $H^1(S, L) \neq 0$ .

Let X be a hyper-Kähler 4-fold with Picard group  $\mathbb{Z}$ . For example, a sufficiently general deformation of  $\mathrm{Hilb}^2(M)$ , where M is a polarized K3 surface, will have this property. Let  $Y \subset X$  be a smooth ample hypersurface. Note that the vanishing theorem of Kodaira says that

$$H^{j}(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(Y)) = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

for all j > 0, because  $K_X$  is trivial [10]. Let Z be a very general ample hypersurface of X such that both the line bundles  $\mathcal{O}_X(Z)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_X(Z-Y)$  are ample. In view of the vanishing theorem of Kodaira, the ampleness of  $\mathcal{O}_X(Z)$  implies that

$$H^{j}(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(Z)) = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

for all j > 0, while that of  $\mathcal{O}_X(Z - Y)$  implies that

$$H^{j}(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(Z-Y)) = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

for all i > 0. Let

$$\iota:S:=Y\cap Z\hookrightarrow X$$

be the intersection and

$$L := \mathcal{O}_X(Y)|_S$$

the restriction of it. Note that *L* is ample.

Let  $\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{O}_X(-S) \subset \mathcal{O}_X$  be the ideal sheaf for S. Tensoring the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{O}_S \longrightarrow 0$$

by  $\mathcal{O}_X(Y)$ , we get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}(Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(Y) \longrightarrow \iota_* L \longrightarrow 0. \tag{2.4}$$

The natural inclusion of  $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$  in  $\mathcal{O}_X$  and  $\mathcal{O}_X(Y-Z)$  together produce an inclusion of  $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$  in  $\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(Y-Z)$ . Consequently, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-Z) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(Y-Z) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}(Y) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{2.5}$$

In view of (2.1), the connecting homomorphism

$$H^1(S, L) \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{I}(Y))$$
 (2.6)

in the long exact sequence of cohomologies associated with (2.4) is an isomorphism.

Since the canonical line bundle of *X* is trivial, Serre's duality gives:

$$H^{2+j}(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-Z))^* = H^{2-j}(X, \mathcal{O}_X(Z)).$$

So using (2.2), we conclude that the left-hand side vanishes for i = 0, 1. Again, by Serre's duality,

$$H^{2}(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(Y-Z))^{*} = H^{2}(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(Z-Y)) = 0$$

(see (2.3)).

Thus, in the long exact sequence of cohomologies associated with (2.5), we have

$$H^{2}(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(-Z)) = 0 = H^{2+j}(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(-Z)), \text{ and } H^{2}(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(Y-Z)) = 0.$$

Hence this long exact sequence of cohomologies associated with (2.5) gives an isomorphism

$$H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(X, \mathcal{I}(Y))$$
:

so combining this with the isomorphism in (2.6), it now follows that  $H^1(S, L)$  is isomorphic to  $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . We have  $\dim H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 1$ , so

$$\dim H^1(S, L) = 1. (2.7)$$

By the Grothendieck–Lefschetz hyperplane theorem for Picard's group, the restriction map  $Pic(X) \longrightarrow Pic(Y)$  is an isomorphism [7, Exposeé XII]; in fact, a weaker version given in [8, Chapter IV, p. 179, Corollary 3.2] suffices for our purpose. By the generalized Noether–Lefschetz theorem (see [9, p. 121, Theorem 5.1]), the restriction map  $Pic(Y) \longrightarrow Pic(S)$  is also an isomorphism. Thus Pic(S) is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Combining this with (2.7), it follows that the surface S has the desired properties.

#### 3. Question 1.1 in special cases

In this section, we will first use the construction in Section 2 to show that Question 1.1 in the introduction has a negative answer in general. Then we will show that, in some particular cases, the answer is affirmative.

#### 3.1. Example with a negative answer

We will construct a smooth projective surface X and a vector bundle E on it that does not admit any connection, while the restriction of E to every smooth curve in X admits a connection.

Let X be a smooth complex projective surface with  $\operatorname{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$  that admits an ample line bundle L with  $H^1(X, L) \neq 0$ ; we saw in Section 2 that such a surface exists. Let  $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$  denote the ample generator of  $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ . Then  $L = \mathcal{O}_X(r) = \mathcal{O}_X(1)^{\otimes r}$  with r positive. We choose L with the smallest possible r. Since  $\operatorname{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  because  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  is the (abelian) Lie algebra of the Lie group  $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ . On the other hand, the Kodaira vanishing theorem says that  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-k)) = 0$  for all k > 0. Therefore, it follows that

$$H^{1}(X, L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}(-d)) = 0, \forall d > 0.$$

$$(3.1)$$

Let

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.2}$$

be the non-split extension corresponding to a non-zero element in  $H^1(X, L)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** The vector bundle  $\operatorname{End}(E) = E \otimes E^*$  in (3.2) has the property that the restriction of it to every smooth closed curve in X admits a connection. The vector bundle  $\operatorname{End}(E)$  does not admit a connection.

**Proof.** Take any smooth closed curve  $C \subset X$ . So  $C \in |\mathcal{O}_X(d)|$  with d positive. Consider the restriction homomorphism  $H^1(X, L) \longrightarrow H^1(C, L|_C)$ . Using the long exact sequence of cohomologies associated with

$$0 \longrightarrow L \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-d) \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow L|_C \longrightarrow 0$$

we conclude that its kernel is  $H^1(X, L \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-d))$ , which is zero by (3.1). In particular, the extension class for (3.2) has a nonzero image in  $H^1(C, L|_C)$ . Therefore, the restriction of the exact sequence (3.2) to C does not split.

We will show that  $E|_C$  is indecomposable.

Assume that  $E|_{\mathcal{C}} = L_1 \oplus L_2$  with  $\operatorname{degree}(L_1) \geq \operatorname{degree}(L_2)$ . Since  $\operatorname{degree}(E|_{\mathcal{C}}) = \operatorname{degree}(L|_{\mathcal{C}}) > 0 = \operatorname{degree}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}})$ , the composition

$$L_1 \hookrightarrow E|_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$$

is the zero homomorphism. Hence  $L_1$  coincides with the subbundle  $L|_C \subset E|_C$ . This contradicts the earlier observation that the restriction of the exact sequence (3.2) to C does not split. Hence, we conclude that  $E|_C$  is indecomposable.

Consider the projective bundle  $\mathbb{P}(E|_C) \longrightarrow C$ . Let  $E_{PGL(2)} \longrightarrow C$  be the principal  $PGL(2,\mathbb{C})$ -bundle corresponding to it. Since E is indecomposable, it follows that  $E_{PGL(2)}$  admits an algebraic connection [2, p. 342, Theorem 4.1]. The vector bundle  $End(E|_C) \longrightarrow C$  is associated with  $E_{PGL(2)}$  for the adjoint action of  $PGL(2,\mathbb{C})$  on  $End_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^2) = M(2,\mathbb{C})$ . Therefore, a connection on  $E_{PGL(2)}$  induces a connection on the vector bundle  $End(E|_C)$ . Hence, we conclude that  $End(E|_C) = End(E)|_C$  admits an algebraic connection.

On the other hand,  $c_2(\operatorname{End}(E)) = -c_1(L)^2 \neq 0$ . This implies that the vector bundle E on X does not admit a connection [1, Theorem 4].  $\square$ 

#### 3.2. Special cases with positive answer

Let S be a smooth complex projective curve, X a smooth complex projective variety and  $p: X \longrightarrow S$  a smooth surjective morphism such that every fiber of p is rationally connected. Assume that there is a smooth closed curve  $\widetilde{S} \subset X$  such that the restriction

$$p|_{\widetilde{S}}:\widetilde{S}\longrightarrow S$$

is an étale morphism.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let E be a vector bundle on X whose restriction to every smooth curve on X admits a connection. Then E admits a connection.

**Proof.** Let Y be a smooth complex projective rationally connected variety and V a vector bundle on Y, such that for every smooth rational curve  $\mathbb{CP}^1 \stackrel{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} Y$  the restriction  $\iota^*V$  has a connection. Any connection on a curve is flat, and  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  is simply connected, so the above vector bundle  $\iota^*V$  is trivial. This implies that the vector bundle V is trivial [4, Proposition 1.2].

From the above observation, it follows that  $E = p^*p_*E$ . Therefore, it suffices to show that  $p_*E$  admits a connection. Now, by the given condition, the vector bundle  $(p|_{\widetilde{S}})^*p_*E = E|_{\widetilde{S}}$  admits a connection. Fix a connection D on  $E|_{\widetilde{S}}$ . Averaging D over the fibers of p, we get a connection on  $p_*E$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$ 

#### **Acknowledgements**

We are very grateful to Jason Starr for his generous help. We thank the referee heartily for going through the paper carefully and providing comments to improve the exposition. The first-named author is supported by a J.C. Bose Fellowship.

#### References

- [1] M.F. Atiyah, Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 85 (1957) 181-207.
- [2] H. Azad, I. Biswas, On holomorphic principal bundles over a compact Riemann surface admitting a flat connection, Math. Ann. 322 (2002) 333-346.
- [3] I. Biswas, U. Bruzzo, On semistable principal bundles over a complex projective manifold, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2008) rnn035.
- [4] I. Biswas, J.P.P. dos Santos, On the vector bundles over rationally connected varieties, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 347 (2009) 1173-1176.
- [5] H. Flenner, Restrictions of semistable bundles on projective varieties, Comment. Math. Helv. 59 (1984) 635-650.
- [6] A. Grothendieck, Sur le mémoire de Weil. Généralisation des fonctions abéliennes, in: Séminaire Bourbaki, vol. 4, 1956-1958, pp. 57-71, talk No. 141.
- [7] A. Grothendieck, M. Raynaud, Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohrénts et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux, (SGA 2), Documents mathématiques (Paris), vol. 4, Société mathématique de France, Paris, 1968, arXiv:math/0511279.
- [8] R. Hartshorne, Ample Subvarieties of Algebraic Varieties, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 156, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.

- [9] K. Joshi, A Noether-Lefschetz theorem and applications, J. Algebraic Geom. 4 (1995) 105–135. [10] K. Kodaira, On a differential-geometric method in the theory of analytic stacks, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 39 (1953) 1268–1273.
- [11] V.B. Mehta, A. Ramanathan, Semistable sheaves on projective varieties and their restriction to curves, Math. Ann. 258 (1982) 213–224.
- [12] C. Simpson, Higgs bundles and local systems, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 75 (1992) 5–95.
- [13] A. Weil, Généralisation des fonctions abéliennes, J. Math. Pures Appl. 17 (1938) 47–87.